### **Properties of Right Strongly Prime Ternary Gamma Semirings**

M. Sajani Lavanya<sup>1</sup>, Dr. D. Madhusudhana Rao<sup>2</sup>, Dr. VB Subrahmanyeswara Rao Seetmraju<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Lecturer, Department of Mathematics, A.C. College, Guntur, A.P. India. <sup>2</sup>Selection Grade Lecturer, Department of Mathematics, V. S. R & N.V.R. College, Tenali, A.P. India. <sup>3</sup>Associate Professor, Dept. of Mathematics, V K R, V N B & A G K College of Engineering, Gudivada, A.P. India.

**Abstract:** In this paper we introduce the notion of right strongly prime gamma Semiring and study some properties of right strongly prime ternary gamma Semiring.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 16Y30.

**Keywords:** Ternary  $\Gamma$ -Semiring, Prime ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal, Strongly Prime Ternary  $\Gamma$ -Semiring, Strongly Prime Ternary  $\Gamma$ -Ideal.

### I. Introduction

The notion of ternary  $\Gamma$ -Semiring has been introduced by D. Madhusudhana Rao and M. Sajani Lavanya [5] in the year 2015. The notion of Strongly prime ring has been introduced by Handelman and Lawrence [3]. The notion of TernarySemiring was introduced by T. K. Dutta and S. Kar [1] in the year 2003 as a natural generalization of ternary ring which was introduced by W.G. Lister [4] in 1971. Some earlier works of Ternary  $\Gamma$ -Semiring may be found in [5, 6, 7, 8]. In 2007, T.K.Dutta and M.L. Das [2] introduced and studied right strongly prime Semiring.

### II. Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1[5]:**Let T and  $\Gamma$  be two additive commutative semigroups. T is said to be a *Ternary*  $\Gamma$ -*Semiring* if there exist a mapping from T ×  $\Gamma$  ×  $\Gamma$  × T to T which maps  $(x_1, \alpha, x_2, \beta, x_3) \rightarrow [x_1 \alpha x_2 \beta x_3]$  satisfying

If there exist a mapping from  $T \times I \times T \times I \times T$  to T which maps  $(x_1, \alpha, x_2, \beta, x_3) \rightarrow [x_1 \alpha x_2 \beta x_3]$  satisfying the conditions:

i)  $[[a\alpha b\beta c]\gamma d\delta e] = [a\alpha [b\beta c\gamma d]\delta e] = [a\alpha b\beta [c\gamma d\delta e]]$ 

ii) $[(a+b)\alpha c\beta d] = [a\alpha c\beta d] + [b\alpha c\beta d]$ 

iii)  $[a \alpha (b+c)\beta d] = [a\alpha b\beta d] + [a\alpha c\beta d]$ 

iv)  $[a\alpha b\beta(c+d)] = [a\alpha b\beta c] + [a\alpha b\beta d]$  for all  $a, b, c, d \in T$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$ .

Obviously, every ternary semiring T is a ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring. Let T be a ternary semiring and  $\Gamma$  be a commutative ternary semigroup. Define a mapping  $T \times \Gamma \times T \times \Gamma \times T \to T$  by  $aab\beta c = abc$  for all  $a, b, c \in T$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Then T is a ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring.

**Definition 2.2[5]:** An element 0 of a ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring T is said to be an *absorbing zero* of T provided 0 + x = x = x + 0 and  $0 \alpha a \beta b = a \alpha 0 \beta b = a \alpha b \beta 0 = 0 \forall a, b, x \in T$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

Note that a Ternary  $\Gamma$ -Semiring may not contain an identity but there are certain ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring which generate identities in the sense defined below:

**Definition 2.3[5]**: An element *a* of a ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring T is said to be an *identity* provided  $a\alpha\alpha\beta t = t\alpha\alpha\beta a = a\alpha t\beta a = t\forall t \in T, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

Note 2.4[5]: An identity element of a ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring T is also called as *unital element*.

**Definition 2.5[5]**: Let T be ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring. A non empty subset 'S' is said to be a *ternary sub* $\Gamma$ -semiring of T if S is an additive sub-semigroup of T and  $aab\beta c \in S$  for all  $a, b, c \in S$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

**Note 2.6[5]:** A non-empty subset S of a ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring T is a ternary sub $\Gamma$ -semiring if and only if  $S + S \subseteq S$  and  $S\Gamma S\Gamma S \subseteq S$ .

**Definition 2.7[5]**: A nonempty subset A of a ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring T is said to be *left ternary*  $\Gamma$ -*ideal* of T if (1) *a*,  $b \in A$  implies  $a + b \in A$ . (2)  $b, c \in T, a \in A, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  implies  $b \alpha c \beta a \in A$ .

**Note 2.8[5]**: A non-empty subset A of a ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring T is a left ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of T if and only if A is additive sub-semigroup of T and T $\Gamma$ T $\Gamma$ A  $\subseteq$  A.

**Definition II.9[5]**: A nonempty subset of a ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring T is said to be a *lateral ternary*  $\Gamma$ -*ideal* of T if (1) *a*, *b*  $\in$  A  $\Rightarrow$ *a* + *b*  $\in$  A. (2) *b*, *c*  $\in$  T, *a*  $\in$  A, *a*,  $\beta \in \Gamma \Rightarrow baa\beta c \in$  A.

**Note 2.10[5]**: A nonempty subset of A of a ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring T is a lateral ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of T if and only if A is additive sub-semigroup of T and  $\Gamma\Gamma A\Gamma T \subseteq A$ .

**Definition 2.11[5]**: A nonempty subset A of a ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring T is a *right ternary*  $\Gamma$ -*ideal* of T if (1)  $a, b \in A$  $\Rightarrow a + b \in A$ . (2)  $b, c \in T, a \in A, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma \Rightarrow a \alpha b \beta c \in A$ .

**Note 2.12[5]**: A nonempty subset A of a ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring T is a right ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of T if and only if A is additive sub-semigroup of T and A $\Gamma$ T $\Gamma$ T  $\subseteq$  A.

**Definition 2.13[5]**: A nonempty subset A of a ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring T is said to be *ternary*  $\Gamma$ -*ideal* of T if (1)  $a, b \in A \Rightarrow a + b \in A$ (2)  $b, c \in T, a \in A, a, \beta \in \Gamma \Rightarrow b a c \beta a \in A, b a a \beta c \in A, a a b \beta c \in A.$ 

**Note 2.14[5]**: A nonempty subset A of a ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring T is a ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of T if and only if it is left ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal, lateral ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal and right ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of T.

**Definition 2.15[6]:** Let T be a ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring and  $a \in T$ . Then (i) principal left ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal generated by *a* is given by

$$\langle a \rangle_{l} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i} \alpha_{i} t_{i} \beta_{i} a + na : r_{i}, t_{i} \in T, \alpha_{i}, \beta_{i} \in \Gamma \text{ and } n \in z_{0}^{+} \right\}.$$

(*ii*)principal lateral ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal generated by a is given by

$$< a >_{m} = \{\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i} \alpha_{i} a \beta_{i} t_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} u_{j} \gamma_{j} v_{j} \delta_{j} a \varepsilon_{j} p_{j} \chi_{j} q_{j} + na : r_{i}, t_{i}, u_{j} v_{j} p_{j} q_{j} \in T, \\ \alpha_{j}, \beta_{j}, \chi_{j}, \delta_{j}, \gamma_{j}, \varepsilon_{j} \in \Gamma \text{ and } n \in z_{0}^{+} \}.$$

(iii) principal right ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal generated by *a* is given by

$$\langle a \rangle_{\mathbf{r}} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} a\alpha_{i} r_{i} \beta_{i} t_{i} + na : r_{i}, t_{i} \in T, \alpha_{i}, \beta_{i} \in \Gamma \text{ and } n \in z_{0}^{+} \right\}$$

(iv) principal two sided ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal generated by a is given by

$$<\boldsymbol{a}>_{t} = \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}\alpha_{i}s_{i}\beta_{i}a + \sum_{j=1}^{n} a\alpha_{j}t_{j}\beta_{j}u_{j} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} l_{k}\alpha_{k}m_{k}\beta_{k}a\gamma_{k}p_{k}\delta_{k}q_{k} + na:$$

$$= \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i},s_{i},t_{j},u_{j},l_{k}m_{k},p_{k},q_{k}\in T,\alpha_{i},\beta_{i},\alpha_{j},\beta_{j},\alpha_{k},\beta_{k},\gamma_{k},\delta_{k}\in\Gamma \text{ and } n\in\mathbb{Z}_{0}^{+}\right\}$$

(v) principal ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal generated by a is given by

$$=\left\{\sum\_{i=1}^{n} p\_{i}\alpha\_{i}q\_{i}\beta\_{i}a + \sum\_{j=1}^{n} a\alpha\_{j}r\_{j}\beta\_{j}s\_{j} + \sum\_{k=1}^{n} t\_{k}\alpha\_{k}a\beta\_{k}u\_{k} + \sum\_{l=1}^{n} v\_{l}\alpha\_{l}w\_{l}\beta\_{l}a\gamma\_{l}x\_{l}\delta\_{l}y\_{l} + na\right\}$$

$$: p_i, q_i, r_j, s_j, t_k, u_k, v_l, w_l, x_l y_l \in T, \alpha_i, \beta_i, \alpha_j, \beta_j, \alpha_k, \beta_k, \alpha_l, \beta_l, \gamma_l, \delta_l \in \Gamma, n \in \mathbb{Z}_0^+ \}.$$

Where  $\Sigma$  denotes a finite sum and  $z_0^+$  is the set of all positive integer with zero.

**Definition 2.16:** A ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal I of a ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring T is called a *k*-ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal if  $a+b \in I$ ;  $a \in T, b \in I \Rightarrow a \in I$ .

**Definition 2.17:** A proper ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal P of a ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring T is said to be a *prime ternary*  $\Gamma$ -ideal of T if for any three ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal A, B, C of T, A $\Gamma$ B $\Gamma$ C  $\subseteq$ P implies A  $\subseteq$  P or B  $\subseteq$  P or C  $\subseteq$  P.

### **III.** Right Strongly Prime Ternary **Γ**-Semirings

**Definition 3.1:** A ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring T is said to be *right strongly prime ternary*  $\Gamma$ -semiring provided for every  $0 \neq x$  in T, there exist finite subsets S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub> of T such that  $x\Gamma S_1\Gamma S_2\Gamma S_3 a = \{0\} \Rightarrow a = 0$  for all  $a \in T$ .

**Example 3.2:** Let  $T = \{r\alpha i / r \in R, \alpha \in Q, i^2 = -1\}$  and  $\Gamma = Q$ , where R is the set of all real numbers and Q is the set of all rational numbers. Then together with usual binary addition and ternary multiplication, T forms a ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring. Let  $r\alpha i \neq 0 \in T$  and  $S = \{r\alpha i\}$  then  $r\Gamma i\Gamma S\Gamma S\Gamma a = 0$  implies that a = 0 for all  $a \in T$ . Hence T is a right strongly prime ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring.

## **Theorem 3.3:** A ternary $\Gamma$ -semiring T is right strongly prime ternary $\Box$ -semiring if and only if for every $0 \Box x$ in T, there exist S of T such that $x \Gamma S \Gamma S \Gamma S a = \{0\} \Rightarrow a = 0$ for all $a \in T$ .

**Proof:** Suppose T is a right strongly prime ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring. Let  $0 \neq x \in T$ . Then there exist finite subsets  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $S_3$  of T such that  $x \Gamma S_1 \Gamma S_2 \Gamma S_3 a = \{0\} \Longrightarrow a = 0$  for all  $a \in T$ . Let  $S = S_1 \cap S_2 \cap S_3$ . Then  $S \subseteq S_1$ ,  $S \subseteq S_2$ ,  $S \subseteq S_3$  and S is finite. Suppose that  $x \Gamma S \Gamma S \Gamma S a = \{0\}$  for all  $a \in T$ . Then  $x \Gamma S \Gamma S \Gamma S a \subseteq x \Gamma S_1 \Gamma S_2 \Gamma S_3 a = \{0\}$  for all  $a \in T$ . Therefore a = 0 for all  $a \in T$ .

Converse part is obvious.

**Definition 3.4:** A ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring T is said to be a *prime ternary*  $\Gamma$ -semiring provided the zero ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal  $\{0\}$  is a prime ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of T.

#### Theorem 3.5: Every right strongly prime ternary □-semiring is a prime ternary □-semiring.

**Proof:** Suppose that T is a right strongly prime ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring. Let X, Y, Z be three ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideals of T such that  $X\Gamma Y\Gamma Z = \{0\}$ . Suppose that  $X \neq \{0\}$  and  $Y \neq \{0\}$ . Since  $X \neq \{0\}$ , there exists  $x(\neq 0) \in X$ . Since T is a right strongly prime ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring, by theorem 3.3, there exists a finite subset S of T such that  $x\Gamma S\Gamma S\Gamma S\Gamma y = \{0\} \Rightarrow y = 0$  for all  $y \in T$ .

Now  $x \Gamma S \Gamma S \Gamma S \Gamma (Y \Gamma T \Gamma Z) = (x \Gamma S \Gamma S) \Gamma (S \Gamma Y \Gamma T) \Gamma Z \subseteq (X \Gamma T \Gamma T) \Gamma (T \Gamma Y \Gamma T) \Gamma Z \subseteq X \Gamma Y \Gamma Z = \{0\}.$ 

This implies that  $Y \Gamma T \Gamma Z = \{0\}$ . Again, since  $Y \neq \{0\}$ , there exists  $p(\neq 0) \in Y$  and for this  $p(\neq 0)$ , there exists a finite subset U of T such that  $p \Gamma U \Gamma U \Gamma U \Gamma z \subseteq Y \Gamma T \Gamma T \Gamma T \Gamma Z \subseteq Y \Gamma T \Gamma Z = \{0\}$  for  $z \in Z$ . This implies that z = 0. Since z is an arbitrary element of Z, we find that  $Z = \{0\}$ . This shows that  $\{0\}$  is a prime ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of T and hence T is a prime ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring.

#### Theorem 3.6: Let T be a ternary $\Box$ -semiring with identity element e'. Then the following are equivalent: i) T is right strongly prime ternary $\Box$ -semiring.

ii) if A is a non-zero ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of T, there exist finite subsets H of A and G of T such that  $H\Gamma G\Gamma y = \{0\} \Rightarrow y = 0 \forall y \in T$ .

### iii) If $x(\neq 0) \in T$ , there exist $t \in T$ and finite subsets H, G of T such that

 $x\Gamma t\Gamma H\Gamma G\Gamma y = \{0\} \Longrightarrow y = 0 \quad \forall \ y \in T$ 

**Proof:** (i)  $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Suppose that T is a right strongly prime ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring and A be a non-zero ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of T. Since A is a non-zero ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of T, there exists  $x(\neq 0) \in A$ . Again since T is right strongly prime, there exists a finite subset G of T such that  $x\Gamma G\Gamma G\Gamma G\Gamma y = 0 \Rightarrow y = 0 \forall y \in T$ . Let  $H = x\Gamma G\Gamma G$ . Then  $H = x\Gamma G\Gamma G \subseteq A\Gamma G\Gamma G \subseteq A$  i.e. H is a finite subset of A. Then there exist finite subsets H of A and G of T such that  $H\Gamma G\Gamma y = \{0\}$  implies that y = 0 for all  $y \in T$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$ (iii): Suppose that A is a non-zero ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of T, there exist finite subsets H of A and G of T such that  $H\Gamma G\Gamma y = \{0\} \Rightarrow y = 0 \forall y \in T$ . Let  $a(\neq 0) \in T$ . Then  $\langle a \rangle$  is a non-zero ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of T. Now by condition (ii), there exists finite subsets H of  $\langle a \rangle$  and G of T such that  $H\Gamma G\Gamma y = \{0\}$  implies that y = 0 for all  $y \in T$ . If possible, let  $a\Gamma T\Gamma T = \{0\}$ . Then  $\langle a \rangle \Gamma \Gamma T T = \{0\}$ . Since  $H\Gamma G\Gamma a \subseteq \langle a \rangle \Gamma \Gamma T T$ , we have  $H\Gamma G\Gamma a = \{0\}$ . This implies that a = 0, a contradiction. Therefore,  $a\Gamma \Gamma \Gamma T \Box \{0\}$ . Thus there exist  $r, x \in T$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  such that  $a\alpha r\beta x \neq 0$ . Then  $A = \langle a\alpha r\beta x \rangle$  is a non-zero ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of T. By condition (ii), there exists a finite subset I of A and a finite subset J of T such that  $I\Gamma J\Gamma y = \{0\}$  implies that y = 0 for all  $y \in T$ . Since I is a finite subset of A, we find that

$$I = \{n\Gamma a\Gamma r\Gamma x + \sum_{i=}^{m} a\Gamma r\Gamma x\Gamma s_{i}t_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{l} p_{j}\Gamma q_{j}\Gamma a\Gamma r\Gamma x + \sum_{k=1}^{s} u_{k}\Gamma a\Gamma r\Gamma x\Gamma v_{k} + \sum_{w=1}^{l} c_{p}\Gamma d_{p}\Gamma a\Gamma r\Gamma x\Gamma e_{p}f_{p}\}; \text{ where } n, m, l, s, t \in Z_{0}^{+}; s_{i}, t_{i}, p_{j}, q_{j}, u_{k}, v_{k}, c_{p}, d_{p}, e_{p}, f_{p} \in T.$$
$$= \{n\Gamma a\Gamma r\Gamma x + \sum_{i=}^{m} a\Gamma r\Gamma x\Gamma s_{i}t_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{l} p_{j}\Gamma q_{j}\Gamma a\Gamma r\Gamma x + \sum_{k=1}^{s} e\Gamma (u_{k}\Gamma a\Gamma r\Gamma x\Gamma v_{k})\Gamma e + \sum_{w=1}^{l} c_{p}\Gamma d_{p}\Gamma a\Gamma r\Gamma x\Gamma e_{p}f_{p}\}$$
Let  $H = \{x, x\alpha s_{i}\beta t_{i}, x\chi v_{k}\delta e, x\gamma u_{p}\sigma v_{p} : i = 1, 2, 3, ..., m; k = 1, 2, 3, ..., s; p = 1, 2, 3, ..., t; m, s, t \in Z_{0}^{+}\}$ and let  $a\Gamma r\Gamma H\Gamma J\Gamma y = \{0\}$ . By condition (ii), we have  $y = 0$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$ (i): Suppose that If  $x(\neq 0) \in T$ , there exist  $t \in T$  and finite subsets H, G of T such that  $x\Gamma t\Gamma H\Gamma G\Gamma y = \{0\} \Rightarrow y = 0 \forall y \in T$ . Let  $a(\neq 0) \in T$ . Now taking  $G_1 = \{t\}, G_2 = H$  and  $G_3 = G$  we find that there exists finite subset  $G_1, G_2, G_3$  of T such that  $a\Gamma G_1\Gamma G_2\Gamma G_3\Gamma y = \{0\} \Rightarrow y = 0$ . Hence T is right strongly prime ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring.

**Example 3.7:** Let T and  $\Gamma$  be the set of all  $2 \times 2$  matrices over Q, the set of rational numbers Define A + B = usual addition and  $A\alpha B\beta C$  = usual matrix product of A,  $\alpha$ , B,  $\beta$ , C; for all A, B, C  $\in$  T and for all  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta \in \Gamma$ . Then T is a ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring. Let I be a non-zero ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of T. Then I have a non-zero element, say  $(a_{ij})_{2\times 2}$ . Then  $(a_{ij})_{2\times 2}$  has at least one non-zero element, say  $a_{rs}$ . Since I is a ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of T,  $E_{11}\alpha_{11}E_{1r}\alpha_{1r}(a_{ij})_{2\times 2}(\beta_{ij})_{2\times 2}E_{s1}\alpha_{s1}E_{11} \in I$ , where  $E_{rs}, \alpha_{rs}$  are the 2×2 matrices whose  $(r, s)^{th}$  element is 1 and all others elements are zero. This shows that I has an element, say  $f_1$  whose  $(1, 1)^{th}$  element is non-zero and all others

elements are zero. Let  $f_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} / a \in Q \right\}, f_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} / b \in Q \right\}$ . Let  $\mathbf{F} = \{f_1, f_2\}$  and  $\mathbf{G} = \{g_1, g_2\}$  where

 $g_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} / c \in Q \right\}, \quad g_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} / d \in Q \right\}.$  Suppose that  $F\Gamma G\Gamma z = 0$ , where  $z = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in T$ . Then

 $f_1\Gamma g_1\Gamma z = f_2\Gamma g_2\Gamma z = f_2\Gamma g_1\Gamma z = 0$ . This implies that  $a\alpha c\beta a_{11} = a\alpha c\beta a_{12} = b\gamma d\delta a_{21} = b\gamma d\delta a_{22} = 0$ . Since  $a, b, c, d \in Q$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$ , we must have  $a_{11} = a_{12} = a_{21} = a_{22} = 0$ . Consequently, z = 0 and hence T is a right strongly prime ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring.

**Definition 3.8:** Let X be a non-empty subset of a ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring T. Then the right  $\Gamma$ -annihilator of X with respect to  $Y(\subseteq T)$  is T, denoted by  $r_a(X, Y)$  and is denoted by  $r_a(X, Y) = \{t \in T / X \Gamma Y \Gamma t = \{0\}\}$ .

### Theorem 3.9: The right annihilator of a subset X with respect to a subset Y of a ternary $\Box$ -semiringT is a right ternary $\Box$ -ideal of T.

**Proof:** We note that  $0 \in r_a(X,Y)$ , Since  $X\Gamma Y\Gamma 0 = \{0\}$ . So  $r_a(X,Y)$  is non-empty. Let  $s, t \in r_a(X,Y)$ . Then  $X\Gamma Y\Gamma s = X\Gamma Y\Gamma t = \{0\}$ . Now  $X\Gamma Y\Gamma (s+t) = X\Gamma Y\Gamma s + X\Gamma Y\Gamma t = \{0\} + \{0\} = \{0\}$  implies that  $s+t \in r_a(X,Y)$ . Again,  $X\Gamma Y\Gamma (s\Gamma x\Gamma y) = (X\Gamma Y\Gamma s)\Gamma x\Gamma y = 0\Gamma x\Gamma y = 0$  for all  $x, y \in T$  implies that  $s\Gamma x\Gamma y \subseteq r_a(X,Y)$ . Hence  $r_a(X,Y)$  is a right ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of T.

### Theorem 3.10: The right annihilator of a subset X with respect to a right ternary $\Gamma$ -ideal B of a ternary $\Gamma$ -semiring T with identity element *e* is a ternary $\Gamma$ -ideal of T.

**Proof:** from theorem 3.9, it follows that  $r_a(X,Y)$  is a right ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of T. Therefore, it is enough to show that  $r_a(X,Y)$  is a left ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal as well as right ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of T. Let  $s \in r_a(X,Y)$ . Then  $X\Gamma Y\Gamma s = \{0\}$ . Now since Y is a right ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of T, we find that

 $X\Gamma Y\Gamma(x\Gamma y\Gamma s) = X\Gamma(Y\Gamma x\Gamma y)\Gamma s \subseteq X\Gamma(Y\Gamma T\Gamma T)\Gamma s \subseteq X\Gamma Y\Gamma s = \{0\}$  for all  $x, y \in T$  implies that

 $x \Gamma y \Gamma s \subseteq r_a(X,Y)$ . This implies that  $r_a(X,Y)$  is a left ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of T.

Again, since Y is a right ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of T, we find that

 $X\Gamma Y\Gamma(x\Gamma s\Gamma y) = X\Gamma Y\Gamma(e\Gamma x\Gamma e)\Gamma(e\Gamma s\Gamma e)\Gamma y = X\Gamma(Y\Gamma e\Gamma x)\Gamma(e\Gamma e\Gamma s\Gamma e\Gamma y) \subseteq X\Gamma(Y\Gamma T\Gamma T)\Gamma(e\Gamma e\Gamma s\Gamma y) \subseteq X\Gamma(Y\Gamma T)\Gamma Y)$ 

 $X\Gamma Y(e\Gamma e\Gamma s\Gamma y) = X\Gamma(Y\Gamma e\Gamma e)\Gamma(s\Gamma e\Gamma y) \subseteq X\Gamma(Y\Gamma T\Gamma T)\Gamma(s\Gamma e\Gamma y) \subseteq X\Gamma Y\Gamma(s\Gamma e\Gamma y) =$ 

 $(X\Gamma Y\Gamma s)\Gamma e\Gamma y = \{0\}\Gamma e\Gamma y = \{0\}$  for all  $x, y \in T$  implies that  $x\Gamma s\Gamma y \subseteq r_a(X,Y)$ . This implies that  $r_a(X,Y)$  is a lateral ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of T. Therefore,  $r_a(X,Y)$  is a ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of T.

**Definition 3.11:** Let A be a proper ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of a ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring T. Then the congruence of T, denoted by  $\rho_A$  and defined by  $s\rho_A s'$  if and only if  $s + a_1 = s' + a_2$  for some  $a_1, a_2 \in A$ , is called the *Bourne congruence* on T defined by the ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal A.

We denote the Bourne congruence ( $\rho_A$ ) class of an element *r* of T by  $r/\rho_A$  or simply by r/A and denote the set of all such congruence classes of T by  $T/\rho_A$  or simply by T/A.

**Definition 3.12:** For any proper ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal A of a ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring T if the Bourne congruence  $\rho_A$ , defined by A, is proper i.e.  $0/A \neq T/A$ , then we define the addition and ternary multiplication of T/A by a/A + b/A = (a + b)/A and  $(a/A)\Gamma(b/A)\Gamma(c/A) = (a\Gamma b\Gamma c)/A$  for all  $a, b, c \in T$ .

With reference these two operations T/A forms a ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring and is called the Bourne factor ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring or simply the factor ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring.

**Definition 3.13:** A ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal A of a ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring T is called a *right strongly prime ternary*  $\Gamma$ -*ideal* if the factor ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring T/A is right strongly prime.

**Definition 3.14:** A ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal A of a ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring T is said to be *k*-ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal or subtractive provided for any two elements  $a \in A$  and  $x \in T$  such that  $a + x \in A \Rightarrow x \in A$ .

**Theorem 3.15:** Let Q be a k-ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of a ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring T. Then Q is a right strongly prime ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of T if and only if for every ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal I of T not contained in Q, there exist finite subsets H of I and G of T such that  $H\Gamma G\Gamma y \subseteq Q$  implies that  $y \in Q$  for all  $y \in T$ .

**Proof:** Let Q be a right strongly prime ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of T. Then the factor ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring T/Q is right strongly prime. Let I be a ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of T not contained in Q. Then (I+Q)/Q is a non-zero ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of the right strongly prime factor ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring T/Q.

Thus there exist finite subsets  $J = \{(i_1 + q_1)/Q, (i_2 + q_2)/Q, \dots, (i_n + q_n)/Q\}$  of (I + Q)/Q and G/Q of T/Q such that  $J\Gamma(G/Q)\Gamma(y/Q)=0/Q$  implies that y/Q = 0/Q for all  $y/Q \in T/Q$ . Let  $H = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n\}$ . Then H is a finite subset of I. Let  $i \in H$ . Then i/Q = ((i+q)/Q), Since  $iq_Q(i+q)$  as i+q = (i+q)+0, where  $q \in Q$ . Let  $H\Gamma G\Gamma y \subset Q$ .

Then  $(H/Q)\Gamma(G/Q)\Gamma(y/Q) = 0/Q$  i.e.  $\Gamma(G/Q)\Gamma(y/Q) = 0/Q \Rightarrow y/Q = 0/Q \quad \forall y/Q \in T/Q$ . Since Q is a k-ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of T,  $y \in Q$  for all  $y \in T$ .

Conversely, let I/Q be a non-zero ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of T/Q. Then I is a ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of T not contained in Q. Then by the statement there exist finite subsets H and G of I and T respectively such that  $H\Gamma G\Gamma y \subseteq Q$  implies that  $y \in Q$  for all  $y \in T$ . Since H is a finite subset of I, H/Q is a finite subset of I/Q. Let  $(H/Q)\Gamma(G/Q)\Gamma(y/Q) = 0/Q$ . Then  $H\Gamma G\Gamma y \subseteq Q$  and hence  $y \in Q$  i.e. y/Q = 0/Q. Thus T/Q is right strongly prime ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of T.

**Corollary 3.16:** A *k*-ternary  $\Box$ -ideal A of a ternary  $\Box$ -semiring T is a right strongly prime ternary  $\Box$ -idealif for  $a \notin A$ , there exist finite subsets H of  $\langle a \rangle$  and G of T such that  $H \Gamma G \Gamma b \subseteq A$  implies the  $b \in A$ . *Proof:* Since  $a \notin A$ ,  $\langle a \rangle$  is not properly contained in A. Then by above theorem 3.15, there exist finite subsets H and G of  $\langle a \rangle$  and T respectively such that  $H \Gamma G \Gamma b \subset A$  implies that  $b \in A$ .

**Definition 3.17:** A nonempty subset A of a ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring T is said to be an *m*-system provided for any *a*, *b*,  $c \in A$  implies that  $T'\Gamma T'\Gamma a\Gamma T \Gamma T'\Gamma b\Gamma T'\Gamma T'\Gamma c\Gamma T'\Gamma T' \cap A \neq \emptyset$ .

We now prove a necessary and sufficient condition for a ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal to be a prime ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal in a ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring.

## Theorem 3.18: A ternary $\Box$ -ideal A of a ternary $\Box$ -semiring T is a prime ternary $\Box$ -ideal of T if and only if T\A is an *m*-system of T or empty.

**Proof**: Suppose that A is a prime ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of a ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring T and T\A  $\neq \emptyset$ . Let  $a, b, c \in T$ \A. Then  $a \notin A, b \notin A$  and  $c \notin A$ . Suppose if possible  $T'\Gamma T'\Gamma a\Gamma T \Gamma T'\Gamma b\Gamma T'\Gamma T'\Gamma c\Gamma T'\Gamma T' \cap T A = \emptyset$  $\Rightarrow T'\Gamma T'\Gamma a\Gamma T \Gamma T'\Gamma b\Gamma T'\Gamma T'\Gamma c\Gamma T'\Gamma T' \subseteq A$ . Since A is prime, either  $a \in A$  or  $b \in A$  or  $c \in A$ . It is a contradiction. Therefore,  $T'\Gamma T'\Gamma a\Gamma T \Gamma T'\Gamma b\Gamma T'\Gamma T'\Gamma c\Gamma T'\Gamma T' \cap T A \neq \emptyset$ . Hence T\A is an *m*-system.

Conversely suppose that  $T \setminus A$  is either an *m*-system of T or  $T \setminus A = \emptyset$ .

If  $T \setminus A = \emptyset$ , then T = A and hence A is a prime ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of T.

Assume that T\A is an *m*-system of T. Let *a*, *b*,  $c \in T$  and  $\langle a \rangle \Gamma \langle b \rangle \Gamma \langle c \rangle \subseteq A$ .

Suppose if possible  $a \notin A$ ,  $b \notin A$  and  $c \notin A$ . Then  $a, b, c \in T \setminus A$ . Sine  $T \setminus A$  is an *m*-system,

 $\Rightarrow T'\Gamma T'\Gamma a\Gamma T \ \Gamma T'\Gamma b\Gamma T'\Gamma T'\Gamma c\Gamma T'\Gamma T' \cap \ \mathsf{T} \backslash A \ \neq \varnothing \Longrightarrow T'\Gamma T'\Gamma a\Gamma T \ \Gamma T'\Gamma b\Gamma T'\Gamma T'\Gamma c\Gamma T'\Gamma T' \not\subseteq \mathsf{A}$ 

 $\Rightarrow <a > \Gamma < b > \Gamma < c > \not\subseteq A$ . It is a contradiction.

Therefore,  $a \in A$  or  $b \in A$  or  $c \in A$ . Hence A is a ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of T.

A similar type of result we obtain for right strongly prime ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring. For this we introduce the following notion.

**Definition 3.19:** A non-empty subset G of a ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring T is called an *sp-system* if for any  $g \in G$  there is a finite subset  $F_1 \subseteq \langle g \rangle$  and a finite subset  $F_2$  of T such that  $F_1 \Gamma F_2 \Gamma z \cap G \neq \emptyset$  for all  $z \in G$ .

## **Theorem 3.20:** A proper ternary $\Gamma$ -ideal I of a ternary $\Box$ -semiring T is a right strongly prime if and only if T\I is an sp-system.

**Proof:** Suppose that I is a right strongly prime ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of a ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring T.Let  $g \in T \setminus I$ . Then  $g \notin I$ . Therefore, there exist finite subsets H of  $\langle g \rangle$  and G of T such that  $H\Gamma G\Gamma b \subseteq I$  implies that  $b \in I$ , by using corollary 3.16, this implies that  $H\Gamma G\Gamma z \cap (T/I) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $z \in (T \setminus I)$ . Hence  $T \setminus I$  is an sp-system.

Conversely, suppose that  $T \setminus I$  is an sp-system. Let  $a \notin I$ . Then  $a \in T \setminus I$ . Therefore, there exist a finite subset H of  $\langle a \rangle$  and G of T such that  $H\Gamma G\Gamma z \cap (T \setminus I) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $z \in T \setminus I$ . Let  $H\Gamma G\Gamma b \subseteq I$ . Then  $H\Gamma G\Gamma b \cap (T \setminus I) = \emptyset$ . If possible, let  $b \notin I$ . Then  $b \in T \setminus I$  which implies that  $H\Gamma G\Gamma b \cap (T \setminus I) \neq \emptyset$ , a contradiction. Hence  $b \in I$  and therefore I is a right strongly prime ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of T.

**Definition 3.21:** A pair of subsets (G, H), where H is a ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of a ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring T and G is a nonempty subset of T is said to be a *supper sp-system* of T provided  $G \cap H$  contain no non-zero elements of T and for any  $g \in G$ , there exist a finite subset F of  $\langle g \rangle$  and a finite subset I of T such that  $F\Gamma I\Gamma z \cap G \neq \emptyset$  for all  $z \notin H$ .

### Theorem 3.22: A ternary $\Box$ -ideal I of a ternary $\Box$ -semiring T is right strongly prime if and only if (T\I, I) is a support sp-system of T.

**Proof:** Let I be a right strongly prime ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of a ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring T. So T\I is an sp-system by theorem 3.20. Thus for any  $g \in T \setminus I$ , there exists a finite subset F of  $\langle g \rangle$  and a finite subset F' of T such that  $F\Gamma F'\Gamma z \cap (T \setminus I) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $z \in I$ . Also  $T \setminus I \cap I$  contains no non-zero elements T. Thus the pair (T\I, I) is a upper sp-system of T. Converse follows from the definition.

# Theorem 3.23: For any ternary $\Box$ -semiring T, $SP(T) = \{x \in T : \text{whenever } (G, H) \text{ is a super sp-system for some ternary } \Box$ -ideal H of T and $x \in G$ , then $0 \in G\}$ .

**Proof:** Let  $x \in SP(T)$ , if possible, let (G, H) be a super sp-system with  $x \in G$  and  $0 \notin G$ . Then  $G \cap H = \emptyset$ . By Zorn's lemma, choose a ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal Q with  $H \subseteq Q$  and Q is a maximal with respect to  $G \cap Q = \emptyset$ . We now prove that Q is a right strongly prime ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of T. Let  $a \notin Q$ . Then there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $\langle g \rangle \subseteq Q + \langle a \rangle$ . Since (G, H) is a supper sp-system, there exists a finite subset  $F = \{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_3\} \subseteq \langle g \rangle$  and a finite subset F' of T such that  $F'\Gamma z \cap G \neq \emptyset$  for all  $z \notin H$ .(1)

Since  $F \subseteq \langle g \rangle \subseteq Q + \langle a \rangle$  each  $f_i$  is of the form  $f_i = q_i + a$  for some  $q_i \in Q$  and  $a_i \in \langle a \rangle$ . Let  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}$  then  $F \subseteq \langle a \rangle$ . Let  $z \in T$  be such that  $F' \Gamma A \Gamma z \subseteq Q$ . Now if  $z \notin Q$ , then  $z \notin H$  so from (1) we have  $F \Gamma F' \Gamma z \cap G \neq \emptyset$ ; but

 $f_i \Gamma F' \Gamma z = (q_i + a_i) \Gamma F' \Gamma z = q_i \Gamma F' \Gamma z + a_i \Gamma F' \Gamma z \subseteq Q + A \Gamma F' z \subseteq Q + Q = Q$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ . So  $F \Gamma F' \Gamma z \subseteq Q$ . Hence  $G \cap Q = \emptyset$ , a contradiction. Hence  $z \in Q$ . So Q is a right strongly prime ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal of T. Now as SP(T)  $\subseteq Q$ , so  $x \in Q$ . But by assumption  $x \in G$ , a contradiction. Hence  $0 \in G$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $K = \{x \in T : whenever (G, H) \text{ is a super sp-system for some ternary } \Gamma \text{-ideal } H$  of T and  $x \in G$ , then  $0 \in G\}$ . Let  $x \in K$ . If possible let  $x \notin SP(T)$ . Then there exist a right strongly prime ternary  $\Gamma$ -ideal I of T such that  $x \notin I$ . Then  $(T \setminus I, I)$  is a supper sp-system, where  $x \in T \setminus I$  but  $0 \notin T \setminus I$ , a contradiction. Hence the converse part is proved.

### IV. Conclusion

In this paper mainly we studied about right strongly prime ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring.

#### Acknowledgements

This research is supported by the Department of Mathematics, VSR & NVR College, Tenali, Guntur (Dt), Andhra Pradesh, India.

The first author expresses their warmest thanks to the University Grants Commission(UGC), India, for doing this research under Faculty Development Programme.

The authors would like to thank the experts who have contributed towards preparation and development of the paper and the authors also wish to express their sincere thanks to the referees for the valuable suggestions which lead to an improvement of this paper.

#### References

- Dutta. T. K. and Kar. S., On Regular Ternary Semiring, Advances in Algebra, Proceedings of the ICM Satellite Conference in Algebra and Related Topics, World Scientific (2003), 343-355.
- [2]. Dutta. T. K and Das. M. L., On Strongly Prime Semiring, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2) 30 (2) (2007), 135-141.
- [3]. Handelman. D. and Lawrence. J., Strongly Prime Rings, Trans. Amer. Mat. Soc. 211 (1975), 209-223.
- [4]. Lister, W. G., Ternary Rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 154 (1971), 37-55.
- [5]. Sajani Lavanya. M, Madhusudhana Rao. D.and Syam Julius Rajendra. V., On Lateral Ternary Γ-Ideals of Ternary Γ-Semirings, American International Journal of Research in Science, Technology, Engineering & Mathematics, 12(1), September-November, 2015, 11-14.
- [6]. Sajani Lavanya. M, Madhusudhana Rao. D.and Syam Julius Rajendra. V., On Quasi-Ternary Γ-Ideals and Bi-Ternary Γ-Ideals In Ternary Γ-Semirings, International Journal of Mathematics and Statistics Invention (IJMSI), Volume 3 Issue 6, (September.2015), PP-05-14.
- [7]. Sajani Lavanya. M, Madhusudhana Rao. D.and Syam Julius Rajendra. V., Prime Bi-Ternary -Ideals in Ternary Semirings, British Journal of Research, (2), (6), (2015) 156-166.
- [8]. Sajani Lavanya. M, Madhusudhana Rao. D.and Syam Julius Rajendra. V., A Study On The Jacobson Radical Of A Ternary -Semiring, International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Applications Research (IJMCAR), Vol. 6, Issue 1, (Feb 2016), 17-30.