Comparative Study of Negation in Bodo and Dimasa

Pratima Brahma

ABSTRACT:

This research paper provides a brief analysis, discussion and comparison of negation of Bodo and Dimasa, the two Tibeto-Burman group of language in North-East India. The objective of this research paper is to discuss the process of formation with esteem to negation in both the languages. Negation is the GRAMMATICAL and SEMENTIC analysis which typically expresses the contradiction of meaning of some sentences'. It is a grammatical term for the contradiction of some or all of the meaning of an affirmative sentence and also process of converting affirmative to negative. The grammatical rules for negation vary from language to language, and a given language may have more than one way of producing negation.

KEY WORDS: Formation of negation, negative prefix, negative morpheme, negative copula.

Date of Submission: 29-06-2021 Date of Acceptance: 13-07-2021

I. INTRODUCTION:

The term **Bodo** and **Dimasa** represents the community as well as language. Ethnically, the Bodo and Dimasa are of mongoloid origin and linguistically they belong to Sino-Tibetan language family. Bodo and Dimasa are one of the major tribal communities of Assam and they constitute an important ethnic group in North-East India. Bodos are also found in some adjacent areas of West Bengal, Bangladesh, Nepal Meghalaya Bhutan and Bangladesh. Dimasa comprise a scheduled tribe distributed in the Autonomous council in Dima-Hasao, Karbi-Anglong district, Nagaon, and three district of Barrack Valley namely Cachar, Hailakhandi, Mizoram.

II. SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY OF THE STUDY

The review and evaluation of the available literature on Bodo and Dimasa provided an adequate rational for a further, more detailed study of the Bodo and Dimasa. No research work has been undertaken on Bodo and Dimasa from a study of Negation as far as our knowledge goes. But quite a large number of research works are available on Bodo and Dimasa individually. As both the languages belong to the same family of languages, a lot of similarities and a few differences are found in these two languages which are mentioned in our study. Because of non-availability of comparative research work on Bodo and Dimasa an attempt to examine the similarities and dissimilarities of both the languages according to morphological aspects. As Tibeto-Burman languages Bodo and Dimasa are agglutinative and isolative language having the characters of SOV, The word order of both languages is subject, object and verb.

III. METHODOLOGY

The data have been collected from both primary and secondary data. Primary data for the study of this research article have been collected from the information of different age groups, professions and occupations as well as sexes. Some of the informants were multilingual –having knowledge of English, Hindi, Assamese, Bengali and mother tongue whereas the others popularly bilinguals – Knowing only two language Assamese or Bengali and mother tongue. The data for this study of this article have been collected in the course of several trips of different places of Dima-Hasao which the informants belonged to Dimasa speakers. Being a native speaker of Bodo, data have been collected from self source for this study. She herself can provide necessary data for the study by operating as an informant.

Secondary data have been collected form published and unpublished books, songs, audio-visual aid etc.

IV. OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY:

The main objective for this studies are-

- a. To find out the process of negative formation in both the languages
- b. To find out the negative morphemes in both the languages
- c. To find out the similarities and dissimilarities in negative morphemes in both the languages

V. REVIEW OF LITERATURE:

No research work has been undertaken on Negation of Bodo and Dimasa from the comparative point of view as far as our knowledge goes. But quite a large number of research works are available on Bodo and Dimasa individually. As both the languages belong to the same family of languages, a lot of similarities and a few differences are found in these two languages which have mentioned in this research article. Because of non-availability of comparative research work on negation of Bodo and Dimasa review can't be placed.

VI. DATA ANALYSIS:

The study of analysis is on the basis of morphological processes, comparison and through linguistics view point. 6.0.0. **Negation:**

Negation is a process or construction in GRAMMATICAL and SEMENTIC analysis which typically expresses the contradiction of some or all a sentences' meaning. It is a grammatical term for the contradiction of some or all of the meaning of an affirmative sentence. "The process of converting affirmative to negative is called negation – the grammatical rules for negation vary from language to language, and a given language may have more than one way of producing negation. And not all languages have special completive interjections." Bloomfield and Hackett (Leonard Bloomfield, Anthology: 1987). "Negative Conference: Generalizing Quantification for Natural Language." (Franz, Formal Semantics and Pragmatics for Natural Languages (ed.): 1979).

6.1.0. Negation in Bodo and Dimasa:

There are two ways of expressing negation in Bodo and Dimasa, one is by using negative particles and other is with the help of negative copula. Negative particles in both the languages are added by prefixation and suffixation. /-da/ is the negative prefix in both the languages and /ya~a~wa/ in Bodo /-yá/ in Dimasa are negative suffixes. /-ya/ is most frequently used form which occurs in the post verbal position in both the languages. The mentioned negative particles are shown below with example:

/da-/: is used to denote prohibition in both the languages. It occurs before the verbs. It is the only one negative prefix in both the languages Examples:

Bodo:

Rodo:			
(i)	/sanduŋ -ao	k ^h ar/ (af	ffirmative sentence)
	sunshinenom.	run(v)	
	'Run in the sunsh	nine'	
(ii)	/sanduŋ -ao	da-	k ^h ar/ (negative sentence)
	sunshinenom.	neg.	run(v)
	'Don't run in the	sunshine	2'
(iii)	/duui -yao	gele/ (a	ffirmative sentence)
	water (n) nom.	play(v)	
	'Play in the water	r'	
(iv)			gele/ (negative sentence)
	water (n) nom.		play(v)
	'Don't play in the	e water'	
Dimasa			
(i)	/ibu k ^h e	k ^h l ai/ (a	affirmative sentence)
	neg. acc.	do (v)	
	'Do this'		
(ii)	/ibu k ^h e	da-	(0
	This acc.	neg.	do (v)
	'Don't do this'		
(iii)	/t ^h aŋ/ (affirmative	e sentenc	ee)
	go (v)		
	'Go'		
(iv)		(negativ	ve sentence)
	neg. go (v)		
	'Don't go'		
(v)	/zi/ (affirmative s	entence)	
	eat(v)		
	'Eat'		
(vi)		legative s	sentence)
	neg. eat(v)		
	'Don't eat'		

(vii)	/liŋ/ (affirmative sentence) drink(v) 'Drink'
(viii)	/da lin/ (negative sentence) neg. drink(v) 'Don't drink'
/-a/ occ	curs when preceded by a verb that ends either in a consonant or the vowel phoneme $/u/$,
(i)	$/a\eta$ p ^h oraisaliy -ao t ^h aŋ -a/
(-)	I school nom. go neg.
	'I don't go to school'
(ii)	/aŋ duui luuŋ -a/
	I water drink neg.
	'I don't drink water'
(iii)	/aŋ ban -a/
	I carry(v) neg.
(iv)	'I do not carry' /aη hu -a/
(\mathbf{IV})	I give(v) neg.
	'I do not give'
(v)	/aŋ be k ^h uu labu -a/
	I this(n) Ac bring(v) neg.
	'I don't bring this'
	ccurs when preceded by a verb ending in /o/, /u/ and diphthong /ao/
(i)	/aŋ bok ^h o -wa/
	I pullout(v) neg. 'I don't pullout'
(ii)	/an bini mun k^{h} uu mok ^h o wa/
(11)	I his/her name acc. say neg
	'I don't say her/his name'
(iii)	/aŋ bu -wa/
	I beat(v) neg.
	'I don't beat'
(iv)	/aŋ alu ru -wa/
	I potato boil neg. 'I don't boil potato'
(v)	/bi -yu bao -wa/
(*)	She/hePro. nom. forget neg.
	'She/he does not forget'
(vi)	/Romen a haba mao -wa/
	Romen nom. work do neg.
	'Romen does not do work'
	these two processes are not found in Dimasa.
phonen	ccurs when preceded by a verb ending in /a/ /i/, /e/ in Bodo and in Dimasa /-ya/ occurs with all the
Bodo:	ites.
(i)	/an unk ^h am za -ya/
	I rice (n) eat (v) neg.
	'I don't eat rice'
(ii)	/bi -yu musa -ya/
	Pro. nom. dance neg.
(;;;)	'She/he does not dance'
(iii) I	/aŋ gele -ya/ play (v) neg.
T	'I don't play'
(iv)	/Ram -a na k ^h uu me -ya/
	Ram(n). nom. fish acc. Roast (v) neg.
	'Ram does not roast fish'
(v)	/aŋ mini -ya/
	I laugh (v) neg.
	'I don't laugh'

(vi) /udaŋ butt^huır -ao bilai k^hili -ya/
 Spring season nom. leaf grow (v) neg.
 'Leaf does not grow in the spring season'

Dimasa:

<i>(i)</i>	/aŋ	p ^h urik ^h o	ha	t ^h aŋ	-ya/
	Ι	school	loc.	go (v)	ne
	'I do	not go to	schoo	1'	
(::)	/1				h:

- (*ii*) /bu graozoma p["]uri -ya/ She/he news paper read (v) neg. 'She/he does not read news paper'
- (*iii*) /niŋ laisi p^huri -ya/ you book read (v) neg. 'You do not read book'
- (*iv*) /mesep mak^ham zi -ya/ buffalo rice(n) eat (v) neg. 'Buffalo do not eat rice'

From the above mentioned data we can say that /da/ is the negative prefix in both the languages. /ya/ and /wa/ is the allophone of /a/ negative suffix in Bodo, these occur on the basis of phonemes ending. On the other hand /ya/ is the only negative suffix in Dimasa. It occurs with all phonemes which conclude the word.

6.2.0. Negative morpheme:

Negative morpheme /noŋ-a/ in Bodo and /-ni-ya/ in Dimasa is used to negate a statement. **Bodo:**

20000				
(i)	/bo	halua		noŋ-a /
	she/he	cultivate	or	neg.
	'She/ H	e is not c	ultivator'	
(ii)	/bi	zunad	noŋ-a /	
	She/he	animal	neg.	
	'She/he	is not an	imal'	

(iii) /aŋ ruwat^hi noŋ-a/ I servant neg. 'I am not servant'

Dimasa:

(iv)	/bo	halua	ni-ya/
	she/he	cultivator	neg.
	'She/ H	e is not cult	ivator'

- (v) /bo ansa ni-ya/ She/he boy neg. 'She/he is not boy'
- (vi) /aŋ k^husidaŋi ni-ya/ I servant neg.
 'I am not servant'

6.2.1. Negative copula:

Negative copula /guiya/, /guila/ in Bodo and /giri/ in Dimasa are used to express negation in existential constructions, locative predicates and possessive sentences. Consider the following examples: **Bodo:**

(i)	/no	-ao	mansi g	guiya/	
	house nom.	man	neg.co	p.	
	'There is no	man i	in the ho	ouse'	
(ii)	/ uŋk ^h am-du	u	-ao	uŋk ^h am	guiya/
	cooking uter	nsil	nom.	rice	neg.cop.

- 'There is no rice in the cooking utensil' (iii) /lama -yao ont^hai guiya/ road loc. stone neg.cop
- 'There is no stone on the road
 (iv) /bari -ao megoŋ guiya/ garden nom. Vegetable (N) neg. cop
 'There is no vegetable in the garden'

Dimasa:

(i)	/nolai -ha subuŋ giri/
	village nom. man neg.cop.
	'There is no man in the village'

(ii) /dima -ha na giri/ river nom. fishneg.cop 'There is no fish in the river'

6.2.2. Interrogatives:

Interrogatives in Bodo and Dimasa can be classified into two types- yes/no questions, which ask for the confirmation or negation of the statement, and wh- questions.

Yes, no questions:

The yes, no question in Bodo is formed by adding the question markers /nama, na~ne/ to the verb in Bodo. On the other hand the yes-no question in Dimasa is formed by adding the question marker /t^hi/ to the verb. An affirmative statement can also be converted into an interrogative sentence without the question marker /nama, na~ne/ in Bodo and /t^hi/ in Dimasa by means of raising intonation. The marker /nama, na~ne/ in Bodo and /t^hi/ in Dimasa are used when the interrogative is expressed by the raising intonation. Examples are given below:

Bodo:

i.

(i)	/beohai	maoji ma	a se	don/ (affirmative sentence)
	here (d.n	n) cat (n) cl	. nun	n. be verb
	'Here is	a cat'		
(ii)	/beohai	maozi ma	se	don ?/ (rising intonation)
	here	cat (n) cl.	num.	be verb

- 'Is here a cat?' (iii) /sui doŋ nama ?/ dog be verb INT. 'Is there dog?'
- (iv) /surba doŋ nama?/ Somebody be verb INT. 'Some body is there?'
- (v) /got^ho -wa gaba ne ?/ baby nom. cry int. 'Baby does not cry?'

Dimasa:

(i)	/laizam	a doŋ	t ^h i?/
	letter	be verb	INT.
	'Is ther	e letter'	
(ii)	/t ^h uri	doŋ	t ^h i?/
	thatch	be verb	INT.
	'Is ther	e thatch?'	

 (iii) /niŋ t^haŋ ya ?/(raising intonation) you go neg. 'won't you go?'

ii. W/h-question:

W/h question in Bodo and Dimasa are formed by substituting the constituent that is being questioned by the appropriate interrogative pronouns. Consider the following examples:

Bodo:

1.	/sur/	'who are'
2.	/ma/	'what are' (non-human)
3.	/boha/	'where are'
4.	/besebaŋ/	'how many'
5.	/maburu/	'how'
6.	/bobe/	'which'
7.	/mabla/	'when'
Dimasa	:	
1.	/sere/	'who are'
2.	/nadi/	'what' (non-human)
3.	/braha/	'where'
4.	/bisi/	'how many'
5.	/bedehe/	'how'
6.	/makali/	'when'

Above mentioned interrogative pronouns can be take postposition or case marker in both the languages. Examples: **Bodo**:

Bodo:	
(i)	/nwn -ni mun -a ma?/
	You gen. name nom. w/h
	'What is your name?'
(ii)	/bi yu sur?
	She/he nom. W/h
	'Who are you'
(iii)	/bui ma?/
	That what
	'What is that?
(iv)	/bui yu ma?/
	That nom. what?
	'What is that?'
(v)	/be sur ni?/
	This whose gen.
(;)	'Whose is that?'
(vi)	/be surni bizab?/
	This whose book 'Whose this book?
(vii)	/boha t ^h an nu/
(11)	where go w/h
	'Where to go'
(viii)	/mabla p ^h ui -ya?/
(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Int.pro. come neg.
	'When you
(ix)	/nun nao beseban ran don?/
()	You nom. Int.pro money be verb.
	'How many rupees you have?'
(x)	/maburu don?/
	Int.pro. be verb/exit
	'How are you?'
Dimasa	
(i)	/bu sere?/
	She/he w/h
	'Who is she/he?'
(ii)	/nin sere?/
	You W/h
<i>/···</i> >	'Who are you?'
(iii)	/hubu sere -ni no?/ that W/h Gen. house
	that W/h Gen. house 'Whose house is that?'
(iv)	/bedehe don?/
(iv)	Int.pro. be verb
	'How are you?'
(v)	/nin sere?/
(\mathbf{v})	You W/h
	'Who are you?'
(vi)	/ni ni bumu sumu?/
(11)	You gen. name W/h
	'What is your name?'
(vii)	/braha t ^h aŋ ma?/
()	W/h go fut.
	'Where will go?
1.0.	Outcome of the study: The following outcomes are find out through the above analysis-
а а	/da/ is the only one negative prefix in both the languages

- a. /da/ is the only one negative prefix in both the languages
- b. /ya~a~wa/ and /-yá/ are negative suffixes in Bodo and Dimasa respectively.
- c. /-ya/ negative suffixes are most frequently used form which occurs in the post verbal position in both

the languages.

- d. /noŋ-a/ and /-ni-ya/ are the negative morpheme in both Bodo and Dimasa respectively, which is used to negate a statement.
- e. /guiya/, /guila/ and /giri/ negative copula in Bodo and Dimasa which is used to express negation in existential constructions, locative predicates and possessive sentences.
- f. Interrogatives in Bodo and Dimasa can be classified into two types- yes/no questions, and whquestions.

VII. CONCLUSION:

From the above discussion we can know that there are two ways of expressing negation in Bodo and Dimasa, one is by using negative particles and other is with the help of negative copula. Negative particles are added by prefixation and suffixation in both the languages. /-da/ is one of the negative prefix in both the languages. /ya~a~wa/ negative suffixes and /-yá/ is negative suffixes in Dimasa language. /-ya/ is most frequently used form which occurs in the post verbal position in both the languages.

/guiya/, /guila/ and /giri/ are negative copula in Bodo and Dimasa language respectively. The negative copula /guiya/, /guila/in Bodo and /giri/ in Dimasa are used to express negation in existential constructions, locative predicates and possessive sentences.

Interrogatives in Bodo and Dimasa can be classified into two types- yes/no questions, which ask for the confirmation or negation of the statement, and wh- questions. The yes, no question in Bodo is formed by adding the question markers /nama, na~ne/ to the verb in Bodo. On the other hand the yes-no question in Dimasa is formed by adding the question marker $/t^{h}i/$ to the verb. An affirmative statement can also be converted into an interrogative sentence without the question marker /nama, na~ne/ in Bodo and $/t^{h}i/$ in Dimasa by means of raising intonation. The marker /nama, na~ne/ in Bodo and $/t^{h}i/$ in Dimasa are used when the interrogative is expressed by the raising intonation.

Wh question in Bodo and Dimasa are formed by substituting the constituent that is being questioned by the appropriate interrogative pronouns. On the other hand Interrogative pronouns can be take postposition or case marker in both the languages.

REFERENCES:

- [1]. Aronoff, Mark., (1985). Word formation in generative grammar (3rd Rev.). Cambridge: Massachusetts institute of technology.
- [2]. Asher, R.E. (1994). The Encyclopedia of language and linguistics. Oxford: Oxford Pergamon Press.
- [3]. Bloomfield, L. (1935), 'Linguistic aspects of science.' Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
- [4]. Bordoloi, B.N. (1984). The Dimasa Kacharis of Assam (2nd ed). Assam: Tribal Research Institute.
- [5]. Boro, Madhuram. (2001). The Boro structure: A phonological of grammatical analysis. Guwahati: Priyadini Publications.
- [6]. Brahma, K.K. (1972). Boro raokanti. Kokrajhar: Ganda offset.
- [7]. Charles, F. Hockett (1966). Two Models of Grammatical Description. https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/rwrd20. ISSN: 0043-7956 (Print) 2373-5112
- [8]. Clark, John., & Yallop, Colin. (1995). An Introduction to Phonetics and Phonology (2nd ed). England: Blackwell Publisher.
- [9]. Crystal, David. (1992). A dictionary of Linguistics and phonetics. England: Black Blackwell Publisher.
- [10]. Danda, Dipali. (1978). Among the Dimasa of Assam: An ethnographic study. New Delhi: Sterling publication Pvt. Ltd.
- [11]. Katamba, F. (1993). Morphology. New York: Palgrave Mcmillan.

Pratima Brahma. "Comparative Study of Negation in Bodo and Dimasa" *IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Science (IOSR-JHSS)*, 26(07), 2021, pp. 01-07.

DOI: 10.9790/0837-2607030107