# "A Suggestion: Effective Use of Bone-Specific Alkaline Phosphatase as a Clinical Marker to Determine the Treatment of Condylar Process Dislocated Fracture of Mandible? A case series"

# Shiro Kubo

Kubo Dental Clinic, Oral Surgery, 3-2-48 Minato, Wakkanai city, Hokkaido 097-0021, Japan

#### Abstract

This study aimed to suggest a factor of indicating the age limiting in which regeneration of the condylar process fracture of mandible (CPFM) with total dislocation of the condylar head is possible, especially in patients of a growing age, for which the treatment of CPFM is based on the clinical experiences and has no scientific background. This article focused on the deciding operative or non-operative methods to treat patients of a growing age.

Key words: Condylar process fracture of mandible, Regeneration of condylar head, Bone-specific alkaline phosphatase, Child

Date of Acceptance: 31-03-2021

### I. Introduction

CPFM is the most prevalent fracture of the mandible<sup>1,2)</sup>, 43.3% of these fractures are caused by dislocation which was analyzed in 949 cases of CPFM<sup>3)</sup>. The treatment methods are broadly divided into surgical and non-surgical treatments. Accordingly, open reduction with internal fixation (ORIF) was recommended to be considered for severely displaced and dislocated condylar base and lower neck fractures, especially in children and adolescents (>12-13 years), rather than non-surgical treatment in base and lower neck fractures<sup>1)</sup>. However, this conclusion is based on the clinical experience of many surgeons and has no scientific background. It is questionable to conclude the age of 12-13 years as binding definition the boundary age between surgical and non-surgical treatment. Since bone regeneration involves the participation of bone-specific alkaline phosphatase (BAP), which is considered to be a highly specific marker of osteogenesis in the osteoblast<sup>4)</sup>, the measurement of serum BAP level in each case may be a useful tool to determine operative or non-operative treatment of patients in a growing age. This article evaluates this possibility by presentation of our experiences gained with clinical cases and a bibliographic review of the relationship between BAP and regeneration of dislocated CPFM with a special focus on fractures of the condylar head of the mandible.

## II. Case Presentation

These three patients were referred for facial trauma to the university hospital. These town projection X-ray images showed left side deviation-dislocation and high neck fracture. They had the same diagnosis and were treated with the same non-surgical methods. Fig. 1, 2, 3 show result of conservative treatment of neck fractures with dislocation of the condylar head out of the glenoid fossa. Case 1: The first patient was an 8-year-old girl. After conservative treatment almost normal form of regenerated condylar head was observed 1 year 2 months later (**Fig. 1**). The outcome was extremely good. No facial deformation of dysfunction. Case 2: The second case was 16-year-old boy. Regeneration and resorption of condylar head was incomplete, leading to the bifid mandibular condyle 9 months later after conservative treatment (**Fig. 2**). The mouth opening was the width of two fingers. Case 3: The third patient was 19-year-old girl. No regeneration and resorption of the condylar head was observed at the fracture site 3 year later (**Fig. 3**). She complained of trismus and dislocation of mandible.



Fig. 1: Above: Angle of deviation-dislocation fracture is 60 degrees (dotted line). Below: 1 year 2 months later, regeneration of condylar head was observed (arrow).



Fig. 2: Above: Angle of deviation-dislocation fracture is 90 degrees. Below: After 9 months, regeneration of condylar head was incomplete (small arrow): bifid mandibular condyle.



Fig. 3: Above: Angle of deviation-dislocation fracture is 90 degrees. Below: After 3 years, no regeneration and resorption of condylar head (arrow).

Case 4: The fourth patient was a 12-year-old boy. He fell off his bicycle and bruised his chin. He visited

department of otolaryngology with trismus and occusal dysfunction. He was advised to have surgery but refused. Finally he visited my clinic and was treated non-surgically (**Fig. 4**). The X-ray image showed left side displacement-dislocation and head fracture. 1 year later, the outcome was extremely good. He got normal occlusion and none of trismus (**Fig. 5**).



Fig. 4: Lacerated wound of chin was sutured and displaced mandible to left



Fig. 5: 1 year later, no trismus and normal occlusion

# III. Clinical Procedures and Outcomes

Two of CPFM, 8- and 12-year-old, successfully cured by non-surgical treatment, but other two patients, 16- and 19-year-old, exhibited incomplete cure. Bibliographic review suggested that the values for BAP are one of the possible factors to assess whether surgical or non-surgical treatment for CPFM should be performed.

# IV. Discussion

CPFM treatment methods are basically divided into surgical and non-surgical treatments. Until around 1980, CPFM was predominantly treated using conservative methods, as described by Archer (1975)<sup>5)</sup>. Some postoperative adverse events such as truismus, ankylosis, and resorption of condylar head have been reported previously. The introduction of computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) have made diagnosis easier and improved the surgical methods. Owing to recent advances in diagnostic and surgical technique, treatment now has even been performed for condylar head fractures in adults<sup>6,7,8)</sup>, which was previously a contraindication.

At present, CPFMs are a topic of continuous controversial discussion regarding management in children. It has been reported that in pediatric dislocation fractures, the fragments are absorbed and regeneration of the condylar head takes place<sup>9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17)</sup>. This study aimed at determining the age of limits in which regeneration of the CPFM with total dislocation of the condyle from the glenoid fossa is possible, especially in patients of a growing age. According to a recent consensus conference, most surgeons preferred to perform ORIF for condylar base and neck fracture in both adult and growing patients (age>12-13 years), especially in severely displaced and dislocated fractures<sup>1)</sup>. Because the craniofacial skeleton becomes more adult-like in its form at about 12 years of age, so the indication of ORIF increases with age<sup>18)</sup>. The 2012 position paper from the International Bone Research Association stated that patients of a growing age (>12-13 years old) may be indicated for surgery because after this age, a comprehensive regeneration of the condylar head cannot be expected any longer, however, depending on sex (i.e., girls earlier than boys) and biological rather than chronological age. Accordingly, ORIF was recommended for severely displaced or dislocated fractures, especially in children and adolescents (>12-13 years), rather than non-surgical treatment<sup>1)</sup>. However, this conclusion is based on clinical experience of many surgeons and no evidence-based scientific background.

The case 2 showed incompleate resorption and regeneration from the fracture stump, resulting in a bifid mandibular condyle<sup>19)</sup>. This case suggested us possibility of regeneration of dislocated condyle head until 16 years of age<sup>20)</sup>. The case 1 and 4 support that conservative treatment is sufficient in pediatric patients, but treatment results worsen with age like in case 2, 3. Management of CPFM in children differs somewhat from that in adults<sup>21,22)</sup>. The growth period of young people differs depending on the respective country, and factors such as sex, race, living environment, and nutritional status. Therefore, it is questionable to conclude uniformly the choronological age of 12-13 years as binding definiton of the boundary age between surgery and non-surgical treatments.

Bone remodeling is an ongoing dynamic process consisting of bone resorption and bone formation. Normally, these processes are balanced, resulting in 10 % replacement of the skeleton, each year. There are many bone markers and characteristics of the skeleton.BAP is considered to be a highly specific marker of the bone-forming activity of osteoblasts. Serum levels of BAP and TRAP5b were significantly higher in adolescent boys compared with girls  $(p<0.001)^{23}$ . Serum levels of BAP were lower in children older than 15 year compared with children younger than 15 years (p<0.001) and significantly greater in boys than girls over 13 years (P<0.001)<sup>23,24</sup>.

As with other marker, the values for BAP in children are elevated over normal premenopausal controls<sup>6)</sup>. The values for BAP in children (**Table1**)implies that regeneration of a dislocated condyle head cannot be expected in females over  $\geq 12$  years and males age $\geq 16$  years of age<sup>4)</sup>.

Children, female(U/L)				
Age (years)	N	Mean	Percentile Rangea (3rd-97th %)	
<1	3	135	79-178	
2-4	20	129	77-180	
5-11	48	118	70-200	
<b>*</b> 12-15	58	67	36-146	
16-19	38	26	11-56	

Table 1: Values for BAP in children

Children, male (U/L)					
Age (years)	N	Mean	Percentile Rangea (3rd-97th %)		
<1	1	126	77-168		
2-4	18	115	68-157		
5-11	74	114	59-165		
12-15	34	114	46-192		
<b>*</b> 16-19	44	57	22-112		

Boundary age between surgical and non-surgical treatment 23)

Modified from Reference

The prognosis of dislocated fractures after non-surgical treatment was shown to be not satisfactory in 57/150 of the cases  $(38.0\%)^{3)}$ . This suggests that most of dislocated CPFM should undergo operation, but children are an exception<sup>9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,20,21,22)</sup>. The values for BAP are one of the decisive factors idicating whether surgical or non-surical treatments for CPFM should be performed (**Fig. 6**). Further reserches are necessary to clarify the factors for decision-making in the future.



Fig. 6: Serum levels of BAP is distinguishing marks for treatment?

## V. Conclusion

This article suggests that a definition of the age limit in which regeneration of the CPFM with total dislocation of the condylar process of the mandible is possible, especially in patients of growing age. The result of the BAP-level implies that a comprehensive repair of a dislocated condyle fracture cannot be expected in females aged over  $\geq 12$  years and males aged  $\geq 16$  years. The measurement of serum BAP in each case may be a useful approach to determine operative or non-operative methods to treat patients of a growing age.

#### Acknowledgements

The author thanks Professor Dr. A. Yamaguchi, Oral Health Science Center, Tokyo Dental College, Japan and Professor Dr. Dr. A. Neff, Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, University Hospital Marburg, Germany. The author declares that there are no conflics of interest regarding in publication of this paper. Written informed consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this study and any accompanying images.

#### References

- [1]. Neff A, Chossergros C, Blanc JL, Champsaur P, Cheynet F, Devvauhelle B, Eckelt U, Ferri J, Gabrielli MFR, Guyot L, Koppel DA, Meyer C, Muller B, Peltomaki T, Spallaccia F, Varoquaux A, Wilk A, Pitak-Arnnop P. Position paper from the IBRA symposium on surgery of the head-The 2nd international symposium for condylar fracture osteosynthesis, Marseille, France 2012. J Craniomaxillofac Surg 2014; 42:1234-1249.
- [2]. Zhou HH, Liu Q, Cheng G, Li ZB.Aetiology, pattern and treatment of mandibular condyle fractures in 549 patients: a 22-year retrospective study. J Craniomxillofac Surg 2013; 41:34-41.
- [3]. Kubo S, Yamaguchi A, Matsunaga S. An analysis of 949 cases of condylar process fracture of the mandible. IOSR-JDMS 2020; 19:47-53.
- [4]. Peter Haima.Bone alkaline phosphatase (BAP); A biochemical marker of bone turnover, 2018; pp.21, TECO medical Clinical and Technical Review, Switzerland.
- [5]. Archer WH. Oral and Maxillofacial surgery, 5th ed., 1975; pp.1157-1187, Sunders, Philadelphia.
- [6]. Kolk A, Neff A. Long-term results of ORIF of condylar head fractures of the mandible: A prospective 5-year follow-up study of small-fragment positional-screw osteosynthesis (SFPSO). J Craniomaxillofac Surg 2015; 43:452-461.
- [7]. Neff A, Mühlberger G, Karoglan M, Kolk A, Koch S, Mitelmeier W, Schieferstein H, Scheruhn D, Horch H. Stabilität der Osteosynthese bei Gelenkwalzenfrakturen in Klinik und biomechanischer Simulation. Mund Kiefer Gesichts Chir 2004;8:63-74.
- [8]. Pavlychuk T, Shydlovsky M, Kopchak A. A comparative biomechanical evaluation of different osteosynthesis techniques used for intracapsular condylar head fractures. J Oral Biol Craniofac Res 2019; 9:123-127.
- [9]. Arita K. Functional treatment of condylar process fractures in child. J Hard Tissue Biology 2005; 14:51-51.
- [10]. Cooney M, O'Connell JE, Vesey A, Eden S. Non-surgical management of paediatric and adolescent mandibular condyles: A retrospective review of 49 consecutive cases treated at a tertiary referral centre. J Craniomaxillofac Surg 2020; 48:666-671.
- [11]. Kiyota K. Clinical and roentgenographic studies on fractures of the jaw in children. Kokubyo Gakkai Zasshi1976; 43:479-508.
- [12]. Kubo S, Murahashi M, Fukuda O, Tachibana N, Densho S, Furauta I, Kohama G. Clinical observation on 124 cases of condylar fracture of mandible; with reference to the classification of condylar fracture. Jap. J. Oral Maxillofac. Surg. 1983; 29:1794-1805.
- [13]. Lekven N, Neppelberg E, Tornes K. Long-term follow-up of mandibular condylar Fractures in Children. J Maxillofac Surg 2011; 69:2853-2859.
- [14]. Lund K. Mandibular growth and remodeling process after condylar fracture. A longitudinal roentgencephalometic study. Acta OdontolScand 1974; 32:3-117.
- [15]. Norholt SE, Krishnan V, Sindet-Pederson S, Jensen I. Pediatric condylar fracture: A long-term follow-up study of 55 patients. J Oral

and Maxillofac Surg 1993; 51:1302-1310.

- [16]. Thoren H, Hallikainen D, Iizuka T, Lindqvist C. Condylar process fractures in children: A follow-up study of fractures with total dislocation of the condyle from the glenoid fossa. J Oral Maxillfac Surg 2001; 59:768-773.
- [17]. Yildirim Y, Keller EE. Remodeling of displaced condylar fractures with functional treatment: high-quality radiographic documentation in three-patient series. Craniomaxillofac trauma reconstr 2015; 8:334-340.
- [18]. Chrcanovic BR. Open versus closed Reduction: mandibular condylar fractures in children. Oral Mxillofac Surg 2012; 16:245-255.
- [19]. Barras-Ferreres J, Sanchez-Torres A, Gay-Escoda C. Bifid mandibular condyles: A systematic review. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal2018; 23: e672-e680.
- [20]. Yao S, Zhou J, Li Z. Contrast analysis of open reduction and internal fixation and non-surgical treatment of condylar fracture: A meta-analysis. J Craniofac Surg 2014; 25:2077-2080.
- [21]. Krüger E. Lehrbuch der chirurgischen Zahn-, Uund- und Kieferheilkunde Band 2, Buch- und Zeitschriften-Verlag, 1977; pp.127-138, Die Quintessenz, Berlin, Chicago, Rio de Janeiro und Tokio.
- [22]. Schwenzer N, Steihilber W. Traumtologie des Gesichtsschädels, 1974; pp.71-78, Werk-Velag Dr. Edmund Banaschewski, München-Gräfelfing.
- [23]. Fisher DC, Mischek A, Wolf S, Rahn A, Salweski B, Kundt G, Haffner D. Paediatric reference values for the C-terminal fragment of fibroblast-growth factor-23, sclerostin, bone-specific alkaline phosphatase and isoform 5b of tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase. Annals of Clinical Biochemistry2012; 49:546-553.
- [24]. Rauchenzauner M, Schmidt A. Sex-and age-specific reference curves for serum markers of bone turnover in healthy children from 1 months to 18 years. J Clin Endocrinol Metab2007; 92:443-449.

Shiro Kubo. "A Suggestion: Effective Use of Bone-Specific Alkaline Phosphatase as a Clinical Marker to Determine the Treatment of Condylar Process Dislocated Fracture of Mandible? A case series." *IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences (IOSR-JDMS)*, 20(03), 2021, pp. 49-54.

\_\_\_\_\_