TopologyandFreeSizeOptimizationforFrontFenderofThreeWheel erVehicle.

AjitV.Salunkhe^{1*},J.P.Supale²

*PGScholarDepartmentofMechanicalEngineering,SKNSinhgadInstituteofTechnology&Science, Lonavala,MaharashtraIndia,

^{AssistantProf.DepartmentofMechanicalEngineering,SKNSinhgadInstituteofTechnology&Science, Lonavala,MaharashtraIndia}

Abstract: Frontfenderdesignof three wheelervehicleisvery important with the focuson animprovement aspect inthe goalsare to increase the performance and to find the solution to reduce the cost automotiveindustry. The of the fender hence able to reduce the production cost. The costs of the fender is high because of the amountof material used. In this paper Finite element models of the front fender of three wheelervehiclewereanalyzed, usinglinear and nonlinear finite element analyses in CAEs of tware. Experimental and analysis stress werecomparedtoevaluatethevalidity of the FEA approaches. Stress results werefound to have a 7% error which is wellwithina10% reasonable value. The handcalculations showeda36% error which was attributed to the simplificationsandassumptionsmadetomake thecalculationspossibleaswellasthegeometrycomplexity. Inthisstudy, multi-stagetopology and free-size optimization offrontfenderstructurehavebeen frontfenderwhensubjectedto performedusingOptistructbyAltairengineering, usedtodesignalightweight available front fender mudguards erves as thereference design space. serviceloads. An existing commercially The determineplacementof objectivefunctionfor topologyoptimizationis usedduringthedevelopmentstageto supportingribsinfendercomponentandconfirmmaterialreductionofanexistingfeasibledesignspace. Element levelfree-sizeoptimization isusedtodetermine optimal materialdistribution byvariableshellthickness optimization. Functional requirements validation is performedusingnonlinearfiniteelementstressandstiffness design checks. An overall reduction in weight of 1.04% is a chieved over a reference commercially available frontfendercomponent.

Keywords: Fender, StrainGauges, StrainIndicator, CADModeling, CAE-ComputerAidedEngineering, HyperMesh, Topology&Free-SizeOptimization, Optistruct.

I. Introduction

Inrecentyears, withincreased demand for low fuel consumption and low cost vehicles, the light weight designs are highest priority during product developments tage in automobile industry. This paper deals with finite vehicle, experimental validation of the stress data with elementstressanalysisoffrontfenderofthree-wheeler analysisresults and design optimization with the aim of reducing weight for specified loads, constrains and design space.Fendersprovidesufficienthousingforthewheelsandsuspensionlinkages. Variousmaterialsusedfor fenderdependonthestrength.expectedlifeandsuitability ofmanufacturingmethods.Preferredmaterialsare sheetmetal, plastic and fiberreinforced plastic. Plasticispreferredbecauseofitslightweightcharacteristicbut strengthisaproblem oversheetmetal. Whiledesigning thefenderfollowingfactorsareconsidered. Itshould providesufficientcovertothewheelandsuspensionlinkages, itshouldhavesufficientstrengthtowithstandloads andvibrationunderalloperating conditions.ApartfromnormalloadstheMud-Guardissubjectedtodifferent handlingconditionsduringrepairandmaintenanceofthevehicle. Themanufacturerofthevehicle now cameto theMud-Guarddesignrequiredtobemodifiedforhandlingduringrepairandmaintenance.RafatAli knowthat [1] has described the application of the finite element technique to the static stress analysis of composite structure inwhichfiniteelement[FE]model ofstructureisauthenticatedbyusing strain gauge andstrain indicator.Basil Housari, LianX. Yang[2]explained the experimental stressmeasurementtechniqueusedtomeasurestress concentration in which results obtained from rosettes train gauges are compared with those from finite elementanalysis.FEstressresultshavebeenvalidatedthroughcomparisonwithexperimentalstraingaugemeasurements. Mohamad M.Ansari^[5]compared straindatafromfiniteelement resultsandtestdatafromstraingaugetest. Ouadrilateral shellelement has been used to generate FE model. Front fender should be designed as light aspossible with sufficient strength, stiffness, and energy absorption properties in the event of crashloads. This is significantdesignchallengeastheneedforlightweightmustbebalancedwiththeneedforcomfort, adjustability, Dieinjectionplasticmoldingallowsforvariablethickness andeverincreasingsafety demands. distributionand detailedgeometricfeaturessuchassupporting ribsandothertopographic properties whichhavesignificant potential for detailed optimization for lightweight design. Several different approaches to optimization

areavailableforstructuraldesign, including finite element

methodsbasedontopology, geometric size and shape, and free-size approaches. A complete optimization process oftenusesacombination ofdifferentoptimization techniques.Finiteelementbasedtopology optimizationhas important practical industrial applications in manufacturing including automobile and aerospace industries, and islikelytohaveasignificantroleinmicro-andnanotechnologies [6].Topology optimizationusesamaterial distributiontodesignstructuresbyindividuallyassigningmaterialdensitiestofiniteelementsinaspecifieddesign space. Thematerial redistribution is achieved by mathematical optimizational gorithms using sensitivity analysis oftheprevious design in an iterative fashion [7,8]. For topology optimizationmethodsbasedonacontinuum based finite element solution, the design domain is representedasamixtureof materialdensityand"voids". The results obtained from the topology optimization are in the form of material densities of the finite elements in the designdomain.Anautomated process for interpreting three-dimensional topology optimization results based on densitycontoursintoasmoothCADrepresentation isproposedin[9,10].Free-sizeoptimizationisanelement levelmethodwhereindividualshellsurfacethicknessesofeachelementinacomponentarefreedesignvariables [11].Forthin-walledcastcomponentssuchasanautomotivebackrestframe.thin-

shellfiniteelementswithindividualthicknesseswhichcanbevariedanddistributedfreelyinatopologically optimizedcastcomponent offeracompellingmethodforachievingsignificantweightsavings.Applicationofmultistepengineeringdesign optimizationmethodsandtoolstothedesignofautomotivebody-inwhite(BIW)structuralcomponentsmadeof polymermetalhybrid(PMH)materialswasaddressedin[12] wheretopologyoptimizationis usedinidentifying theoptimalinitialdesignsandtheuseofsizeandshapeoptimizationtechniquesinusedtodefinethefinaldesigns

subjectedtodifferentin-serviceloadsanddesignedforstiffness,strength,andbucklingresistance.Manufacturing constraintsforinjectionover-moldingwerealsoconsidered.

An objective of the presentwork is to extend the multi-stepengineering design optimization approach to dieunderloadcases.andaccountingfordiedirectionmanufacturing castcomponentswithtopology optimization inordertodetermineoptimalplacementofsupportribsandthentoutilize"free-size"elementlevel constraints optimization which is ideal for optimizing the thickness distribution in thin walled cast parts. In the present work, atopology and free-size optimization procedure is developed based on the commercially available finite elementprogramOptiStructfromAltair[13],forthe optimalmaterialandsupportingrib distributionforthelightweight designoffender. Theorganization of the paperisas follows:a descriptionofthereferencefront fender, material properties, and load requirements are presented. An overview ofthemulti-stagetopologyandfree-size optimization process is then presented and discussed. The results obtained from theoptimizationprocessinthe presentworkarepresented and discussed, followed by the interpreted new design andvalidation offunctional requirements using nonlinear finite element analysis. Both topology and free-size optimization arebasedona linearfiniteelementanalysis, whilethevalidationisperformedusing afiniteelementmodelwhichaccounts for bothmaterialandgeometricnonlinearity. Themainconclusions resultingfromthepresentworkarethen summarized.

II. Objectives OfTheWork

The followingaretheobjectivesofthestudy:

- 1. Tostudyexistingthreewheelerfrontfender mudguardin Indianmarketforpossibledesignmodifications.
- 2. Tocarryoutfiniteelementstressanalysisoffront fenderofthree-wheeler.
- 3. Tocarryoutexperimentalvalidationofthestressdistributionacrossthewhole fenderusingstraingauges.
- 4. Todomultistageoptimizationthefront fendertoimproveitsstructuralstrengthbyuseofCAEsoftware's.
- 5. For functional requirement validation is done by performing nonlinear finite elements tress and stiffness design analysis.

III. ProposedWork AndMethodology

Amethodologydescribesdifferentapproachestoanalyzefrontfenderbymeansofthe finiteelementmethod canbecategorizedintothefollowingsteps:

- 1. Preparation of CAD modelusing available drawings of the existing fender (Mud-Guard).
- 2. Pre-processingofthefiniteelement modelofexistingfenderusingHyperMesh.
- 3. Performa linearstaticanalysisofa FEmodelofexistingfenderusingNastransolver.
- 4. Post-processingoftheresultsoftheBaselinedesignusinghyper viewaspostprocessor.
- 5. ComparisonofValuesobtainedfromFEManalysisandthosefromExperimentalvaluesusingstraingauge method.
- 6. Multi-stageoptimizationprocessareperformedbasedona linearfiniteelementanalysis.

- 7. Topologyoptimizationisusedtodetermineoptimalplacementofsupportingribsandmaterialdistribution for fenderofanexistingdesignspaceinAltairOptistruct.
- 8. Element-levelfree-sizeoptimizationisperformed for variableshellthicknessinAltairOptistruct.
- 9. Resultssuggestedfrombothoptimizationoftheshellmodelarecomparedtocreateafinaloptimizeddesigninpro-Esoftwareforthefendercomponentwithreduced weight.
- 10. Tofunctional requirements validation, the final optimized fender model is subjected to nonlinear finite element analysis using Nastransolver.
- 11. Conclusions are made by comparing the results of the existing and final models imulations for its improvement of structural strength.

IV. Finite ElementModellingApproach

4.1.CADmodeling

Pro/Engineerisaparametric,feature-basedmodelingarchitectureincorporatedintoasingledatabasephilosophywithadvancedrule-baseddesigncapabilities.ThecapabilitiesoftheproductcanbesplitintothethreemainheadingofEngineeringDesign,AnalysisandManufacturing.Thisdataisthendocumentedinastandard2D

production drawing or the 3D drawing standard ASME. Modeling of mudguard is done with help of Pro-econd transformed and the standard ASME and the standa

software.Allgeometricanddimensionsofthemudguardsystemincludingribsareplotted.Themodelwhichwas developedinpro-e softwarerequiredforFEmodellingwasacquiredinInitialGraphicalExchangeSpecification (IGES)format thatissupportedinalltheCAEpre–processing software. ByImporting IGESfileintohyper meshsoftwarefor Cleaningof geometryandpreparingthefileformeshgeneration.





Figure 1. Existingmudguard model

4.2.FEmeshgenerationusinghypermesh

Initially, the imported model as IGES, was Controlled forthecorruptedandtwistedSurfacesandalso fortheboundaries and Edges between surfaces where the gap in Between is beyond the acceptablerange. The patchestoavoidtheUndesirablelayoutofthemesh. arefollowedbysuppressingtheboundary modifications meshtypes, namely quads and tries. The quads show Better results in comparison with Therearetwodifferent2D tries.Hence,eachmodel'ssurfacesweremeshedusing2DMeshwithaCombinationoftriesandquads.However, theResultingmesh comprisedmainlyof quadElements.FinerMesh isrequiredtoshowthenonlinearBehavior of the material and failure. In the meshing application, the aim was to keep the majority of the elements size around4mmwhichisfiner/smallerthanthemeshSize-around10mm.Butontheotherhand,applyingfinermesh raisesthesimulationtimedurationDuetotheexplicitnatureofthe solverforDynamicanalysis.

C 1-d					trias:	connectivity
€ 2-d	warpage >	10.000	length <	2.000	min angle < 20.000	duplicates
C 3-d	aspect >	5.000	length >	7.000	max angle > 120.000	settings
C time	skew >	60.000	jacobian <	0.600	quads:	save failed
C user	chord dev >	0.100	equia skew>	0.600	min angle < 45.000	▼ standard
C group	cell squish >	0.500	area skew >	0.600	max angle > 135.000	
			taper >	0.500		return



4.3.Materialinformation

In the FEA, properties of material play an important role. The property of material is a basic input for structural analysis. Material used for front fender mudguard is normally polypropylene (PP).

Materialpropertiesforfender	Symbol(Unit)	Value	
Young'smodulus	E (MPa)	1100	
Poisson'sratio	ν	0.381	
Density	ρ(ton/mm ³)	1.56e-9	
Yieldstress	σy(MPa)	30-34	
Ultimatestress	σt(MPa)	42-50	

Table1.Mechanicalproperties of polypropylene.

4.4. Linearstaticstructuralanalysis

Staticanalysis

determinesthedisplacements, stresses, strains, and forces

instructuresorcomponentscausedby

lodes that do not induces ignificant inertia and damping effects. Steady loading and response conditions are

assumed;thatis,theloadsandthe structure'sresponseareassumedto varyslowlywithrespecttotime.Duringrepairandmaintenanceofthe upwardliftingforcesacting

onfenderarecritical forwhichitisnot designed and manufactured. In this paper the structural analysis performed for various loads ranges from 0-100 kg at interval of 10 kg, respectively results are plotted.

Linearstaticanalysisis carriedoutinstagesas follows:

- □ ImportingPartgeometryinHypermesh
- □ Meshingthesurface
- □ Applyingmaterialandproperties
- □ Applyingloadsandboundaryconditions
- □ SolvinginNASTRANSolver(sol101)
- □ ViewingresultsinHyperview



Figure 3. Existingfendermeshed model



Figure 4. Appliedloads & boundary condition onmodel

Criticalloadcondition

Loadcapacityactingonfenderismaximumat100kgrelativetothat resultsare plotted formaximumdeflection, maximumstress valuesarecheckedforexistingdesign. From the analysis, the fenderis found to experience the largest stresses. Hence, the result of the maximum principles tresses is used for further topology optimization.



Figure5:Displacement&stressplots of the existing model.

V. Experimental Validation

Forvalidationoftheresult,theFenderistobeloadedaspertheactualconditionuntilfendergetstotally
deformed.Suitablefixtureisfabricatedandmountedonarigidframeorwall.ForthesakeofconveniencetheFenderwasmountedinreversepositioni.e.upsidedown.Loadsappliedonfenderfrom0-100kgatinterval10kg
andfurtherincreasingloadthestructuregetstotallydeformed.Henceapplieddeadweightswillworkassimilar
indicator.Fromexperimentalreadings,ithasbeenseenthattherearenostressactinginzdirection.so2Dplane
stressconditionexist.stressconditionexist.





Figure 6. Experimental setup

Figure 7.Quarter-bridge strain gauge

Circuit with temperature compensation

UsingGauge Sensitivities and Gage Factor, theoretical strain induced is calculated for applied loads. The Gauge Factor formetallic strain gauges is typically around 2. Then theoretically experimental stress is calculated by using stress strain relation for various loads.

Formula for Experimental stress:

$$\sigma = \frac{E}{(1-\nu)} X \varepsilon$$

where ε = Experimental strain



 $\label{eq:compared} Experimental and analysis stress we recompared to evaluate the validity of the FEA approaches. Stress results we refound to have a 7\% error which is well within a 10\% reasonable value. For Mathematical model an assumption is done by considering a can tile verbe amhaving UDL on part of span of beam asshown in fig. 10. So$

bysolvingmathematicallyforfindingmaximum deflectionandmaximumstressacrossthecantileverbeamfor validationpurpose. Thehandcalculations showed a 36% error which was attributed to the simplifications and assumptions made to make the calculation spossible as well as the geometry complexity.



Figure10. Cantileverbeamwith UDL on partofspan.

VI. Multi StageOptimization

Themultistageoptimizationiscarriedoutintwomainstagesasfollows:

The total mass of the reference fender was measured to be 1.48 kg and overall thickness of fender is 3 mm. The reference frame is used as a basis for design optimization with the goal of reduced mass under constraints of stress. In the present work, both topology and free-size optimization are based on a linear finite element analysis in Optistructs olver, while the validation is performed using a nonlinear finite element model which accounts for both material and geometric nonlinearity.

6.1 TopologyOptimization

ItadoptsDensityapproachforoptimalmaterialdistribution.Availabledesignspaceisdefinedwithproperloading and boundaryconditionswithobjectiveto minimize the globalweight of the structure, subjected to stress constraint.

Thebelowmentionedcriteria's are used fortopologyoptimization. DesignVariable:Densityofeachelementwithindesignspace DesignConstraint:Stresswithspecifiedlimit

DesignObjective:Minimizethemass

6.2 FreeSizeOptimization

Theoutputdesignbytopologyoptimization with introducing cutouts isset for size optimization toget the optimized thickness of all structural members. The following criteria are defined for size optimization. Design Variable: Thickness of the components Design Objective: Minimizemass Design Constraint: Stress with specified limit.

6.3 Interpretation FinalDesign

Theresultssuggestedfromthefree-sizeoptimizationoftheshell modelandtopology optimization of the fender framemodelare comparedandusedasaguidetocreate afinaloptimizeddesignfor the fender frame component with reduced weight. Since fullyautomated interpretation tools which account

fullyformanufacturingconstraintsarenotcurrentlyavailable, the interpretationstepmustgenerallybedonemanuallyandthepartredra wn.Basedontheobservationsfrom topologyandfree-sizeoptimizationresultsofshellmodel,anewsurfaceshellmodelofth efenderframeis generated.



6.4 Validation of Optimized Design

Toverifystrengthanddeflectionrequirements, the final optimized fender model is subjected to nonlinear finite element analysis.



Figure11.Multi-stage engineeringoptimization processfor fenderframe

VII. NonlinearStatic Structural Analysis

As materialused forfenderis polypropylenewhichisnonlinearelasticmaterial.So nonlinearstructuralanalysis isperformedbyconsideringmaterialnonlinearity.Materialsnon-

linearstressstraincurveshavebeenobtained

experimentallybyconductinguniaxialtests. These results are enough to carry out homogeneous materials.

nonlinearanalysisof

7.1 TensionTest

Tensiletestingisoneofthemorebasicteststodeterminestress-strainrelationships. Asimpleuniaxialtest consistsofslowlypullingasampleofmaterialintensionuntilitbreaks.Testspecimens fortensiletestingare generally eithercircularorrectangularwithlargerendstofacilitategrippingthesample.Itgeneratesastressstraincurve,whichcharacterizesamaterial'smechanicalperformance,e.g.,yieldstrength,tensilestrength,elastic modulus,elongation,andtoughness.Whenperformedproperly,thetensiletestcanbeaninvaluabletoolfor materialcharacterizationandverification.TheAmericanSocietyofTestingandMaterials(ASTM)havespecific protocolstofollowfortestingawiderangeofmaterials.ASTMD638StandardTestMethodforTensileProperties ofPlasticsmatchedtheplannedexperimentmorecloselythananyotherstandardsreviewed.According to standards prescribethemethodbywhich thetestspecimenwillbeprepared andtested,aswellashowthetest resultswillbeanalyzedandreported.



Figure 12. Uniaxial tensile test setup and test specimens by ASTM D638 standard





7.2 DesignValidationbyNonlinearAnalysisResults

Basedonthematerialnon-linearity,anon-linearstaticanalysisisconducted.MSC.NASTRAN solverisusedtosolve forthestressesandstrains.Resultwereobtainedforallloadcasesupto100kgbutdesign pointviewstressesfor

nonlinearelasticmaterialshouldbelessthanultimatestrengthofmaterial.Resultsobtainedupto70kgarewithin designlimitsandabovethatareconsiderasfailure,thusforcomparison between exitingandoptimizemodel resultsplotsare shown for70kg.Thehigheststresslevelsareobservedin localizedregionsontherearsideofthe frameata supportrib neartheboltlocations.



Figure 14. Stress & displacement plots of the existing model



Figure15.Stress&displacement plots of theoptimized topologymodel

Themaximumprinciplestressis48.43MPAwhichisabovetheyieldstressvaluefor(PP),butbelowthe ultimate strengthforthematerialindicatinglocalplasticyieldingbut nofracturehasoccurred.Themaximum displacementfortheoptimizedfenderdesignpredictedfromnonlinearanalysisoccursatthefrontofthefender witha valueof75.8mm whichis38.7%belowthevalueof123.5mm whichwascomputedasthemaximum valuefortheexistingreferencefendercomponent.

Model	Weight(kg)	Deflection(mm)	MaxPrincipalStress (Mpa)
Existingdesign	1.48648	123.5	76.12
Optimizeddesign	1.4710	75.77	48.43

VIII. Result Table

IX. SummaryAnd Conclusions

Basedontheresultsobtainedinthepresentwork, the following summary and main conclusions can be made:

- 1. Thepresentwork demonstrateshowtopologyandfree-size optimizationwithloadrequirements, stress and stiffness constraints, together with manufacturing constraints on diedra w direction and symmetry, can be used to designalight weight fender frame component. To use topology optimization as a tool formaterial placement is more systematic than to use more or less guesses based on experience.
- 2. Thebasedesignresultsformostcriticalloadingconditionareidentifiedandcorrespondingstress&displacement plots. Itisconcludedthattheseregionsarepotential areastoremoveweight.Moreover, theregionswithlower factorofsafetyarealsotargetedforimprovementusingthe optimizationtechnique.
- 3. Byobservingresultsobtained,thedisplacementandstressvaluesarelessinoptimizedfendercompared with existingfendermodel.Basedonresultstheoptimizedfendermodelissafeandbetterforutilization compared withreferenceexistingmodel.
- 4. Using this engineering design optimization process, an overall reduction in weight of 1.04% is achieved over a reference commercially available fender frame component.

References

- [1]. RafatAli, "FiniteElementStudyof aComposite Material Sump Panof anI.C.Engine", SAEPaper No.950942, 1995.
- [2]. Basil Housari, LianX. Yang, "Experimental Techniques for Strain Measurementand Validation of CAE Model", SAEPaper No.2005-01-0587, 2005.
- [3]. Muniyasamy K., Govindrajan R., Jayram N., Ravikharul, "Vibration Fatigue Analysis of MotorcycleFrontFender", SAEPaperNo.2005-32-0030, 2005.
- [4]. K. BelKnani, P.Bologna, E.Duni, G. Villari, G.Armando, MT ortone, MLeghissa, SBorone, "CAEMethodologies for Virtual Prototyping of CastAluminum Suspension Components", SAEPaperno. 2002-01-0677, 2002.
- [5]. MohammedMAnsari, "Validation of FiniteElement(FE) Model for AllRadiatorEndTank", SAEPaperNo. 2002-01-0951, 2002.
- [6]. Rozvany,G.,(2008) "Acritical reviewofestablishedmethods of structuraltopologyoptimization" Journal Structural and MultidisciplinaryOptimization,OnlineForum,Publisher SpringerBerlin/Heidelberg,February21,2008.
- [7]. Bendsoe, M.P. (1995). Optimization of Structural Topology, Shape, and Material. Berlin: Springer.
- [8]. Eschenauer,H.A.,& Olhoff,N.(2001).Topologyoptimization of continuum structures- areview. AppliedMechanicsReviews, 54(4),331-390.
- [9]. A.V.Kumar, D.C.Gossard, (1996) "Synthesisof optimalshapeand topology of structures", J.MechDes, 118, 68-74.
- [10]. S.K.Youn,S-H.Park,(1997)"Astudyon theshapeextractionprocessin thestructural topology optimization usinghomogenized material", ComputStruct, 62(3), 527-538.
- [11]. Vanderplaats, G.N., "Numerical Optimization Techniques for EngineeringDesign: With Application, 3rdEdition, VanderplaatsResearch&Development, 1999.
- [12]. Grujicic, M. et.al. "Application of Topology, Sizeand Shape Optimization Methods in Polymer Metal Hybrid Structural Lightweight Engineering", Multidiscipline Modeling in Materials and Structures, Volume 4, Number 4, 2008, pp. 305-330(26).
- [13]. Altair EngineeringInc., 2007, "OptiStruct 11.0, User's Guide".