# **Emission Parameter Optimization for CI Engine Fueled** with HDPE-PO and Diesel

Manpreet Singh B Sarna<sup>1</sup>, Saumil C Patel<sup>2</sup>, Tushar M Patel<sup>3</sup>, Maulik A Modi<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>(ME Scholar, Department of Mechanical Engineering, LDRP-ITR, Gandhinagar, India) <sup>2</sup>(Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, LDRP-ITR, Gandhinagar, India) <sup>3</sup>(Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, LDRP-ITR, Gandhinagar, India) <sup>4</sup>(Lecturer, Department of Mechanical Engineering, LDRP-ITR, Gandhinagar, India)

Abstract: The most favorable way to transform this waste plastic into HDPE Pyrolysis oil is by pyrolysis process. The waste plastic can be used to produce waste WPO and it can be used as an alternative fuel because waste plastic oil and diesel have mostly similar carbon chain characteristics and physical properties. In this study certain parameters such as % biodiesel, compression ratio, Injection Pressure and load are considered as the variables for optimization. Hence continuous optimization is required for these four parameters we have used Taguchi's Method of optimization. The optimum set of parameters which are obtained from Taguchi's method shows that 0%- Biodiesel, 18- CR, IP – 200, 0- Load which gives the lowest Carbon Mono-oxide (CO), 100%- Biodiesel, 17-CR, 220–IP, 0- Load which gives lowest NO<sub>x and</sub> 0%- Biodiesel, 15 – CR, 180 – IP and 0 – Load which gives HC. From the experiment performed the experimental value and predicted value are very closer.

Key Word: HDPE Pyrolysis oil, Taguchi Method, Carbon Mono-oxide (CO), Nitrogen Oxide (NOx) Hvdrocarbon (HC) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Submission: 12-05-2022

Date of Acceptance: 27-05-2022 

## I. Introduction

An alternative fuel which gained the interest of scientist and engineers is waste plastic oil. These wastes are produced from municipal waste like garbage or dustbin that are very difficult to dispose. Fossil Fuels are been conventionally used as the primary source for diesel fuel engines. This kind of fossil fuel is agriculture. The quantity of plastic waste has also increased from last years. This plastic waste can be converted into the fuel by catalytic cracking process. It also produces very less emissions during the conversion. One of the products from waste plastic or pyrolysis contains 70% carbon chain similar to diesel fuel. We in this experiment are using HDPE Pyrolysis oil as an alternative fuel The optimization techniques that are used to study engine are Taguchi's method, RSM method.. the best outcome is derived from Taguchi's method as it develops orthogonal arrays to examine large variables with less trials. Some parameters such as % Biodiesel, CR, IP, Load are considered on exhaust parameters such as Carbon Mono-oxide, NO<sub>x</sub> HC. In this paper HDPE pyrolysis oil is used as an alternative fuel to obtain the best optimum value for CO. HC. NOx.

Nileshkumar et al (2015) studied about effect of blend ratio on plastic pyrolysis oil and diesel fuel on performance of single cylinder CI engine. PPO10, PPO20, PPO30 and PPO50 blends were used as fuel. They concluded that with increase in blend proportion efficiency increases and fuel consumption decreases. At the same time exhaust emissions increases after 30% blend proportion of PPO. Considering performance and exhaust emissions, PPO30 has optimum values compared to other PPO blends [1].Kaimal et al (2016) studied about combustion characteristics of DI diesel engine using waste plastic oil and its blends. Fuel used were PO(25),PO(50) and PO(75) blends. The study gave conclusion that among all blends PO(25) showed better emission characteristics and thermal efficiency with lower BSEC(brake specific energy consumption. With a slight improvement in the fuel quality, PO(25) can be considered as an effective replacement for diesel in CI engines without any alterations [2].Sharuddin et al (2016) reviewed on pyrolysis of plastic waste. The study gave conclusion based on the studies on literatures, pyrolysis process was chosen by most researchers because of its potential to convert the most energy from plastic waste valuable to liquid oil, gaseous and char. Therefore, it is the best alternative for plastic waste conversion and also economical in terms of operation [3].Senthilkumar et al (2016) studied about effect of jatropha methyl ester on waste plastic oil fueled DI diesel engine. The study gave conclusion that BTE of JME-WPO blends at full load conditions was higher as compared to waste plastic oils. BSFC increases with increase in JME blend ratio and decreases with an increase in engine load. CO & HC emissions decreased with increase in percentage of JME in WPO blends. NOx emission was slightly increased with increase in percentage of JME in WPO blends [4]. Rinaldini et al (2016) studied about performance, emission and combustion characteristics of a IDI (Indirect Fuel Injection Diesel engine) engine. The study gave conclusion that the BSFC(Brake specific fuel consumption) is always lower for WPO(Waste Plastic Oil) and the efficiency of WPO is always higher despite of the load [5].Kaimal et al (2017) studied investigation of the combustion characteristics of a DI diesel engine fueled with PO(plastic oil) and RME(rice bran methyl ester). The study gave conclusion that the engine can be operated using 100% PO and RME. Thermal efficiency can be increased by advancing the injection timing. BSEC of WPO is less compared to diesel and RME. In cylinder pressure and peak pressure when using WPO and RME are higher than diesel. The peak heat release rate is also high for WPO and RME when compared to diesel [6].Kalargaris et al (2017) had studied about utilization of oils produced from plastic waste at different pyrolysis temperatures in a DI diesel engine. PPO 700, PPO 900, PPO 700 75, PPO 900 75 were used. The study gave conclusion that engine was able to operate stably at 75%, 85% & 100% load. PPO 900 had a significantly longer ignition delay period, higher peak heat release rate and shorter combustion period compared to PPO 700. Brake thermal efficiency was 3%-4% lower for PPO 900 and 2%-3% lower for PPO 700 in comparison to diesel. PPO 900 produced highest emissions, followed by PPO 700 and diesel [7]. Pal et al (2019) studied about effect of injection timing on performance and emission characteristics of single cylinder diesel engine running on blends of diesel and waste plastic fuels. The study gave conclusion that advancing the fuel injection timing is viable to solve the problem of lower thermal efficiency and higher carbon based emissions. Retarded fuel injection timing resulted in lower efficiency and higher emissions. The engine operation was smooth until certain percentage of plastic fuel blending with diesel i.e. 30% beyond which the operation was not smooth (Pal, Chintala, Sharma, Ghodke, Kumar, Kumar) [8]. Singh et al (2020) studied waste plastic to pyrolytic oil and its utilization in CI engine: Performance analysis and combustion characteristics. The study gave conclusion that the higher presence of PPO increases the BTE and reduces the SFC with an increase in load. The presence of crude PPO in diesel blend up to 50% decreases the volume efficiency with increase in the exhaust temperature. The utilization of crude PPO with diesel in different blend ratios shows an increase in exhaust emission [9]. Kurniawati et al (2021) studied about an experimental analysis of diesel fuel produced from HDPE (High density polyethylene) waste using thermal and catalytic pyrolysis with passive heat pipe cooling system. The study gave conclusion that despite higher cetane number of the catalytic pyrolysis oil, it has very low kinematic viscosity. Thus, the catalytic pyrolysis oil cannot be used directly but can be mixed with diesel to increase its cetane number and improve its performance in the combustion chamber [10].

- Suggestions from Literature Review :
- 1. Has better performance characteristics but the exhaust parameters show scale up.
- Literature review objectives of this research paper :
- 1. To compare the emission and performance of HDPE Pyrolysis oil to diesel fuel.
- 2. To reduce the exhaust emission.

## **II.** Material and Methods

- HDPE Pyrolysis oil includes good low temperature impact resistance.
- HDPE Pyrolysis oil is Highly Flammable, not Biodegradable, High Thermal Expansion.
- Pyrolysis refers to a thermal degradation of long-chain organic molecules into smaller hydrocarbons.
- Pyrolysis is the heating of an organic material, such as biomass, in the absence of oxygen.
- Pyrolysis is commonly used to convert organic materials into a solid residue containing ash and carbon, small quantities of liquid and gases.



Figure 1: Pyrolysis of plastic waste

## III. Methodology

There is a demand of high efficiency in engine which is the biggest problem and with that high BTHE, low specific fuel consumption and fuel consumption. Taguchi's mother is a collection of mathematical and statistical techniques used for parametric optimization and analysis of problems which examine a greater number of variables with less number of trials.

Steps for Experiments :

- Check feasibility of HDPE Pyrolysis oil as a substitute fuel for Diesel Engines.
- A test rig with a single cylinder, 4-strock CI engine used which contains the engine setup and various measuring equipment.
- Waste HDPE Pyrolysis oil was converted to HDPE Pyrolysis oil through pyrolysis process.
- Take the readings i.e. for performance and emission, using diesel and HDPE Pyrolysis oil blends at different selected parameter.
- Compare and analyse the results and find the optimum fuel or blend.
- Parameters that are taken for optimization are :
  - a) Compression ratio
  - b) Engine load
  - c) Injection pressure

The steps involved in experimental methodology are given in below Figure 2;



## **IV. Selection Of Parameters and Levels**

Experiments are done with Taguchi's orthogonal array for % biodiesel, CR, IP and load. It has 32 rows and number of tests with 4 columns at 3 level and 4 parameters.

Selected factors and their levels are shown below.

The SN ratio are considered for this selection optimum set of parameters. There are mainly 3 categories such as (1) lower the better, (2) higher the better, (3) nominal the better. The category higher the better is used to calculate S N ratio for BTHE and the category lower is better is used to calculate SN ratio for SFC and FC. Taguchi method is being applied.

| rable no r . Selection of parameters and levels |         |         |         |         |  |  |  |  |
|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| PARAMETERS                                      | LEVEL 1 | LEVEL 2 | LEVEL 3 | LEVEL 4 |  |  |  |  |
| % Biodiesel                                     | 0       | 100     | -       | -       |  |  |  |  |
| CR  | 15      | 16      | 17      | 18      |  |  |  |  |
| IP  | 180     | 200     | 220     | 240     |  |  |  |  |

Table no 1 : Selection of parameters and levels

Table no 2 : Diesel & HDPE CV and Density Value

|        |            | •                            |
|--------|------------|------------------------------|
| FUEL   | CV (kj/kg) | Density (kg/m <sup>3</sup> ) |
| Diesel | 41990      | 830                          |
| HDPE   | 40644      | 790                          |
|        |            |                              |

### V. Experimental Setup

The set up consists of single cylinder, four stroke, water cooled computerized research engine in which loading has been provided by eddy current dynamometer. Set up is equipped with instruments for measurement of combustion pressure, Diesel line pressure and crank-angle. Pressure crank-angle diagrams were obtained by signals interfaced with computer for. Various instruments for airflow, fuel flow, temperatures and load measurements are also provided.

The set-up consisting of air box, two fuel tanks for dual fuel test, transmitters for air and fuel flow measurements, fuel measuring unit, manometer, process indicator and hardware interface. Rota meter is used for calorimeter water and cooling water flow measurement. A battery, starter and battery charger have been provided for engine electric start arrangement. Various sensors and instruments are integrated with data acquisition system for online measurement of load, air and fuel flow and different temperatures.

The setup enables the evaluation of thermal performance and emission constituents of an engine. Thermal performance parameters include brake power, frictional power, BMEP, IMEP, brake thermal efficiency, indicated thermal efficiency, mechanical efficiency, volumetric efficiency, specific fuel consumption, A/F ratio, heat balance etc. The constituents of the exhaust gas like CO, HC and  $NO_x$  are measured with exhaust gas analyzer. Lab view-based Engine Performance Analysis software package "Engine soft" has been provided for on line performance evaluation.



Figure 3: Front View of Experimental Setup

| Make                        | Kirloskar Oil Engines                 |  |  |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| Туре                        | Four stroke, Water cooled, Diesel     |  |  |
| No. of cylinder             | One                                   |  |  |
| Bore                        | 87.5 mm                               |  |  |
| Stroke                      | 110 mm                                |  |  |
| Combustion principle        | Compression ignition                  |  |  |
| Cubic capacity              | 0.661 liters                          |  |  |
| Compression ratio 3 port    | 18:01                                 |  |  |
| Peak pressure               | 77.5 kg/cm2                           |  |  |
| Direction of rotation       | Clockwise (Looking from flywheel end) |  |  |
| Fuel timing for std. engine | 0 to 25 BTDC                          |  |  |
| Power                       | 3.5 kW @ 1500 rpm                     |  |  |
| Inlet opens BTDC            | 4.5                                   |  |  |
| Inlet closes ABDC           | 35.5                                  |  |  |
| Exhaust opens BBDC          | 35.5                                  |  |  |
| Exhaust closes ATDC         | 4.5                                   |  |  |
| Lub. Oil pump delivery      | 6.50 lit/min.                         |  |  |
| Break Mean Effective        | 6.35 kg/cm2                           |  |  |
|                             |                                       |  |  |

| Table no  | 3. | Engine | Technical | Specifications |
|-----------|----|--------|-----------|----------------|
| Table no. | 5: | Engine | rechnical | specifications |

#### **VI. Results and Discussion**

The results of BTHE, SFC and FC are analyzed using Minitab 18. Minitab offers four types of designed experiments: factorial, response surface, mixture, and Taguchi (robust). The steps follow in Minitab to create, analyses, and graph an experimental design are similar for all design types. After conducting the analysis and entering the results, Minitab provides several analytical and graphing tools to help understand the results. The S/N ratio for optimal BTHE are coming under "Higher-is-Better" characteristic, and the S/N ratio for optimal SFC and FC are coming under "Lower-is-Better" characteristics which can be calculated as logarithmic transformation of the loss function. In the experiment, four parameters are considered like as % Biodiesel, CR, Injection pressure, Load. Main Effects Plot for Mean data and S/N ratio data are shown that shows optimal results of BTHE, SFC and FC.

#### • Analysis Result for HC (ppm)



Figure 4: Main Effects Plot for Means data Means HC (ppm)





|       |          |       |         | ,                 |
|-------|----------|-------|---------|-------------------|
| Level | Fuel (%) | CR    | IP(bar) | Load(% full load) |
| 1     | 29.06    | 43.38 | 43.88   | 36.88             |
| 2     | 62.19    | 57.25 | 43.00   | 41.88             |
|       |          |       |         |                   |
| 3     |          | 44.00 | 46.38   | 46.75             |
| 4     |          | 37.88 | 49.25   | 57.00             |
| Delta | 33.13    | 19.38 | 6.25    | 20.13             |
| Rank  | 1        | 3     | 4       | 2                 |

| TT 1 1 1 1      | D        | T 11 C     | M 110    | / \lambda | (C 11 · 1 //    | ``  |
|-----------------|----------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|
| 1 able no 4 : 1 | Response | I able for | Means HC | (ppm)     | Smaller is bett | er) |

| Table no 5 : Predicated | Values for | Means of Me | ans Plot H | IC (ppm) |
|-------------------------|------------|-------------|------------|----------|
|                         |            |             |            |          |

|           | Optimum Set | S/N Ratio | Predicted HC          |    |       |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------------------|----|-------|
| Fuel (%)  | CR          | IP(bar)   | Load (% full<br>Load) |    | (ppm) |
| % of HDPE | 15          | 180       | 0                     | 15 | 16.1  |

| rable no 0. vandation Experiment Results and Erfor HC (ppin) |          |    |          |            |               |                 |       |
|--|----------|----|----------|------------|---------------|-----------------|-------|
| Basis  | Fuel (%) | CR | IP (bar) | Load (%    | Predicated HC | Experimental HC | Error |
|  |          |    |          | full Load) | (ppm)         | (ppm)           |       |
| Means of   | 0        | 15 | 180      | 0          | 16.1          | 15              | 1.1%  |
| Means  |          |    |          |            |               |                 |       |
| S/N ratio  | 100      | 16 | 180      | 33         | 88            | 90              | 2%    |

Validation experiments results are very closer to predicted results. The errors are less than 1.1%.

As means of means plots are showing combine effects of signal (selected parameter) and noise (unselected parameters), while S/N ratio plot gives effects of signal (selected parameters) only. So, S/N ratio plot is considered for the selection of optimum set of parameters.

Hence, the optimum (minimum) HC (ppm) is achieved when Fuel=0%,CR=15,IP(bar)=180,Load=0%.It is also called optimum set of parameter. The predicted value of HC (ppm) with optimum set of parameter is 15.

Analysis Result for CO (% vol)



Figure 6 : Main effects plot for means data means CO (% vol)



Figure 7 : Main effect for SN ratios CO (%vol)

| Table no 7 : | Response | Table for | r Means | CO | (% vol) | (Smaller is | better) |
|--------------|----------|-----------|---------|----|---------|-------------|---------|

|       | 1        |       |       | ,     |
|-------|----------|-------|-------|-------|
| Level | Fuel (%) | CR    | IP    | Load  |
| 1     | 17.13    | 16.94 | 15.56 | 16.27 |
| 2     | 15.65    | 14.39 | 16.88 | 18.60 |
| 3     |          | 16.02 | 16.89 | 18.91 |
| 4     |          | 18.19 | 16.22 | 11.77 |
| Delta | 1.48     | 3.81  | 1.34  | 7.13  |
| Rank  | 3        | 2     | 4     | 1     |

|           | Optimum s | S/N Ratio | Predicted CO (% vol) |         |        |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Fuel (%)  | CR        | IP (bar)  | Load (% full Load)   |         |        |
| % of HDPE | 17        | 200       | 100                  | 19.1721 | 9.6297 |

| Table no 9 : Validation Experiment Results and Error CO (% vol) |          |    |          |            |               |                 |       |  |
|---|----------|----|----------|------------|---------------|-----------------|-------|--|
| Basis   | Fuel (%) | CR | IP (bar) | Load (%    | Predicated CO | Experimental CO | Error |  |
|   |          |    |          | full Load) | (% vol)       | (% vol)         |       |  |
| Means of  | 0        | 18 | 200      | 0          | 0.11          | 0.07            | 0.4%  |  |
| Means   |          |    |          |            |               |                 |       |  |
| S/N ratio   | 0        | 17 | 200      | 100        | 9.6297        | 0.33            | 9.3%  |  |

| Table 10.9. Valuation Experiment Results and Error CO (70. VO | Table no 9 : | Validation | Experiment | Results and | Error CO | (% vol) |
|---|--------------|------------|------------|-------------|----------|---------|
|---|--------------|------------|------------|-------------|----------|---------|

Validation experiments results are very closer to predicted results. The errors are less than 0.4%.

As means of means plots are showing combine effects of signal (selected parameter) and noise (unselected parameters), while S/N ratio plot gives effects of signal (selected parameters) only. So, S/N ratio plot is considered for the selection of optimum set of parameters.

Hence, the optimum (minimum) CO (% vol) is achieved when Fuel=0%, CR=18, IP(bar)=200, Load=0%. It is • also called optimum set of parameter. The predicted value of CO (% vol) with optimum set of parameter is 0.07.

# • Analysis Result for NO<sub>x</sub> (ppm)



Figure 8 : Main Effects Plot for Means data Means NOx (ppm)



Figure 9 : Main Effect for SN Ratios NOx (ppm)

|       |          | 1     |         | /                 |
|-------|----------|-------|---------|-------------------|
| Level | Fuel (%) | CR    | IP(bar) | Load(% full load) |
| 1     | 793.3    | 635.8 | 694.5   | 185.6             |
| 2     | 688.4    | 713.8 | 743.0   | 654.0             |
| 3     |          | 735.0 | 793.9   | 1064.6            |
| 4     |          | 878.9 | 732.0   | 1059.1            |
| Delta | 104.8    | 243.1 | 99.4    | 879.0             |
| Rank  | 3        | 2     | 4       | 1                 |

Table no 10 : Response Table for Means NOx (ppm) (Smaller is better)

|           | Optimum Set | S/N Ratio | Predicted NOx         |    |      |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------------------|----|------|
| Fuel (%)  | CR          | IP(bar)   | Load (% full<br>Load) |    | (PP) |
| % of HDPE | 17          | 220       | 0                     | 99 | 58.2 |

| Table no 11 : Predicated values for Means of Me     | ans Plot NOx (ppm) |
|---|--------------------|
| ruble no 11 . If calculed values for fifeans of the | and Hot Hon (ppin) |
|   |                    |

| Table no 12 : Validation Experiment Results and Error NOx (ppm) |          |    |          |            |                |                  |       |
|---|----------|----|----------|------------|----------------|------------------|-------|
| Basis   | Fuel (%) | CR | IP (bar) | Load (%    | Predicated NOx | Experimental NOx | Error |
|   |          |    |          | full Load) | (ppm)          | (ppm)            |       |
| Means of  | 100      | 17 | 220      | 0          | 58.2           | 56               | 2.2%  |
| Means   |          |    |          |            |                |                  |       |
| S/N ratio   | 0        | 18 | 240      | 66         | 1230           | 1227             | 3%    |

- Validation experiments results are very closer to predicted results. The errors are less than 2.2%.
- As means of means plots are showing combine effects of signal (selected parameter) and noise (unselected parameters), while S/N ratio plot gives effects of signal (selected parameters) only. So, S/N ratio plot is considered for the selection of optimum set of parameters.
- Hence, the optimum (minimum) NOx (ppm) is achieved when Fuel=100%, CR=17, IP (bar)=220, Load=0%. It is also called optimum set of parameter. The predicted value of NOx (ppm) with optimum set of parameter is 56.

#### VII. Conclusion

The best and efficient technique found to Taguchi Method for getting the effect of control parameters. Result discusses below,

- %. As means of means plots are showing combine effects of signal (selected parameter) and noise (unselected parameters), while S/N ratio plot gives effects of signal (selected parameters) only. So, S/N ratio plot is considered for the selection of optimum set of parameters.
- For HC, Validation experiments results are very closer to predicted results. The errors are less than 1.1%.
- Hence, the optimum (minimum) HC (ppm) is achieved when Fuel=0%,CR=15,IP(bar)=180,Load=0%.It is also called optimum set of parameter. The predicted value of HC (ppm) with optimum set of parameter is 15.
- For CO, Validation experiments results are very closer to predicted results. The errors are less than 0.4%.
- Hence, the optimum (minimum) CO (% vol) is achieved when Fuel=0%, CR=18, IP(bar)=200, Load=0%. It is also called optimum set of parameter. The predicted value of CO (% vol) with optimum set of parameter is 0.07.
- For NOx, Validation experiments results are very closer to predicted results. The errors are less than 2.2%.
- Hence, the optimum (minimum) NOx (ppm) is achieved when Fuel=100%, CR=17, IP (bar)=220, Load=0%. It is also called optimum set of parameter. The predicted value of NOx (ppm) with optimum set of parameter is 56.

#### References

- Nileshkumar, K. D., Jani, R. J., Patel, T. M., & Rathod, G. P. (2015). Effect of blend ratio of plastic pyrolysis oil and diesel fuel on [1]. the performance of single cylinder CI engine. Int. J. Sci. Technol. Eng, 1(11), 195-203.
- [2]. Kaimal, V. K., &Vijayabalan, P. (2016). An investigation on the effects of using DEE additive in a DI diesel engine fuelled with waste plastic oil. Fuel, 180, 90-96.
- Sharuddin, S. D. A., Abnisa, F., Daud, W. M. A. W., & Aroua, M. K. (2016). A review on pyrolysis of plastic wastes. Energy [3]. conversion and management, 115, 308-326.
- Senthilkumar, P., &Sankaranarayanan, G. (2016). Effect of Jatropha methyl ester on waste plastic oil fueled DI diesel [4]. engine. Journal of the Energy Institute, 89(4), 504-512.
- Rinaldini, C. A., Mattarelli, E., Savioli, T., Cantore, G., Garbero, M., & Bologna, A. (2016). Performance, emission and combustion [5]. characteristics of a IDI engine running on waste plastic oil. Fuel, 183, 292-303.
- Kaimal, V. K., & Vijayabalan, P. (2017). A detailed investigation of the combustion characteristics of a DI diesel engine fuelled [6]. with plastic oil and rice bran methyl ester. Journal of the energy institute, 90(2), 324-330.
- Kalargaris, I., Tian, G., & Gu, S. (2017). Combustion, performance and emission analysis of a DI diesel engine using plastic [7]. pyrolysis oil. Fuel Processing Technology, 157, 108-115.
- [8]. Chintala, V., Sharma, A. K., Ghodke, P., Kumar, S., & Kumar, P. (2019). Effect of injection timing on performance and emission characteristics of single cylinder diesel engine running on blends of diesel and waste plastic fuels. Materials Today: Proceedings, 17, 209-215
- Singh, R. K., Ruj, B., Sadhukhan, A. K., Gupta, P., & Tigga, V. P. (2020). Waste plastic to pyrolytic oil and its utilization in CI [9]. engine: Performance analysis and combustion characteristics. Fuel, 262, 116539.
- Kurniawati, D., Putra, N., Abdullah, N., Hakim, I. I., & Nurrokhmat, A. (2021). An experimental analysis of diesel fuel produced [10]. from HDPE (high-density polyethylene) waste using thermal and catalytic pyrolysis with passive heat pipe cooling system. Thermal Science and Engineering Progress, 23, 100917.