# Cosmetic Outcomes and patient Satisfaction after Volume Displacement Oncoplastic Breast Surgery for Early Breast Cancer – a study

<sup>1</sup>Dr SujaySusikar, MS, MCh, <sup>2</sup>Dr P AnandhiMD(RT),

<sup>1</sup>Associate Professor of Surgical Oncology <sup>2</sup>Associate Professor of Radiation Oncology

Govt. Arignar Anna Memorial Cancer Hospital and Research Institue, Karapettai, Kanchipuram, Tamilnadu, India

Abstract: Purpose: Oncoplasticbreast surgery (OPS) is now considered as the ideal surgery in treatment of breast cancer. This study wasdone to evaluate the patient satisfaction and cosmetic outcome of volume displacement oncoplastic breast surgery in women treated at a tertiary care cancer hospital in south India. Methods: This is a prospective study of 23 patients who had volume displacement OPS between January 2018 and December 2019. The Patient satisfaction and Cosmetic outcome was evaluated by patients' questionnaire and a doctor. The satisfaction was assessed by a questionnaire divided into four categories; satisfaction with breast cosmesis, satisfaction with reconstruction method, satisfaction on operative method, side effects, and preference of operative method. Results: About 90% of patients answered above the fair in cosmetic items and operative methods, only less than 5% were unhappy with severe complications such as cramps or limitations of exercise. The patients' cosmetic satisfaction score anddoctor's cosmetic assessment also matched. Most patients preferred breast contour over short scar regardless of age and marital status (good contour 54% vs. short scar 21%). Conclusion: Volume displacement OPS offersboth the best possible cosmetic outcome and high patient satisfaction after breast cancer surgery. Most women considers good contour better than the size of scar, meaning that volume displacement OPS is a recommendable.

Key words: Breast Cancer, Oncoplastic Breast Surgery, Cosmetic Outcomes

Date of Submission: 29-02-2020 Date of Acceptance: 14-03-2020

2 and 0.1 and part 1 and 2 and 0.1 and part 1 and 2 and 0.1 an

## I. Introduction:

Breast conserving surgery (BCS) is one of the standard treatments for early breast cancer. The proportion of BCS to Mastectomy has gradually grown, now accounting or up to 70% of all breast cancer surgery worldwide [1-4]. In comparison to

Mastectomy, BCS has the benefits of better cosmoses while showing no difference in the oncologic outcomes.

[1,2]. However, sometimes BCS has limitations relating to oncologic (tumor volume, multifocality) and anatomic (size, tumor-breast ratio, tumor location) features [5]. Because of these limitations, oncoplastic surgery has emerged achieving oncologic safety whilst satisfying cosmetic outcomes [6-8]. Oncoplastic surgery first described by Audrets in 1998, meant immediate reconstruction to avoid deformity after BCS. Today the term is used to include a broader aspect, which includes both immediate and delayed reconstructions [10,11]. Breast Oncoplastic surgery is divided into two large categories, including volume displacement and volume replacement. The former is resection of cancer along with reshaping breast tissue; the latter is using autologous flap or prostheses to recreate breast contour [12].

Breast oncoplastic surgery is now worldwide approved, and includes various techniques [13,14]. Indian women present with larger tumors in smaller breast, thus further magnifying the role of oncoplastic surgery. This study was performed to survey the satisfaction rates of early breast cancer patients presenting to a tertiary cancer centre in Tamilnaduwho underwent volume displacement oncoplastic surgery.

### II. Methods

Between January 2018 and December 2019, a total of 23 patients underwent oncoplastic surgery with breast cancer at the department of Surgical Oncology at The Government Arignar Anna Memorial Cancer Hospital. Among patients, 23 patients who had agreed with this study wereenrolled in this study.

Patients' satisfaction was surveyed with a questionnaire and a doctor evaluated the cosmetic outcome. A doctor who did not participate in the surgery recorded the doctor's judgment on the aesthetic point of view.

We also assessed the the patients' acceptability of long scars, which are seen in results of oncoplastic surgery. This study was approved by the intuitional Review Board of Hospital.

## Volume displacement oncoplastic surgery

Oncoplastic surgery is classified into three different groups based on the amount of excised tissue, skin removed and the displacement of breast fibroglandular matrix. Simple glandularadvancement (SGA) is the surgical technique which does not needfurther skin excision, and can be performed only for small mass with small resulting defects. The defect is filled with breast matrix displacement which is of small amount .Dermoglandularadvancement (DGA) is performed when the defect is relatively large volume and a further skin excision needs to be made, excising the breast mass with a surrounding margin of normal parenchyma followed with then displacement of skin and breast tissue matrix to fill in the defect . Dermoglandular rotation (DGR) requires a long skin incision with wide excision of breast parenchyma and skin, thereby resulting in a relatively large defectwhich is replaced by the rotation of another quadrant of breast matrix. Technique is selected based on tumor and breast size (excision volume) and tumor location, as judged by the operating surgeon.

## Questionnaire on patients' satisfaction

The questionnaire on patients' satisfaction was carried out during the follow-up visit at least three months after surgery. The satisfaction was assessed by a questionnaire divided into five categories; satisfaction with breast cosmesis, satisfaction with reconstruction method, satisfaction on operative method, side effects, and preference of operative method. The patients' acceptability of long surgical scar while maintaining breast contour was also assessed. Patients answered all these questions of the five-point scale (Table 1).

#### **Doctor's cosmetic assessment**

Cosmetic outcome was evaluated by a doctor who was not part of the surgical team. He/ She inspected the operated breast and compared with the opposite breast with regards tobreast shape and symmetry, dimpling, nipple deviation, deformity, scar contracture either at the clinic during the patient follow up without knowledge of questionnaire results. The result was classified according to the above mentioned criteria using a four point scale [16] and scored as follows: (1) excellent = 4; treated breast was identical to untreated breast, (2) good = 3; treated breast was slightly different to untreated breast (3) fair = 2; tr breast was clearly different to untreated breast, (4) poor = 1; treated breast was seriously distorted

# Statistical analysis

The survey on both the patients' satisfaction and doctor's assessment were technically analyzed on each aspect. Analysis of varianc test was used for the comparison of mean score. All statistical tests were performed by SPSS ver. 18 and P-value < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant in all results.

#### III. Results

# **Patients' characteristics**

A total of 23 females were enrolled in this study and their mean age of the patients was  $48^{\pm}$  9.0 years. The mean tumor size was  $2.9^{\pm}$  1.4 cm. In terms of tumor location, 14 tumors located in upper outer quadrant, 3 tumors in lower outer quadrant, 4 tumors in upper inner quadrant, and 2 tumors in lower inner quadrant. In operative methods, 7 patients underwent SGA, 14 patients underwent DGA and the rest 2 patients underwent DGR.

# Survey on patients' satisfaction and doctor's cosmetic assessment

Table 3 shows the patients' satisfaction with breast cosmesis, satisfaction with reconstruction method, satisfaction on operative method, side effects, and preference of operative method. For the cosmetic appearance, over the 50.0% of the patients were above satisfied in all questions except for the undressed appearance (44 %). However, 82% of patients answered above the fair in their undressed appearance. In respect to operative method, 86% were above satisfied in their operative results. Patients showed their satisfaction on the results coincide expectation before surgery and surgery procedure. In the case of side effect, less than 26 % of all patients experienced side effect in all items.

Doctor's cosmetic perception on volume displacement breastoncoplastic surgery showed relatively good results. Among the cases, 21% and 48% cases were scored excellent and good, respectively. Only, less than 10 % were seen to have poor outcomes (Table 4).

86% of the patients preferred good contour to long scar. Only 16 % patients preferred short scar despite bad contour.

#### IV. Discussion

Oncoplastic surgery consists of two categories, which are volume displacement and volume replacement surgery [12]. Among these, volume displacement oncoplastic surgery constitutes resection of cancer and reshaping breast tissue. This an usually be done in patients with a large breast volume [17]. With this in mind, displacementoncoplastic surgery may not be feasible in women with small to medium breast. Moreover, the acceptability of long scar with a good contour has not been evaluated.

This study studied the satisfaction of patients with breast cancer undergoing volume displacement breast oncoplastic surgery. Cosmetic outcome was found to be satisfactory in over 50% of all patients in all questions except for the undressed appearance. In complication items, only less than 5% of all patients reported of severe complications such as cramps or limitations of exercise.

These results are relatively high satisfaction results comparable to others, and we think that this might be attributed to specimen weight to breast volume ratio. [17,18]. Although this study did not compare satisfaction between oncoplastic surgery and BCS, the patient cosmetic satisfaction rated up to about 90% (including fair) with severe complications being less than 5%. This suggest that oncocoplastic surgery is a good surgical technique.

This study has evaluated the acceptability of long scar for good breast contour. Good breast contour is preferred over short scar (86% vs. 16%), regardless of age and marital status. This shows that more women put importance on general appearance with their clothing on.

## V. Conclusion:

Volume displacement breast oncoplastic surgery ensures both the best possible cosmetic outcome of breast cancer resection with high patient satisfaction. For this reason, it is a recommendable surgical technique. Women tend to give importance general contour of breast more than the size of scar for cosmetic satisfaction.

#### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

We have no potential conflict of interest relevant to this article.

**Table 1** – Patients cosmetic satisfaction questionnaire

	tion question					
	T		1	1		
_				Extremely		
		Score -3		satisfied		
Score - 1	Score - 2		Score - 4	score - 5		
B. SATISFACTION WITH RECONSTRUCTION OUTCOMES						
	•					
	•		•	•		
	Very unsatisfied Score - 1	unsatisfied dissatisfied	unsatisfied dissatisfied Score -3	unsatisfied dissatisfied Score -3 Satisfied		

**Table 2 Patient Characteristics** 

Number of patients	23			
Mean age of patients	48 +/- 9 years			
Brassiere cup size	Large	4		
	Medium	10		
	Small	9		
Tumor location	UO	14		
	LO	3		
	UI	4		
	LI	2		
Operative Method	SGA	7		
	DGA	14		
	DGR	2		

Table 3 - Questionnare results

Table 5 - Questionnaire results						
A CATICEACTION MUTH DDE ACT COCMECIC						
SATISFACTION WITH BREAST COSMESIS	Very unsatisfied Score - 1	Mildly dissatisfied Score - 2	Satisfied Score -3	Highly Satisfied Score - 4	Extremely satisfied score - 5	
1 Appearance in mirror clothed	1	3	8	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	
2 Appearance in mirror unclothed	1	<u>2</u>	<u>7</u>	9	<u>4</u>	
3 Shape of breast when wearing bra	1	<u>2</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>3</u>	
4 Shape of breast when not wearing bra	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>4</u>	
5 Feeling normal with clothes	<u>0</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>4</u>	
6 Bras fit comfortably	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>7</u>	8	<u>4</u>	
B. SATISFACTION WITH RECONSTRUCTION OUTCOMES						
1 RESULT OF RECONSTRUCTION	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>2</u>	
2 SIZE OF BREAST	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>4</u>	
3 HOW NATURAL BREASTS LOOK	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>4</u>	
4 HOW BREASTS FEEL TO TOUCH	<u>1</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	
5 BREASTS MATCHED TO EACH OTHER	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>4</u>	
C. SATISFACTION OF OPERATIVE METHOD						
1 ARE YOU SATISFIED WITH RESULT OF SURGERY	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>4</u>	
2 DOES THE RESULT COINCIDE WITH YOUR	1	2	Z	9	4	
EXPECTATION BEFORE THE SURGERY						
D. SIDE EFFECTS						
1 PAIN ON OPERATED BREAST	<u>0</u>		<u>3</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u>	
4 CRAMPS AROUND THE BREAST	1	4	Z	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	
3 LIMITATIONS ON MOVING ARM	<u>2</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>4</u>	
4 PAIN ON SHOULDER OR ARM	1	<u>2</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>3</u>	
	E. PREFERENCE OF OPERATIVE METHOD					
1 IS IT ACCEPTABLE THAT SCAR IS OBVIOUS WHEN THE BREAST SHAPE IS SATISFACTORY	<u>0</u>	<u>3</u>	7	<u>10</u>	<u>3</u>	
2 IS IT ACCEPTABLE THAT THE BREAST SHAPE IS UNSATISFACTORY WHEN THE SCAR IS BARELY VISIBLE	2	<u>4</u>	7	<u>6</u>	4	

**Table 4 Doctors Cosmetic Assessment** 

ASSE	ESSED PARAMETER	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR		
		SCORE -4	SCORE -3	SCORE – 2	SCORE - 1		
1	BREAST SHAPE AND	<u>6</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>5</u>	1		
	SYMMETRY						
2	DIMPLING	<u>5</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>1</u>		
3	NIPPLE DEVIATION	<u>6</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>		
4	DEFORMITY	<u>6</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>		
5	SCAR CONTRACTURE	<u>4</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>		

# References

- [1]. Fisher B, Anderson S, Bryant J, Margolese RG, Deutsch M, Fisher ER, et al. Twenty-yearfollow-up of a randomized trial comparing total mastectomy, lumpectomy, and lumpectomy plus irradiation for the treatment of invasive breast cancer. N Engl J Med 2002; 347:1233-41.
- [2]. Veronesi U, Cascinelli N, Mariani L, Greco M, Saccozzi R, Luini A, et al. Twenty-year follow-up of a randomized study comparing breast-conserving surgery with radical mastectomy for early breast cancer. N Engl J Med 2002;347:1227-32.
- [3]. Garcia-Etienne CA, Tomatis M, Heil J, Friedrichs K, Kreienberg R, Sumin Ha et al. Mastectomy trends for early-stage breast cancer: a report from the EUSOMA multi-institutional European database. Eur J Cancer 2012;48:1947-56.

- [4]. ruin W, Roumen RM, Siesling S, van der Heiden-van der Loo M, Duijm LE, Tjan-Heijnen VC, et al. Patients with invasive lobular breast cancer are less likely to undergo breast-conserving surgery: a population based study in the Netherlands. Ann SurgOncol 2015; 22:1471-8.
- [5]. Clough KB, Benyahi D, Nos C, Charles C, Sarfati I. Oncoplasticsur- gery: pushing the limits of breast-conserving surgery. Breast J 2015;21:140-6.
- [6]. Asgeirsson KS, Rasheed T, McCulley SJ, Macmillan RD. Oncol and cosmetic outcomes of oncoplastic breast conserving suEur J SurgOncol 2005;31:817-23.
- [7]. Chan SW, Cheung PS, Lam SH. Cosmetic outcome and percenta of breast volume excision in oncoplastic breast conserving surgeryWorld J Surg 2010;34:1447-52. 18.
- [8]. Losken A, Dugal CS, Styblo TM, Carlson GW Bredart A, Petit JY. Partial mastectomy: a balance between o ogy and aesthetics? Lancet Oncol 2005;6:130.
- [9]. Audretsch W. Reconstruction of the partial mastectomy d classification and method. In: Spear SL, editor. Surgery of the b principles and art. Philadelphia: Lippincott-Raven; 1998. p.15
- [10]. Rutgers EJ. Guidelines to assure quality in breast cancer suEur J SurgOncol 2005;31:568-76.
- [11]. Baildam AD. Oncoplastic surgery of the breast.Br J Surg 200 532-3.
- [12]. Yang JD, Bae SG, Chung HY, Cho BC, Park HY, Jung JH. The u ness of oncoplastic volume displacement techniques in the riorly located breast cancers for Korean patients with sm moderate-sized breasts. Ann PlastSurg 2011;67:474-80.
- [13]. Churgin S, Isakov R, Yetman R. Reconstruction options foll breast conservation therapy. Cleve Clin J Med 2008;75 Su S24-9.
- [14]. Sanuki J, Fukuma E, Wadamori K, Higa K, Sakamoto N, Tsun Volume replacement with polyglycolic acid mesh for correcting breast deformity after endoscopic conservative surgery. Clin Cancer 2005;6:175. 15.
- [15]. Pusic AL, Klassen AF, Scott AM, Klok JA, Cordeiro PG, Cano S, Development of a new patient-reported outcome measure for surgery: the BREAST-Q. PlastReconstrSurg 2009;124:345-5
- [16]. Haloua MH, Krekel NM, Jacobs GJ, Zonderhuis B, BoumaBuncamper ME, et al. Cosmetic outcome assessment following breast-conserving therapy: a comparison between BCCT.core ware and Panel Evaluation. Int J Breast Cancer 2014;2014:716
- [17]. Chan SW, Cheung PS, Lam SH. Cosmetic outcome and percenta of breast volume excision in oncoplastic breast conserving surgery. World J Surg 2010;34:1447-52.
- [18]. Losken A, Dugal CS, Styblo TM, Carlson GW. A meta-analysis comparing breast conservation therapy alone to the oncoplastic technique. Ann PlastSurg 2014;72:145-9.

Dr SujaySusikar, etal. "Cosmetic Outcomes and patient Satisfaction after Volume Displacement Oncoplastic Breast Surgery for Early Breast Cancer – a study." *IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences (IOSR-JDMS)*, 19(3), 2020, pp. 49-53.