# Effect of Uranium Toxicity on Spirulina platensis widespread

# Asmaa A. Mohamed

Corresponding Author: Asmaa A. Mohamed

**Abstract:** Spirulina is an organism that grows in both fresh and salt water. It is a type of cyanobacteria, which is a family of single-cellJust like plants, cyanobacteria can produce energy from sunlight via a process called photosynthesis. Spirulina is a biomass of cyanobacteria (blue-green algae) that can be consumed by humans and animals. The two species are Arthrospira platensis and A. maxima.

Cultivated worldwide, Arthrospira is used as a dietary supplement or whole food.[1] It is also used as a feed supplement in the aquaculture, aquarium, and poultry industries.

Spirulina was consumed by the ancient Aztecs but became popular again when NASA proposed that it could be grown in space for use by astronauts.

The effect of uranium on spirulina was evaluated. The experimental results showed that uranium has bad effects on spirulina

Key words: Uranium; spirulina platensis, effects

Date of Submission: 09-10-2019

Date of Acceptance: 25-10-2019

\_\_\_\_\_

# I. Introduction

Actually removal of heavy metal ions from waste water can be carried out by various physiochemical techniques such asadsorption (Lee et al., 2012(Vullo et al., 2008). Biosorptionis an alternative cheap, efficient and ecofriendly tool can be applied for this target. In fact some microorganisms, such as bacteria, fungi or algea, are used to remove heavy metals and environmental contaminants(Wang and Chen, 2009).Uranium ions from aqueous solutions can be removed by different biomasses such as fungi,algae andunicellularbacteria(Khani, 2006).

Algal species either in living or in chemically modified form had been employed for the removal of uranium (Leeet al., 2014). However, an improvement in adsorption capacityof microbial biomasses can be carried out by modification with various ligands (Ding et al. 2014). Among algae species, Spirulina platensis is a photosynthetic filamentous cyanobacterium that has a biotechnological importance due its high protein content (about 70%) and its type of lipids (g-linolenic acid) and carbohydrate content that can be applied in nutritional and environmental technology. It was used as abioaccumulator and sorbent of different metals and radioactive ions (Zinicovscaia and Cepoi ,2016).

#### Materials

# **II.** Materials and methods

All chemicals used in this study were analytical grade and distilled water was used to prepare all the solutions.Uranium nitrate obtained from INC,USA, it was used for the preparation of stock solution (1000 mgL<sup>-1</sup>).Dry Spirulina platensis biomass at room temperature prior to use.

#### Methods

#### Instrumentation

The modified and unmodified algal biomass were characterized using a Fourier Transform Infra-red spectrometer (FTIR-Is10), Shimadzu Tokyo, Japan) to establish the functional groups present.

The concentration of metal pollutants in the waste effluent sample was determined using ICP Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectrometer .All pH measurements were measured by using a calibrated pH meter equipped with a standard colomel electrode (SCE) and the adsorption medium pH was adjusted by using 0.1 M HCl or NaOH solutions.Thermostated water bath shaker model C.F.C 3018 was used to shake the samples at the required shaking speed and temperature.Environmental Scanning Electron Microscope (ESEM) with unit was used toshow the morphological character of the modified algal biomass and confirm the adsorption of uranium on its surface .

### **Batch experiments**

Adsorption experiments were performed using a batch system. It was carried out at  $25\pm2^{\circ}C$  and shaked at 150 rpm for various time periods, at different PH, algal bioass dosage, uranium concentrations. After adsorption process, the algal biomass was decanted by filtration, and the concentration of U(VI) in themedium was determined by using titration method according to (**Daveis and Gray, 1964**).

The amount of U(VI) adsorbed per unit of algal biomass (mg U(VI)/g dry biomass) was obtained by using the following equation:

 $q = (C_o - C_e)V/m$ 

Where, q is the amount of U(VI) adsorbed onto the unitmass of the algal biomass (mg/g),  $C_o$  and  $C_e$  are the concentrations of the U(VI) before and after adsorption(mg/L), V is the volume of the aqueous phase (L), and mis the amount of the biomass (g).

### **III. Results and Discussion**

The changes in the functional groups and surface properties of the algal biomass are presented in (Fig.2.(b) and (c) respectively). A new sharp intense peaks at 2360 and 2342 cm<sup>-1</sup> were observed ithat could be attributed to C=N stretching vibration. By the addition of biomasses the intensity of the band at 2360 decreased and the band at 2342 disappeared confirming the change in the functional groups on algal biomass surface after modification.

FTIR spectra of native and modified algal biomasses also revealed that the surface functional groups (such as -COOH, -NH2, and - OH) on the tested biosorbents were involved in U(VI) biosorption. It also confirms that the algal cell wall structural polymers such as lipids, carbohydrates, and proteins were possibly included in the biosorption process through hydroxyl, carboxyl and amino groups that might be enriched by modification improving the biosorption capacity. deprotonate and become negatively charged resulting in an enrichment of the modified algal biomass surface with negative charges improving biosorption capacity of modified algal biomass (**Movileanu et al., 2000**).

Dead biomass (heat, acid, and/or chemically treated) had great biosorption capacity, might be due to the uncovering of mask binding sites. The two principle mechanism involved in biosorption appear to be the ion exchange where ions such as  $Na^+,K^+$ ,  $Mg^{2+}$  and  $Ca^{2+}$  became displaced by heavy metals ions, and the complexion between metal ions and various functional groups such as carboxyl, amine and hydroxyl that can interact with heavy metal ions (**Donat et al., 2009; Bayramoglu and Arica, 2011**).

**Vijayaraghavan et al., 2018** showed that carboxyl groups were the dominant anionic functional group involved in uranium adsorption of marine unicellular cyanobacterium Synechococcus elongatus BDU130911, which validates an ionic interaction between the biomass and uranium, a cationic metal.

# Effect of U(VI) Spirulina platensis dry biomasses

Initial pH plays an important role in the adsorption of uranium ion from the aqueous medium. It influences both the binding sites present on the surface of algal biomass and the speciation of uranium ion in the aqueous medium (**Rippka et al., 1979; Bayramoglu and Arica, 2009**).

**Ghasemi et al., 2011** that showed the optimal pH for adsorption of uranium ions by Cystoseira indica was at pH 4.0 and **Bhat et al., 2008** who reported that pH 4.5 was the best for uranium removal by Catenella repens, a red alga also **Erkaya et al., 2014** showed pH 4.5 the optimal pH for Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. The reason for maximum adsorption of uranium at pH 4.0 could be due to dissociation of groups on the surface of the biomass like amino, carboxyl and hydroxyl groups resulted more negative charges and lower numbers of competing hydrogen ions resulting in greater metal sorption capacity, However further increase in pH result in precipitation of U(VI) in the form of hydroxide complex due to lower polarity of metal decreasing metal adsorption ability of modified biomass (**Kumar et al., 2007**).

#### Effect of algal biomass dosage

increase in U(VI) removal. the incremental U(VI) removal became less significant, therefore, of modified algal biomass was used in further U(VI) removal studies. The increase in algal biomass dose resulted increase the functional groups and surface area enhancing adsorption capacity of modified algal biomass (**Ilhan et al., 2004**).

#### Effect of contact time on adsorption of uranium

The change in contact time from results in an increase in U(VI) removalof the experimental duration the adsorption decreased progressively during of contact with the uranium ions, this may be due to the break of the bonds between the algal cell and the uranium ions, similar results were reported by (Abd El Monsef et al., 2014).

Effect of initial uranium ion concentration on adsorption of uranium The adsorption rate increased with increasing initial concentration of U(VI) from 10-500 mgL<sup>-1</sup>, this increase was related to collisions between U(VI) and the modified algal biomass which is attributed to the fact that concentration is the driving force for metal ions adsorption (Ilhan et al., 2004).significant increase in uranium removal which may be attributed to the saturation of the binding sites (Benhima et al., 2008).

The latter mixture shaked then filtered. The remaining U(VI) concentration in the supernatant was measured to evaluate the desorptionpercentage and then used for the next sorption–desorption cycle. It showed high efficiency

In the present study, different isotherm models were applied to describe interactions between the U(VI) and quercetin-NaOH modified algal biomass. Modeling of the experimental isotherm data has been done using the Langmuir (Langmuir 1919), Freundlich (Freundlich 1906) and D-R(Dubinin and Radushkevich 1947). The Langmuir model can be described by the following linearized equation:

 $C_e/q_e = (1/q_{max}) C_e + (1/q_{max}) b$  (1)

where qmax is the maximum adsorption capacity  $(mg/g), C_e$  is the equilibrium U(VI) concentration in solution (mg/L), and b is the Langmuir constant.

The Freundlich isotherm can be expressed as follows:

 $\ln q_e = \ln K_F + 1/n \ln c_e(2)$ 

where K<sub>F</sub> is the Freundlich constant andn is adsorptionintensity, respectively.

The D-R isotherm can be expressed as follow:

 $\ln q e = \ln q m - K \epsilon^{2} (3)$ 

where qm is the theoretical monolayer adsorption capacity(mg/g), K is the constant of the sorption energy (mol<sup>2</sup>/J2).  $\varepsilon$  is Polanyipotential  $\varepsilon$ =RT ln (1+1 / Ce), where T is the solution temperature (K) and R is the gas constant and is equal to 8.314 J/mol·K.E (kJ/mol), can be calculated from D-R parameter K [E=(-2K)<sup>-1/2</sup>].

The Langmuir isotherm model well fitted to experimental data since its  $R^2$  value was 0.998 than other two models. Also, theoretical maximum adsorption capacity was nearest to experimental data. It indicates to homogeneous and monolayer biosorbent surface. Physical adsorption is considered since E value obtained from D-R model

# Kinetics of adsorption

Pseudo-first-orderand Pseudo-second-order models were applied to examine the rate-controlling mechanism of adsorption process ( **HO and Mckay, 1998**). ThePseudo-first-order rate equation is given as  $log(q_e-q_t)=logq_e-(k_1/2.303)t$ 

where  $q_e (mg/g)$  is the amount of metal ion adsorbed at equilibrium,

and  $q_t (mg/g)$  is the amount of adsorbed metal ion

adsorbed at time t where  $k_1$  is the first-order adsorption rate constant (min<sup>-1</sup>).

pseudo-second-order equation is given as

 $t/q_t = 1/k_2 q_e^2 + t/q_e$ 

where  $k_2$  is the second-order adsorption rate constant

 $(g mg^{-1} min^{-1})$  and qe is the adsorption capacity calculated

by the pseudo-second-order kinetic model (mg/g).

Its correlation coefficients( $\mathbb{R}^2$ ) was higher and its calculated adsorption capacities (qe<sub>,cal</sub>) agree with the corresponding experimental adsorption capacities (qe<sub>,exp</sub>)indicating that U(VI) adsorp by chemical or surface complexation mechanism rather than mass transport. As seen from isothermal models Both physical and chemical adsorptions may occur on the algal biomass surface at the same time(**Bayramoglu et al.,2015**).

# Thermodynamic

The effect of temperature on U(VI) adsorption was investigated at three different temperatures at optimized conditions. It was found that U(VI) adsorption increase with increasing the temperature indicating the endothermic nature of the process which could be attributed to increase on probability of interaction between functional groups on algalbiomass surface and U(VI). The thermodynamic parameters of U(VI) adsorption on  $\ln Kc = -\Delta H^{\circ}/RT + \Delta S^{\circ}/R$ 

 $\Delta G^{\circ} = -\Delta H^{\circ} - T \Delta S^{\circ}$ 

Where, Kc (=qe/Ce) equilibrium constant in molar unit, ( $\Delta H^{\circ}$ ) enthalpy change, ( $\Delta S^{\circ}$ )entropy change, and( $\Delta G^{\circ}$ ) Gibbs free energy change the biosorption process was feasible and spontaneous as showed by negative value of Gibbs freeenergy change ( $\Delta G^{\circ}$ ). Furthermore, the positive values of  $\Delta H^{\circ}$  and  $\Delta S^{\circ}$  suggested the endothermic nature of the process and the increase inrandomness at the solid-solution interface during U(VI).

#### **IV.** Conclusions

Uranium has bad effects on spirulina.

#### References

- Bayramoglu, G.; Arica, M. Y. (2009). Construction a hybrid biosorbent using Scenedesmus quadricauda and Ca-alginate for [1]. adsorption of Cu(II), Zn(II) and Ni(II): Kinetics and equilibrium studies. Bioresour. Technol .100:186-193.
- Benhima, H. M.; Chiban, F.; Sinan, P.; Seta and Persin, M. (2008). Removal of lead and cadmium ions from aqueous solution by [2]. adsorption onto micro-particles of dry plants.Colloid Surf. Biointerfaces, 61: 10-16.
- Bhat, S. V.; Melo, J. S.; Chaugule, B. B.; D'Souza, S. F. (2008). Adsorption characteristicsof uranium(VI) from aqueous medium [3]. onto Catenellarepens, a red alga. J. Hazard Mater. 158:628-635.
- [4]. Da browski, A.; Hubicki, Z.; Podko'scielny, P.; Robens, E. (2004).Selective removal of the heavy metal ions from waters and industrial wastewaters by ion-exchange method. Chemosphere. 56:91-106.
- [5]. Daveis, W.and Gray, W.A. (1964): Rapid and specific titrametric methods for the precise determination of U using FeSO4 as reductant. Talanta. 11: 1203-1211.
- Ding, D. X.; Xin, X.; Li, L.; Hu, N.; Li, G. Y.; Wang, Y. D. and Fu, P. K. (2014). Removal and recovery of U(VI) from low [6]. concentration radioactive wastewater by ethylenediamine-modified biomass of Aspergillus niger. Water.Air.Soil.Pollut.225:2206.
- [7]. Donat, R.; Cılgi, G. K.; Aytas, S.; Cetisli, H. (2009). Thermodynamics parameters and sorption of U(VI) on ACSD. J. Radioanal.Nucl. Chem 279:271-280.
- [8]. Dubinin, M. M.; Radushkevich, L. V. (1947). The equation of the characteristiccurve of the activated charcoal. Proc. Acad. Sci .USSR Phys. Chem. Soc. 55:331-333.
- Erkaya, I. A.; Arica, M. Y.; Akbulut, A.; Bayramoglu, G. (2014). Adsorption of uranium (VI) by free and entrapped [9]. Chlamydomonas reinhardtii:kinetic, equilibrium and thermodynamic studies. J. Radioanal Nucl.Chem. 299:1993-2003.
- [10]. Freundlich, H. (1906). Over the adsorption in solution. J. Phys. Chem. 57:385–389.
- Ghasemi, M.; Keshtkar, A. R.; Dabbagh, R.; Safdari, S. J. (2011). Adsorption ofuranium(VI) from aqueous solutions by Ca-[11]. pretreated Cystoseiraindica alga: Breakthrough curves studies and modeling. J. HazardMater. 189:141-149.
- [12]. Gu"risik, E.; Arica, M. Y.; Bektas, S.; Genc, O." (2004). Comparison of the heavy metal biosorption capacity of active, heatinactivated and NaOH-treated phanerochaete chrysosporium biosorbents. Eng. Life Sci. 4 (1): 86-89.
- [13]. Jurasekova, Z., et al. (2012). Adsorption and catalysis of flavonoid quercetin on different plasmonic metal nanoparticles monitored by SERS. J. Raman Spectrosc. 43 (12) :1913-1919.
- Khani, M. H. (2006). Biosorption of uranium from aqueous solutions by nonliving biomass of marine algae, Cystoseira [14]. indica.Journal of Biotechnology.9(2): 101-108.
- Kumar, P.Y.; King, P.; Prasad, V.S.R.K. (2007). Adsorption of zinc from aqueous solution using marine green algae-Ulva fasciata [15]. sp. J. Chemical Eng. 129: 161-166.
- [16]. Langmuir, I. (1919). The adsorption of gases on plane surfaces of gas, micaand platinum. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 40:1361–1403.
- Lee, S.M.; Laldawngliana, C.; Tiwari, D. (2012). Iron oxide nano-particles-immobilized-sand material in the treatment of Cu (II), [17]. Cd (II) and Pb (II) contaminated waste waters.J. Chem. Eng. 195: 103-111.
- [18]. Lee, K. Y.; Kim, K. W.; Baek, Y. J.; Chung, D.Y.; Lee, E. H.; Lee, S. Y.; Moon, J. K. (2014). Adsorption of uranium(VI) from aqueous solution by biomass of brown algae Laminaria japonica. Water. Sci. Technol. 70: 136-143.
- [19]. Movileanu, L.; Neagoe, I.; Flonta, M. L. (2000). Interaction of the antioxidant flavonoid quercetin with planar lipid bilayers. J. Int. Pharm. 205: 135-146.
- [20]. Rippka, R.; Deruelles, J.; Waterbury, J.; Herdman, M.; Stanier, R. (1979). Generic assignments, strain histories and properties of pure cultures of cyanobacteria. J. Gen. Microbiol. 111:1-61.
- Sakaguchi, T. and Nakajima, A. (1987). Accumulation of uranium by biopigments. 40(2):133-141. [21].
- Wang, D.; Zhou, S.; Liu, L.; Du, L.; Wang, J.; Huang, Z., M. L.; Ding, S.; Zhang, D.; Wang, R.; Jin, Y., Xia, C. (2015). The [22]. influence of different hydroponic conditions on thorium uptake by Brassica juncea var. Foliosa. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 22: 6941-6949.
- [23].
- Wang, J.; Chen,C. (2009).Biosorbents for heavy metals removal and their future. Biotechnol.Adv.2: 195-226.
  Wang, L.; Tu, YC, Lian, T. W.; Hung, J. T.; Yen, J. H.; Wu, M. J. (2006). Distinctive antioxidant and anti-inflammatory [24]. effects of flavonols. J. Agric. Food. Chem. 54: 9798-9804.
- [25]. Vijayaraghavan, R.; Ellappan, V.; Dharmar, P.and Lakshmanan, U. (2018). Preferential adsorption of uranium by functional groups of the marine unicellular cyanobacterium Synechococcus elongatus BDU130911.Biotech.8:170.
- [26]. Vullo, D. L.; Ceretti, H. M.; Daniel, M. A.; Ramírez, S. A. M.; Zalts, A. (2008). Cadmium, zinc and copper biosorption mediated by Pseudomonas veronii 2E. Bioresour. Technol.99: 5574-5581.
- Xie, S. B.; J. Yang, C.; Chen, X. J.; Zhang, Q. L.; Wang, C.; Zhang, C. (2008). Study on biosorption kinetics and thermodynamics [27]. of uranium by Citrobacterfreudii. J. Environ. Radiact. 99: 126-133.
- [28]. Zinicovscaia, I.; Cepoi, L. (2016). Cyanobacteria for Bioremediation of Wastewaters. Switzerland: Springer.

Asmaa A. Mohamed. " Effect of Uranium Toxicity on Spirulina platensis widespread." IOSR Journal of Environmental Science, Toxicology and Food Technology (IOSR-JESTFT) 13.10 (2019): 67-70. \_\_\_\_\_