

## Occupational Exposure to Fugitive gases (CO and H<sub>2</sub>S) and Altered Oxygen levels Among Waste Handlers in selected dumpsites in Kenya

<sup>1</sup>Mwaura Hashim, <sup>1</sup>Paul Njogu, <sup>2</sup>Robert Kinyua

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Energy and Environmental Technology, Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology, P.O. Box 62000- 00200 Nairobi, Kenya

<sup>2</sup>Academic Division, Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology, P.O. Box 62000- 00200 Nairobi, Kenya

---

### Abstract

**Background:** Solid wastes disposal sites provide a livelihood to thousands of waste handlers and waste scavengers. Sporadic fires within the dumpsites and wastes decomposition lead to emission of fugitive gases such as carbon monoxide (CO) and Hydrogen sulphide (H<sub>2</sub>S); resulting to deleterious health effects to the exposed workers. Increased CO and H<sub>2</sub>S in ambient air also displace oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) gas levels which in extreme cases can lead to asphyxiation. This study assessed the levels of CO, O<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>S in selected dumpsites in Kenya.

**Materials and Methods:** The study sites were in Thika, Ngong, and Kawangware in Kenya. Levels of CO, O<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>S were determined using a Multi gas monitor (model GX2012) during working hours at the dumpsites and control sites away from active dump. Analysis of variance was used to analyze the different parameters in the dumpsites. Association between different dumpsites and parameters were tested using student t-test at 95% confidence interval.

**Results:** The O<sub>2</sub> mean values in Thika dumpsite was 20.53% ±0.21, 20.6% ±0.23 in Ngong and 20.45% ±0.15 in Kawangware. These were relatively lower compared to control sites. In this study control sites, O<sub>2</sub> levels were 21.00% in Thika, 20.90% in Ngong and 20.8% in Kawangware with a standard deviation of ±0.1. The dumpsite CO gas mean values were 14.5 ±0.42ppm in Thika, 10±0.43ppm in Ngong and 14.5 ±0.34ppm in Kawangware. These were established to be higher compared to control sites (0ppm). The dumpsite H<sub>2</sub>S gas levels in Thika and Ngong were both 0.25 ±0.1ppm, while Kawangware levels were 0.5 ±0.1ppm. These readings were higher compared to control sites (0.0ppm). The study revealed that workers in the three sites were exposed to elevated levels of CO (13.00±2.60) ppm and H<sub>2</sub>S (0.042 ±0.14ppm). These were higher compared to the control sites (0±0.1ppm).

**Conclusion:** Exposure to elevated levels of H<sub>2</sub>S and CO cause nausea, tearing of the eyes, headaches, loss of sleep and airway problems. The mean O<sub>2</sub> levels was 20.53% ±0.08; significantly different from control sites (20.90± 0.10%). Workers were exposed to fluctuating O<sub>2</sub> levels, however, they were within safe occupational exposure limits for an 8 hour shift. There was significance difference between the dumpsites and control sites (p≤0.05) on the levels of O<sub>2</sub>, CO and H<sub>2</sub>S. This calls for an effective dumpsite gas monitoring and control design in order to prevent increase to elevated levels.

**Key words:** Dumpsite, workers, environment, Exposure limits, O<sub>2</sub>, CO, H<sub>2</sub>S

---

Date of Submission: 07-01-2021

Date of Acceptance: 23-01-2021

---

### I. Introduction

High rate of urbanization in Kenya has resulted in increased solid and liquid waste generation and management besides other environmental impacts<sup>1</sup>. Landfills are options employed by many countries in waste management and disposal however there is no sanitary landfill in Kenya<sup>2</sup>. Clean air for the ever growing number of residents in the city of Nairobi and its environs is scarce due to increased waste generation coupled by its poor management which results to negative environmental impacts<sup>3</sup>. Well managed waste in a city reduces environmental pollution and can be a source of income. Operation of open dumpsites has many adverse effects including; emission of hydrogen sulphide (H<sub>2</sub>S) and carbon monoxide (CO) gases which are generated by decaying of organic wastes, pollution of the local environment arising from contamination of ground water and/or aquifers by leakage and residual soil<sup>4</sup>. It also causes injuries to wild life; simple nuisance problems such as odours, excess heat, dust, noise pollution to dumpsite workers; damage of access roads by heavy trucks to the site and harbouring of disease vectors such as rats and flies<sup>5</sup>.

Almost all the activities in solid waste management involve working with significant hazards whose likelihood of causing harm either to the worker directly involved, to the nearby resident or the environment is

relatively high with substantial consequences. Waste pickers handling solid waste worldwide are exposed to occupational health and safety hazards related to the physical nature of the waste being handled, emissions from the content, working methods being employed at the dumpsites and the equipment being used <sup>6</sup>. People living and working in the vicinity of solid waste processing and disposal facilities also are exposed to both environmental and health and safety hazards. These give rise to risks connected to emissions from the decay of organic solid wastes, pollution control measures used to manage these emissions such as open air burning, and the overall safety of the facility. As with other occupational risks, these risks are being managed considerably in high-income countries but are still largely unmanaged in most developing countries including Kenya <sup>7</sup>. In Kenya, the poor and needy are increasingly turning to scavenging/waste picking despite the associated socioeconomic impact and dangers involved. Therefore, this study aimed at assessing and quantifying amounts of CO, O<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>S in selected dumpsites in Nairobi metropolis, Kenya.

## II. Materials And Methods

### Study Area

The study was undertaken in three dumpsites situated in Kiambu, Kajiado and Nairobi Counties. In Kiambu, it is located in Thika at an area known as Kang'oki, located at 1.0500°S, 37.0833°E. In Kajiado County, it is located in Ngong at 1.3667°S, 36.6333°E while in Nairobi the study was conducted at Kawangware dumpsite which is a waste transfer station located at 1.1656°S, 36.450°E. Fig 1 is the map of Nairobi Metropolitan showing the waste catchment areas.



**Fig 1: Map of Nairobi metropolitan showing the study areas**

### Study design

The research utilized a cross-sectional study design where data is collected in a point in time without follow-ups.

### **Measurement of gases**

Data collection methods included the use of a multi gas monitor model GX2012 which was calibrated for measuring CO, H<sub>2</sub>S and O<sub>2</sub> with an accuracy level of  $\pm 5\%$ ,  $\pm 5\%$  and  $\pm 0.5\%$  respectively. The dumpsites were divided into 4 quadrats and in each, measurements were taken at random in each quadrant within a height of 2 Meters from the ground. These measurements were taken in triplicates from every quadrat, twice a week during working hours within a month. Control sites about 250 meters away from the edge of dumpsites were also subjected to these measurements. The control sites did not have the characteristics of a dumpsite and waste pickers were not present in those sites.

### **Data processing and analysis**

Data was recorded in field notebooks and transferred to Excel spread sheet in a computer. Before analysis the data was cleaned, checked for discrepancies and missing values before transferring to Statistical Package for Social Scientists (SPSS) version 21.0 for analysis. Among the measures that was analyzed include measures of dispersion and central tendency like mean, standard deviations. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to analyze the data from different parameters in the dumpsite such as CO, H<sub>2</sub>S and O<sub>2</sub>. Association between different dumpsites and parameters were tested using student t-test. The level of significance was considered at 95% (0.05) confidence interval.

## **III. Results And Discussions**

### **Levels of CO, H<sub>2</sub>S and O<sub>2</sub> in the dumpsites**

#### **Oxygen Levels**

The CO, H<sub>2</sub>S and O<sub>2</sub> are end-products of the decomposition of biodegradable wastes and legally considered to be waste products. The results (Table 1) reveal that O<sub>2</sub> levels in Thika dumpsite was 20.53  $\pm 0.21\%$ , 20.6  $\pm 0.23\%$  in Ngong dumpsite and 20.45  $\pm 0.15\%$  in Kawangware dumpsite while control sites recorded 21.00%, 20.90% and 20.8% in Thika, Ngong and Kawangware, respectively with  $\pm 0.1$  standard deviations. The marginal difference among the sites can be linked to the relative difference in the observed irregular fires. The O<sub>2</sub> levels in the three dumpsites were lower as compared to control sites. The OSHA<sup>8</sup> recommends monitoring the O<sub>2</sub> content of the air to ensure safety of workers. The O<sub>2</sub> levels in a work environment should not fall below 18% by volume under normal atmospheric pressure. This would generally cater for a combination of all gases polluting the environment. In this study sporadic fires within the dumpsites can be attributed to varying O<sub>2</sub> levels in the ambient air compared to control sites. There were several smoldering fires in all the dumpsites which were not present in the control sites. When oxygen is adequate, open combustion produces carbon dioxide while carbon monoxide is produced when oxygen is inadequate during combustion.

#### **CO Levels**

The CO levels were 14.5  $\pm 0.42$  ppm in Thika dumpsite, 10  $\pm 0.43$  ppm in Ngong dumpsite and 14.5  $\pm 0.34$  ppm in Kawangware dumpsite (Table 1). The difference among the dumpsites is linked to the intensity of the sporadic fires within the dumpsites. All the sites recorded higher CO levels compared to control sites which were 0 ppm. The incomplete combustion of waste at the dumpsites gave rise to dark smoke with unpleasant smell emanating from non-flaming fires in the studied dumpsites. Large amount of trash deemed unrecoverable was burnt making O<sub>2</sub> inadequate and producing smoke accompanied by foul smell. However, the CO within the study sites was below the set limits of 50 ppm for an 8 hr shift according to factories and other places of work (Hazardous Substances) rules, 2007 hence was deemed safe for dumpsite workers. This does not concur with a study done by Rim-Rukeh,<sup>9</sup> that recorded presence of CO ranging between 133.7 - 141.6 ppm being above regulatory limits at the dumpsites in Nigeria attributed to dumpsite fires. Another study conducted in Metropolitan Manila by Cointreau,<sup>7</sup> showed that Carbon monoxide averaged at 55 mg/M<sup>3</sup> which is five times higher than the WHO 10-hour standard. Mulet *et al.*,<sup>10</sup> observed that, average CO<sub>2</sub> concentration of green building varied between 507 ppm to 564 ppm with a mean 534 ppm and standard deviation of 22.7 which were very high compared to the CO levels in the current study. The current study did not measure the CO<sub>2</sub> levels, it measured CO levels instead.

#### **H<sub>2</sub>S Levels**

The levels of H<sub>2</sub>S gas in both Thika and Ngong dumpsites were 0.25  $\pm 0.1$  ppm, while Kawangware dumpsite recorded 0.5  $\pm 0.1$  ppm (Table 1). Decomposing organic materials and chemicals was also responsible for the foul rotten egg smell in the dumpsites which is a characteristic of H<sub>2</sub>S gas. Higher concentration in Kawangware can be explained by the fact that the site being in an urban location, the quality of air is also impacted by other nearby market activities while the other sites were located in a more open space with less buildings and activities nearby compared to Kawangware site. This allows for quicker and cleaner aeration of the sites that in turn slows down the anaerobic decomposition of organic wastes producing less H<sub>2</sub>S. There were

no observable large amounts of decomposing organic materials in the control sites and this explains the lack of detectable H<sub>2</sub>S gas. The H<sub>2</sub>S gas in this study was below the occupational exposure limit (OEL) of <10ppm<sup>1</sup> for 8 hour shift hence the levels in the dumpsites was safe. In a similar study in Kenya by Mugoet *al.*,<sup>11</sup>(2015), respondents indicated that the health issues of concern in the dumpsites varied by activity. Exposure to airborne hazards were identified as major issues by several operators. There was observed random fires and nuisance due to nauseating pungent odor. In the current study, the same factors were exposed to the participants hence were at risk to similar health issues as observed by Muleiet *al.*,<sup>10</sup> in another similar study. Irungu,<sup>12</sup> while investigating the exposure to H<sub>2</sub>S concentration to workers in Olkaria geothermal power plants, found that in most occurrences (> 80%), the measurements of H<sub>2</sub>S gas ranged between 0.1-1.0ppm hence is comparable to measurement of this study although the site activities cannot be compared. He also indicated that, 3.83 % of the respondents had reported cases of headaches due to H<sub>2</sub>S gas exposure though the same question was not asked the participants in the current study.

**TABLE 1:**  
**Levels of O<sub>2</sub>, CO, &H<sub>2</sub>S in the dumpsites**

Study site	O <sub>2</sub> %			COppm			H <sub>2</sub> S ppm		
	Mean/n±	Range		Mean/n	Range		Mean/n	Range	
Thika	20.53±0.21	20.32	20.74	14.5±0.42	14.08	14.92	0.25±0.1	0.15	0.35
Ngong	20.60±0.23	20.37	20.83	10.0±0.43	9.57	10.43	0.5±0.1	0.6	0.4
Kawangware	20.45±0.15	20.3	20.6	14.5±0.34	14.16	14.84	0.25±0.1	0.15	0.35
Control									
Thika	21.00±0.1	20.0	20.1	0±0.1	-0.1	0.1	0±0.1	-0.1	0.1
Ngong	20.90±0.1	20.8	21.0	0±0.1	-0.1	0.1	0±0.1	-0.1	0.1
Kawangware	20.80±0.1	20.7	20.9	0±0.1	-0.1	0.1	0±0.1	-0.1	0.1

**Key:** ppm-parts per million, O<sub>2</sub>-oxygen, CO-carbon monoxide, H<sub>2</sub>S- hydrogen sulfide, R-range, n-average/mean, ±-plus or minus

According to Li *et al.*,<sup>13</sup> exposure to H<sub>2</sub>S within 2.0 -7.0 ppm levels may cause nausea, tearing of the eyes, headaches, loss of sleep and airway problems. The population identified as vulnerable to these dumpsites gases include; workers at the dumpsites, residents around the dumpsite, animals seen grazing and dogs roaming about the dumpsites, and largely the entire environment. In general, dumpsites cause groundwater contamination through leaching and air pollution through emissions and smoke therefore posing significant risks on the environment and its inhabitants. Clean air is essential to maintaining delicate balance of life on this planet not just for humans, but wildlife, vegetation, water and soil.

#### Statistical analysis of H<sub>2</sub>S, O<sub>2</sub> and CO levels in the dumpsites

The inferential statistics using student t-test are presented in Table 2. The mean O<sub>2</sub> levels at the control sites ( $M \pm = 20.90\% \pm 0.10$ ) was significantly higher than that at the dump sites ( $M \pm = 20.53\%, \pm 0.08$ ). The probability (Sig. = 0.72) for  $F = 0.14$  is greater than 0.05, the variance of the mean O<sub>2</sub> percentage levels between the dumps and control sites were equal. Therefore, there was statistical significance difference between mean O<sub>2</sub> levels in the dumpsites and control sites,  $t(4) = -5.17, p = 0.01$  in this study.

The mean CO gas was significantly higher at the dumpsites ( $M \pm = 13.00$  ppm,  $\pm 2.60$ ) than at the control sites ( $M \pm = 0.00$  ppm,  $\pm 0.00$ ). The probability (Sig. = 0.02) for  $F = 16.00$  was less than 0.05, the variance of the mean CO between the dumping and control sites were not equal. Therefore there was statistical significance mean difference of CO between dumping and control sites,  $t(2) = 8.67, p = 0.01$ . Muleiet *al.*,<sup>10</sup> in a similar study observed that performing t-test for carbon dioxide across the buildings indicate a significant variation between green buildings and non-green buildings. In the case of the current study there were significant variations between the dumpsite mean carbon monoxide and the control sites.

The mean H<sub>2</sub>S was significantly high at the dumping sites ( $M \pm = 0.042$  ppm,  $\pm 0.14$ ) compared to control sites ( $M \pm = 0.00$  ppm,  $\pm 0.00$ ). Since the probability (Sig. = 0.02) for  $F = 16.00$  was less than 0.05, the variance of the mean H<sub>2</sub>S between the dumping and control sites were not equal. Thus there was statistical significance mean difference of H<sub>2</sub>S between dumping and control sites,  $t(2) = 5.00, p = 0.04$ .

**TABLE 2:**  
**Statistical analysis of H<sub>2</sub>S, O<sub>2</sub> and CO levels in the dumpsites**

Levene's Test for Equality of Variances				t-test for Equality of Means						
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	SE Difference	95% CI of the Difference	
									L	U
Mean O <sub>2</sub> (%)	EVA	0.14	0.72	-5.17	4.00	0.01	-0.37	0.07	-0.57	-0.17
	EVNA			-5.17	3.71	0.01	-0.37	0.07	-0.58	-0.17
Mean CO (ppm)	EVA	16.0	0.02	8.67	4.00	0.00	13.00	1.50	8.84	17.16
	EVNA			8.67	2.00	0.01	13.00	1.50	6.55	19.45
Mean H <sub>2</sub> S (ppm)	EVA	16.0	0.02	5.00	4.00	0.01	0.42	0.08	0.19	0.65
	EVNA			5.00	2.00	0.04	0.42	0.08	0.06	0.78

**Key:** EVA- Equal variances assumed, EVNA- Equal variances not assumed, SE- Std. Error, df-degree of freedom, O<sub>2</sub>-Oxygen, H<sub>2</sub>S-Hydrogen sulphide, CO-Carbon monoxide, L-lower bound, U-upper bound, t- 2tailed test, F-f test, CI-Confidence interval

Table 3 shows analysis of variance of H<sub>2</sub>S, CO and O<sub>2</sub>. The one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) revealed that the  $F(2, 3) = 0.111$ ,  $p = 0.90$  hence there was no significance difference in the mean H<sub>2</sub>S among Kawangware, Thika and Ngong' dumping sites. The O<sub>2</sub> analysis showed that the  $F(2, 3) = 0.164$ ,  $p = 0.86$  hence there was no significance difference in the mean O<sub>2</sub> levels among Kawangware, Thika and Ngong' dumping sites. The results for CO were  $F(2, 3) = 0.039$ ,  $p = 0.96$  therefore there was no significance difference in the mean CO between Kawangware, Thika and Ngong' dumping sites. This can be explained by the fact that all are dumpsites receive similar waste and activities that take place within the dumpsites are almost similar in nature such as waste burning that releases smoke.

**TABLE 3:**  
**Analysis of variance of H<sub>2</sub>S, O<sub>2</sub> and CO measurements in the dumpsites**

Parameters		Sum of squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Mean O <sub>2</sub> (%)	Between Groups	0.02	2	0.01	0.164	0.86
	Within Groups	0.22	3	0.07		
	Total	0.24	5			
Mean CO (ppm)	Between Groups	6.75	2	3.38	0.039	0.96
	Within Groups	260.25	3	86.75		
	Total	267.00	5			
Mean H <sub>2</sub> S (ppm)	Between Groups	0.02	2	0.01	0.111	0.90
	Within Groups	0.28	3	0.09		
	Total	0.30	5			

**Key:** %-percentage, df-degree of freedom, Sig-level of significance (p-value), F-f test, ppm-parts per million,

Staff working in the selected dumpsites were exposed to different hazards and gases as discussed earlier although all the gasses were within the OEL<sup>8</sup>. There is a prohibition under the Public Health Act Cap 242 section 115 against causing nuisance or condition injurious or dangerous to human health. Such nuisance or conditions are defined under section 188 as wastes, sewers, drains or refuse pits in such a state, situated or constructed as in the opinion of the medical officer of health to be offensive or injurious to health. Noxious matter discharged from any premises into a public space is also deemed as a nuisance. Management of OSH so as to protect the staff and public is a responsibility of the organization involved and has legal consequences<sup>14</sup>.

#### IV. Conclusion And Recommendations

The study revealed that CO, and H<sub>2</sub>S within the dumpsites were higher compared to the control sites. There was statistical significance difference between the dumpsites and control sites ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) on the levels of O<sub>2</sub>, CO and H<sub>2</sub>S levels in this study. However, these parameters were below the occupational exposure limits according to different established occupational safety and health standards. These gasses and noxious matter discharged remains a nuisance to the workers and the environment albeit being within the occupational accepted exposure limits.

The presence of these gases in the dumpsites calls for an effective dumpsite gas monitoring and control design in order to prevent increase to elevated levels thus protecting dump workers. This is important because many dumpsites are located near residential areas hence continue posing serious problems with regard to waste generation and waste disposal. The continued use of the dumpsites will also continue to pose a risk to air quality, therefore engineered dumpsite systems located on geologically impermeable soils is recommended.

#### **Acknowledgments:**

We the authors acknowledge the waste handlers and county officials for allowing us to conduct the study in the dumpsites. We are also grateful to JICA BRIGHT project for providing gas monitoring equipment.

#### **Reference**

- [1]. World Health Organization. Public health and environment in the African region: 2012-2013 report on the work of WHO, 2014.
- [2]. Panyako, T. J. *Quantification of Methane and Heavy Metals Levels in Leachate. A Case Study of Dandora Dumpsite, Nairobi, Kenya* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Nairobi), 2016.
- [3]. Karak, T., Bhagat, R. M., & Bhattacharyya, P. Municipal solid waste generation, composition, and management: the world scenario. *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*. 2012; 42(15), 1509-1630.
- [4]. Tampa, T. Five years on east Pasco landfill proposal still in dispute. *New York Washington DC*, 2011.
- [5]. Tsuma, J. P. E., Wandiga, S. O., & Abong'o, D. A. Methane and heavy metals Levels from leachates at Dandora dumpsite, Nairobi County, Kenya. *Journal of Applied Chemistry*. 2016; 9(9): 39-46
- [6]. Ramos, N. F., A. B. De Castilhos, F. A. Forcellini, and Graciolli, O. D. "Profile study of waste pickers in Brazil: requirements for the development of a collection vehicle and optimized routing," *Journal of Urban and Environmental Engineering*. 2013; 7(2): 231-246.
- [7]. Cointreau, S. *Occupational and Environmental Health Issues of Solid Waste Management*, World Bank, Washington, DC, USA, 2006.
- [8]. OSHA. Occupational Safety and Health Act of year 2007. The government printer Nairobi, 2007.
- [9]. Rim-Rukeh, A. An Assessment of the Contribution of Municipal Solid Waste Dump Sites Fire to Atmospheric Pollution. *Open Journal of Air Pollution*. 2014; 3(1): 53-60.
- [10]. Mulei, D. N., Njogu, P. M., & Wekesa, D. W. Post Occupancy Energy Efficiency and Indoor Environment Performance in Selected Commercial Buildings in Nairobi, Kenya. *International Journal*. 2019; 5(1): 69.
- [11]. Mugo, K.K. Gichanga, J.M, Gatebe, E. and Njogu P.M. Assessment of the Safety and Health Hazards in Existing Dumpsites in Kenya. *Sustainable Research and Innovation (SRI) Conference*; 6 - 8 May, 2015.
- [12]. Irungu, G. P. *Impacts of Ambient Hydrogen Sulphide Exposure to Workers in Olkaria Geothermal Power Station, Kenya* (Doctoral dissertation, JKUAT), 2017.
- [13]. Li, X., Wang, Y., Lei, Y., & Gu, Z. Highly sensitive H<sub>2</sub>S sensor based on template-synthesized CuO nanowires. *Rsc Advances*. 2012; 2(6): 2302-2307.
- [14]. GoK. The Constitution of Kenya 2010. The Government of Kenya constitution. Nairobi: Government Printers, 2010.

Mwaura Hashim, et. al. "Occupational Exposure to Fugitive gases (CO and H<sub>2</sub>S) and Altered Oxygen levels Among Waste Handlers in selected dumpsites in Kenya." *IOSR Journal of Environmental Science, Toxicology and Food Technology (IOSR-JESTFT)*, 15(1), (2021): pp 28-33.