Analysis of Plant Layout in the Zinc Phosphating Plant

A. Senthil Kumar¹, S. Devanand²

¹(Principal/Professor Department of Mechanincal Engineering, Sethu Institute of Technology/Anna University Chennai, India)

²(Department of CAD/CAM Engineering, Sethu Institute of Technology/Anna University Chennai, India)

ABSTRACT: The objective of this research is to lead time reduction in the inventory control. Growth of business world these days created tighter market competition, because the existing industrial market become more global and have penetrated the inter-states boundary. Their goal is to satisfy the customer with the exact product, quality, quantity and price in the shortest amount of time. By analyzing according to the layout concept, types and calculating the manufacturing lead time in the company, design of the plant layout is modified.

Keywords - Inventory control, Lean Manufacturing, Manufacturing Lead Time, Stack.

I. INTRODUCTION

Hitech Arai is the leading Manufacturing company of producing oil seals. They are producing 40 barrel of oil seals per shift. The company was founded by Mr.R.Lakshmi Narayanan, with the capital of Rs.4.5 lakhs and with the manpower of 75 as a family owned concern.

This paper will show how the Manufacturing Lead Time can be reduced by changing the Process layout of the company. It analyse the processes involved in the manufacturing, plant layout and the manufacturing lead time for the process taken in the company.

Phosphating is a conversion coating treatment largely used in many industries as a surface preparation for coating by paints and to increase corrosion resistance. Phosphating is the metal pre-treatment process for the surface treatment and finishing of ferrous and non-ferrous metals. Due to its economy, speed of operation and ability to afford excellent corrosion resistance, wear resistance, adhesion and lubricate properties, it plays a significant role in the automobile, process and appliance industries. Phosphate coatings serves as a conversion coating in which a dilute solution of phosphoric acid and phosphate salts is applied via spraying or immersion and chemically reacts with the surface of the part being coated to form a layer of insoluble, crystalline phosphates.

1. Lead Time:

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Lead time can be defined as total time required to manufacture an item, including order preparation time, queue time, setup time, run time, move time, inspection time, and put away time. It is the time interval between the initiation and the completion of a production process. For make-to-order products, it is the time taken from release of an order to production and shipment. For make-to-stock products, it is the time taken from the release of an order to production and receipt into finished goods inventory. [1]

2. Lean Manufacturing:

Lean manufacturing is a manufacturing strategy that seeks to produce a high level of throughput with a minimum of input. Lean thinking changes the focus of management from optimizing separate technologies, assets, and vertical departments to optimizing the flow of products and services through entire value streams that flow horizontally across technologies, assets, and departments to customers.

The term lean manufacturing is created to represent less human effort in the company, less manufacturing space, less investment in tools, less inventory in progress, and less engineering hours to develop a new product in less time (cited from Tinoco, 2004).

III. DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS

The figure 1 is the zinc Phosphating layout followed by the Hitech Arai Company. At first the oil seals were loaded in the barrel. Here the oil seals are loaded manually only. The carriage is moved from one place to another with the help of the Material Handling System. A chain is used to move the Material Handling System. It is controlled by an operator.

International Conference on RECENT TRENDS IN ENGINEERING AND MANAGEMENT 1 / Page Indra Ganesan College of Engineering

www.iosrjournals.org

After the oil seals are loaded, the barrel is placed in Degreasing bath for 8 mins. When the dipping time finished, the barrel is removed from it and placed in the Rinsing I bath for 1 min. Next it is placed in the Rinsing II bath for 1 min. After Rinsing I and II it is placed in the Surface Conditioning bath for 4 min. When finished, it is placed in the Phosphating bath for 7 mins.

Now, the barrel is dipped in the Rinsing III bath for 1 min. After finishing the barrel is dipped in the Rinsing IV bath for 1 min. By doing all the above process, the oil seals are unloaded in the unloading station. Here the unloading is done manually. Also in the unloading stage the barrel is not removed from the material handling system in the station.

In the same plant, they are doing both the Acid Pickling process and Parkerizing process. For Parkerizing process, the above process will be done. While for the Acid Pickling Process, after loading the oil seals in the barrel it is directly dipped in the Rinsing II bath by neglecting the Degreasing and Rinsing I bath.

With the help of Manufacturing Lead Time (MLT) concept, the MLT is found from the timings taken from the company. It is shown in the table 1. The average MLT is found from the MLT calculation table. The average MLT is 37 mins and 30 secs. The Standard Timings also calculated manually. It is shown in the table 2.

A Fish Bone Diagram has been drawn by analysing the layout, comparing the MLT for standard timings and the company timings. It is shown in the figure 2. From the Fishbone diagram the causes and their effects were found.

1. Different causes occur in zinc phosphating:

1.1. Loading:

- Loading the work pieces to the barrel manually take long time.
- When increase in process time, the loaded work parts in the barrel are placed in the loading station even after loading.

1.2. Unloading:

- Unloading the work pieces manually from the barrel takes long time.
- Up to unload all the work parts from the barrel all the running process are stopped.
- When drier is full, unloading is stopped and placed in the unloading station and the barrel is also fixed in material handling system.

1.3. Material Handling:

- Only one material handling system is used cause so many effects.
- In unloading station, material handling system carries the barrel and stop in the station.
- Material handling is working manually not automated.

1.4. Process:

- All the cells are not in active and also not use for the correct process.
- All the cells are not working in proper time.
- Different types of process working in same working station.

1.5. Worker:

- Some workers even not having the phosphating knowledge.
- All the workers having different working skill.
- Workers having different problems from environment.
- Some workers having home problems.

2. Different effects occur in zinc phosphating:

2.1. Loading:

- Decrease in production rate and process stopped until the loading the work parts in the barrel manually.
- Reduce in production rate due to the loaded barrels are stopped in the loading station.

2.2. Unloading:

• Increase in time taken for all the process and reduce in production rate by stopping the barrel in the unloading station.

International Conference on RECENT TRENDS IN ENGINEERING AND MANAGEMENT 2 | Page Indra Ganesan College of Engineering

www.iosrjournals.org

- Dispatching time increased when unloading the work parts time increased.
- Dipping time increased due to the barrel stopped in the unloading station.
- Reduction in quality and production rate due to the time taken for the unloading process increased.

2.3. Material Handling:

- Reduction in quality, production rate and increase in process time due to single material handling system.
- Due to material handling system stopped in unloading station all the above effects also occurred here.
- Manual controlling Material Handling System leads to decrease in production rate, quality and increase in process time.

2.4. Process:

- Processing time increased due to the cells is not in active for the correct process.
- Reduction in quality due to more dipping in surface conditioning and Phosphating solution.
- Giving importance to acid pickling materials leads to more dipping time for Parkerizing in current process which leads to reduce in quality.

2.5. Worker:

- Having individual problems for some workers, time management is not proper which leads to reduce in quality, production rate and also increase or decrease in dipping time.
- Due to no knowledge in Phosphating for workers, dipping time increase in surface conditioning and Phosphating which leads to quality reduction.



Fig 1. Product Layout of the company



Fig 3. Modified Layout

www.iosrjournals.org

	NOTE								BA	ICH N	0									
P	NOTE					1							2							
R	BARR AL		1	2		3		4		1		2		3		4				
C ES S		mi n	se c	mi n	Se c	mi n	sec	mi n	se c	mi n	Se c	mi n	sec	mi n	Sec	Mi n	Se c			
LOADING		1	00	1	00	1	00	1	00	1	00	1	00	1	00	1	00			
TRANSFER TIME		0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15			
DECREASI NG I		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
DECREASI NG II		8	00	8	17	8	02	8	05	7	58	9	05	8	02	8	03			
TRANSFER TIME		0	15	0	15	0	18	0	14	0	21	0	15	0	13	0	18			
RINSING I		1	15	1	01	1	32	1	06	1	36	0	40	1	10	1	10			
TRANSFER TIME		0	05	0	14	0	20	0	12	0	20	0	20	0	10	0	14			
RINSING II		0	58	1	05	1	05	1	03	1	05	1	05	1	03	2	12			
TRANSFER TIME		0	12	0	14	0	15	0	15	0	11	0	12	0	14	0	12			
SURFACE CONDITION ING		5	10	4	06	7	58	6	30	8	55	8	03	8	18	8	49			
TRANSFER TIME		0	05	0	15	0	32	0	15	0	10	0	20	0	15	0	20			
PHOSPHATI NG I		7	07	-	-	10	40	-	-	10	05	-	-	12	05	-	-			
PHC)SPHATI NG II	-	-	14	45	-	-	12	45	-	-	11	37	-	-	8	50			
TRA	ANSFER ΓΙΜΕ	0	18	0	10	0	15	0	30	0	20	0	23	0	15	0	25			
RIN	SING III	1	10	1	15	1	05	1	07	1	05	1	16	1	15	0	50			
TRANSFER TIME		0	13	0	10	0	13	0	13	0	35	0	14	0	15	0	12			
RINSING IV		5	17	1	10	1	02	1	04	1	10	1	20	1	05	1	18			
TRA	ANSFER FIME	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15			
UN	LOADIN G	4	10	3	00	3	36	2	48	3	00	3	25	2	20	3	59			
MANUFAC TURING LEAD TIME		35	45	37	27	38	23	37	37	38	21	39	45	38	10	38	22			

Table 1. MLT calculation for company layout

International Conference on RECENT TRENDS IN ENGINEERING AND MANAGEMENT 5 / Page Indra Ganesan College of Engineering

www.iosrjournals.org

									BAT	CH NO).						
PROC ESS					1	1		1					2				
	BARR	1	~	2	2	3	3	4	1	1		2		3		4	4
	AL	Mi	S	Mi	Se	Mi	Se	Mi	Se	Mi	Se	Mi	Se	Mi	Se	Mi	Se
		n	ec 0	n	с	n	С	n	с	n	С	n	С	n	с	n 1	C 00
LOADING		1	0	1	00	1	00	1	00	1	00	1	00	1	00	1	00
TRANSFER TIME		0	1 5	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15
DECREASING I		8	0 0	8	00	8	00	8	00	8	00	8	00	8	00	8	00
TRANSFER TIME		0	1 5	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15
DECREASING		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRANSFER TIME		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RINSING I		1	0 0	1	00	1	00	1	00	1	00	1	00	1	00	1	0
TRAN TIN	TRANSFER TIME		1 5	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15
RINSI	RINSING II		0 0	1	00	1	00	1	00	1	00	1	00	1	00	1	00
TRANSFER TIME		0	1 5	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15
SURFACE CONDITIONIN G		4	0 0	4	00	4	00	4	00	4	00	4	00	4	00	4	00
TRANSFER TIME		0	1 5	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15
PHOSPH I	IATING	7	0 0	-	-	7	0	-	-	7	00	-	-	7	00	-	-
TRAN TIN	SFER ⁄IE	0	1 5	-	-	0	15	-	-	0	15	-	-	0	15	-	-
PHOSPH	IATING I	-	-	7	0	-	-	7	0	-	-	7	00	-	-	7	0
TRAN TIN	SFER ⁄IE	-	-	0	15	-	-	0	15	-	-	0	15	-	-	0	15
RINSI	NG III	1	0 0	1	00	1	00	1	00	1	00	1	00	1	00	1	00
TRAN TIN	TRANSFER TIME		1 5	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15
RINSING IV		1	0 0	1	00	1	00	1	00	1	00	1	00	1	00	1	00
TRANSFER TIME		0	1 5	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15
UNLOADING		1	0 0	1	00	1	00	1	00	1	00	1	00	1	00	1	00
TRAN	SFER /IE	0	1 5	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15
MANUFACTUR ING LEAD TIME		27	1 5	27	15	27	15	27	15	27	15	27	15	27	15	27	15

Table 2. MLT calculation according to company standard timings

International Conference on RECENT TRENDS IN ENGINEERING AND MANAGEMENT 6 | Page Indra Ganesan College of Engineering

www.iosrjournals.org

		BATCH NO.																
DD]						2							
OC BARR		1		2		3		4		1		2		3		4		
ESS	AL	Mi	Se	Mi	Se	Mi	Se	Mi	Se	Mi	Se	Mi	Se	Mi	Se	Mi	Sec	
		n	с	n	с	n	с	n	с	n	с	n	с	n	с	n	bee	
LOA	LOADING		00	1	00	1	00	1	00	2	00	2	00	2	15	2	15	
TRANSFER TIME		0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	
DECREASIN		8	00	-	-	8	15	-	-	8	15	-	-	8	15	-	-	
TRANSFER		0	15	-	-	0	15	-	-	0	15	-	-	0	15	-	-	
DECREASIN		_	_	9	15	-	-	8	15	-	-	8	00	_	-	8	00	
TRA	J II NSFER			0	15			0	15			0	15			0	15	
T. RIN	IME SING I	-	- 00	1	00	-	- 00	1	00	-	- 00	1	00	-	- 45	1	0	
TRA	NSFER	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	
	IME	1	00	1	00	1	00	1	00	1	1.5	1	00	1	00	1	00	
TDA	SING II	1	00	1	00	1	00	1	00	1	15	1	00	1	00	1	00	
TKA T	TRANSFER TIME		15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	
SUR CONI	SURFACE CONDITIONI		00	-	-	4	30	-	-	4	0	-	-	4	45	-	-	
TRANSFER		0	15	-	-	0	15	-	-	0	15	-	-	0	15	-	-	
SURFACE CONDITIONI		-	-	4	0	-	-	5	0	-	-	4	0	-	-	4	0	
TRA	NSFER IMF	-	-	0	15	-	-	0	15	-	-	0	15	-	-	0	15	
PHOS	SPHATI JG I	7	00	-	-	7	0	-	-	7	00	-	-	7	00	-	-	
TRA	NSFER	0	15	-	-	0	15	-	-	0	15	-	-	0	15	-	-	
PHOS	SPHATI	-	-	7	0	-	-	7	0	-	-	7	00	-	-	7	0	
TRA	NSFER	_	_	0	15	_	_	0	15	_	_	0	15	_	_	0	15	
T	IME			0	15	_		0	15			0	15			-	15	
RINS	SING III	1	00	1	00	1	00	1	00	1	00	1	00	1	15	1	00	
TRA	TRANSFER TIME		15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	
RINS	SING IV	1	15	2	00	1	00	1	00	1	00	1	00	1	00	1	00	
TRANSFER TIME		0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	
UNLOADING		1	00	1	15	1	15	1	00	1	00	1	00	1	00	1	00	
TRANSFER		0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	
MAN UF LEAI	UFACT RING D TIME	27	30	29	45	27	45	28	30	28	45	28	15	29	45	28	30	

Table 3. MLT calculation according to the Modified Layout

V. CONCLUSION

According to the modified layout and standard timings the MLT is calculated theoretically. It is shown in the table 3. The average MLT for the standard timing is 28 mins and 35 secs. The following are the advantages of the modified layout:

International Conference on RECENT TRENDS IN ENGINEERING AND MANAGEMENT 7 | Page Indra Ganesan College of Engineering

www.iosrjournals.org

- By adding the surface conditioning bath, the manufacturing lead time will be decreased.
- Using of both the degreasing bath will increase the production rate.
- Releasing the barrel in the unloading stage will decrease the Manufacturing Lead Time.
- Making the Material Handling System as an automated one will lead to increase in production rate.
- Giving proper training to the workers leads to increase in production rate.

REFERENCES

Journal Papers:

- [1] Muhammamd Abdus Samad, Ed. Value Stream Mapping to Reduce Manufacturing Lead Time in a Semi-Automated Factory.
- [2] Renu Yadav, Ed. Increasing Productivity by Reducing Manufacturing Lead Time through Value Stream Mapping.
- [3] Ripon Kumar Chakrabortty, Sanjoy Kumar Paul, Study and Implementation of Lean Manufacturing in a Garment Manufacturing Company: Bangladesh Prespective.

Books:

[4] Mikell P. Groover, Automation, Production Systems and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing 3rd edition July.