IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences (IOSR-JDMS)
e-ISSN: 2279-0853, p-ISSN: 2279-0861.Volume 24, Issue 9 Ser. 1 (September. 2025), PP 52-55
www.iosrjournals.org

Comparative Evaluation Of Advanced Diagnostic Procedures In Endodontics

Ruchi Gupta, Asit Vats, Akhil Jain
Professor, Dept Of Conservative Dentistry And Endodontics, Divya Jyoti College Of Dental Sciences And Research, Modinagar, Ghaziabad
Professor And Head, Dept Of Conservative Dentistry And Endodontics, Divya Jyoti College Of Dental Sciences And Research, Modinagar, Ghaziabad
PG Student, Dept Of Conservative Dentistry And Endodontics, Divya Jyoti College Of Dental Sciences And Research, Modinagar, Ghaziabad

Abstract
The success of endodontic therapy depends fundamentally on accurate diagnosis of pulpal and periapical conditions. Conventional diagnostic tests—such as percussion, palpation, and thermal/electric pulp testing—have been widely employed for decades. However, they are inherently limited by subjectivity, variability in patient responses, and an inability to directly measure pulp vascularity, which is the true determinant of pulp vitality. The evolution of diagnostic modalities in dentistry has introduced advanced imaging techniques and biological vitality tests including Cone Beam Computed Tomography (CBCT), Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT), Pulse Oximetry, Laser Doppler Flowmetry (LDF), and Near-Infrared Transillumination (NIR). These technologies have enhanced diagnostic accuracy, reproducibility, and patient comfort. This article provides a comprehensive and professional evaluation of conventional diagnostic methods alongside advanced diagnostic aids, elaborating on their principles, clinical applications, limitations, and comparative utility in endodontics. Although conventional tests remain relevant for everyday clinical practice, advanced diagnostic aids provide a more objective and biologically valid assessment. Pulse oximetry and CBCT in particular stand out for their clinical utility, while OCT, LDF, and AI-driven diagnostic systems represent emerging frontiers. A balanced integration of both traditional and advanced modalities ensures optimal, evidence-based, and patient-centered diagnostic outcomes.
Keywords: *Endodontic diagnosis, Pulp vitality, Cone Beam Computed Tomography, Pulse oximetry, Laser Doppler Flowmetry, Optical Coherence Tomography.*

Date of Submission: 25-08-2025 Date of Acceptance: 05-09-2025

I. Introduction

Accurate diagnosis forms the cornerstone of successful endodontic therapy. Despite advances in operative techniques and materials, an imprecise or delayed diagnosis often results in compromised outcomes. Endodontic diagnosis is inherently complex because it requires careful interpretation of both subjective symptoms and objective clinical signs, supplemented by radiographic and laboratory findings. Unlike other dental specialties, endodontics demands a delicate balance between clinical intuition and technological support, given that many pulp and periapical pathologies present with overlapping or atypical manifestations.

Traditionally, clinicians have relied on history taking, visual inspection, thermal tests, electric pulp testing, percussion, and conventional radiographs to arrive at diagnostic conclusions. While these remain integral to daily practice, their inherent subjectivity and limitations—such as patient response variability, false positives/negatives, and two-dimensional imaging distortions—underscore the need for more reliable tools.

Over the last two decades, the advent of advanced imaging modalities and non-invasive vitality assessment technologies has revolutionized diagnostic accuracy. CBCT has enabled three-dimensional visualization of periapical lesions and root morphology; pulse oximetry and laser Doppler flowmetry have offered objective pulp vitality testing; while AI-powered radiographic interpretation has enhanced detection sensitivity. Recent innovations such as OCT, thermography, and biosensor-based diagnostics are paving the way for a more precise, patient-centered approach in endodontics.

This review article provides a comprehensive overview of diagnostic aids in endodontics, tracing their historical roots, clinical utility, and current advances. It further emphasizes recent developments and explores how the integration of conventional and advanced methods can transform diagnostic precision and clinical outcomes.

II. Conventional Diagnostic Procedures

Diagnostic evaluation in endodontics has traditionally relied on simple clinical tests and radiographic methods. While these conventional tools remain widely used in daily practice, their accuracy and reliability can vary depending on patient factors, operator experience, and the pathological condition being assessed. Understanding their principles and limitations is essential, as they form the foundation upon which advanced diagnostic techniques have evolved.

III. Clinical Examination

The cornerstone of endodontic diagnosis begins with a detailed history and clinical examination. Patient-reported symptoms, such as spontaneous pain, sensitivity to thermal stimuli, or discomfort during mastication, provide initial clues. Extraoral and intraoral inspection allows clinicians to detect swelling, sinus tracts, discoloration, or tenderness of adjacent structures. Although subjective in nature, a thorough clinical examination helps narrow down the differential diagnosis and guides the selection of further tests.

Percussion and Palpation Tests

Percussion Test: Tapping on the occlusal or incisal surface of a tooth helps assess periapical inflammation. A sharp painful response often suggests periapical involvement.

Palpation Test: Applying digital pressure over the mucosa near the apices identifies tenderness, swelling, or cortical plate expansion.

Both tests are simple, non-invasive, and widely available. However, they are non-specific and must be correlated with additional diagnostic findings.

Thermal Tests

Thermal testing has been a standard method for assessing pulp vitality for decades.

Cold Tests: Materials such as ice, carbon dioxide snow, or refrigerant sprays are applied to the tooth surface. A normal pulp produces a sharp but transient response, whereas prolonged or exaggerated pain suggests irreversible pulpitis. Lack of response may indicate pulp necrosis.

Heat Tests: Heated gutta-percha or hot water is applied to evaluate pulpal response. Though less frequently used, heat testing may help diagnose conditions where cold tests are inconclusive.

Despite their simplicity, thermal tests depend on subjective patient response, and false positives or negatives can occur due to factors like restorations, trauma, or calcified canals.

Electric Pulp Testing (EPT)

The electric pulp tester delivers a small current to stimulate the nerve fibers within the pulp. A positive response indicates sensory nerve activity, suggesting pulp vitality. However, EPT does not assess vascular supply, which is the true indicator of vitality. In teeth with immature apices, calcifications, or recent trauma, EPT results may be misleading. Despite these limitations, EPT remains a valuable adjunct in routine practice.\

Radiographic Evaluation

Conventional periapical radiographs have long been the gold standard for assessing periapical pathology, root morphology, and canal anatomy. They help detect periapical radiolucencies, resorption defects, and fractures. However, their inherent limitation is that they provide a two-dimensional image of three-dimensional structures, often masking early changes or overlapping anatomical details.

IV. Advanced Diagnostic Aids

The limitations of conventional diagnostic tools in endodontics—particularly their dependence on subjective interpretation and inability to accurately assess pulpal vascularity—have driven the development of advanced diagnostic aids. These newer modalities integrate principles of imaging, optics, and physiology to provide more reliable, objective, and reproducible outcomes.

Cone Beam Computed Tomography (CBCT)

CBCT has revolutionized dental imaging by providing three-dimensional views of teeth and surrounding structures.

Applications: Assessment of root canal morphology, periapical lesions, resorptive defects, vertical root fractures, and pre-surgical planning.

Advantages: Higher accuracy compared to conventional radiographs, absence of anatomical superimposition, and ability to detect small periapical changes.

Limitations: Higher radiation dose than 2D radiography, cost, and requirement of interpretation expertise.

Laser Doppler Flowmetry (LDF)
LDF is a non-invasive method that measures blood flow in the dental pulp using the Doppler effect of laser light.

Principle: A low-power laser is directed into the tooth, and the frequency shift of reflected light from moving red blood cells is detected.

Clinical Value: Provides direct evidence of pulp vitality based on vascularity rather than nerve response, unlike conventional tests.
Limitations: Technique-sensitive, interference from gingival or periodontal tissues, and high cost.

Pulse Oximetry
Adapted from medicine, pulse oximetry measures oxygen saturation within the pulp.
Method: A customized probe is applied to the tooth, and oxygen saturation readings are obtained.
Advantages: Objective, non-invasive, painless, and provides a true assessment of pulp vitality.
Limitations: Difficulty in probe adaptation to small tooth surfaces, interference from restorations, and need for device standardization.

Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT)

OCT is an imaging technology that uses near-infrared light to produce high-resolution cross-sectional images of dental tissues.

Applications in Endodontics: Early caries detection, assessment of enamel cracks, pulp chamber evaluation, and monitoring treatment outcomes.
Advantages: Non-invasive, radiation-free, and highly detailed imaging.
Limitations: High cost, limited penetration depth, and availability restricted to research or specialized centers.

Near-Infrared Transillumination (NIRI)
NIRI utilizes near-infrared light to visualize enamel and dentin structures without radiation exposure.
Use in Endodontics: Early detection of caries, cracks, and structural anomalies that may compromise pulp health.
Benefit: Safer than radiography, especially for repeated monitoring.

Quantitative Light-Induced Fluorescence (QLF)
QLF employs fluorescent properties of teeth to identify demineralization.
Application: Detecting early carious lesions, monitoring progression, and evaluating restorative margins.
Advantage: Provides quantitative data on mineral loss and lesion activity.

Digital and 3D Imaging

Modern advancements in digital radiography and 3D imaging software have improved diagnostic precision. They enhance contrast resolution, allow image manipulation, and integrate with CBCT data for comprehensive case assessment.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning
AI-driven algorithms are increasingly being applied in endodontics for diagnostic support.
Applications: Automated detection of periapical lesions, canal morphology analysis, and outcome prediction.
Future Potential: AI may become an adjunct to clinical decision-making, improving speed and reducing diagnostic errors.
Advanced Photoplethysmography (PPG) and Dual Wavelength Spectrophotometry (DWLS):
Emerging technologies that provide quantitative assessment of pulp blood flow and oxygenation.
DWLS allows differentiation between vital and necrotic pulp based on optical absorption spectra

Comparative Evaluation of Diagnostic Modalities

Modality	Principle	Advantages	Limitations
Cold/Heat Test	Neural stimulation	Simple, cost-effective	Subjective, not vascular
Electric Pulp Test	A-delta stimulation	Reproducible	False results; not vascular
Radiography	X-ray absorption	Widely available	2D, ionizing radiation
CBCT	Cone beam tomography	3D, high accuracy	Radiation, expensive
MRI	Magnetic fields	Soft tissue detail, no radiation	Cost, accessibility
LDF	Laser Doppler shift	Objective pulp blood flow	Technique-sensitive
Pulse Oximetry	Oxygen saturation	Non-invasive, reliable	Probe design challenges
OCT	Optical reflectivity	Microstructural detail	Limited availability
NIR	Near-infrared light	Radiation-free, early crack detection	Scatter interference

Additional Recent & Emerging Advances in Endodontic Diagnostics

Photoacoustic Imaging (PAI):

A hybrid technique combining **light and ultrasound**, enabling deep tissue imaging of pulp vascularization.

Promising in **real-time pulp vitality assessment** with higher accuracy than pulse oximetry or LDF.

Microbiological and Molecular Diagnostics:

PCR-based assays and **DNA sequencing** for detecting endodontic pathogens directly from root canals.

Helps differentiate between **persistent vs. primary infections**, guiding antibiotic or intracanal medicament selection.

Biosensors and Lab-on-a-Chip Technology:

Miniaturized devices capable of detecting **biomarkers of inflammation, infection, or pulp necrosis** in saliva, gingival crevicular fluid, or pulp tissue.

These can provide **chairside biochemical diagnosis** in the near future.

Thermography:

Non-contact infrared imaging to detect **temperature changes over teeth**, reflecting vascular activity of pulp tissue.

Useful in **traumatized teeth** and in cases where standard tests are inconclusive

V. Discussion

The evolution of diagnostic methods in endodontics marks a paradigm shift from subjective, symptom-based tools to objective, biologically grounded technologies. Conventional tests remain vital as first-line tools but should be interpreted cautiously. They are most effective when combined with radiographs and clinical judgment.

Advanced methods, particularly CBCT and pulse oximetry, have significantly improved diagnostic accuracy. CBCT facilitates superior visualization of periapical structures, while pulse oximetry provides a true measure of pulp vitality by assessing vascular health. OCT and LDF add further precision but face limitations in cost, availability, and technical requirements.

Artificial intelligence and tele dentistry represent emerging frontiers, providing automated analysis and remote diagnostic capabilities that may bridge gaps in access to specialized care. However, challenges including high costs, training requirements, and standardization must be addressed before widespread adoption.

VI. Conclusion

Diagnostic aids in endodontics have progressed from traditional methods to advanced technologies such as CBCT, OCT, laser Doppler flowmetry, pulse oximetry, and near-infrared transillumination. Recent advances, including digital imaging, AI-based analysis, and machine learning algorithms, have further improved accuracy, reduced subjectivity, and enabled early disease detection. The integration of these modern tools with conventional techniques ensures precise diagnosis, better treatment planning, and enhanced patient outcomes, making them indispensable in contemporary endodontic practice.

References

[1]. Consensus Report Of The European Society Of Endodontology On Quality Guidelines For Endodontic Treatment. Int Endod J 1994; 27: 115-124.
[2]. Ingle JI, Bakland LK. Diagnosis Of Endodontic Disease, Ingle's Endodontics. 5th Ed. BC Decker Inc, 2008; 490-540.
[3]. Hargreaves KM, Berman LH. Pathways Of Pulp. Cohen's 11th Edition Textbook 2016; 4-13.
[4]. Olgart L, Gazelius B, Lindh-Strömberg U. Laser Doppler Flowmetry In Assessing Vitality In Luxated Permanent Teeth. Int Endod J 1988; 21(5): 1.
[5]. Tachibana H, Matsumoto K. Applicability Of X-Ray Computerized Tomography In Endodontics. Dent Traumatol 1990; 6(1): 16–20.
[6]. Nytun RB, Raadal M, Espelid I. Diagnosis Of Dentin Involvement In Occlusal Caries Based On Visual And Radiographic Examination Of The Teeth. Eur J Oral Sci 1992; 100(3): 144–8.
[7]. Kidd EA, Ricketts DN, Pitts NB. Occlusal Caries Diagnosis: A Changing Challenge For Clinicians And Epidemiologists. J Dent 1993; 21(6): 323–31.