Performance And Problems Of Services Sector Growth In Karnataka State

Dr.Malleshappa.S.Kumbar

Professor Post Graduate Department of Economics Government College (Autonomous) Kalaburagi -585105

Abstract

Today services sector has become the dominant component of the economy of Karnataka with its share in the economy crossing 70 per cent in terms of gross value added compared to the figure of 50 per cent at the beginning of the 21st century. Karnataka's service sector, including construction, contributes about 8.5 per cent of theservices sector Gross Value Added (GVA) of the national economy. Given the importance of the sector interms of the income it generates in the state, it is useful to understand its composition, growth and distribution across the geography of the state. This article highlights the performance the services sector in the state. Further, the article throws light on the challenges of the service sector in the state and suggest some policy prescriptions to overcome the challenges.

Date of Submission: 26-07-2023

Date of Acceptance: 06-08-2023

I. Introduction

Services sector has been the dominant of the three broad sectors in the Kamataka's economy accounting for nearly 70 percent of the total Gross Value Added in the recent years. Among the different sub-sectors of the economy, the state is well-known for its world class IT services industry, besides being a hub for a variety of scientific Research and Development services. Accelerated development of infrastructure over the years such as transportation has also laid the foundations for rapidexpansion of services related to this sector in the state. The state hasalso seen steady development of education and health services over the years helpingthe creation of much needed improvement of human capital both in the state and alsoin the country as a whole. Building human capital, skilled labour force and infrastructureare the key steps on which the future expansion of the economy would be realised.

Eventhough economies around the world including that of India suffered setback during the last two financial years 2020-21 and 2021-22, as the Covid pandemic inflicted multiple waves of infections through different geographies in the advanced and developing countries. However, the services sector

played a remarkable role through these difficult years, as it provided the life line for the economy. The transportation, communication, public administration, health and education services kept the economyand society functioning. The advances in the ICT sector and its wide spread applicationprovided a channel of interactions where physical interactions could not be done.

II. Service Sector Contribution to GSDP

A distinguishing feature of Karnataka economy from the overall national perspective is not only the predominance of its services sector in terms of output but also the significant position of the computer related services. The contribution of different sectors including that of service sector to state economy in the last one decade starting from 2011-12 to 2019-20 is presented in the following table.

Table-1 Sectoral Contribution to State GSDP (in %) Contribution to Karnataka GSDP					
Sector	2011-12	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	
Agriculture and Allied Activities	13.68	10.07	9.12	9.72	
Industry	20.37	21.14	20.76	19.43	
Services	65.95	68.79	70.12	70.85	
Construction	9.11	6.44	6.40	6.35	
Transport (also inclu air transport)	udes 4.54	4.82	4.81	4.79	

Air Transport	0.07	0.20	0.15	0.15
Storage	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.03
Communication	1.47	1.31	1.34	1.33
Trade, Hotels and Restaurants	10.95	10.72	11.01	11.17
Financial Services	5.21	5.62	5.57	5.76
Real estate, Ownership of Dwellings and Business services (includes computer related services)	26.02	31.45	31.95	31.91
Computer related services	15.26	21.35	21.74	21.88
Public Administration	2.70	2.32	2.60	2.74
Other services	5.90	6.08	6.39	6.78
TOTAL GSDP	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Source: Economic Survey of Karnataka -2020-21,Department of Planning,Programme Monitoring and Statistics ,Govt. of Karnataka

From the above table it is clear that in the last one decade compared to the share of other sectors share of service sector has increased immensely. In 2011-12 the share of service sector was 65.95 and by the turn of the decade it increased to 70.85 thereby contributing major share to state GSDP. Again within services, Trade, Hotels and Restaurants and Business Services, account for the large two subsectors after the Computer related services. The trade sub-sectorreflects both the specialisation of production activities in the economy requiring trade and the increasing urbanisation which also enhances opportunities for trade. Even in the rural areas, all the consumption requirements are largely met through the market. The business services reflect the growing need for professional services to facilitate production and commerce. But in both the cases, their share in the overall economy is similar for the state and national levels. The sub-sectors of hotels and restaurants besidestransport, are also crucial inputs to tourism, which has experienced growing domestic and international demand, generating employment in the state economy. The share of 'other services', which includes the social sector services such as education and health, and personal services, accounted for 6.8 per cent of the overall GVA in the state in 2019-20.

III. Employment Generation and Service Sector

Economic growth has to be translated into employment growth to achieve the broader goals of policy. Employment will also be considered in terms of both number employed and quality of employment reflected in the wage payments. Given the large size of the service sector in the state economy, it is expected that it would also create a large demand for employment. There have been a number of studies that have explored the pattern of employment in the period of India's economic growth led by services. In this section a broad review of the pattern of employment that has been experienced in Karnataka economy in the last one decade is presented.

Data on the employment from National Sample Survey(NSS) 68th Employment Unemployment round, corresponding to the year 2011-12 and three rounds of PeriodicLabour Force Survey (PLFS) viz., PLFS-I(2017-18), PLFS-II(2018-19) and PLFS-III (2019-20) are used for an assessment of the employment pattern. The data points to the fact that although the major share of working population of Karnataka economy is still engaged in agriculture and allied activities, there is a sharp increase in the share of services in employment in Karnataka between 2011-12 to 2019-20. Table -2 presents the employment structure across different sectors in the state economy from 2011-12 to 2019-20. The following disaggregation of the subsectors is based on the concordance of income category (reflecting the sector from which workers obtain their income) with NIC 2008 classification.

Sectors	2011-12	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
Agriculture & Allied Activities	49.8	46.0	41.5	46.6
Industry	12.6	12.1	11.5	12.0
Services	37.6	41.9	47.0	41.4
Construction	6.0	7.9	8.7	6.8
Trade	9.1	8.5	10.1	10.5
Hotels & Restaurants	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.6
Communication	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.2
Financial Services	1.0	1.2	1.7	1.3
Public Administration	1.6	1.8	1.6	1.3

 Table-2

 Employment structure across different sectors from 2011-12 to2019-20.

Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Professional and Other Service	12.4	13.1	14.7	12.8
Real Estate, Ownership of dwelling,				
Transport &Storage	4.3	6.0	7.1	5.9

Source: Economic Survey of Karnataka -2020-21,Department of Planning,Programme Monitoring and Statistics ,Govt. of Karnataka

While there are some important changes in the structure of employment, with the services sector increasing its share over time, how has the employment fared? Between2011-12 and 2019-20 the employment in service sector went up from 9.5 million to 11.4 million i.e. an increase of 1.9 million during 2011-12 to 2019-20.

The changes in the shares of sectors discussed above are also reflected in the growth rates of employment summarised in table-3. Among the services, only trade, hotels and restaurants segments have registered positive growth of employment in 2019-20. The large fluctuations in the annual growth rates of employment at the sub-sector level are a concern in terms of the implications to the workers. While there is more stability at the aggregate level indicating flexibility in the labour market to absorb the shocks, there is a need to be watchful of these patterns.

	2011-12 to 2017-18	2017-18 to 2018-19	2018-19 to 2019-20
Agriculture & Allied Activities	-1.93	-8.31	22.70
Industry	-1.41	-2.73	13.69
Services	0.72	13.99	-3.77
Construction	3.18	11.54	-14.87
Trade	-1.85	20.77	13.76
Hotels & Restaurants	-0.98	-1.66	1.90
Communication	4.95	-16.30	-37.90
Financial Services	2.51	37.10	-15.15
Public Administration	0.50	-8.12	-8.98
Transport & Storage	4.01	20.58	-9.34
Real Estate, Ownership of dwelling, Prof andOther Services		13.37	-4.90
Total	-0.82	1.71	9.23

 Table-3

 Growth of Employment across the sectors (Y-O-Y basis) from 2011-12 to 2019-20

Source: Economic Survey of Karnataka -2020-21,Department of Planning,Programme Monitoring and Statistics ,Govt. of Karnataka

IV. Regional Disparity in Service Sector Growth

Though service sector is playing crucial role in the economy of Karnataka, the major problem is regional imbalances in its growth. Table-4 presents the share and growth rate of Service Sector across Districts in Karnataka average for the period 2011-12 to 2018-19.

	Table-4
Share and Growth rate of Service Sector across	Districts in Karnataka:average for the period 2011-12 to
	2018 10

Sl. No.	District	0	teShare in the State' esServiceSector GVA (%)	sShare of Services in District Domestic Product(DDP) (%)
1	Bengaluru Urban	10.6	42.4	83.4
2	Dharwad	8.2	2.7	74.7
3	Hassan	8.3	2.4	70.9
4	Uttara Kannada	7.9	1.8	69.1
5	Shivamogga	7.7	2.8	68.9
6	Bidar	8.2	1.4	67.3
7	Mysuru	8.8	3.4	67.2
8	Gadag	8.2	1.1	65.5
9	Mandya	8.3	2.5	64.8
10	Raichur	7.9	1.6	63.4

11	Yadagiri	8.0	0.9	63.2
12	Ballari	7.8	3.1	63.0
13	Haveri	8.1	1.4	62.5
14	Vijayapura	7.7	1.7	62.1
15	Chitradurga	8.1	1.4	62.1
16	Chamarajanagar	8.2	1.1	61.5
17	Udupi	8.8	2.4	61.3
18	Chikkaballapur	8.2	1.2	57.9
19	Belagavi	7.8	3.7	57.8
20	Kalaburagi	8.1	1.8	57.5
21	Bagalkote	8.1	2.1	57.4
22	Tumakuru	8.0	3.2	57.2
23	Davanagere	7.6	1.6	56.9
24	Koppal	7.9	1.0	56.4
25	Chikkamagaluru	8.3	1.9	56.2
26	Dakshina Kannada	8.6	4.8	54.7
27	Kodagu	8.0	0.5	54.7
28	Bengaluru Rural	8.9	1.3	52.7
29	Kolar	8.3	1.4	52.5
30	Ramanagara	8.6	1.2	51.4
	Karnataka	9.2	100.0	68.8

Source: Economic Survey of Karnataka -2020-21, Department of Planning, Programme Monitoring and Statistics , Govt. of Karnataka

As may be expected, Bengaluru Urban district is most prominent by the presence of services sector. Districts of Bengaluru Urban (83.4%), Dharwad (74.7%), Hassan (70.9%),Uttar Kannada (69.1%) and Shivamogga (68.9%) are the top five districts where major contribution to the district value added comes from service sector.Dakshina Kannada, Kodagu, Bengaluru Rural, Kolar and Ramanagara districts are in the bottom where service sector contribution is less than 55%.





Bengaluru Urban is the major contributor to the State's Service sector value added as well. Bengaluru Urban district alone contributes 42% of the State's service GVA. However, Bengaluru Urban also has the highest share of 15 per cent state's population. In the year2018-19, 56.9% of the Bengaluru Urban district's value added is from the sector Real estate, Ownership of dwelling and professional services. Bengaluru Urban being the IT hub, it isalso the main contributor to the State's value added. Even with respect to the State's total value added across all sectors, Bengaluru Urban contributes 35%. Growth in the servicesector is more or less uniform across districts with the highest being 10.6% (BengaluruUrban) and lowest being 7.6% in Davangere over the period 2011-12 to 2018-19. The patternsuggests that while services remain dominant part of the economy across most districts, growth in services is spurred by the overall momentum of economic growth in the state. The geographic spread of economic activities are strengthened by efficient infrastructureservices, supporting movement of people and goods and access to basic inputs such asenergy and communications are expected to improve distribution of economic activitiesacross the state.

To understand the pattern of growth of services across districts further, we juxtapose district's per capita income with the share of service sector in Table-5. The districts in the last row and last column of the table have

either low per capita GVA or low levels f services sector share in their economies. In these two categories, except for the two districts of Bidar and Bagalkot, other districts are either industrially developed or havedominant agricultural sector. In all the districts with high per capita income (value added)but with low share of service sector the industrial sector contributes more than 30 per cent of the GVA. In some of the districts with relatively low per capita income, services account more than 60 per cent of district level value added. But in the districts where agriculture is dominant they have medium or lower per capita income.

Table-5

Classification of Districts based on Per capita income (2011-12 prices) and share of services sector: average of 2011-12 to 2018-19

Per capita income groups		
(Rs. 108695 & above)	(Rs. 79101 to	Low Per capitaIncome (Upto Rs. 79100)
	/	
6 66 1		Bidar, Raichur (A)
· 1	Chikkaballapura	Kalburgi (A) Yadgir (A Vijayapura (A) Belagavi (A Chitradurga (A)Haveri(A)
Bengaluru Rural (D)	Kolar (A) Kodagu (A)Bagalkot	Koppal (A) Davangere (A)
		oring and Statistics ,Govt. o
	High Per capita Income (Rs. 108695 & above) Bengaluru Urban Shivamogga, Mandya Bellari, Udupi Tumakuru (D) Ramanagara (D) Bengaluru Rural (D) Chikkamagaluru (D) Dakshina Kannada (D) Carnataka -2020-21,Department	High Per capitaIncome (Rs. 108695 & above) Medium Per capitaIncome (Rs. 79101 to Rs. 108694) Bengaluru Urban Shivamogga,Gadag, Mysuru Uttara Kannada Mandya Hassan, Dharwad Bellari, Udupi Chikkaballapura Chamarajanagara Tumakuru (D) Ramanagara (D) Bengaluru Q) Kolar (A) Kodagu (A)Bagalkot Chikkamagaluru (D) Dakshina

and above

2. Service sector shares: Low: upto 574%: Medium: 57.5 – 63.3% and High: 63.4% and above 3.'A' in parentheses indicates dominant agriculture & allied sector in the districtand 'D' indicates the dominance of industry.

V. Conclusion

The state economy has transformed its output structure to reflect much larger share ofservices compared to agriculture and industry. This transformation reflects the pattern that has emerged at the national level but points to a faster pace of change in Karnatakaas compared to the change in the national economy. A notable feature of the servicesector in Karnataka is the prominence of computer and computer related sub-sector in its output share. While global demand has been a driving force behind the growth of the sector, expansion of applications of digital technology on the one hand and information processing in all sectors of the domestic economy on the other, are pointers to the potential for further growth of the sector in the future. The future scenarios will require technology enabled operations, be it communications, transportation, finance or manufacturing, to be competitive to meet the consumer needs in the domestic or globalmarkets. The state policies will need to provide the framework for supporting the growth of the sectors to maintain the pace of the economic growth in the state. Besides, the growth of output, the share of services in employment is also rising but at a much slowerrate than the output. While services enable productivity improvements in the other sectors, improved employment opportunities in the 'modern services' sub-sectors need to be accompanied by productivity improvements in the 'traditional services' as well. Forward looking policy initiatives relating to civil aviation, Tourism, IT and Biotechnology, construction need comprehensive approaches to support the growth of the sectors. The perspective of growth patterns across regions within the state also requirescontinued recognition in the policy approaches.

References:

- [1]. G. Ramakrishna (2010) "Open Policies And Service Sector Growth In India: Does Service Sector
- Growth Influence Economic Growth Of India?" Osmania University (Ou) Department Of [2].
- Economics, July 1, 2010.
- [3]. Nayyar, G. (2009): "The Nature Of Employment In India's Service Sector", Paper Provided By University Of Oxford, Department Of Economics.
- "The Shift To The Service Economy" By Institute For Monetary And Economic Research In July 2006. [4]. The Service Industry Journal, January 2004.
- Gaur, G. L. (2006). Changing Employment Scenario In The Services Sector In India. Manpower [5]. Journal, 41(1): 234-245.
- Jesim Pais (2014). Growth And Structure Of The Services Sector In India. Institute For [6]. Studies In Industrial Development, Working Paper-160