Structural Response of Lattice Steel Masts for Seismic Loading

Patil Vidya M.¹, Lande Abhijeet C.²

¹(ATS Sanjay Bhokre Group Of Institute Miraj) ²(Walchand College Of Engineering Sangli)

ABSTRACT: The wind excitation induces fluctuating stresses with randomly varying amplitudes around mean deformation states leading to fatigue damage accumulation. For the design of such structures, it is important to study their dynamic behavior and fatigue damage under wind excitation. In the present work structure has been modeled with two node space truss element. Equivalent static wind loads has been calculated as per IS 875-1987 (part3). In the last years, a lot of new issues have been arisen regarding the structural behavior of steel lattice masts which are used either for telecommunication needs or as systems to transfer energy. As environmental effects are becoming more severe and the earthquake phenomenon is taken into account in a more detailed way according to the modern codes for earthquake resistance structures, the thorough investigation of the performance of these structures subjected to the influence of wind loading, as well as the combination of wind loading and ice. For the purpose of research activity, 6 types of steel masts have been analyzed, namely 4 masts located on the ground and 2 masts located on buildings.

Keywords - structural response, combined effects, Seismic loading, Steel lattice masts, wind loading,

I. INTRODUCTION

Lattice steel towers are used for a number of diverse purposes, namely, telecommunications, radio and television broadcasting, observation, power transmission and lighting supports, etc. The need to design a lattice tower for resonant dynamic response due to wind load arises when the natural vibration frequency (fundamental frequency) of the structure is low enough to be excited by the turbulence in the natural wind [1]. Although, initially the design wind speeds are obtained by multiplying the mean wind speeds with gust velocity factors to allow for the fluctuations in the wind speed, the neglect of both the dynamic properties and size of the structure could result in an unsafe structure or conservative design of a structure. The structural loads produced by wind gusts depend on the size, natural frequency and damping of the structure. The structural failure which is directly attributable to gust action, emphasizes the importance of these par ammeters in arriving at the gust wind load.

During the past few years there has been increasing interests for the design of radar satellites to operate in medium Earth orbit in order to provide better coverage than low Earth orbit. Such technology will require fewer satellites for global coverage and thus reduce the overall system costs [2]. A radar antenna operating in MEO has to be so large that it could not launch on existing rockets. Inflatable technology is the solution to this problem. While NASA has flown more missions with mechanically deployed systems so far, inflatable structures can be compressed into far smaller packages and offer a solution not currently available using traditional components. Many proposed inflatable designs for space applications consist of truss-like or lattice structures due to their simplicity of construction and large stiffness to mass ratios [3]. Therefore inflatable lattice type structures are a very suitable solution for space applications and there is primary need for understanding the dynamic behavior of such structures. In some cases the financial and social consequences caused by a possible collapse of this kind of structures are considered as damaging as those caused by the collapse of traditionally significant infrastructure, such as bridges. For the purpose of the herein presented research activity, 6 types of steel masts have been analyzed, namely 4 masts located on the ground and 2 masts located on buildings. The study was carried out by means of innovative software in order to introduce the wind actions as thoroughly as possible and simulation models have been configured for the masts under investigation incorporating all special geographical parameters and structural arrangements.

Phill-Seung Leea, Ghyslaine McClureb (2007) they develop a numerical model for simulating ultimate behavior of lattice steel tower structures. They present the elastoplastic large deformation analysis of a lattice steel tower structure using finite element analysis and they compare the numerical results with full-scale destructive tests [5]. A 2-node three-dimensional L-section beam finite element proposed in our previous work is used. The beam finite element can consider eccentricities of loading and boundary conditions as well as material and geometrical

nonlinearities. They model a real tower structure section using the beam elements and perform a nonlinear static analysis to obtain the limit behavior of the tower in two different load cases. The numerical results are discussed in detail.

II. ACTIONS ON STEEL LATTICE MASTS

II.1 Permanent actions, imposed loadings and earthquake loading

The basic loads that are considered in the design of a steel telecommunication lattice tower are the dead loads of all the elements, the imposed live loads, the environmental loads and the earthquake action [6]. Regarding the permanent actions on the steel mast, these include the dead load of the structure (the self-weight), the ladder, the several dish reflectors of different diameters that a mast carries and the working platform, located at specific heights of the structure, according to the type of the mast.

II.2 Wind Loading

Wind is air movement relative to the surface of the earth due to pressure differences in the atmosphere. The pressure differences are produced by differential solar heating of the earth's surface and the forces generated by the rotation of the earth. A detailed discussion on wind load on various structures has been given in Chapter II. However, a brief is given here with special reference to towers. The design wind speed V_z (m/s) is given by[7]

$$\mathbf{V}_{z} = \mathbf{V}_{h} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{x} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{i}$$

Where

 V_b = basic wind speed in m/s at 10 m height. k ₁ = probability factor (or risk coefficient). k_{II} = terrain, height and structure size factor

K $_3$ = topography factor, the value of which varies from 1 to 1.4, depending upon the topography; for plain lands, $k_3 = 1$.

The design wind pressure is given by

$$p_{z} = 0.6$$

where $p_z = \text{design wind pressure } (N/m^{II}) \text{ and } V_z \text{ is the design wind speed (m/s)}.$ The wind force on any member is given by

 $F = AC_f p_z$

V_z^2

A = effective frontal area.

where

 C_{f} = net wind force coefficient, which depends upon solidity ratio = of the tower (Table II.1, II.2 and II.3)

= Solidity ratio = Obstruction area of the front faceGross area of the front face For towers, = varies from 0.15 to 0.3, and is to be assumed in the beginning of the design. After designing the members, the assumed solidity ratio is compared with the actual solidity ratio to test the adequacy of the structure.[8]

Table II.1 values of net wind force coefficient (C _f for towers composed of flat sided members
---	--

Solidity ratio =	C_f for	
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	Square towers	Equilateral triangle towers
0.05	4.0	3.3
0.1	2.2	3.1
0.2	1.9	2.7
0.3	1.7	2.3

Table II.2 force coefficient  $C_f$  for square towers composed for rounded members

Solidity ratio =	$C_f$ for			
₽	$D.V_d = 6m^2/s$		$D.V_d = 6m^2/s$	
	face 🛰	corner	face 🖆	corner
0.05	2.4	2.5	1.1	1.2
0.1	2.2	2.3	1.2	1.3
0.2	1.9	2.1	1.3	1.6
0.3	1.7	1.9	1.4	1.6

Table II.3 force coefficient  $C_f$  for equilateral triangle towers composed for rounded members

Solidity ratio <del>=</del>	$C_f$ for			
큧	$D.V_d = 6m^2/s$ $D.V_d = 6m^2/s$			
	(all wind direction)	(all wind direction)		
0.05	2.5	1.1		
0.1	2.3	1.2		
0.2	2.1	1.3		
0.3	1.9	1.4		

Tower Appurtenances the wind loading on tower appurtenances, such as ladders, conduits, elevators etc. shall be calculated using appropriate net pressure coefficients for these elements. Allowance may be made for shielding effect from other elements.

Tower mounting: Usually, towers have mounting such as antenna dishes etc. the pressure on these mounting can be computed by suitably selecting pressure coefficient.

Side Elevation	Description of Shape	Cf
	Circular disc	1.2
	Hemispherical Bowl	1.4
$-\underline{\Box}$	Hemispherical Bowl	0.4
	Hemispherical Solid	1.2
	Spherical Solid	0.5 for $V_d D < 7$ 0.2 for $V_d D \ge 7$ ,

Table II.4.The values of C_f for some limited shapes

#### **II.4 Analysis and Designing Lattice Towers:**

As stated in the previous article, lattice towers are subjected mainly to two types of loads:

- (i) Gravity loads due to self weight etc.
- (ii) Lateral loads
- (iii) The wind loads, acting a t the panel points have two effects:
- (a) Horizontal shear effect due to lateral load :
- (b) Vertical .force due to moments due to lateral load.

The lateral load due to wind is resisted mainly by the web members while the gravity loads and the vertical force due to wind moments are resisted by chords or leg members. Since has predominant effect, the wind loads on the exposed area of the windward face and leeward face, including shielding effect should **be** carefully evaluated, as explained in the previous article. Solidity ratio has to the assumed in the beginning, for the computation of wind loads. For the design of legs of a square base tower, the critical wind direction is along the diagonal, as shown in "Fig (1)" as the Moment due to lateral loads is resisted by only two legs *B* and *D*. At any level under consideration, let  $W_g$  be the gravity load and M we the moment due lateral loads.[9]



Fig.1.Maximum vertical force in posts.

(A) SQUARE

(B) TRIANGULAR

(C) MULTI-POST

The lateral load (i.e. wind shear) is resisted by the web member in tension at the section. In the analysis, the effect of compression web members is known. The leg members are designed as compression members while the web members are designed as tension members. The width of base is taken equal to 1/8 to 1/12 of the height while the inclination or pitch of the sides is kept between 1/16 to 1/40.

## III. STUDY OF THE STEEL LATTICE MASTS: ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

The present paper deals with the study of the four most commonly used types of steel lattice telecommunication towers located on ground as well as with two masts located on top on buildings, and focuses on their behavior especially regarding the influence of the environmental actions and the combined effects on their structural capacity. [10]

Table III.1:	Cross-sections	of the steel	masts under	investigation
--------------	----------------	--------------	-------------	---------------

Dimension	Legs Horizontal	face members	Main bracings
0.50m x 0.50m	L80x8	L70x7	L45x5
1.40m x 1.40m	L80x10	L60x6	L60x6
2.50m x 2.50m	L80x10	L70x7	L60x6
4.30m x 4.00m	L110x10 L120x12	L70x7	L60x6

All special features of the material, the used bolts, as well as the geometrical parameters and local conditions were incorporated in the simulation models. The basic loads considered in the study of the masts were the dead loads of all the elements, the imposed live loads, the environmental loads and the earthquake action. Regarding the permanent actions on the steel mast, these included the dead load of the structure (the cross-sections used), the ladders, the antennas and the platforms. As far as the imposed live loading is concerned, the calculation was carried out taking into account the variable loads of the staircase and the working deck.

#### III.1 Comparative study

# III.1.1 Results Due To Wind

The bending moment and shear force are computed for wind and seismic loading with the help of IS 875: PART III. [11]

		By theoretical calculation		By software calculation	
Example	Solidity ratio	Max	Max shear	Max	Max shear
		B.M.(KN.m)	force (KN)	B.M.(KN.m)	force (KN)
6m mast	0.15	23.08	3.5	23.87	3.39
8m mast	0.24	533.25	18	540.52	18.09
12m mast	0.28	3454.95	172	3450.22	171.36
18m mast	0.33	5437.42	261	5440.33	263.44
12m mast on	0.28	8563.47	987.56	8595.46	994.36
15m building					
18m mast on 17.5m building	0.33	10466.54	1626.5	10898.44	1663.44

#### III.1.2 Results due to seismic load

Structure	Mode	Frequency	Period	Base Shear	Displacement
	1	0.178	0.561785		
6m	2	0.2	0.99724	0.29	0.584
	3	2.118	0.04725		
	1	0.082	0.2819		
8m	2	0.344	0.21509	3.90	0.976
	3	3.301	0.09355		
	1	3.547	0.3595		
12m	2	4.649	0.2879	5.38	1.105
	3	10.690	0.10513		
	1	2.781	1.1783		
18m	2	3.347	2.90663	7.44	1.756
	3	9.512	0.3127	]	

## **IV. CONCLUSIVE REMARKS**

In the present report, analysis of a steel tower has been presented using finite element method. Three dimensional configuration of the truss has been considered and computer code has been developed for finding the joint deflections, member forces and support reactions due to equivalent static wind load. Some of the conclusions arrived at the present study are as follows Spectral density of the displacement of the member shows peak values at the natural frequencies of the tower. According to the assessment of sample of steel lattice telecommunication masts, the shear in seismic analysis is very less as compared to wind analysis so wind load is main governing load is considered for design. In case of masts with small relative height, the performance of structure is not affected. However, as the height increases, the seismic combination causes more effect. With respect to deformations developing at the top of the steel lattice masts in example, the maximum values of the horizontal displacements are showing. It is observed that the maximum displacement caused due to the combined effect with respect to wind combination and the height of masts increases, the displacement increases.

#### REFERENCES

[1] Cello F.Carril Jr., Nicholas Isyumov, Reyolando M.L.R.F Brazil (2003) "Experimental Study of The Wind Forces on Rectangular Lattice Communication Towers with Antennas" *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics*, vol.91 pp. 1007-1022.

[2] Ananias Kudzys (2006). "Safely of Power Transmission Line Strictures' under Wind and lee Storms" *Engineering Structure*, vol.28 pp.682-689.

[3] Solari G. (1982). "Along Wind Response Estimation: Closed Form Solution ", Journal of Structural Division, ASCE, vol.108, no.1 pp 225-242.

[4] Solari G. (1993). "gust buffeting i:peak wind velocity and equivalent pressure ", Journal Of Structural Division ,ASCE, vol.119, no.1 pp 365-381.

[5]Hiramatsu K and H. Akagi (1988) "The Response of Latticed Steel Tower due to The Action of Wind" Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamic*, vol.30pp.7-16

[6] Holmes J. D. (2002) "Fatigue Life and under Wind Loading -Closed Form Solutions" Engineering Structures, Vol. 24 pp.109-114

[7] Petrov (1998) "Dynamic Response and Life Predic tion of Steel Structures under Wind Loading "Journa l Of Wind Engineering And Industrial Aerodynamics vol.74-76 pp 1057-1065.

[8] Anil K. Chopra (2005) "Dynamic Analysis Of Structures-Theory And Applications To Earthquake Engineering" Prentice hall, New Delhi.

[9] Seshu.P(2003), "Textbook Of Finite Element Analysis", Prentice Hall ,New Delhi.

[10] Madhujith Mukhopadhyay and Abdual Hamid Sheikh (2004) "Matrix and Finite Element Analysis Of Structures" Anne Books, New Delhi.

[11] IS: 875- part3 (1987), "Indian Standard Code Of Practice For Design Loads For Building And Structures", Bureau Of Indian Standards, New Delhi

[12]Ananada Rampal Darla "Wind effects on lattice s teel tower" Indian Institute of Technology, Guwahati , Assam.