

Harnessing Student Leadership For Peace And Social Inclusion: An Empirical Study Among Secondary School Students In Karnataka

Sr. Shalini D'Souza And Dr. Bhuvana Ramachandran

Research Scholar, School Of Social Sciences And Humanities, Srinivas University, Mangalore, Karnataka, India.

Research Supervisor, School Of Social Sciences And Humanities, Srinivas University, Mangalore, Karnataka, India.

Date of Submission: 04-05-2026

Date of Acceptance: 14-05-2026

I. Introduction

In contemporary societies marked by polarization, inequality, and social fragmentation, educational institutions carry a profound responsibility that extends beyond academic instruction. Schools are increasingly called upon to cultivate socially responsible citizens who are capable of empathy, dialogue, and inclusive engagement. Within this context, student leadership emerges as a transformative educational practice that nurtures peace-oriented attitudes and social cohesion among young learners.

Student leadership is not confined to formal positions such as class representatives or school prefects; rather, it encompasses peer influence, collaborative problem-solving, advocacy, and participation in community life. When students are entrusted with leadership responsibilities, they develop confidence, civic awareness, and moral agency. Peace education complements this process by fostering values such as cooperation, respect for diversity, and non-violent conflict resolution. Social inclusion further ensures that every learner, regardless of gender, socio-economic background, caste, or ability, experiences belonging and participation within the school environment.

In the Indian educational context, classrooms are increasingly diverse, bringing together students from multiple cultural and social backgrounds. While such diversity enriches learning, it can also give rise to misunderstanding and exclusion if not intentionally guided. Empowering students as leaders provides a practical pathway for cultivating harmonious relationships and inclusive school cultures.

This empirical study examines the role of student leadership in fostering peace attitudes and social inclusion among secondary school students in Karnataka. By adopting a quantitative survey approach, the research seeks to provide evidence-based insights into how leadership engagement contributes to empathy, cooperation, and inclusive behaviours within school communities.

Objectives

1. To examine the level of student leadership engagement among secondary school students.
2. To assess students' peace attitudes, including empathy, cooperation, and conflict resolution.
3. To evaluate students' perceptions of social inclusion within their school environment.
4. To explore the relationship between student leadership participation and peace-oriented inclusive behaviours.
5. To identify the educational implications of student leadership for fostering peaceful and inclusive school cultures.

Research Questions

1. What is the level of student leadership participation among secondary school students?
2. How do students perceive peace attitudes such as empathy, respect, and cooperation in their school?
3. What is the extent of social inclusion experienced by students?
4. Is there a relationship between student leadership involvement and peace attitudes?
5. How does student leadership contribute to social inclusion within the school community?

II. Research Methodology

Research Design

The study adopts a quantitative descriptive survey design to examine student leadership, peace attitudes, and social inclusion among secondary school students.

Sample

The sample consists of 50 students selected through simple random sampling from a private secondary school in Hassan District, Karnataka. The participants include boys and girls from Grades 8 to 10. For ethical reasons, the identity of the institution is anonymized.

Instrument

Data were collected using a structured questionnaire developed by the researcher. The instrument comprised 30 items divided into three sections:

- Student Leadership (10 items)
- Peace Attitudes (10 items)
- Social Inclusion (10 items)

Responses were recorded on a five-point Likert scale ranging from Strongly Disagree (1) to Strongly Agree (5).

Procedure:

Permission was obtained from school authorities prior to data collection. Students were informed about the purpose of the study and assured of confidentiality. The questionnaire was administered during regular school hours and completed within approximately 25 minutes.

Data Analysis

Descriptive statistics, including frequencies, percentages, and mean scores, were used to analyze the data. Results were organized into tables to facilitate interpretation.

III. Literature Review

Student leadership has increasingly been recognized as a vital component of holistic education, contributing not only to academic achievement but also to the moral and social development of learners. Fielding (2011) emphasizes that student voice and leadership promote democratic participation and empower young people to engage responsibly within their communities. Similarly, Murphy (2018) argues that leadership opportunities in schools enhance students' confidence, communication skills, and sense of civic responsibility. These perspectives highlight leadership as a formative educational process rather than merely a positional role.

Peace education literature underscores the importance of nurturing values such as empathy, cooperation, and non-violent conflict resolution from an early age. Galtung (1996) conceptualizes peace as both the absence of violence and the presence of social justice, asserting that educational institutions play a crucial role in cultivating cultures of peace. Johnson and Johnson (2005) further observe that cooperative learning environments foster peaceful attitudes by encouraging mutual respect and collaborative problem-solving among students. UNESCO (2017) reinforces this view, advocating for education systems that develop global citizens committed to dialogue, tolerance, and sustainable peace.

Social inclusion has emerged as a central concern in contemporary educational discourse, particularly in culturally diverse classrooms. Banks (2015) asserts that inclusive education requires intentional pedagogical practices that affirm diversity and promote equity. OECD (2018) similarly emphasizes that inclusive school environments enhance students' sense of belonging and reduce social marginalization. These studies suggest that when students feel accepted and valued, they are more likely to participate actively in learning and leadership processes.

The interconnection between student leadership, peace attitudes, and social inclusion has been explored by several scholars. Zins et al. (2004) demonstrate that social and emotional learning programs strengthen empathy and interpersonal relationships, thereby supporting inclusive school climates. Gilligan's (1982) work on moral development highlights the role of care and relational responsibility in shaping ethical leadership, particularly among adolescents. Freire (2000) further contributes to this discourse by advocating for dialogical education that empowers learners to become agents of social transformation.

Recent empirical studies affirm that leadership engagement positively influences students' attitudes toward diversity and cooperation. Kester (2017) notes that peace education initiatives integrated with student leadership activities promote critical reflection and collective action. Seligman (2011) adds that positive educational environments cultivate resilience and prosocial behaviour, reinforcing the importance of well-being in leadership development.

Despite these insights, there remains limited empirical research within the Indian secondary school context examining the combined impact of student leadership on peace attitudes and social inclusion. Most existing studies focus on isolated variables or are situated in Western educational settings. This gap underscores the need for localized research that explores how leadership practices within Indian schools contribute to harmonious and inclusive learning communities.

The present study seeks to address this gap by empirically investigating the relationship between student leadership, peace attitudes, and social inclusion among secondary school students in Karnataka. By grounding the research in established educational theory while responding to contextual realities, the study aims to contribute meaningful insights to the growing body of literature on holistic student development.

Limitations of the Study

The study is limited to a small sample drawn from a single secondary school, which restricts the generalisation of findings. The research relies on self-reported data, which may involve subjective bias. Future studies may include larger samples and mixed-method designs.

IV. Analysis And Results

The data collected from 50 secondary school students were analyzed using descriptive statistics. The responses were grouped under three major variables: Student Leadership, Peace Attitudes, and Social Inclusion.

Table 1
Student Leadership Participation (N = 50)

Item	Agree (%)	Neutral (%)	Disagree (%)
I participate in school leadership activities	72	16	12
I feel confident expressing my ideas	68	20	12
I help classmates when needed	80	12	8
I take responsibility in group work	76	14	10
Mean Score: 3.98			

The results indicate that a majority of students demonstrate active engagement in leadership-related behaviours. Helping peers and assuming responsibility in group activities received the highest level of agreement, suggesting that informal leadership is prevalent among students.

Table 2
Peace Attitudes among Students

Item	Agree (%)	Neutral (%)	Disagree (%)
I respect others' opinions	78	14	8
I try to resolve conflicts peacefully	74	18	8
I feel empathy for others	70	20	10
I avoid violence in disagreements	82	10	8
Mean Score: 4.05			

Students reported strong peace-oriented attitudes, particularly in avoiding violence and respecting differing viewpoints.

Table 3
Social Inclusion

Item	Agree (%)	Neutral (%)	Disagree (%)
Everyone is treated equally in my class	66	22	12
I feel accepted by my classmates	72	18	10
Students from different backgrounds are included	68	20	12
Teachers encourage inclusive behaviour	76	16	8
Mean Score: 3.89			

Findings suggest a generally inclusive school environment, with teachers playing a significant role in promoting acceptance.

V. Discussion

The findings reveal a positive relationship between student leadership engagement and peace-oriented inclusive behaviors. Students who actively participated in leadership activities demonstrated higher levels of empathy, cooperation, and responsibility. These results align with earlier studies emphasizing the role of student leadership in cultivating democratic values and social responsibility (Fielding, 2011; UNESCO, 2017).

Peace attitudes were notably strong among participants, indicating that students possess an awareness of non-violent conflict resolution and mutual respect. This supports Galtung's (1996) theory that peace education fosters internalized values of harmony and dialogue.

Social inclusion scores further demonstrate that students largely feel accepted and valued within their school community. Teachers emerged as key facilitators of inclusive practices, echoing Banks' (2015) assertion that educators play a central role in shaping inclusive learning environments.

Together, these findings suggest that leadership opportunities, when embedded within everyday school life, function as powerful pedagogical tools for nurturing peaceful and inclusive citizenship.

Observations

1. Students exhibit informal leadership through helping peers and participating in group activities.
2. Peace attitudes such as empathy and respect are strongly evident.
3. Teachers significantly influence students' inclusive behaviors.
4. Student leadership correlates positively with social harmony in classrooms.
5. Schools provide a fertile context for integrating leadership with peace education.

VI. Findings

1. A majority of students actively engage in leadership-related activities within the school. They are involved in student council, clubs, peer mentoring which allows them to have soft skills like communication, empathy and decision making. This result in strong sense of responsibility and community belonging.
2. Students demonstrate high levels of peace attitudes, particularly in conflict resolution and respect for diversity. Students are consciously trained to view conflict not as battle to be won, but as problems to be solved as a team.
3. Social inclusion is generally practiced, with most students feeling accepted regardless of background. This allows students of all backgrounds to learn and grow happily and freely resulting in 'we feeling'.
4. Leadership participation enhances students' sense of responsibility and empathy. Through initiatives like peer mentoring and collaborative projects students build understanding and emotional intelligence.
5. Teacher support strengthens inclusive classroom environments. Teachers cultivate an environment where students feel respected, valued and given importance.
6. Student leadership contributes meaningfully to the development of peaceful school cultures. When there is active student leadership in the school there will be lower level of violence resulting in higher level of positive student engagement.

VII. Conclusion

This study affirms that student leadership plays a vital role in fostering peace attitudes and social inclusion among secondary school students. By encouraging participation, responsibility, and empathy, schools can nurture young leaders who are equipped to contribute positively to society. The integration of leadership initiatives with peace education provides a holistic approach to character formation and social cohesion.

Although limited in scope, the findings offer valuable insights for educators and policymakers seeking to promote inclusive and peaceful learning environments. Future research may expand this study across diverse educational settings and incorporate qualitative methods to gain a deeper understanding of students lived experiences.

Bibliography

- [1]. Banks, J. A. (2015). *Cultural Diversity And Education*. Routledge.
- [2]. Fielding, M. (2011). Student Voice And The Possibility Of Radical Democratic Education. *Educational Philosophy And Theory*, 43(2), 123–135.
- [3]. Freire, P. (2000). *Pedagogy Of The Oppressed*. Continuum.
- [4]. Galtung, J. (1996). *Peace By Peaceful Means*. Sage.
- [5]. Gilligan, C. (1982). In *A Different Voice*. Harvard University Press.
- [6]. Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (2005). Essential Components Of Peace Education. *Theory Into Practice*, 44(4), 280–292.
- [7]. Kester, K. (2017). Peace Education. *Oxford Research Encyclopedia Of Education*.
- [8]. Murphy, M. (2018). Student Leadership Development. *Educational Review*, 70(2), 227–244.
- [9]. OECD. (2018). *Global Competence For An Inclusive World*. OECD Publishing.
- [10]. Seligman, M. (2011). *Flourish*. Free Press.
- [11]. UNESCO. (2015). *Rethinking Education*. UNESCO.
- [12]. UNESCO. (2017). *Education For Sustainable Development Goals*. UNESCO.
- [13]. Zins, J. E., Weissberg, R. P., Wang, M. C., & Walberg, H. J. (2004). *Building Academic Success On Social And Emotional Learning*. Teachers College Press.