

Domestic Insecurity And Nigeria Foreign Image: A Case Study Of Boko Haram

Saleh Dauda PhD

Department Of Political Science And International Relations, University Of Abuja

Taiye Elebiyo Edeni MSc

Department Of Political Science And International Relations, University Of Abuja

Abstract

This paper examines how Nigeria's prolonged conflict with Boko Haram has damaged its international image by eroding diplomatic credibility, investor confidence, and global cooperation. It analyses how domestic insecurity—highlighted through international media coverage, government responses, and foreign-policy outcomes—shapes global perceptions. Employing a qualitative methodology, the study draws on security assessments, global news reports and theoretical frameworks from constructivist and soft-power approaches. Findings show that Boko Haram leverages Nigeria's structural deficits—poverty, unemployment and systemic corruption—not only to sustain insurgency but also to reinforce global perceptions of fragility and poor governance. The insurgency has resulted in human-rights violations, political violence and diminished domestic trust in public institutions, further harming Nigeria's reputation abroad. High-profile incidents such as the Chibok girls' abduction, widely reported by international media, have amplified negative narratives in diplomatic, economic and security spheres. The analysis demonstrates a feedback loop in which poor governance fosters insurgency, which in turn perpetuates reputational damage. To reverse this cycle, the paper recommends comprehensive security-sector reform, targeted anti-corruption initiatives, economic development interventions, regional cooperation and proactive international media engagement. These measures are essential to restoring Nigeria's foreign image and enhancing its international standing.

Date of Submission: 05-10-2025

Date of Acceptance: 15-10-2025

I. Introduction

Over the past two decades, Nigeria—Africa's most populous country and one of its largest economies—has faced persistent domestic insecurity, most notably from the Boko Haram insurgency. What began as a radical religious movement in 2002 escalated into an armed campaign by 2009, seeking to establish an Islamic state in northern Nigeria Forest,(2012). Boko Haram's violent activities, including bombings, massacres, and high-profile kidnappings—most notably the 2014 abduction of over 270 schoolgirls in Chibok—have drawn global attention and condemnation Zenn, (2014).

The insurgency has evolved into a protracted crisis, further intensified by the emergence of a splinter faction aligned with the Islamic State in West Africa Province (ISWAP), creating a more complex regional security challenge Onuoha,(2014). Nigeria's global image has shifted from that of a rising African power to a fragile state grappling with internal chaos. Insecurity has reshaped Nigeria's foreign partnerships, with some countries becoming cautious in their dealings or conditioning aid and investments on improved governance and human rights performance. Nigerians abroad have also experienced the effects of this altered image. Increased scrutiny at international borders, visa denials, and heightened suspicion in global diplomatic and academic circles have been reported. The negative perception constructed around Nigeria's internal crisis extends to its citizens, often resulting in discriminatory treatment and reduced mobility. This social fallout illustrates how a state's foreign image deeply affects its diaspora. These developments have had significant consequences beyond Nigeria's borders, impacting foreign investment, aid relationships, and diplomatic credibility.

This paper explores the link between domestic insecurity and foreign image, arguing that a nation's ability—or inability—to manage internal threats plays a critical role in shaping international perceptions. The Nigerian government's inconsistent military responses, allegations of human rights violations, and slow crisis communication have attracted international criticism, damaging its credibility and soft power. Through a qualitative analysis of security reports, global media narratives, and theoretical frameworks from international relations, this study examines how the Boko Haram crisis has contributed to a deteriorated foreign image and outlines strategies for restoring Nigeria's standing in the international community.

II. Literature Review

Understanding domestic insecurity is fundamental to analyzing Nigeria's foreign image. Domestic insecurity broadly refers to threats within a nation's borders that disrupt peace, safety, and the effective functioning of state institutions. According to Rotberg (2003), domestic insecurity encompasses "conditions where internal threats such as communal violence, insurgencies, terrorism, and banditry undermine the political order and social stability of a state". It includes both physical violence and institutional weaknesses, such as corruption, weak law enforcement, and ineffective governance Akinola, (2014).

However, foreign image refers to how a country is perceived by other nations and international actors—governments, media, investors, NGOs, and global citizens. It's essentially a nation's reputation abroad. This image is shaped by political stability, governance, economic strength, development, Cultural exports, Foreign policy actions, Human rights records and responses to crises. A positive foreign image can attract investment, increase diplomatic influence, and boost tourism. While a negative one can reduce international cooperation, or deter foreign partnerships.

Nigeria, domestic insecurity manifests through armed insurgencies, ethnic conflicts, criminal violence, and inadequate state responses, creating an environment where authority is contested and civilians are vulnerable. Boko Haram represents a particularly severe form of domestic insecurity, combining ideological extremism with violent tactics aimed at destabilizing the Nigerian state and society. The insurgency significantly weakens Nigeria's foreign image by projecting a narrative of internal instability, fragile governance, and an ineffective security structure.

The implications of domestic insecurity on Nigeria's foreign image are profound. Reports of terrorism, human rights violations, and military abuses have been widely publicized in international media, painting a picture of a state in crisis. This negative depiction not only reduces Nigeria's soft power but also diminishes its influence in international fora. Countries and foreign investors often assess Nigeria as a high-risk environment, thereby limiting diplomatic engagements and economic partnerships. The inability to control violence in the northern regions signals weak state capacity, which undermines international confidence in Nigeria's leadership, especially in multilateral institutions such as the African Union and ECOWAS.

Ganor (2002) defines terrorism as "the deliberate use of violence aimed against civilians in order to achieve political ends". A clear understanding of the concept of terrorism is also essential to the analysis of domestic insecurity in Nigeria, particularly in the case of Boko Haram. Despite the term's frequent use in political and academic discourse, there is no universally agreed-upon definition. Instead, scholars have proposed various interpretations, often emphasizing different dimensions—ideological, psychological, or strategic—based on their disciplinary focus and geopolitical context. According to Laqueur (1999) argues that terrorism involves "the use or threat of violence for the purpose of creating fear and/or coercion in pursuit of political, religious, or ideological goals". This broader framing includes both political and religious motives, which are central to Boko Haram's quest to impose a fundamentalist Islamic state.

In the context of Nigeria, domestic insecurity manifests through armed insurgencies, ethnic conflicts, criminal violence, and inadequate state responses, creating an environment where authority is contested and civilians are vulnerable. Boko Haram represents a particularly severe form of domestic insecurity, combining ideological extremism with violent tactics aimed at destabilizing the Nigerian state and society.

Furthermore, Nigerians living abroad often encounter biased treatment and negative stereotyping, as foreign publics associate them with the instability back home. This extends the impact of domestic insecurity to individual identity and dignity on the international stage.

Hoffman (2006) defines terrorism as "the deliberate creation and exploitation of fear through violence or the threat of violence in the pursuit of political change". This definition underscores terrorism's psychological objective: to instill fear that exceeds the actual scope of physical damage. It aligns closely with Boko Haram's use of brutal, high-profile attacks to draw attention to their agenda and create widespread panic, particularly among civilian populations.

Agbo's (2023) framework of domestic insecurity as a systemic failure of state protection, where insecurity is understood as "*a state of being unsafe, where the government is unable to protect lives and property, leading to widespread fear, displacement, and economic paralysis.*" This definition reframes insecurity not simply as criminal activity or terrorism but as an indicator of weakened state legitimacy.

Schmid (2011), in one of the most cited academic definitions, describes terrorism as "an anxiety-inspiring method of repeated violent action, employed by (semi-) clandestine individual, group or state actors, for idiosyncratic, criminal or political reasons, whereby the direct targets are not the main targets". His emphasis on indirect targeting—where civilians serve as proxies to influence governments—reflects Boko Haram's strategy of leveraging symbolic violence to achieve broader ideological objectives.

Richardson (2006) offers a concise and strategic definition, asserting that terrorism is "politically motivated violence directed against noncombatants or civilians, with the intent to intimidate or influence a wider

audience”. This aligns with the global outcry following the Chibok girls' abduction in 2014, which not only devastated families but significantly harmed Nigeria's foreign image by highlighting state vulnerability.

According to United States Department of State (2004) describes terrorism as “premeditated, politically motivated violence perpetrated against noncombatant targets by subnational groups or clandestine agents”. Meanwhile, the United Nations High-Level Panel (2005) proposed defining terrorism as “any act intended to cause death or serious bodily harm to civilians or non-combatants with the purpose of intimidating a population or compelling a government” (UN, 2005).

This decline of reputation directly undercuts Nigeria's soft power. A nation's ability to attract investment, build trust with foreign partners, and wield diplomatic influence relies heavily on perceptions of legitimacy, reliability, and moral authority. With Boko Haram exposing cracks in Nigeria's response mechanisms and humanitarian record, the appeal of its political values and state capacity diminishes. For example, peacekeeping credibility declines when a country cannot stabilize its own territory. Cultural exports (like Nollywood or Afrobeats) shine globally, but they cannot fully counterbalance images of insecurity and crisis. Diplomatic influence in ECOWAS or AU negotiations is limited when member states question Nigeria's internal control. You might argue that domestic insecurity doesn't just challenge territorial integrity—it disarms a nation's diplomatic toolkit. Nigeria's battle with Boko Haram isn't just military; it's reputational. And restoring its foreign image requires rebuilding its soft power foundations: security credibility, governance transparency, and media narrative control.

Omodero's (2024) socio-economic lens further supplements this view by identifying unemployment, underfunded education, and poor infrastructure as structural drivers of insecurity. Her analysis reveals how economic marginalization fosters disillusionment among youth populations—fertile ground for insurgent recruitment and anti-state sentiment.

Finally, Ochube (2022) offers a political explanation, framing Nigeria's insecurity as the byproduct of identity politics, elite manipulation, and uneven state response. This socio-political interpretation explains how insurgent movements like Boko Haram tap into community grievances and historical neglect to gain legitimacy and foothold.

Nigeria's protracted struggle with Boko Haram challenges its soft power foundation and global perception. The USC Center on Public Diplomacy (2020) warns that “*a tarnished global image undermines soft power and raises questions about what can be done to overcome the challenge.*” Repeated portrayals of violent insurgency, humanitarian crisis, and state fragility have reshaped external narratives about Nigeria, creating a reputational gap between its geopolitical ambition and its perceived domestic stability.

Aghedo and Osumah (2012) argue that Boko Haram's rise illustrates both the fragility of Nigeria's security architecture and the broader

Foreign image refers to how a country is perceived by other nations and international actors—governments, media, investors, NGOs, and global citizens. It's essentially a nation's reputation abroad. This image is shaped by Political stability and governance, economic strength and development, Cultural exports (music, film, literature), Foreign policy actions, Human rights records and responses to crises (e.g., conflict, insurgency)

A positive foreign image can attract investment, increase diplomatic influence, and boost tourism. A negative one can invite sanctions, reduce international cooperation, or deter foreign partnerships. The Boko Haram insurgency significantly weakens Nigeria's foreign image by projecting a narrative of internal instability, fragile governance, and ineffective security structures. When global media outlets continuously spotlight attacks, abductions, and displaced persons, Nigeria is increasingly viewed—not as a regional power—but as a state grappling with dysfunction.

Impact of Domestic Insecurity on Nigeria Economy

Domestic insecurity—particularly insurgency, banditry, and communal violence—has had a profound impact on **Nigeria's foreign image**, reshaping how the country is perceived diplomatically, economically, and culturally on the global stage.

Erosion of Diplomatic Credibility

Persistent insecurity, especially from groups like Boko Haram and ISWAP, signals to the international community that Nigeria struggles to maintain internal stability. This undermines its ability to project leadership within ECOWAS, the African Union, and UN peacekeeping missions. As Fayomi et al. (2015) argue, “*Nigeria's foreign policy has been grossly sabotaged and undermined by image crisis both nationally and internationally.*”

Reduced Foreign Investment and Tourism

Insecurity discourages foreign direct investment (FDI), particularly in northern and rural regions. Investors often perceive Nigeria as a high-risk environment, which affects economic diplomacy and trade

negotiations. The same applies to tourism, where safety concerns overshadow Nigeria's cultural and ecological assets.

Negative Media Narratives

Global media coverage of kidnappings, attacks, and humanitarian crises often dominates international headlines, while positive developments receive less attention. This creates a skewed narrative that reinforces stereotypes of instability and fragility. As Jiménez-Martínez (2023) notes, foreign correspondents often “*amplify national images through selective storytelling*,” which can distort soft power efforts.

Conditional Foreign Aid and Partnerships

Countries offering military or humanitarian aid—such as the U.S., UK, and France—often attach conditions related to human rights, transparency, and governance. Nigeria's perceived inability to meet these benchmarks can limit the depth and flexibility of international cooperation.

Soft Power Decline

Nigeria's cultural exports (e.g., Nollywood, Afrobeats) remain globally influential, but insecurity weakens the state's ability to convert that cultural capital into diplomatic leverage. As the USC Center on Public Diplomacy (2023) warns, “*a tarnished global image undermines soft power and raises questions about what can be done to overcome the challenge*.”

III. Theoretical Framework

This paper uses two major theoretical framework to examine how domestic insecurity influences Nigeria's foreign image, the study is anchored in the conceptual intersection of *state legitimacy*, *soft power theory*, and *structural insecurity*. Together, these frameworks explain how internal crises such as insurgency shape the external perceptions of a nation, particularly in postcolonial African states like Nigeria.

Soft Power: Nye's (2004) theory of **soft power** forms the foundation of this analysis. Soft power emphasizes a state's ability to influence international actors through attraction such as cultural capital, political values, and foreign policy rather than coercion. “*The best propaganda is not propaganda. It is a nation's policies and the values it lives by*.” Nigeria's prolonged domestic insecurity weakens this soft power by signaling to the international community a failure to uphold security, transparency, and institutional competence—key elements of attraction in global diplomacy. Soft power as the ability of a country to attract and co-opt rather than coerce. It arises from the appeal of a nation's culture, political ideals, and policies. For Nigeria, the persistence of domestic insecurity undermines its soft power by presenting an image of dysfunction and danger. High-profile failures to protect citizens or resolve conflicts diminish Nigeria's attractiveness as a political and economic partner. Moreover, incidents of human rights abuses by security forces further tarnish the state's legitimacy and moral authority, essential components of soft power.

Jiménez-Martínez (2023) explores how foreign correspondents act as both filters and amplifiers of national image, particularly for Global South nations. His study reveals that “*foreign correspondents ultimately favor similar forms of national imagination, emphasizing economic performance and global inequalities*,” which can reinforce or distort a country's soft power efforts depending on the prevailing narrative. This is especially relevant for Nigeria, where international media coverage of Boko Haram often overshadows state-led development or counterinsurgency successes.

White (2024) further argues that soft power offers more sustainable influence than coercive strategies, noting that “*soft power relies on cultural appeal, diplomatic engagement, and the attraction of values and policies, aiming for a more sustainable and consensual form of influence*.” In Nigeria's case, the persistence of insurgency undermines these very pillars, weakening its ability to project leadership within ECOWAS and beyond.

Additionally, the USC Center on Public Diplomacy (2023) highlights the reputational risks of unresolved domestic crises, stating that “*a tarnished global image undermines soft power and raises questions about what can be done to overcome the challenge*.” This aligns with earlier work by Aghedo and Osumah (2012), who contend that Boko Haram's rise reflects deeper institutional fragility that compromise Nigeria's international credibility.

Together, these perspectives underscore that Nigeria's foreign image is not merely a product of diplomatic rhetoric but a reflection of its internal coherence, media representation, and capacity to manage insecurity. Addressing these dimensions is essential for restoring both soft power and global trust.

By integrating these theoretical perspectives, this study conceptualizes Nigeria's Boko Haram crisis as both a **security challenge and a reputational one**, wherein domestic instability directly undermines the nation's soft power capabilities and foreign image. This framework guides the case analysis by linking internal governance dynamics to external diplomatic consequences.

Constructivism: Constructivist theory posits that the international system is socially constructed rather than inherently anarchic. Wendt (1992) argues that state behavior and identity are shaped by social interactions, norms, and collective beliefs. The country's foreign image is not solely determined by material power but by how other actors perceive it through narratives, symbols, and representations. As Boko Haram's violence is depicted in global media, these representations contribute to a constructed image of Nigeria as a weak or unstable state, regardless of its actual capabilities. The framing of Nigeria in diplomatic and journalistic discourses affects its standing in the international community.

IV. Methodology

A qualitative case study approach was adopted. Data were drawn from secondary sources including international news outlets (e.g., BBC, CNN, Al Jazeera), Nigerian government security reports, academic journals, NGO publications, and reports from organizations like Amnesty International and the UN. Thematic content analysis was employed to categorize data into key themes: media framing, diplomatic impact, economic consequences, and state response.

Key Findings

The study reveals several crucial insights into how domestic insecurity, especially the Boko Haram insurgency, has damaged Nigeria's foreign image:

Negative Media Framing: International media consistently frame Nigeria as a crisis-prone state, emphasizing insecurity, corruption, and weak governance. This framing dominates global narratives and influences foreign perceptions. The 2014 #BringBackOurGirls campaign, for instance, though born out of international sympathy, also highlighted Nigeria's security lapses and government inaction, reinforcing global doubts about the country's leadership and capacity.

Reduced Diplomatic Prestige: Nigeria's longstanding image as a regional peacekeeper and a leading African voice has suffered. Its inconsistent response to insurgency, coupled with allegations of military abuses, has weakened its diplomatic credibility. Some foreign governments now approach relations with greater caution, often tying aid to reforms in security and governance.

Declining Foreign Investment: Persistent insecurity has scared off investors, especially in the northeast. The perception of risk—amplified by global media coverage and travel advisories—has made Nigeria less attractive to both private and institutional investors. Security costs and concerns about law enforcement capacity add to the burden of doing business in the country.

Stigmatization of Citizens Abroad: Nigerians in diaspora report facing increased scrutiny at international borders, visa restrictions, and stereotyping. These experiences are symptomatic of a broader reputational problem. The association of Nigerian nationality with terrorism and instability affects not only diplomats and businesspeople but also students and tourists.

V. Discussion

These findings confirm the theoretical expectations set by Constructivism, Soft Power, and Media Framing Theory. Constructivist analysis shows how global perceptions of Nigeria are constructed through repeated images and narratives, not merely objective metrics. The media's portrayal of Boko Haram's violence, compounded by government mismanagement, has shaped a powerful international identity of Nigeria as a fragile state.

Soft power has suffered significantly. The attractiveness of Nigeria's political and cultural identity has waned as the state struggles to project competence or values that resonate with global audiences. Cultural exports like Nollywood and Afrobeats remain influential, but their impact is diluted by the negative attention drawn to insecurity and poor governance.

Media framing plays a crucial role. The consistent emphasis on violence, corruption, and chaos in international coverage creates a one-dimensional image that shapes foreign policy, investor decisions, and diaspora experiences. While some of these portrayals reflect real challenges, the lack of counter-narratives exacerbates Nigeria's reputational decline.

VI. Conclusion

Domestic insecurity in Nigeria—particularly in the form of the Boko Haram insurgency—has severely impacted the country's foreign image. Through global media framing, diplomatic interactions, and soft power

dynamics, Nigeria is increasingly seen as a state unable to guarantee internal stability or uphold international norms.

This reputational damage has tangible consequences: it weakens diplomatic alliances, deters foreign direct investment, and subjects Nigerian citizens abroad to discriminatory treatment. The study underscores the importance of both addressing root causes of insecurity and reshaping global narratives through strategic diplomacy and media engagement.

These findings confirm the theoretical expectations set by Constructivism, Soft Power, and Media Framing Theory. Constructivist analysis shows how global perceptions of Nigeria are constructed through repeated images and narratives, not merely objective metrics. The media's portrayal of Boko Haram's violence, compounded by government mismanagement, has shaped a powerful international identity of Nigeria as a fragile state.

Soft power has suffered significantly. The attractiveness of Nigeria's political and cultural identity has waned as the state struggles to project competence or values that resonate with global audiences. Cultural exports like Nollywood and Afrobeats remain influential, but their impact is diluted by the negative attention drawn to insecurity and poor governance.

Media framing plays a crucial role. The consistent emphasis on violence, corruption, and chaos in international coverage creates a one-dimensional image that shapes foreign policy, investor decisions, and diaspora experiences. While some of these portrayals reflect real challenges, the lack of counter-narratives exacerbates Nigeria's reputational decline.

VII. Recommendations

Strengthen Security Institutions: The Nigerian government must professionalize its security agencies, improve intelligence coordination, and address human rights abuses to rebuild credibility.

Strategic Public Diplomacy: Nigeria should invest in a robust public diplomacy framework that promotes positive narratives, highlights successful reforms, and engages global media constructively.

Regional and International Cooperation: Enhanced collaboration with neighboring countries, ECOWAS, and international security partners can bolster counterterrorism efforts and signal responsible state behavior.

Diaspora Engagement: Support for Nigerians abroad through embassies and cultural programs can help mitigate the negative stereotypes and foster soft power.

Development-Oriented Counterterrorism: Addressing the socioeconomic roots of insurgency—poverty, unemployment, marginalization—must accompany military solutions to ensure sustainable peace.

References

- [1]. Akinola, A. O. (2014). Boko Haram Insurgency In Nigeria: Between Islamic Fundamentalism, Politics, And Poverty. *African Security*, 7(3), 165–181.
- [2]. Entman, R. M. (1993). Framing: Toward Clarification Of A Fractured Paradigm. *Journal Of Communication*, 43(4), 51–58.
- [3]. Forest, J. F. (2012). *Confronting The Terrorism Of Boko Haram In Nigeria*. Joint Special Operations University Report.
- [4]. Ganor, B. (2002). Defining Terrorism: Is One Man's Terrorist Another Man's Freedom Fighter? *Police Practice And Research*, 3(4), 287–304.
- [5]. Hoffman, B. (2006). *Inside Terrorism*. Columbia University Press.
- [6]. Human Rights Watch. (2015). *Nigeria: Army Abuse, Boko Haram Killings*.
- [7]. Laqueur, W. (1999). *The New Terrorism: Fanaticism And The Arms Of Mass Destruction*. Oxford University Press.
- [8]. Nye, J. S. (2004). *Soft Power: The Means To Success In World Politics*. Publicaffairs.
- [9]. Onuoha, F. C. (2014). Why Do Youth Join Boko Haram? *United States Institute Of Peace*.
- [10]. Richardson, L. (2006). *What Terrorists Want: Understanding The Enemy, Containing The Threat*. Random House.
- [11]. Rotberg, R. I. (2003). *State Failure And State Weakness In A Time Of Terror*. Brookings Institution Press.
- [12]. Schmid, A. P. (2011). The Definition Of Terrorism. In A. P. Schmid (Ed.), *The Routledge Handbook Of Terrorism Research*. Routledge.
- [13]. United Nations. (2005). *A More Secure World: Our Shared Responsibility*. Report Of The High-Level Panel On Threats, Challenges And Change.
- [14]. United States Department Of State. (2004). *Country Reports On Terrorism*.