A Analytical Model For Vibration Period With SSI Of R/C Structures

Turkia Haithem¹, Lahbari Noureddine²

^{1,2,} Department of Civil Engineering, Institute of Civil Engineering, Hydraulique and Architecture, University of Batna, Algeria

Abstract: The effect of the fundamental period, the soil structure interaction SSI, and the site on the seismic behaviour of R/C structures is investigated using analytical model based on the Algerian seismic regulations. Hence the aim of this study is to formulate model covering the fundamental period of vibrations based on a system with continuous columns in which the deformations of structure and soil represent the degree of freedom. Shear and flexural deformation are appointed for the structure, whereas relative displacement of the foundation base and rocking are meant for the soil(isolated footings for stiff and medium soil - sites S_1 , S_2 and mat for soft soil sites S_3 or S_4). Finite element method is used to analyse the response of various R/C frames (low, medium and high rise), assuming fixed and flexible base, (vertical, horizontal translations stiffness, rocking and torsional stiffness), and compared with Newmark Rosenblueth, Deleuze and Gazetas methods.

Keywords: soil structure interaction, foundation, R / C frame, Seismic response, effective periods.

I. Introduction

Often, seismic structural design is based on rigid base assumption, and interaction with the soil-foundation system is either ignored or carried out separately, whereas in reality these systems are coupled. Ignoring the SSI effects may lead to erroneous structural assessment and estimates of seismic demands.

This work presents a simplified and accurate formulation using finite element method applied to the analytical model based on the Algerian seismic regulation, a rapid assessment of the fundamental period of vibrations when SSI effects are accounted for. Furthermore, it investigates the importance of SSI phenomena on the response of frames as function of different parameters such as soil rigidity, foundation rigidity, foundation mass, and soil mass.

It is shown that for structures founded on soft soils with high relative rigidity, the SSI effects amplifies the dynamic response of the system. Also, it is not necessary to take into account SSI effects when designing a R/C building on stiff soil.

II. Formulation Of The Soil Structure Interaction - Description Of Model SSI

Based on the formules of the period of vibration given by THOMPSON [1] neglecting SSI effects, the new formulation taking into account SSI effects will be as follows:

$$T^* = \sqrt{(T_s^*)^2 + (T_b^*)^2}$$
(1)

2.1 Shear Mode with SSI (T^{*}_s)

In this case the bending deformation is negligible and the shear deformation is the determining factor in calculating the period. The fundamental period of vibration in Shear mode is given by:

$$\frac{T_s^*}{T_s} = \sqrt{\frac{W^*}{W_{St}} \times \frac{R_S}{R_s^*}} = \sqrt{mr}$$
(2)

Where:

Ts: Shear fundamental period without SSI is given by [2]:

$$T_{S} = \frac{2\pi}{\omega_{S}} = 4H\sqrt{\frac{\mu F}{AG}} = 4H\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{R_{S}}} = 4H\sqrt{\frac{W_{St}}{Hg}} \times \frac{1}{R_{S}} = 4\times\sqrt{\frac{W_{St}H}{gR_{S}}}$$
(3)

W*: Total mass calculated from structure, soil and foundation; W_{St} : Total mass calculated from structure; r: Ratio of shear stiffness equal to: R_S/R_S^*

R^{*}_S, R_S: Shear stiffness with and without SSI.

$$R_{S} = \frac{AG}{F_{S}}$$
 and $R_{S}^{*} = \frac{AG}{F_{S}^{*}}$ (4)

A: area of the section; G: Modulus of the reinforced concrete; F_S , F_S^* : security coefficient without and with SSI. m: Ratio of unit mass of building is given by:

$$m = \frac{W^*}{W_{St}} = \frac{W_{St} + W_F + W_S}{W_{St}} = 1 + \frac{W_F}{W_{St}} + \frac{W_S}{W_{St}}$$
(5)

Where:

W_F: foundation mass ;W_S: soil mass.

2.2 flexural Mode with SSI

In this case the shear deformation is negligible and the bending is the determining factor in calculating the

$$T_b^* = \frac{2\pi}{\omega_b^*} = 1.79H^2 \sqrt{\frac{\mu^*}{EI^*}} = 1.79H \sqrt{\frac{W^*H}{gEI^*}}$$
(6)

period.

T_{b:} Flexural fundamental period without SSI is given by [2]: I^{*}: Total moment of inertia of the structure with SSI.

Consequently:

$$\frac{T_{b}^{*}}{T_{b}} = \sqrt{\frac{W^{*}}{W} \times \frac{I}{I^{*}}} = \sqrt{m\lambda} \qquad (8)$$

$$T_{b} = \frac{2\pi}{\omega_{b}} = 1.79H^{2} \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{EI_{St}}} = 1.79H \sqrt{\frac{W_{St}H}{gEI_{St}}}$$

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} = \frac{I^{*}_{St}}{I_{St}} = \frac{I_{St} + I_{F}}{I_{St}} = 1 + \frac{I_{F}}{I_{St}} \qquad (9)$$

 λ : Ratio of the moment of inertia with and without SSI given by: Where:

I_F: Moment of inertia of the foundation.

Ist: Moment of inertia calculated of the structure only without SSI.

2.3 Rocking mode

2.3.1 The case of isolated footings (stiff and medium soil - site S1, S2)

Using the simplified method from VELETSOS [3,4,5], the expression of rocking stiffness K_{Φ} from vertical and rocking stiffnesses of the soil is:

$$K_{\Phi} = \sum K_{\phi i} + \sum K_{\nu i} y_i^2 \tag{10}$$

With:

K $_{vi}$ and K $_{\Phi i}$ the corresponding vertical and rocking stiffnesses respectively.

 Y_i represents the normal distance from the centroid of the ith footing to the rocking axis of the foundation. The vertical and rocking stiffnesses of the ith footing are defined by the following relations [3]:

With r_{ai} and r_{mi} are given as follows [3, 6]

$$K_{vi} = \frac{4Gr_{ai}}{1-\mu} \left[1 + \frac{2d_i}{5r_{ai}} \right]$$
(11)

$$K_{\phi i} = \frac{8G_i r_{mi}^3}{3(1-\mu)} \left[1 + 2\frac{d_i}{r_{mi}} \right]$$
(12)

r ai: Radius of a circular footing that has the area of the ith footing; d i: Depth of effective embedment for the ith

$$r_{ai} = \sqrt{\frac{A_F}{\pi}}$$
(13)
$$r_{mi} = \sqrt[4]{\frac{4I_F}{\pi}}$$
(14)

footing.

DOI: 10.9790/1684-1222110120

2.3.2 The case of mat (soft soil - site S3, S4)

From the simplified method of VELETSOS [4,5] described by SOULUMIAC [7] and based on the text of ATC-3 [8]; The expression of rocking stiffness K_{Φ} of rectangular footing can be expressed by [3]:

$$K_{\Phi} = \frac{8Gr_m^3}{3(1-\mu)}$$
(15)

Where: K_{ϕ} : Rocking stiffness of rectangular footing; G: Shear modulus of soil beneath the ith footing; μ : The Poisson's ratio of soil.

 r_m : Radius of the circle of the equivalent foundation calculated as follows:

$$r_m = \sqrt{\frac{A_F I_F}{\pi}} \tag{16}$$

With:

 $A_{\rm F}$: Area of the section of the foundation. Then:

$$K_{\Phi} = \frac{8G}{3(1-\mu)} \sqrt[3]{\frac{AI_F}{\pi}}$$
(17)

The final formulation for the fundamental period of vibration, taking into account SSI effect will be:

$$T^* = \sqrt{mrT_S^2 + m\lambda T_b^2} \tag{18}$$

III. Formulation Of SSI To Reinforced Concrete Frames

In the following, an approximate formula for the lateral drift of the frame is determined by considering the interaction of soil structure. The assumptions of the method of analysis of rigid frame were adopted [9]. Figure 1 shows the frame after deflection under lateral forces. The total lateral displacement of a level U^* is equal to the sum of the displacement without SSI and the displacement due to the interaction U_r [2, 10]. $U^* = U + U_R$ (19)

 U^* : The total lateral displacement with SSI; U: The displacement at the n^{th} floor of the built structure without SSI.

$$U = U_C + U_g \tag{20}$$

 U_c , U_g : The displacement of the columns due to the bending mode and the displacement of the beams due to the shear mode.

 U_R : The displacement due to the translation and rocking of the foundation [11, 12, 13]. The lateral displacement U without SSI is calculated by [2]:

$$U = \frac{Vh^{2}[(N_{C} - 1)K_{g} + N_{C}K_{C}]}{12EN_{C}(N_{C} - 1)K_{g}K_{C}}$$
(21)

The linear rigidities of columns and beams are:

$$K_C = \frac{I_C}{h}$$
 and $K_g = \frac{I_g}{L}$ (22)

V: shear force at the base of the structure without SSI.

Ic, Ig: moment of inertia of the columns and beams respectively

N_C: Number of columns.

E: Modulus of elasticity of the concrete.

h: story height.

L: length of bay.

The total displacement taking into account the SSI is calculated by simplified method from VELETSOS [3] as:

$$U^* = \frac{V^*}{V} \left[\frac{M_0 H}{K_{\Phi}} + U \right]$$
(23)

Where:

 V^* : The reduced shear force corresponding to the soil structure interaction (with SSI). M_0 : The moment due to the lateral forces without SSI is: (2HV)/3

 K_{Φ} : The rocking stiffness of the foundation with SSI. H: total height of the structure.

Then the shear deformation due to the lateral displacement will be:

$$\gamma^* = \frac{U^*}{H} = \frac{V^*}{V} \left[\frac{M_O}{K_{\Phi}} + \gamma \right]$$
(24)

Where:

 γ : The shear deformation without SSI calculated by: $\gamma = U / h$

Hence the shear stiffness with SSI is:

$$R_{S}^{*} = \frac{V^{*}}{\gamma^{*}} = \frac{V}{\frac{M_{O}}{K_{\phi}} + \gamma} = \left(\frac{3K_{\phi}}{3K_{\phi} + 2HR_{S}}\right)R_{S}$$
(25)

The Shear stiffness without SSI [2] is:

$$R_{s} = \frac{12EN_{C}K_{C}(N_{c}-1)K_{g}}{h[(N_{C}-1)K_{g}+N_{C}K_{C}]}$$
(26)

And consequently the stiffness ratio r is:

$$r = \frac{R_S}{R_S^*} = \frac{2HR_S + 3K_{\phi}}{3K_{\phi}} = 1 + \frac{2HR_S}{3K_{\Phi}} = 1 + \theta$$
(27)

Y Is the stiffness corrector ratio with effects SSI

IV. Numerical Application

4.1 Characteristics of dimensionless parameters

The characteristic parameters of the interaction model are defined as well as the intervals of typical values for building structures as follows [14]:

- Ratio of the foundation mass to the structure mass: $0 \leq W_F$ / $W_{St} \leq 0.5$
- Ratio of the moment of inertia of the foundation to the mass moment of inertia of the structure: $0 \leq I_F$ / I $_{Str} \leq 0.1$
- Damping ratio for the fixed-base structure and the soil $\varphi = 0.07$, which is a conventional value adopted for the most buildings and soils (SSI effects are not sensitive to the. Fixed base structural damping ratio [23])
- Poisson's ratio for the soil: μ =0.20, 0.25 and 0.4 which are representative values for stiff, medium and soft soils, respectively.
- Ratio of the shear stiffness: $1 \le r \le 1.1$
- Relative mass density between the structure and the soil: $2 \le W_S / W_{St} \le 5$.
- Slenderness ratio of the structure: H/R = 2 to 5.

4.2 Assumptions

In the case of structures without SSI, the assumption of fixed base is used to estimate the fundamental period of vibrations. This is assessed according to the RPA code [15] for different categories of sites. In the case of structures with SSI, the soil is modelled by springs: horizontal, vertical and rocking.

To determine the stiffness, the methods of NEWMARK - ROSENBLUETH, DELEUZE and GAZETAS [16, 17] are applied. The shear modulus of the soil G is given three values, the density of soil is set at $2t/m^3$ and the coefficient of critical damping is taken as $\xi = 7\%$; Table. 1 summarizes the different values.

V. Results

- Table 2 presents values of fundamental natural periods calculated by different methods: exact method, RPA code [15], ADELI model without soil-structure interaction.
- It shows a good correlation between exact solution and ADELI model [1] without SSI: a difference of 2.5% is observed in all sites for the ratio T_{exact} / T_{ADELI} with a deviation of 0.09, Fig. 2.
- It can be observed from the results that the interaction effects are negligible $(1/\sigma < 0.10)$ in stiff soil and outstanding in medium and soft Soil $(1/\sigma > 0.10)$, Table 3 (Fig.3a).These results are in good correlation with those obtained by MASSUMI, TABATABAIEFAR [18] and MICHAEL JAMES GIVENS [11].
- The incorporation of SSI and number of stories tends to increase the fundamental period by 26.3% in medium soil S_2 and 27.9% in soft soil $S_3 S_4$ as showed in [19]

- The effect of soil-structure interaction is to be considered when the following criterion is satisfied: H/ (Vs .T) > 0.10.
- The values of factor $1/\sigma$ for the seismic behaviour of R/C structures, according to the Algerian code RPA2013 considering the SSI effect, are given only for soft soil site S₃, (Fig.3b).
- Table 4 presents values of the natural periods with SSI obtained by the methods of NEWMARK ROSENBLUETH, DELEUZE and GAZETAS and by the proposed model.
- The fundamental periods of vibration obtained by the proposed model gives good results compared to GAZETAS, DELEUZE and NEWMARK- ROSENBLUETH methods: 5.57% for site S₂ and 6.01% for site S₃, (Fig.4).

VI. Discussions

- Influence of the ratio W_F / W_{St} : the period of vibrations increase with the increase of the ratio W_F / W_{St} , about 7.4% in soft and medium soil.
- Influence of the ratio I_F/I_{St} : no notification < 1% as showed by[35].
- Influence of the ratio W_s / W_{st} : increase of the period with the increase of the mass soil about 27% (Fig.5),[10] presents an increase of 20%
- Influence of the ratio D/R: no notification: > 2%.
- The variation of lateral natural period due to incorporation SSI increases with the reduction in stiffness of soil. It is minimum in case of stiff soil (S₁) and maximum in soft soil (S₃ and S₄) about 75%. A maximum increase of more than about 78% is noted in [21] and 70% in [22]

VII. Conclusion

- When considering SSI effects, the soil flexibility and number of stories have an influence on the naturel period.
- Natural period of R/C system including SSI effects increases when the ground is softer.
- It is not necessary to consider the effect of soil-structure interaction for seismic design of reinforced concrete frame buildings founded on stiff soil. Hence it is possible to include the soil-structure interaction effects in the analysis of multi-story building response by other means such as incorporating a few modifications to the fixed base condition. These modifications include mass of soil, inertia of foundation, ratio of shear stiffness and slenderness.
- As $1/\sigma$ increases, the significance of SSI effects increases.
- Finally, it is essential to consider the effect of soil-structure interactions for seismic design of reinforced concrete frame for: $1/\sigma > 0.10$

References

- [1]. Thompson W.T, theory of vibration with application, 2nd edition, Prentice Hall, Englewood cliffs, New jersey, (1981).
- [2]. Adeli H, Approximate formulae for period of vibrations of building systems, civil engineering for practising and design engineers, Vol4 Nb1 pp93-128, junnary 1985.
- [3]. Victor.D, la construction en zone sismique, Paris, Editions le Moniteur 1999.
- [4]. Veletsos, A.S., and Meek, J.W, Dynamic behavior of building-foundation systems, J.Earthquake Engrg. Struct. Dyn., 3(2),121–138, 1974.
- [5]. Veletsos, A. S., and Nair, V. V, Seismic interaction of structures on hysteretic foundations, J. Struct. Engrg., ASCE, 101(1), 109– 129, 1975.
- [6]. Khalil.L, Sadek.Marwan, Shahrouri.Isam, Influence de l'interaction sol structure ISS sur la fréquence fondamentale des bâtiments, XXIV Emes Rencontres universitaires de génie civil, Montpellier, 1-2 juin (2006).
- [7]. R.Souloumiac, Interaction sol-structure, méthode simplifiée, Socotec, 1984.
- [8]. ATC-3, Tentative Provisions for the Development of seismic regulations for buildings, ch.6, 1978.
- [9]. Norris .C.H, Wilbur.J.B, Utku.S, Elementary Structural Analysis, Mc Graw Hill, 1976.
- [10]. Ayman Ismail, Effect of Soil Flexibility on Seismic Performance of 3-D Frames, IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering (IOSR-JMCE) e-ISSN: 2278-1684,p-ISSN: 2320-334X, Volume 11, Issue 4 Ver. II, PP 135-143, Jul- Aug. 2014.
- [11]. Michael James Givens, Dynamic Soil-Structure Interaction of Instrumented Buildings and Test Structures, doctoral dissertation, university of California Los Angeles, 2013
- [12]. Mylonakis, George and Gazetas, George, Seismic soil structure interaction: Beneficial or Detrimental?, Journal of Earthquake Engineering, 4: 3, 277 — 301, 2000
- [13]. E.N.Rovithis, K.D. Pitilakis, G.E. Mylonakis, Seismic analysis of coupled soil pile structure systems leading to the definition of a pseudo natural SSI frequency, Soil dynmacis and earthquake engineering 1005-1015, 2009
- [14]. Javier Avilés, Luis E. Pérez-Rocha, Evaluation of interaction effects on the system period and the system damping due to foundation embedment and layer depth, Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering 15 11-27 ,Elsevier Science Limited, 1996.
 [15]. Règles Parasismiques Algériennes 99-03, OPU, Algérie, 2003.
- [16]. Gazetas, G. and Mylonakis, G. Seismic Soil-Structure Interaction: New Evidence and Emerging Issues, Emerging Issues Paper, Geotechnical Special Publication No 75, ASCE, Vol III., pp. 1119-1174, 1998.
- [17]. Gazetas, G. and Mylonakis, G., Seismic soil-structure interaction: beneficial or detrimental, Journal Earthquake, vol. 4, No 3, 277-301, 2000.

- [18]. Hamid reza Tabatabaiefar, Ali Massumi, A simplified method to determine seismic responses of reinforced concrete moment resisting building frames under influence of soil-structure interaction. Soil dynamics and erathquake engineering, 30 1259-1267,2010.
- [19]. H.K Chinmayi, B.R Jayalekshmi, Soil-structure interaction analysis of RC frame shear wall buildings over raft foundations under seismic loading, International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research Volume 4, Issue 5, May-2013
- [20]. Jayalekshmi B.R, Chinmayi H.K, Effect of soil flexibility on lateral natural period in RC framed buildings with shear wall, International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology Vol. 2, Issue 6, June 2013.
- [21]. I. Kraus & D. Džakić, Soil-structure interaction effects on seismic behaviour of reinforced concrete frames, 50 SE EEE University of Osijek, Faculty of Civil Engineering Osijek, Croatia 2013.
- [22]. Jian Zhang, Yuchuan Tang, Dimensional analysis of structures with translating and rocking foundations under near fault ground motions, Soil dynamics and earthquake engineering 1330-1346, 2009.

Captions to tables

Table.	1: (Geotechni	al speci	fication	of the	utilized	soils in	research.
--------	------	-----------	----------	----------	--------	----------	----------	-----------

Soil type	Elastic	Shear Module	Poisson	Mass	σ_{Sol} (Bars)	Shear
	Module	G (Kn/m ²)	Ratio	Density		Wave
	E (Kn/m ²)	× ,	μ	ρ (Kn.S ² /m ⁴)		Vs(m/s)
Stiff - Site S ₁	1640000	648000	0.28	1.8	2	600
Medium - Site S ₂	494500	180800	0.39	1.75	1.3	320
Soft - Site S ₃ and S ₄	93500	33500	0.4	1.50	0.6	150

	Table. 2:	Variation	of fundamental	lateral natural	period	without SSI
--	-----------	-----------	----------------	-----------------	--------	-------------

Dimensional specification of the studied frames					Fundamental natural periods without soil-structure interaction WSSI							
	f	f	(lth	T (s)			Comparison				
Soil Type	Number o Bay	Number o stories	Story Height (n	Story Wi (m)	Exact	RPA 2003	ADELI Model	T exact / T RPA2003	T _{ADELI} / T _{RPA2003}	T exact / T ADELI		
	2b	2s	8	10	0.176	0.356	0.151	0.494	0.424	1.165		
	6b	2s	8	30	0.178	0.356	0.157	0.500	0.441	1.133		
	2b	3s	12	10	0.219	0.484	0.228	0.452	0.471	0.960		
	6b	3s	12	30	0.222	0.484	0.236	0.458	0.487	0.940		
	2b	4s	16	10	0.266	0.600	0.304	0.443	0.506	0.875		
	6b	4s	16	30	0.270	0.600	0.315	0.450	0.525	0.857		
\mathbf{S}_4	2b	5s	20	10	0.346	0.700	0.343	0.494	0.490	1.008		
put	6b	5s	20	30	0.348	0.700	0.354	0.497	0.505	0.983		
33 8	2b	6s	24	10	0.460	0.813	0.412	0.565	0.506	1.116		
32-5	2b	7s	28	10	0.583	0.912	0.481	0.639	0.527	1.212		
5-10								Mean = 0.499	Mean = 0.484	Mean = 1.025		
•1								Devation =0.05	Devation=0.01	Devation=0.09		

Table. 3: Factor of the relative stiffness between structure and soil.

$1/\sigma = H$	/ Vs T		
Soil	Frame		
Type	Туре	RPA 2003	Proposed Model
	2b 2s	0.037	0.088
	6b 2s	0.037	0.084
	2b 3s	0.041	0.087
	6b 3s	0.041	0.084
	2b 4s	0.044	0.087
	6b 4s	0.044	0.084
S1	2b 5s	0.047	0.097
· ·	6b 5s	0.047	0.094
01	2b 6s	0.049	0.097
Ψ.	2b 7s	0.051	0.097
Sti		Mean = 0.043	Mean = 0.089
	2b 2s	0.070	0.165
	6b 2s	0.070	0.159
	2b 3s	0.077	0.164
	6b 3s	0.077	0.158
22	2b 4s	0.083	0.164
	6b 4s	0.083	0.158
i.	2b 5s	0.089	0.182
Sc	6b 5s	0.089	0.176
un	2b 6s	0.092	0.182
iibe	2b 7s	0.095	0.181
Ψ		Mean = 0.082	Mean = 0.168

A analytical	model for	vibration period	with SSI of R/C	structures
--------------	-----------	------------------	-----------------	------------

_				
		2b 2s	0.149	0.353
		6b 2s	0.149	0.339
		2b 3s	0.165	0.350
	S4	6b 3s	0.165	0.338
	pu	2b 4s	0.177	0.350
	3 a	6b 4s	0.177	0.338
	S	2b 5s	0.190	0.388
	- lio	6b 5s	0.190	0.376
	Sc	2b 6s	0.196	0.388
	oft	2b 7s	0.204	0.388
	S		Mean = 0.176	Mean = 0.360

Table. 4: Variation of fundamental lateral natural period with SSI. (4a) WS = 2 WSt

		Natural Periods with Soil-Structure Interaction SSI											
					T [*] Proposed Model with Isolated footings								
			~		$W_S = 2 W_{St}$								
	rey		nar		$I_{F=0}$			$I_F = 0.05$	I _{St}		$I_{\rm F} = 0.1 \ I_{\rm S}$	t	
Soil Type	Frame Type b: bay and s:sto	Veletsos	Deleuze - Newr	Gazetas	$W_F\!=\!0\;W_{St}$	$W_{\rm F}{=}0.25~W_{St}$	$W_F=0.5 W_{St}$	$W_F\!=\!0\;W_{St}$	$W_{\rm F}{=}0.25~W_{St}$	W_F =0 .5 W_{St}	$W_F\!=\!0\;W_{St}$	W_F =0.25 W_{St}	$W_F=0.5 W_{St}$
Medium Soil - $S_2 r = 1.05$	2b 2s 6b 2s 2b 3s 6b 3s 2b 4s 6b 4s 2b 5s 6b 5s 2b 6s 2b 7s	0.374 0.406 0.491 0.505 0.603 0.611 0.702 0.704 0.814 0.913	$\begin{array}{c} 0.24689\\ 0.23622\\ 0.36980\\ 0.35029\\ 0.49631\\ 0.46697\\ 0.62469\\ 0.58452\\ 0.75475\\ 0.88626\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.24781\\ 0.23714\\ 0.37030\\ 0.35080\\ 0.49659\\ 0.46729\\ 0.62484\\ 0.58470\\ 0.75482\\ 0.88626\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.268\\ 0.277\\ 0.404\\ 0.418\\ 0.541\\ 0.559\\ 0.612\\ 0.635\\ 0.737\\ 0.863 \end{array}$	0.278 0.288 0.421 0.435 0.563 0.582 0.637 0.661 0.767 0.899	$\begin{array}{c} 0.289\\ 0.299\\ 0.436\\ 0.451\\ 0.585\\ 0.604\\ 0.661\\ 0.686\\ 0.796\\ 0.932 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.268\\ 0.277\\ 0.404\\ 0.418\\ 0.541\\ 0.559\\ 0.612\\ 0.635\\ 0.737\\ 0.863 \end{array}$	0.278 0.288 0.420 0.435 0.563 0.582 0.637 0.661 0.767 0.898	$\begin{array}{c} 0.289\\ 0.299\\ 0.436\\ 0.451\\ 0.585\\ 0.604\\ 0.661\\ 0.686\\ 0.796\\ 0.932\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.268\\ 0.277\\ 0.404\\ 0.418\\ 0.541\\ 0.559\\ 0.611\\ 0.634\\ 0.736\\ 0.862 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.278\\ 0.288\\ 0.420\\ 0.435\\ 0.563\\ 0.582\\ 0.636\\ 0.660\\ 0.766\\ 0.898\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.289\\ 0.299\\ 0.436\\ 0.451\\ 0.584\\ 0.604\\ 0.661\\ 0.685\\ 0.795\\ 0.931\\ \end{array}$
Soft Soil S_3 and S_4 $r = 1.10$	2b 2s 6b 2s 2b 3s 6b 3s 2b 4s 6b 4s 2b 5s 6b 5s 2b 6s 2b 7s	0.372 0.402 0.493 0.511 0.607 0.621 0.705 0.714 0.818 0.916	0.29092 0.27413 0.40929 0.37734 0.53954 0.49042 0.65970 0.59900 0.79931 0.94120	0.29681 0.28013 0.41201 0.38056 0.54037 0.49209 0.65898 0.59908 0.79806 0.93942	$\begin{array}{c} 0.274\\ 0.284\\ 0.413\\ 0.428\\ 0.554\\ 0.572\\ 0.626\\ 0.650\\ 0.754\\ 0.883\\ \end{array}$	0.285 0.295 0.430 0.445 0.577 0.596 0.652 0.676 0.785 0.919	0.296 0.306 0.447 0.462 0.598 0.618 0.676 0.702 0.814 0.954	$\begin{array}{c} 0.274\\ 0.284\\ 0.413\\ 0.428\\ 0.554\\ 0.572\\ 0.626\\ 0.649\\ 0.754\\ 0.883\\ \end{array}$	0.285 0.295 0.430 0.445 0.576 0.595 0.651 0.676 0.785 0.919	0.296 0.306 0.447 0.462 0.598 0.618 0.676 0.701 0.814 0.953	$\begin{array}{c} 0.274\\ 0.284\\ 0.413\\ 0.428\\ 0.554\\ 0.572\\ 0.626\\ 0.649\\ 0.753\\ 0.882\\ \end{array}$	0.285 0.295 0.430 0.445 0.576 0.595 0.651 0.676 0.784 0.918	0.296 0.306 0.447 0.462 0.598 0.618 0.676 0.701 0.814 0.953

(4b)) $WS = 5$	5 WSt											
		Natural Periods with Soil-Structure Interaction SSI											
			×		T* Propose	d Model w	ith Isolate	ed footings					
	rey		nar		$W_{\rm S} = 5 W_{\rm S}$	t							
	stoi		3ML		$I_{F=0}$			$I_F = 0.05$	[_{St}		$I_{\rm F} = 0.1 \ I_{\rm S}$	t	
	pe 1 s:		ž		St	.25	.5	St	.25	.5	St	.25	.5
ē	Ty	so		s	M	0=	0	M	0=	0	M	0=	0
ſyp	me	ets	enz	ceta	0 =			0 =			0 =		
li J	Fra b: b	Vel	Del	Gaz	WF	W _S	$W_{\rm S}$	WF	W_{S_1}	W_{S_1}	WF	$W_{\rm S}$	$W_{\rm F}$ $W_{\rm SI}$
Š			0.04500	0.01501									
)5	2b 2s	0.374	0.24689	0.24781	0.379	0.386	0.394	0.379	0.386	0.394	0.379	0.386	0.394
1.0	60 2s	0.406	0.23622	0.23/14	0.392	0.400	0.408	0.392	0.400	0.408	0.392	0.400	0.408
52 r=	20 38 6h 2a	0.491	0.36980	0.37030	0.572	0.585	0.595	0.572	0.585	0.595	0.571	0.585	0.595
	2b 4s	0.505	0.33029	0.33080	0.391	0.003	0.015	0.391	0.003	0.015	0.391	0.003	0.015
1	$\frac{20}{6h} \frac{4s}{4s}$	0.603	0.46697	0.46729	0.700	0.782	0.823	0.700	0.807	0.823	0.703	0.807	0.823
Soil	2b 5s	0.702	0.62469	0.62484	0.865	0.883	0.901	0.865	0.883	0.901	0.865	0.883	0.900
E	6b 5s	0.704	0.58452	0.58470	0.898	0.917	0.935	0.898	0.916	0.935	0.897	0.916	0.934
diu	2b 6s	0.814	0.75475	0.75482	1.042	1.064	1.085	1.042	1.064	1.085	1.042	1.063	1.084
Me	2b 7s	0.913	0.88626	0.88626	1.221	1.246	1.271	1.220	1.246	1.270	1.220	1.245	1.270
	2b 2s	0.372	0.29092	0.29681	0.387	0.395	0.403	0.387	0.395	0.403	0.387	0.395	0.403
10	6b 2s	0.402	0.27413	0.28013	0.401	0.410	0.418	0.401	0.410	0.418	0.401	0.410	0.418
	2b 3s	0.493	0.40929	0.41201	0.585	0.597	0.609	0.585	0.597	0.609	0.585	0.597	0.609
r.	6b 3s	0.511	0.37734	0.38056	0.605	0.618	0.630	0.605	0.618	0.630	0.605	0.618	0.630
$\mathbf{S4}$	2b 4s	0.607	0.53954	0.54037	0.784	0.800	0.816	0.783	0.800	0.815	0.783	0.799	0.815
pu	6b 4s	0.621	0.49042	0.49209	0.809	0.826	0.842	0.809	0.826	0.842	0.809	0.826	0.842
3 a	2b 5s	0.705	0.65970	0.65898	0.886	0.904	0.922	0.885	0.904	0.921	0.885	0.903	0.921
SI	6b 5s	0.714	0.59900	0.59908	0.919	0.938	0.957	0.919	0.937	0.956	0.918	0.937	0.956
Soi	2b 6s	0.818	0.79931	0.79806	1.06/	1.089	1.110	1.066	1.088	1.110	1.066	1.088	1.109
oft	20 7s	0.916	0.94120	0.93942	1.249	1.275	1.300	1.248	1.274	1.299	1.248	1.274	1.299
Š													

9. Captions to figures



Fig. 1: Schematic illustration of SSI Model.



Fig. 2: Variation of change in period of vibration without SSI.









(**3a**)



Fig. 4: Variation of change in period of vibration with SSI considering $W_s = 2W_{St}$, $I_f = 0.05 I_{St}$ and $W_f = 0.25 W_{St}$.



(**3b**)







Fig. 5: Periods of soil-structure systems for various soil mass considering $W_F = 0.25 W_{St}$, $I_f = 0.05 I_{St}$. (5a)



(5b)