IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences (IOSR-JDMS)
e-ISSN: 2279-0853, p-ISSN: 2279-0861.Volume 25, Issue 4 Ser. 3 (April. 2026), PP 09-13
www.iosrjournals.org

Morphine Between Analgesia And Dependence: Challenges For Healthcare Systems In Brazil — A Narrative Review

Antonio Carlos Lobanco Gonçalves, Renato Felipe Pereira
Union Of Colleges Of The Great Lakes (UNILAGO), São José Do Rio Preto, SP, Brazil)

Abstract:
Background*: Morphine remains one of the most important opioid analgesics for the management of moderate to severe pain, particularly in oncological, hospital, and palliative care settings. However, its clinical use is intrinsically associated with the risk of tolerance, dependence, and drug-seeking behavior, posing significant challenges for healthcare professionals and institutions. This study aimed to analyze, from an integrative perspective, the pharmacological basis of morphine, the neurobiological mechanisms of opioid dependence, and the behavioral patterns associated with morphine-seeking in healthcare services. A narrative literature review was conducted based on the analysis of scientific articles addressing opioid pharmacology, addiction neurobiology, clinical behavior, and institutional management strategies. The findings indicate that opioid dependence is a complex neurobiological condition involving alterations in reward, stress, and executive control circuits, often reinforced by psychosocial vulnerabilities. In healthcare settings, drug-seeking behavior may manifest through recurrent service use, inconsistent clinical narratives, and resistance to alternative treatments, complicating clinical decision-making. The results also highlight ethical dilemmas faced by professionals, particularly the balance between adequate pain management and the prevention of iatrogenic harm. Institutional strategies, including clinical protocols, risk stratification, longitudinal monitoring, and integration between care levels, are essential to mitigate misuse while preserving access to legitimate analgesia. In conclusion, morphine should be approached as both an essential therapeutic resource and a substance requiring careful regulation. Integrated, evidence-based strategies are necessary to ensure safe and effective use within healthcare systems*

Date of Submission: 01-04-2026 Date of Acceptance: 10-04-2026

I. Introduction

Morphine remains one of the most widely used opioid analgesics for the management of moderate to severe pain, particularly in oncological, hospital, and palliative care settings. Its clinical relevance is associated with its potent analgesic effect, mediated primarily through activation of μ-opioid receptors, which modulate nociceptive transmission at both central and peripheral levels [1,2]. Despite its therapeutic effectiveness, morphine use is inherently linked to significant risks, including respiratory depression, tolerance, and the development of physical and psychological dependence, requiring careful clinical monitoring and individualized treatment strategies [3].

In recent decades, increasing attention has been directed toward the neurobiological mechanisms underlying opioid dependence. Opioids, including morphine, act on mesolimbic dopaminergic pathways, promoting reinforcement and reward-related behaviors that contribute to the development of substance use disorders [4]. Contemporary models describe addiction as a chronic, relapsing condition involving dysregulation of reward, stress, and executive control circuits, with cycles of intoxication, withdrawal, and compulsive drug-seeking behavior [5]. These neuroadaptations are often intensified by psychosocial factors, such as chronic pain, anxiety, and depression, which may increase vulnerability to opioid misuse.

Importantly, opioid dependence can develop even in patients initially exposed to these substances for legitimate medical purposes. Evidence suggests that a proportion of individuals treated with opioids for pain may develop patterns of misuse or dependence, particularly in the context of prolonged therapy or inadequate monitoring [6]. This phenomenon has important implications for healthcare systems, where morphine is both an essential therapeutic resource and a substance with significant potential for harm.

Within healthcare settings, drug-seeking behavior represents a major clinical challenge. This behavior is characterized by strategies aimed at obtaining opioids regardless of clinical indication and may include exaggerated pain complaints, inconsistent medical histories, and repeated use of multiple healthcare services—a phenomenon often described as “doctor shopping” [7,8]. The subjective nature of pain further complicates clinical decision-making, as the absence of objective biomarkers makes it difficult to distinguish legitimate analgesic needs from substance-seeking behavior. These challenges are particularly pronounced in public

healthcare systems, where high patient demand, limited consultation time, and fragmented care structures may contribute to inconsistent prescribing practices and inadequate follow-up [8]. In addition, the stigma associated with opioid dependence may negatively affect the patient–provider relationship, leading to under-treatment of pain or exclusion from care, thereby exacerbating both clinical and social vulnerabilities [9].

From an ethical perspective, healthcare professionals must balance the obligation to relieve suffering with the responsibility to prevent iatrogenic harm. This tension between beneficence and non-maleficence is central to opioid prescribing and highlights the need for evidence-based, patient-centered approaches that incorporate risk assessment, longitudinal monitoring, and interdisciplinary care [8].

In this context, understanding morphine use requires an integrated perspective that encompasses pharmacological mechanisms, neurobiological processes, behavioral patterns, and systemic healthcare factors. Such an approach is essential to develop safer prescribing practices, reduce the risks associated with opioid misuse, and ensure adequate pain management. Therefore, this study aims to analyze the pharmacological basis of morphine, the mechanisms of opioid dependence, drug-seeking behavior in healthcare settings, and the ethical and institutional challenges associated with its use, with particular emphasis on the Brazilian healthcare context.

II. Material And Methods

This study is a bibliographic literature review based on the selection and analysis of scientific articles related to morphine use, opioid pharmacology, dependence mechanisms, and clinical challenges in healthcare settings. The literature search was conducted using multiple databases, including Google Scholar, PubMed, Scielo, LILACS, and Embase. The search strategy employed specific descriptors such as Morphine, Opioids, Opioid dependence, Drug-seeking behavior, Pain management, and Healthcare services, combined using Boolean operators.

Articles included in the review were full-text publications available in open access and written in either Portuguese or English. During the selection process, each article underwent a thorough critical evaluation, focusing on its objectives, methodology, results, and discussion, as well as its relevance to the proposed topic.

This systematic approach formed the basis for the development of the present literature review, allowing an integrated analysis of pharmacological, neurobiological, behavioral, and clinical aspects associated with morphine use in healthcare contexts.

III. Result

Morphine: Pharmacological Basis and Legitimate Clinical Use

Morphine is a classic opioid agonist, widely recognized as a reference drug for the treatment of moderate to severe pain, particularly in specific clinical contexts such as oncologic pain, severe acute pain, and palliative care [1]. Its therapeutic relevance derives from its ability to modulate nociceptive transmission at multiple levels of the central and peripheral nervous systems, providing effective analgesia when other classes of analgesics are insufficient [2].

From a pharmacodynamic perspective, morphine acts predominantly as an agonist of μ-opioid receptors, promoting analgesia, sedation, and a sense of well-being. These effects are directly related to the inhibition of excitatory neurotransmitter release and neuronal hyperpolarization [1]. This same mechanism is also associated with significant adverse effects, including respiratory depression, constipation, and the potential development of tolerance and dependence, which necessitate caution and rigorous clinical monitoring [3].

In clinical pain management, morphine remains primarily indicated for situations in which symptom intensity significantly compromises patient quality of life. Classical and contemporary pain management guidelines emphasize its central role in the control of cancer-related pain and in palliative care settings, where the primary therapeutic objective is the relief of suffering [2]. Additionally, morphine may be used in hospital settings in the perioperative context and in neuraxial techniques, provided that established technical and safety criteria are followed [1]. Despite its effectiveness, prolonged or inappropriate use of morphine is associated with the development of pharmacological tolerance and physical dependence, phenomena widely described in the opioid literature. Analytical reviews indicate that, although potent opioids may provide benefits in certain cases of chronic non-cancer pain, there is considerable variability in clinical response and an increased risk of adverse events, reinforcing the need for periodic reassessment and individualized therapeutic strategies [3].

In the Brazilian context, studies indicate that opioid use, including morphine, remains more restricted compared to countries such as the United States; however, it still lacks systematic monitoring and continuous scientific investigation. Integrative reviews highlight gaps in knowledge regarding patterns of use and prescription in the country, reinforcing the importance of understanding the legitimate use of morphine as a starting point for discussions on misuse and healthcare challenges [10]. Thus, recognizing morphine as an essential therapeutic resource, while simultaneously understanding its risks, is fundamental for a balanced and evidence-based approach.

Opioid and Morphine Dependence: Neurobiological and Behavioral Mechanisms

Opioid dependence constitutes a complex phenomenon characterized by persistent neurobiological alterations that affect reward, motivation, and executive control systems within the central nervous system. Contemporary neuroscience reviews describe that opioid substances, through repeated activation of mesolimbic dopaminergic circuits, promote synaptic adaptations that reinforce drug-seeking behavior and progressively reduce sensitivity to natural reward stimuli [4].

Current neurobiological models conceptualize addiction as a dynamic and multi-stage process. According to the neurocircuitry framework proposed by Koob and Volkow, the addiction cycle involves phases of intoxication and reward, followed by negative affective states associated with withdrawal, and subsequently a stage of anticipation and compulsive drug-seeking behavior [5]. In the case of opioids such as morphine, this cycle is intensified by strong negative reinforcement resulting from the relief of withdrawal symptoms, which perpetuates use even in the absence of significant pleasure. From this perspective, chronic exposure to opioids results in alterations in dopaminergic and glutamatergic signaling, as well as dysregulation of stress-related systems, including activation of structures such as the extended amygdala [5]. These adaptations contribute to increased stress reactivity, anxiety, and dysphoria during withdrawal periods, factors that favor relapse and maintenance of opioid-seeking behavior. Consequently, dependence is no longer understood merely as a failure of self-control but rather as a chronic neurobiological condition.

In the clinical context, opioid dependence may develop even when initial use occurs under legitimate therapeutic indications. A meta-analysis published in the British Journal of Anaesthesia identified the occurrence of iatrogenic dependence or misuse in a proportion of patients exposed to opioids for pain management, although incidence rates vary depending on study design and diagnostic criteria [6]. These findings reinforce the need for continuous monitoring, particularly in long-term treatments.

In addition to neurobiological mechanisms, behavioral and psychosocial factors play a significant role in the development of dependence. The coexistence of chronic pain, emotional distress, anxiety, and depression may increase vulnerability to problematic opioid use, as these substances may be used not only for analgesia but also as modulators of affective states. This overlap between relief of physical pain and reduction of psychological suffering contributes to the complexity of clinical management of morphine and other opioid dependencies.

Thus, understanding the neurobiological and behavioral mechanisms underlying opioid dependence is essential for interpreting morphine-seeking behavior observed in healthcare settings. Such understanding allows a shift away from moralizing interpretations toward an evidence-based approach, recognizing dependence as a clinical condition that requires integrated strategies for prevention, early identification, and appropriate management within healthcare services.

Morphine-Seeking Behavior in Healthcare Settings

Opioid-seeking behavior in healthcare settings, frequently described in the literature as drug-seeking behavior, refers to a set of strategies adopted by individuals with established dependence aimed at obtaining access to opioid substances, regardless of the presence of legitimate clinical indication. Contemporary reviews emphasize that this behavior should not be interpreted as a moral failure, but rather as a clinical manifestation of a chronic neurobehavioral condition in which the pursuit of the substance becomes central to the regulation of the individual's physical and emotional state [7].

In clinical settings, particularly in public healthcare services and emergency care units, this behavior may manifest through simulation, exaggeration, or manipulation of pain complaints. Studies indicate that reports of severe pain without objective clinical correlation, inconsistent medical histories, or resistance to alternative therapeutic approaches may represent warning signs for opioid misuse [11]. In the specific case of morphine, its well-established analgesic efficacy and availability in hospital environments make it a frequent target of such behavior.

Another pattern described in the literature is the recurrent use of multiple healthcare services, including different emergency units or professionals, within short time intervals, often presenting similar clinical narratives. This phenomenon, commonly referred to as doctor shopping, is associated with attempts to circumvent institutional restrictions or more rigorous clinical evaluations, thereby increasing the risk of repeated opioid exposure and hindering longitudinal patient monitoring [8]. In public healthcare systems, characterized by high demand and structural limitations, this behavior represents an additional challenge to care organization.

The identification of morphine-seeking behavior is particularly complex due to the subjective nature of pain. The absence of reliable objective markers makes it difficult to distinguish between legitimate pain and simulated or exaggerated complaints, placing healthcare professionals in a clinical and ethical dilemma. The literature suggests that fear of undertreating pain, combined with service-related pressures, may lead to decisions that result in opioid administration even in the presence of suspected misuse [1].

Furthermore, individuals with opioid dependence frequently present psychiatric comorbidities, such as anxiety and depression, which may intensify subjective pain perception or motivate opioid use as a form of emotional relief. This overlap between psychological distress and pain complaints contributes to the complexity of clinical decision-making [7].

Thus, morphine-seeking behavior in healthcare settings should be understood as the expression of a complex clinical condition that requires structured approaches involving assessment protocols, professional training, and integration between pain management, mental health, and primary care services. Recognizing these patterns is essential to reduce inappropriate morphine use without compromising adequate care for patients who genuinely require opioid analgesia.

IV. Discussion

Ethical and Clinical Challenges for Healthcare Professionals

The prescription of opioids in healthcare settings represents one of the most complex dilemmas in contemporary clinical practice, particularly when involving patients with a history or suspicion of dependence. Healthcare professionals operate within a tension between two opposing risks: the undertreatment of legitimate pain and the iatrogenic harm associated with opioid misuse. Recent reviews indicate that achieving this balance is particularly challenging in public healthcare systems, which are often characterized by high demand, limited consultation time, and fragmented care [8].

From an ethical perspective, the administration of morphine in response to pain complaints that cannot be objectively verified places the clinician in a conflict between the principle of beneficence—relieving suffering—and the principle of non-maleficence, by potentially reinforcing a condition of dependence. The literature highlights that fear of denying adequate analgesia, combined with institutional pressure and concerns about legal liability, may lead to decisions that perpetuate opioid misuse, even in the presence of signs suggestive of drug-seeking behavior [11].

Another central challenge relates to the risk of stigmatization. Patients with opioid dependence frequently report negative experiences within the healthcare system, including disbelief of their complaints and punitive approaches. Studies indicate that stigmatization can compromise the therapeutic relationship, reduce adherence to alternative treatments, and discourage individuals from seeking healthcare services, thereby worsening already complex clinical and social conditions [9]. Thus, exclusively restrictive approaches tend to be counterproductive.

The physician–patient communication process plays a fundamental role in these contexts. Strategies based on active listening, validation of suffering without reinforcing opioid use, and therapeutic negotiation are identified as essential tools to reduce conflict and promote patient-centered care. Evidence suggests that structured communication approaches can reduce inappropriate prescribing without increasing patient dissatisfaction or the risk of undertreatment of pain [8]. Additionally, the literature points to significant gaps in professional training regarding the management of medication-related dependence. Many physicians report insecurity in identifying misuse, conducting difficult conversations, and implementing referral strategies to mental health or specialized services. This educational gap contributes to inconsistent clinical decisions and reinforces the need for continuing education and clearly defined institutional protocols [11].

Therefore, the ethical and clinical challenges associated with morphine misuse require an integrated approach that simultaneously considers bioethical principles, effective communication, and institutional support. Recognizing these challenges is a fundamental step toward the development of safer, more humane, and evidence-based healthcare practices.

Institutional Strategies and Perspectives for Managing Morphine Misuse

Addressing morphine misuse in healthcare settings, particularly within public health systems, requires structured institutional strategies that go beyond isolated clinical decision-making. Contemporary literature indicates that the absence of clear prescribing and monitoring protocols contributes to variability in clinical practice and the persistence of inappropriate opioid use patterns. In this context, the establishment of objective criteria for prescribing, renewing, and discontinuing morphine represents a central element in reducing harms associated with opioid dependence [12].

Institutional clinical protocols should consider not only the reported intensity of pain but also the systematic assessment of risk factors for dependence, including prior substance use, psychiatric comorbidities, and response to non-opioid analgesic treatments. Evidence suggests that risk stratification approaches improve prescribing safety and reduce unnecessary exposure to high-risk opioids [8]. In the case of morphine, such protocols are particularly relevant due to its high analgesic potency and pharmacological profile.

Early screening and longitudinal patient monitoring constitute another fundamental axis of institutional management. Studies demonstrate that isolated, episodic evaluations are insufficient to identify patterns of misuse or emerging dependence. Longitudinal follow-up allows periodic reassessment of opioid indication,

monitoring of tolerance, dependence, and drug-seeking behavior, as well as timely interventions before more severe conditions become established [13].

Integration across different levels of healthcare is widely recognized as an essential strategy for managing opioid misuse. The literature emphasizes that isolated pain management, disconnected from mental health care, tends to yield limited outcomes in individuals with established dependence. Integrated care models—linking pain services, primary care, and mental health—have been shown to be more effective in reducing misuse, improving pain control, and enhancing treatment adherence [8]. In public healthcare systems, however, such integration remains a significant structural challenge.

From a professional practice perspective, continuing education and targeted training in pain management and opioid dependence are identified as essential components of institutional strategies. The literature highlights that trained professionals demonstrate greater confidence in clinical decision-making, improved communication with vulnerable patients, and higher adherence to evidence-based protocols [12]. These initiatives contribute to reducing punitive approaches and fostering patient-centered care models.

Finally, significant gaps remain in the Brazilian literature regarding opioid misuse, particularly concerning morphine within public healthcare systems. The lack of epidemiological data, observational studies, and evaluations of institutional interventions limits the development of public policies adapted to the national context. Future research should prioritize the investigation of usage patterns, management strategies, and the impact of integrated care models, contributing to more effective and sustainable responses to this issue.

Thus, the management of morphine misuse requires a comprehensive institutional approach that integrates clinical protocols, longitudinal monitoring, coordinated care, and continuous scientific production. Only through this systemic perspective will it be possible to reduce harms associated with dependence while preserving legitimate access to opioid analgesia in healthcare services.

V. Conclusion

The use of morphine in healthcare settings highlights the inherent complexity of opioid management in contexts where legitimate pain coexists with the risk of dependence. Although morphine remains an essential therapeutic resource for the control of moderate to severe pain, its use requires careful assessment, continuous monitoring, and a comprehensive understanding of the neurobiological and behavioral mechanisms underlying opioid dependence.

Drug-seeking behavior, frequently observed in healthcare services, should be understood as a clinical manifestation of a chronic neurobiological condition rather than an individual moral failure. This perspective is essential to guide more humane, evidence-based practices free from stigmatization.

The ethical and clinical challenges faced by healthcare professionals reinforce the need for structured institutional strategies, including well-defined clinical protocols, risk assessment, longitudinal follow-up, and integration between pain management, mental health, and primary care services. In addition, continuous professional training and effective therapeutic communication are key components for safe clinical decision-making.

Finally, there is a clear need to expand scientific production within the Brazilian context, particularly regarding patterns of opioid use, institutional interventions, and public health policies. The development of a balanced care model—capable of ensuring access to effective analgesia while preventing misuse—depends on integrated, interdisciplinary approaches supported by robust scientific evidence.

References

[1]. Murphy PB, Anekar A. Morphine. Statpearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): Statpearls Publishing; 2023.
[2]. Anekar AA, Cascella M. WHO Analgesic Ladder. Statpearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): Statpearls Publishing; 2023.
[3]. Nadeau SE, Et Al. Opioids And Chronic Pain. Frontiers In Pain Research. 2021;2:721357
[4]. Volkow ND, Michaelides M, Baler R. The Neuroscience Of Drug Reward And Addiction. Physiological Reviews. 2019;99(4):2115–2140.
[5]. Koob GF, Volkow ND. Neurobiology Of Addiction: A Neurocircuitry Analysis. The Lancet Psychiatry. 2016;3(8):760–773.
[6]. Higgins C, Smith BH, Matthews K. Incidence Of Iatrogenic Opioid Dependence Or Abuse In Patients With Pain. British Journal Of Anaesthesia. 2018;120(6):1335–1344.
[7]. Vowles KE, Et Al. Rates Of Opioid Misuse, Abuse, And Addiction In Chronic Pain. Pain. 2015;156(4):569–576.
[8]. Becker WC, Et Al. Management Of Opioid Misuse And Opioid Use Disorder. New England Journal Of Medicine. 2018;378(1):47–57.
[9]. Van Boekel LC, Et Al. Stigma Among Health Professionals Towards Patients With Substance Use Disorders. Drug And Alcohol Dependence. 2015;147:23–35.
[10]. Piovezan M, Et Al. Uso E Prescrição De Opioides No Brasil: Revisão Integrativa. Brazilian Journal Of Pain. 2023;6(1):1–10.
[11]. Cheatle MD, Et Al. Managing Pain In Patients With Substance Use Disorders. Journal Of Clinical Psychology In Medical Settings. 2017;24(2):133–140.
[12]. Dowell D, Haegerich TM, Chou R. CDC Guideline For Prescribing Opioids For Chronic Pain—United States, 2016. JAMA. 2016;315(15):1624–1645.
[13]. Volkow ND, Koob GF, Mclellan AT. Neurobiologic Advances From The Brain Disease Model Of Addiction. New England Journal Of Medicine. 2016;374(4):363–371.