Hydronephrosis –correlation between Excretory Urography (IVU) and Ultrasonography (USG)

DR PRATAP CHANDRA SAHA MD DR KEDARNATH PAL MD

Date of Submission: 08-11-2020

Date of Acceptance: 21-11-2020

Hydronephrosis means dilatation of calyces resulting from impairment to the flow of urine. **Common causes of Hydronephrosis.**

A. Congenital

1.Ureteropelvic junction narrowing 2.Post urethral value

B. Acquired

- 1. Calculi
- 2. Benign prostatic hypertrophy
- 3. Trauma
- 4. Urethral stricture

USG shows anatomical obstruction causing hydronephrosis, cannot show functional capability.

IVU gives functional and anatomical information.

Urinary system comprises of kidney, ureter, bladder and urethra.

I. Materials and Method

Cases for IVU or USG investigation are regularly sent from wards and OPD to Radiology Department. 50 cases were selected for this study randomly without age and sex discrimination. Contrast material adverse reaction rarely occurs.

Aims and adjectives

- 1. To detect- Hydronephrosis by either of the methods (USG or IVU)
- 2. To detect level of obstruction
- 3. To determine causes

Thus to help further management.

II. Discussion

During the last few decades, many investigations have developed in renal imaging e.g. CT Urography, MR Urography etc.

Yet excretory urography and USG remains most important diagnostic tools in renal imaging. In this study both have been used.

Efficacy depends on proper technique of IVU and USG and most importantly proper interpretation.

The result and observation of 50 cases studied with USG and IVU will be discussed under following headings.

- a) Age and sex incidence
- b) Relative distribution for different etiology
- c) Clinical presentation and examination
- d) Laboratory investigation
- e) Features in IVU
- f) Features in USG
- g) Other imaging modalities e.g. urodynamic study, C.T. Scan, Retrograde pyelography, antegrade pyelography, Color Doppler study, Angiography, MRI.

Age and Sex

There is male preponderance- 32 males (64%) and 18 females (36%). Maximum number of cases is between 31-40 year of age (11 cases).

Relative distribution of different etiology

Congenital cases are more common in younger age group- PUV, Neurogenic bladder and PUJ obstruction.

SOL and prostatomegaly are common in elderly patients

PUJ obstruction confirmed by Frusemide challenged excretory Urography and dynamic ultrasound using diuretics.

Retrocaval ureter is a developmental defeat.

Urothelial SOL was found in 6 cases. All the cases presented with hematuria.

Urothelial SOL was seen in 4 cases- 3 male and one female- 2 cases presented with lower abdominal mass.

Urethral stricture was seen in 2 female patients.

Ureterocele was found in one case.

Prostatomegaly was seen in 3 patients with bilateral hydronephrosis.

Calculus was the most-common cause.

Clinical presentation and examination

Commonest complaint was pain abdomen. Few complained of hematuria. In few cases lump was found.

Laboratory investigation

Routine urine and blood examination, serum urea, creatinine and P.P. sugar. Only 5 patients showed 50 mg% blood urea.

No diabetic was found.

Pus cells in 24 cases and RBC in 14 cases were seen.

IVU

4 patient showed one kidney.

USG

Both TAS and TVS were used to diagnose Hydronephrosis in all 50 cases.

MCU

MCU is used for vesicoureteric reflux, study of urethra during micturition, stress incontinence. Acute UTI is a contraindication.

Retrograde pyelography

Two cases were done.

C.T. Scan Very helpful.

Reaction to contrast agent

Reaction to contrast agent was seen in 6 cases

III. Conclusion

All the 50 cases studied here are chronic cases. In the study of Hydronephrosis, USG and IVU are complementary to each other. USG is not to judge functional capability. USG is cheap, easily available, can be done is seriously ill patient and better to detect SOL. All patients suspected of having Hydronephrosis should have undergone USG first.

| Table-I | | |
|--------------|-------------|------------|
| Age in Years | No of Cases | percentage |
| 0-10 | 5 | 10% |
| 11-20 | 8 | 16% |
| 21-30 | 9 | 18% |
| 31-40 | 11 | 22% |
| 41-50 | 8 | 16% |
| 51-60 | 3 | 6% |
| 61-70 | 4 | 8% |
| 71-80 | 2 | 4% |
| Total | 50 | 100% |

| Table-II | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------|------------|--|
| Etiology | No of Cases | Percentage | |
| Puj obstruction | 10 | 20% | |
| Calculi | 17 | 34% | |
| Urothelial S.O.L. | 6 | 12% | |
| Non Urothelial S.O.L. | 4 | 8% | |

| Post. Urethral valve | 3 | 6% |
|----------------------|----|------|
| Retrocaval ureter | 1 | 2% |
| Ureterocele | 1 | 2% |
| Ureteral stricture | 2 | 4% |
| Neurogenic bladder | 2 | 4% |
| Prostatomegaly | 3 | 6% |
| Meatal stenosis | 1 | 2% |
| total | 50 | 100% |

Table-III

Unilateral and Bilateral involvement in Male & Female

| sex | No. of Cases | Unilateral | Bilateral |
|--------|--------------|------------|-----------|
| Male | 32 | 17 | 15 |
| Female | 18 | 13 | 5 |

Table-IV

Unilateral and Bilateral involvement in diff age group in both sexes

| Age in years | Total cases | bilateral | Unilateral |
|--------------|-------------|-----------|------------|
| 0-1 yr. | 5 | 5 | Х |
| 11-20 yr. | 8 | 5 | 3 |
| 21-30 yr. | 9 | 1 | 8 |
| 31-40 yr. | 11 | Х | 11 |
| 41-50 yr. | 8 | 3 | 5 |
| 51-60 yr. | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| 61-70 yr. | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| 71-80 yr. | 2 | 2 | Х |

| Table-V | | |
|---------------------|-------------|------------|
| Etiology | No of cases | Percentage |
| PUJ obstruction | 4 | 20% |
| Calculi | 2 | 10% |
| Post urethral value | 3 | 15% |
| Neurogenic bladder | 2 | 10% |
| Bladder SOL | 2 | 10% |
| Non Urothelial SOL | 3 | 15% |
| Prostatomegaly | 3 | 15% |
| Meatal Stenosis | 1 | 5% |
| Total | 20 | 100% |

Table-VI

| Level of obstruction | No. of cases |
|----------------------|--------------|
| Supravesical | 8 |
| Vesical | 5 |
| Infravesical | 7 |

| Table-VII | | |
|---------------------|-------------|------------|
| Etiology | No of cases | Percentage |
| PUJ obstruction | 6 | 20% |
| Calculi | 15 | 50% |
| Urothelial neoplasm | 4 | 13.33% |
| Retrocaval Ureter | 1 | 3.33% |
| Ureterocele | 1 | 3.33% |
| Ureteral stricture | 2 | 3.33% |
| Carcinoma Cervix | 1 | 3.33% |
| Total | 30 | 100% |

Bibliography

- [1]. [2]. Wilson Dr. 1980: Pathophysiology of obstruction nephropathy. Kidney Int 18: 281-292
- Jaerike J.R.: The renal functional defect of post obstructive nephropathy. The effect of bilateral obstraction in Rat. Clin. 51-2999, 1992
- [3]. Roberge-wade AP, Hosking DH, MacEwan DW, Ramsay E.W. J. Urol 140: 1473-1474, December-1988
- Lalli AF 1980. Contrast media reactions: data analysis and hypothesis. Radiology; 134:1-12. [4].
- Ellenbogen PH, Schieble FW, Talner LB, Leopold GR, : Sensitivity of grey scale ultrasound in detecting urinary tract obstruction: [5]. AJR-1978;130:731-733.
- [6]. Dalla-Palma L, Bezzoci M, PozziMucelli RS, Stuart F, Rossi M, Agostini R. : Ultrasonography in the diagnosis of Hydronephrosis in pt with normal renal function. Urol Radiology-1983;5: 221-226.
- [7]. Amis ES, Cronan JJ, Pfister RC, Yodler IC. Ultrasonic inaccuracies in diagnosis Renal obstruction: urology-1982; 101-105.

[8]. Fidas A Mackinlay JY, wild SR, Chisholm GD.: USG as an alternative to intravenous urography in prostatism. Radiol-1987; 38: 479-482.

[9]. Hill MC Ruh JI, Masdrat JG, Finder CA. :SonographyVs Urography in acute flank pain. AJR 1985; 144: 1235-1238.

- [10]. Laing F.C., Jeffrery RB, Wing V.W., USG vsExcretory urography in evaluating acute flank pain. Radiology-1985; 154: 613-616.
- [11]. USG VsExcertory Urography- value in Urological disease. Aslaken A, Gothlin JH (University of Bergen, Norway) Eur. J. Radiol 8: 179-180, August 1988.
- [12]. Nitzsche EU, Zimmerhack LB, Hawkins RA, st over B, Frankenschmidt A, Signund G, Choi Y, Hoh ek, Mosser EA: Correlation of ultrasound and renal scintigraphy in children with unilateral Hydronephrosis in primary work up. PediatrNephrol. 1993 April: 7 (2): 138-42.
- [13]. M.S. keller MD, New heaven, CT. L.J. Hodges, MD. D.S. Schwartz, MD. S.N. Grasso MD. S.A. Anupindi, M.D.R.M. Weisis, MD. : Simplified Parameters US scoring in Pediatric Hydronephrosis, 1999 Scientific Programme. Suppl to Radiology Nov 1999: 231: 463.
- [14]. Smith RL, Rosenfield AT, Choe KA, Essenmacher KR, Verga M, Glickman MG, Lange RL, : Acute flank pain-comparison of non contrast CT and intravenous Urography. Radiology: 194: 789-794, 1995.
- [15]. Weeb JAW, Reznek RH, White FE, Cattle W.R. et a, 1984: can ultrasonography and computed tomography replace high dose urography in patient with impaired renal function? QJ Med : 53 : 411-425.
- [16]. Illya C, Boridy, MD., Akira Kwashima, MD, Stanford M. Goldman MD., Carl M. Sandler, MD.,: Acute Ureterolithiasis: Nonenhanced Helical CT Findings of perinephricoedema for Prediction of Degree of UreteStoller M : Obstructive nephropathy : evaluation with dynamic Gd-DTPA enhanced MR imaging.

DR PRATAP CHANDRA SAHA, et. al. "Hydronephrosis –correlation between Excretory Urography (IVU) and Ultrasonography (USG)." *IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences* (*IOSR-JDMS*), 19(11), 2020, pp. 51-54.