Experimental study on the strength of concrete by partial replacement of ceramic waste and stone powder as fine aggregate

Ashu Singh and Vivek Sachan

Email. Asr2116p@rediffmail.com and Viveksachan31@gmail.com Shri Venkateshwara University, U.P.

Abstract

Currently concrete is most demanding material for the construction of various infrastructural activities. Concrete has become a basic need for every structure at the present time. The growingresidents of the world puts a major concern to develop a cost effective as well as an eco-friendly structure according to the need of human beings. To identify the suitability of ceramic waste and stone powder as fine aggregate, cylindricalsamples were casted at different composition of ceramic waste and stone powder. The compressive and split strengthwere tested and compared withreference concrete. The experimental resultsshows that the strength developed in concrete increased and cost analysis estimated that partial replacement of ceramic waste and stone powder as fine aggregate reduced the cost of concrete.

Keywords: Ceramic waste, stone powder and cost

Date of Submission: 07-09-2022

Date of Acceptance: 23-09-2022

I. Introduction

Rapid industrial development causes serious issues in the world such as depletion of natural aggregates and creates enormous amount of waste material from construction and demolition activities. To minimized the use of natural aggregates is to utilize the waste. A hugeamount of wastages produced annually in all countries, in particular construction and demolition waste contribute the highest percentage of wastes worldwide about 75%. Concrete is a heterogeneous mixture of binding material (cement or lime), coarse aggregates, fine aggregates (sand) and water. Fine and coarse aggregates are obtained from quarrying of large rocks which leads to a great destruction to the environment.Many researchers [1-5] conducted research to find out the suitablematerials and strength in concrete. The purpose of this experiment was investigating the suitability of stone powder and ceramic waste as partial replacement of fine aggregate in concrete

II. Experimental Process

Experimental sample were prepared as per specified process [6]. The details are given in table 1

Table 1 Layout of cylindrical experimental sa	nple with replacement of stone	powder and ceramic waste
-----------------------------------------------	--------------------------------	--------------------------

S.No. Specimen Design		Replacement		Comula Cine	W/C	
		C.W.	S.P.	(Radius×height)		
1	O _{C1}	0%	0%	75×150	0.46	
	O _{C2}	0%	0%	75×150	0.46	
2	A _{C1}	0%	20%	75×150	0.46	
	A _{C2}	0%	20%	75×150	0.46	
3	E _{C1}	10%	20%	75×150	0.46	
	E _{C2}	10%	20%	75×150	0.46	
4	F _{C1}	20%	20%	75×150	0.46	
	F _{C2}	20%	20%	75×150	0.46	
5	G _{C1}	20%	30%	75×150	0.46	

	G _{C2}	20%	30%	75×150	0.46
6	H _{C1}	20%	40%	75×150	0.46
	H _{C2}	20%	40%	75×150	0.46

2.1 Testing of the specimens

Compressive, split tensile and flexure strength of cylinders and beams have been determined as per IS 516-1959 at a loading rate of about 140 kg/cm2/min (about 30 tones per minute) on 2000 tons AIMIL compression testing machine and flexure testing machine.



Figure 1 Compressive Strength Testing Machine



Figure 2 photographic view of cylindrical samples

Experimental Outcomes III.

Compressive and split tensile strength of cylindrical samples was tested by using the Compressive Strength Testing Machine fordifferent composition of ceramic waste and stone powder.

	Table 2 Compressive strength of cylindrical samples				
S.No.	Specimen Design	Replacement		Compressive Strength	
		C.W.	S.P.	In MPa	
				28 days	
1	O _C	0%	0%		
				46.5	

2	A _C	0%	20%		
				48.5	
3	Ec	10%	20%		
				48.5	
4	Fc	20%	20%		
				46.5	
5	G _C	20%	30%		
				28.5	
6	H _C	20%	40%		
				21.5	

Table 3 Split Tensile Strength of cylindrical samples

S.No.	Specimen Design	Replacement		Split Tensile Strength	
		C.W.	S.P.	In MPa	
				7 days	
1	O _{C1}	0%	0%		
				2.13	
2	A _{C1}	0%	20%		
				2.09	
3	E _{C1}	10%	20%		
				2.045	
4	F _{C1}	20%	20%		
				2.03	
5	G _{C1}	20%	30%		
				1.95	
6	H _{C1}	20%	40%		
				1.81	

COST ANALYSIS

The cost of $1m^3$ concrete with different composition of ceramic waste and stone powder calculated as per standard method and details are given in table

S.No	% Replacement	Total cost for 1m ³ concrete (Rs)	Difference in cost (Rs)	Cost Reduced (%)
1	0%	5124	-	-
2	20% CW	5009	115	2.3
3	20% CW & 10% SP	4920	204	4
4	20% CW & 20% SP	4834	290	6.1
5	20% CW & 30% SP	4748	376	7.4
6	20% CW & 40% SP	4661	463	9.1

Table 4 Cost for making 1m³ concrete

IV. Conclusion

Based on experimental results following conclusion drawn

- 1. The strength developed in concrete with different composition of ceramic waste and stone powder significantly increases
- 2. Use of ceramic waste and stone powder as fine aggregate reduces the consumption natural aggregates.
- 3. Cost analysis shows that mixing of ceramic waste and stone powder as fine aggregate reduced the cost of one cubic meterconcrete.

References

- [1]. S.C. Bostanci, Use of waste marble dust and recycled glass for sustainableconcrete production, J. Clean. Prod. 251 (2020
- [2]. A. Shukla, N. Gupta, A. Gupta, Development of green concrete using wastemarble dust q, Mater. Today Proc. (2020.
 [3]. A. Halicka, P. Ogrodnik, B. Zegardlo, Using ceramic sanitary ware waste asconcrete aggregate, Constr. Build. Mater. Nature 2010; 1990; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010; 2010
- [3]. A. Halicka, P. Ogrodnik, B. Zegardlo, Using ceramic sanitary ware waste asconcrete aggregate, Constr. Build. Mater. 48 (2013) 295–305
- [4]. J.R. Correia, J. De Brito, A.S. Pereira, Effects on concrete durability of usingrecycled ceramic aggregates, Mater. Struct. Constr. 39 (2006)
- [5]. A.M.M. Al Bakri, M.N. Norazian, H. Kamarudin, M.A.A. Mohd Salleh, A. Alida, Strength of concrete based cement using recycle ceramic waste as aggregate, Adv. Mater. Res. 740 (2013) 734–738
- [6]. Ashu Singh and Vivek Sachan Experimental investigation on compressive strength by using stone powder and ceramic waste in concrete as partial replacement of fine aggregateIOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering19(4) 2022 01-05