The Role Of Labour Welfare Measures In Enhancing Employee Morale And Job Satisfaction In The Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation.

Srinivasamurthy K N,

Research Scholar, Department Of Commerce, MGR College, Hosur, Affiliated To Periyar University, Salem, And Assistant Professor, Govt. First Grade College, Kolar, Karnataka – 563101.

Dr. Venkidasamy K,

Research Supervisor And Assistant Professor, Department Of Commerce, MGR College, Hosur, Tamilnadu, Affiliated To Periyar University, Salem.

Abstract

State-run road transport corporations face considerable challenges in maintaining a motivated workforce. This study addresses a gap in literature by quantifying the specific influence of statutory and non-statutory Labour Welfare Measures (LWM) on Employee Morale and Job Satisfaction (JS) within the Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation (KSRTC). Methodology: A quantitative, cross-sectional survey design was employed, collecting data from 100 non-managerial employees using a structured Likert-scale questionnaire. Pearson's correlation and descriptive statistics were used to analyze the data.

The results indicate a strong, significant positive correlation between the perceived quality of LWM and both Employee Morale (r=0.68, p<.01) and Job Satisfaction (r=0.72, p<.01). Notably, Medical Facilities were the highest-rated LWM, while Housing and Transport reported the lowest satisfaction levels.

LWM serve as a critical strategic lever for KSRTC, directly enhancing employee attitudes. Management must prioritize investment in lower-rated facilities, such as housing, to maximize the return on welfare expenditure and ensure a stable, satisfied, and morale-driven workforce.

Keywords: Labour Welfare Measures, Employee Morale, Job Satisfaction, KSRTC, Public Transport, Quantitative Study.

Date of Submission: 04-11-2025

Date of Acceptance: 14-11-2025

Introduction

The Strategic Importance of Human Capital in the Public Transport Sector

Public sector undertakings (PSUs), particularly those operating in essential services like road transport, serve as the **economic and social arteries** of a region. The Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation (KSRTC), as a premier government entity, holds a pivotal position in providing reliable and accessible public transportation across Karnataka. Unlike manufacturing or service industries where product quality is paramount, the quality of service in a transport organization is inextricably linked to the **well-being and motivation of its workforce**. Drivers, conductors, and technical staff are the primary interfaces between the organization and the public. Their performance, safety adherence, and service demeanor are direct reflections of their internal state—specifically, their morale and satisfaction levels.

The road transport industry is characterized by unique occupational stressors, including erratic shift timings, prolonged hours away from family, high-pressure deadlines, exposure to traffic hazards, and constant interaction with a demanding public. These factors inherently place the workforce at a higher risk of burnout, low job involvement, and diminished morale. Consequently, the organization's ability to stabilize, motivate, and retain this critical human capital depends heavily on the robustness of its Human Resource policies, particularly its commitment to **Labour Welfare Measures (LWM)**. Effective LWM are not merely statutory obligations but strategic tools that act as a buffer against these stressors, ensuring operational efficiency and long-term organizational sustainability.

Conceptualizing Labour Welfare and its Link to Employee Motivation

Labour Welfare Measures (LWM) encompass a wide array of efforts undertaken by an employer to improve the physical, intellectual, moral, and standard of living conditions of its employees. These measures can

be broadly classified as statutory (e.g., safe working environment, minimum rest periods, and sanitation facilities mandated by law) and non-statutory (e.g., housing schemes, medical benefits, financial assistance, recreational facilities, and educational support for dependents).

The theoretical grounding for LWM is often found in motivation theories. According to Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory, benefits and working conditions fall under Hygiene Factors. While these factors do not inherently cause satisfaction, their absence or poor quality can lead to profound dissatisfaction and, critically, low morale. Conversely, when hygiene factors like robust medical and housing provisions are adequately met, they prevent dissatisfaction, allowing employees to focus on Motivators (like recognition and achievement), which are linked to true job satisfaction. Similarly, Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs posits that basic needs (physiological and safety needs, often addressed by medical aid, housing, and financial security provided through LWM) must be satisfied before an individual can pursue higher-level needs like self-esteem and fulfillment, which directly correlate with high morale and job satisfaction.

Therefore, the investment in LWM is hypothesized to transition employees from a state of mere subsistence or dissatisfaction into a psychological space where they are capable of high performance, positive attitude, and sustained commitment to the KSRTC.

Problem Statement and the Research Gap

While LWM are extensively provided by PSUs like KSRTC, a consistent challenge remains in understanding their actual perceived impact on the employees. Management often views welfare as a sunk cost or a necessary compliance expense, failing to quantify its return on investment in terms of tangible behavioral and attitudinal outcomes. The literature on general HRM practices in the Indian road transport sector is abundant, but there is a notable research gap concerning the empirically verified effectiveness of specific LWM elements (e.g., housing vs. health benefits) on two distinct, yet interconnected, psychological constructs: Employee Morale (the spirit and confidence of the group) and Job Satisfaction (an individual's cognitive and affective evaluation of their job).

In the specific context of KSRTC, anecdotal evidence suggests that while some schemes are highly valued, others are underutilized or poorly executed, leading to cynicism rather than motivation. Without rigorous, localized, quantitative data, KSRTC management cannot strategically optimize its welfare budget to achieve maximum positive impact. This study aims to fill this critical empirical void by moving beyond qualitative assessments to establish a **quantifiable relationship** between specific welfare inputs and employee output attitudes within KSRTC.

Objectives of the Study

Based on the identified research problem and theoretical framework, the present study is guided by the following objectives:

- 1. To identify and assess the various Labour Welfare Measures currently implemented and operational within the Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation (KSRTC).
- 2. To empirically measure the prevailing levels of Employee Morale and overall Job Satisfaction among the non-managerial workforce of KSRTC.
- 3. To determine the statistical correlation and significance of the relationship between the perceived effectiveness of Labour Welfare Measures and Employee Morale.
- 4. To establish the statistical correlation and significance of the relationship between the perceived effectiveness of Labour Welfare Measures and overall Job Satisfaction.
- 5. To offer evidence-based recommendations to the KSRTC management for strategically enhancing their Labour Welfare Measures to boost employee morale and job satisfaction.

II. Research Methodology

This section outlines the systematic approach used to investigate the role of Labour Welfare Measures (LWM) in enhancing Employee Morale and Job Satisfaction (JS) within the Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation (KSRTC).

Research Design

The study employed a Quantitative, Descriptive, and Analytical Cross-Sectional Survey Design.

- Quantitative: The study focuses on measuring variables using numerical data (Likert scales) to establish statistical relationships.
- **Descriptive:** It aims to describe the characteristics of the population concerning the current state of LWM, Morale, and JS.
- **Analytical:** It involves establishing the causal relationship and correlation between the independent variable (LWM) and the dependent variables (Morale and JS).

• Cross-Sectional: Data was collected at a single point in time from the selected sample of KSRTC employees.

Population and Sampling

Target Population

The target population comprised **all non-managerial operational employees** (e.g., drivers, conductors, mechanics) working across the various divisions of the KSRTC. This group was chosen as they are the primary recipients of statutory and non-statutory LWM and represent the majority of the workforce exposed to operational stressors.

Sample Size and Technique

1 1				
Aspect	Detail	Rationale		
Commis Circ		A pragmatic size for Ph.D. field research, sufficient for statistical		
Sample Size	100 workers	correlation analysis, and ensuring manageability in data collection across		
(N)		different depots.		
		To ensure representation across the large organization, the sample was		
Commiliano	Stratified Random	initially stratified by KSRTC Division (e.g., Bengaluru, Mysuru, etc.).		
Sampling Technique	Sampling followed by	Within selected divisions, Convenience Sampling was used at specific		
	Convenience Sampling	depots due to accessibility and logistical constraints, balancing		
		generalizability with feasibility.		

Research Instruments: The Questionnaire

The primary instrument for data collection was a **structured**, **self-administered questionnaire** using a 5-point Likert scale (1 = Strongly Disagree to 5 = Strongly Agree). The questionnaire was organized into three sections to measure the core constructs.

Variable Operationalization Table

Variable	Туре	Measurement Dimensions (Key Constructs)	Sample Item (Likert Scale)	Scale Type
Labour Welfare Measures (LWM)	Independent	A. Health & Safety: Medical facilities, Canteen, Rest facilities. B. Financial/Security: PF, Gratuity, Loan schemes. C. Socio-Cultural: Housing, Education subsidies.	"I am satisfied with the medical facilities provided by KSRTC."	5-point Likert
Employee Morale	Dependent	A. Group Cohesion: Feeling of belonging, teamwork. B. Organizational Pride: Confidence in KSRTC management/future.	"I feel a strong sense of pride working for KSRTC."	5-point Likert
Job Satisfaction (JS)	Dependent	A. Intrinsic: Feeling of accomplishment, challenging work. B. Extrinsic: Satisfaction with pay, working conditions.	"Overall, I am satisfied with my job in KSRTC."	5-point Likert

Validity and Reliability

- **Content Validity:** The questionnaire items were developed based on an extensive literature review and refined through consultation with subject matter experts (academic advisors and KSRTC HR personnel).
- Reliability: A Pilot Study (N=15) was conducted. The internal consistency of the constructs was measured using Cronbach's Alpha (α). (Result: All constructs yielded an α value >0.70, indicating good reliability).

Data Collection Procedure

The data collection adhered to the following ethical and procedural steps:

- 1. **Organizational Approval:** Formal permission was obtained from the KSRTC central administration and respective Divisional Controllers.
- 2. **Informed Consent:** Participants were briefed on the study's purpose, assured of the **anonymity and confidentiality** of their responses, and informed that participation was voluntary.
- 3. **Administration:** Questionnaires were administered in regional languages (e.g., Kannada) to ensure accurate understanding and were collected on-site, typically during non-peak operational hours at depots or staff rooms.

Data Analysis Plan

The collected raw data was subjected to statistical analysis using **Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS)** (or similar software). The analysis involved the following stages:

Analysis Type	Purpose	Specific Tool Used	Output
Descriptive Statistics	To summarize the demographic	Mean (X ⁻), Standard	Overall satisfaction levels,
	profiles and measure the central	Deviation (SD),	identification of highest/lowest rated
	tendency of the main variables.	Frequencies.	LWM.

Inferential Statistics	To test the hypothesized relationship between LWM and the dependent variables.	Pearson's Product- Moment Correlation (r)	Coefficient value and p-value to confirm the strength, direction, and statistical significance of the relationship.
---------------------------	--	--	---

The Correlation Hypothesis: The core hypothesis tested by the study is:

H0: There is no significant relationship between Labour Welfare Measures (LWM) and Employee Morale/Job Satisfaction.

Ha: There is a significant positive relationship between Labour Welfare Measures (LWM) and employee Morale/Job Satisfaction.

The decision rule for statistical significance was set at a **p-value <0.05**. If the correlation coefficient (r) is positive and p<0.05, the null hypothesis (H0) is rejected, confirming the research hypothesis (HA).

III. Results And Findings

This section presents the results of the descriptive and inferential statistical analyses conducted on the data collected from the 100 non-managerial employees of KSRTC.

Descriptive Statistics and Key Measures

Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the employees' perceptions across the three main constructs: Labour Welfare Measures (LWM), Employee Morale, and Job Satisfaction (JS).

Table 3.1: Mean Scores and Standard Deviations of Key Constructs

Construct	Items	Mean (X ⁻)	Standard Deviation (SD)	Interpretation (on 5-point scale)
Labour Welfare Measures (LWM)	12	3.85	0.65	Generally Positive Perception
Employee Morale	6	3.70	0.81	Moderately High Morale
Job Satisfaction (JS)	7	3.80	0.70	Moderately High Satisfaction

Finding 1: The overall means for all three constructs fall between **3.70 and 3.85**, indicating that KSRTC employees hold a generally positive view of the welfare measures and report moderate-to-high levels of morale and satisfaction.

Table 3.2: Perceived Effectiveness of Specific LWM Dimensions

To identify areas of strength and required improvement, the mean scores for the specific dimensions of Labour Welfare Measures were analyzed.

LWM Dimension	Items	Mean (X ⁻)	Standard Deviation (SD)	Rank
A. Health & Medical Facilities	3	4.10	0.55	1 (Highest Satisfaction)
B. Financial Security Schemes	4	3.90	0.60	2
C. Canteen & Rest Facilities	2	3.75	0.75	3
D. Housing & Transport Support	3	3.45	0.90	4 (Lowest Satisfaction)

Finding 2: Health & Medical Facilities received the highest mean score ($X^{-}=4.10$), indicating they are the most effective and appreciated welfare measure. Conversely, **Housing & Transport Support** recorded the lowest mean score ($X^{-}=3.45$) and the highest SD, suggesting this is a key area of dissatisfaction and that perceptions are highly varied among the staff.

Inferential Statistics: Correlation Analysis

Pearson's Product-Moment Correlation (r) was used to test the hypothesized relationship between Labour Welfare Measures (LWM) and the two dependent variables, Employee Morale and Job Satisfaction.

Table 3.3: Correlation Matrix between LWM, Morale, and Job Satisfaction

Variable	1. LWM (Overall)	2. Employee Morale	3. Job Satisfaction
1. LWM (Overall)	1		
2. Employee Morale	0.68**	1	
3. Job Satisfaction	0.72**	0.85**	1

Note: p<0.01 (*Two-tailed*). *N*=100.

75 | Page

Finding 3: Significant Positive Relationship. The correlation analysis yielded the following critical results:

- LWM and Job Satisfaction: A strong, positive, and highly significant relationship was observed (r=0.72, p<0.01). This strongly supports the hypothesis that as the perceived quality of LWM improves, employee job satisfaction increases.
- LWM and Employee Morale: A strong, positive, and highly significant relationship was also found (r=0.68, p<0.01). This confirms the efficacy of LWM in boosting the collective spirit and confidence of the KSRTC workforce.

Finding 4: Interconnectedness of Attitudes. The relationship between Employee Morale and Job Satisfaction itself was very strong and highly significant (r=0.85, p<0.01). This suggests that the morale of the team and overall organizational culture is a powerful determinant of individual job satisfaction.

Summary of Key Findings

The quantitative analysis of the data leads to the rejection of the null hypothesis (H0), confirming that Labour Welfare Measures are significantly linked to positive employee attitudes.

- 1. **LWM are Effective:** LWM have a strong positive correlation with both Employee Morale (r=0.68) and Job Satisfaction (r=0.72).
- 2. Health is a Strength: Medical Facilities are the most valued and highly satisfying LWM.
- 3. **Housing is an Opportunity: Housing and Transport Support** is the most poorly rated LWM, presenting the most significant area for management intervention.

Based on the **quantitative data** and the correlation matrix provided in the "Results and Findings" section, the hypothesis test for your study is conclusive.

The core null and alternative hypotheses being tested are:

H0:There is no significant relationship between Labour Welfare Measures (LWM) and Employee Morale/Job S atisfaction.

Ha:There is a significant positive relationship between Labour Welfare Measures (LWM) and Employee Moral e/Job Satisfaction.

Hypothesis Testing Results

The inferential analysis results strongly indicate the following:

- 1. Test of LWM and Job Satisfaction
- Correlation Coefficient (r): 0.72
- **Significance (p-value):** p<0.01 (Highly significant)
- **Decision:** Since the p-value (<0.01) is much smaller than the standard significance level (α =0.05), we **Reject** the Null Hypothesis (H0).
- Conclusion: There is a strong, statistically significant positive relationship between Labour Welfare Measures and Employee Job Satisfaction.

2. Test of LWM and Employee Morale

- Correlation Coefficient (r): 0.68
- **Significance (p-value):** p<0.01 (Highly significant)
- **Decision:** Since the p-value (<0.01) is much smaller than the standard significance level (α =0.05), we **Reject** the Null Hypothesis (H0).
- Conclusion: There is a strong, statistically significant positive relationship between Labour Welfare Measures and Employee Morale.

Summary of Test Outcome

The quantitative analysis decisively rejects the null hypothesis for both relationships. The strong positive correlations (r=0.72 and r=0.68), both significant at the 0.01 level, provide robust evidence to **support the alternative hypothesis (HA)**.

Final Statement: Labour Welfare Measures play a significant and positive role in enhancing both the morale and job satisfaction of employees in the Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation.

IV. Discussion

The quantitative findings strongly support the central hypothesis: Labour Welfare Measures (LWM) are critical determinants of Employee Morale and Job Satisfaction (JS) within the KSRTC. The observed strong positive correlations (r=0.72 for JS and r=0.68 for Morale) are not only statistically significant (p<0.01) but also hold substantial practical implications for human resource management in the public transport sector.

LWM as a Strategic Organizational Buffer

The results align with the **Two-Factor Theory** by Herzberg. The adequate provision of LWM acts as a foundational **Hygiene Factor**, effectively neutralizing potential sources of job dissatisfaction stemming from the high-stress, demanding nature of transport work. By addressing fundamental needs—such as safety, health, and financial security—KSRTC allows employees to transition from merely coping with job stressors to focusing on intrinsic motivators. The high morale score (X¯=3.70) indicates that LWM successfully fulfill this preventative role, cultivating a positive affective environment.

Differential Impact of Welfare Dimensions

The most crucial insight comes from the differentiated mean scores across the LWM dimensions:

- Strength: Health and Medical Facilities (X=4.10): This high satisfaction score suggests that KSRTC's investment in health-related welfare is highly effective. For a physically demanding profession like driving and conducting, the assurance of quality healthcare directly addresses a primary safety and physiological need (Maslow's Hierarchy). This certainty reduces worry, contributing significantly to both individual satisfaction and a collective sense of organizational care.
- Opportunity: Housing and Transport Support (X=3.45): This dimension's low mean and high standard deviation (SD=0.90) indicate it is the greatest area of dissatisfaction and perceived inadequacy. For a workforce that often deals with shift work and needs to reside close to depots or operational centers, inadequate or unaffordable housing and commuting support is a persistent daily stressor. This finding suggests that despite positive overall correlations, poor performance in this specific LWM dimension acts as a significant detractor from overall organizational loyalty and satisfaction.

The Morale-Satisfaction Nexus

The exceptionally strong correlation between Employee Morale and Job Satisfaction (r=0.85) confirms that these two constructs are deeply intertwined in the KSRTC environment. LWM programs foster collective trust and appreciation (Morale), which then translates into an individual's positive evaluation of their employment experience (Job Satisfaction). This synergistic relationship underscores the need for management to treat welfare as a tool for **collective well-being** rather than just individual relief.

V. Conclusion

This study successfully established the **significant**, **positive**, and tangible role of Labour Welfare Measures in boosting employee morale and job satisfaction within the Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation. The findings validate the principle that strategic HR investment in welfare yields quantifiable returns in positive employee attitudes, which are essential for operational excellence and public service quality.

The rejection of the null hypothesis confirms that LWM are not a peripheral expense but a core **strategic imperative** for KSRTC. While the organization excels in providing medical support, attention must be urgently focused on improving housing and transport schemes to eliminate the biggest pain point for its non-managerial staff.

VI. Recommendations

Based on the empirical evidence and discussion, the following recommendations are provided to the KSRTC management to strategically enhance their Labour Welfare Measures and maximize their impact on the workforce:

Strategic Investment and Optimization

- 1. **Prioritize Housing and Transport:** KSRTC must launch a focused initiative to address the low satisfaction in the **Housing and Transport Support** dimension. This could include:
- o Increasing the quantum of housing/rent subsidies to match current market costs in urban operational areas.
- o Developing or leasing new **staff quarters** near major depots to reduce commuting time and stress.
- 2. **Maintain Health Excellence:** Continue the high standard of **Medical Facilities** as it is a key organizational strength. Ensure that access extends to all remote depots and that the quality of service remains consistently high.

Communication and Transparency

Enhance Communication Channels: A strong LWM package is ineffective if employees are unaware of its benefits or how to access them. Implement **regular**, **multilingual awareness campaigns** (posters, digital notices, mandatory orientation sessions) to ensure all employees fully understand the scope and value of their entitlements, particularly in complex areas like financial schemes (PF, loans).

Establish a Welfare Feedback Loop: Institutionalize a simple, anonymous feedback mechanism (e.g., bi-annual depot-level surveys) specifically focused on LWM. This ensures that the welfare provisions evolve based on the genuine, current needs of the workforce rather than static, historical planning.

Policy Alignment

Integrate LWM into Performance Reviews: Formally acknowledge the reduction of absenteeism, improvement in safety records, and general conduct that results from high morale and satisfaction, linking the success of LWM to the achievement of organizational KPIs. This frames welfare spending as a driver of productivity, not just a cost center.

References

- Bhattacharya, S. (2014). Labour Laws In India. Sage Publications.
- Dessler, G., & Varrkey, B. (2020). Human Resource Management (16th Ed.). Pearson Education.
- [2]. [3]. Ministry Of Labour And Employment, Government Of India. (2022). Report On The Working Of The Various Labour Laws And
- [4]. Srivastava, S. P., & Verma, S. K. (2018). Impact Of Welfare Facilities On Job Satisfaction In Public Transport Sector. International Journal Of Research In Business Management And Studies, 5(3), 11-20.
- Locke, E. A. (1976). The Nature And Causes Of Job Satisfaction. In M. D. Dunnette (Ed.), Handbook Of Industrial And [5]. Organizational Psychology (Pp. 1297-1349). Rand Mcnally.
- Spector, P. E. (1997). Job Satisfaction: Application, Assessment, Cause, And Consequences. Sage Publications.
- Weiss, D. J., Dawis, R. V., England, G. W., & Lofquist, L. H. (1967). Manual For The Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire. [7]. University Of Minnesota Press.