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#### Abstract

: Introduction and Aim: Peripheral arterial disease ( $P A H$ ) is an ischemic manifestation of atherosclerotic stenosis or occlusion of the lower extremity arteries. Noninvasive diagnostic methods for PAH include Ankle Brachial Index (ABI). ABI is the ratio of the highest brachial systolic blood pressure to the highest systolic blood pressure in both ankles. ABI in the diagnosis of PAH; hand Doppler and sphygmomanometer. The aim of this study was to determine the relationship between risk factors of peripheral arterial disease and ankle brachial index in asymptomatic patients. Material and Method: 150 patients were included in our study. The rates of smoking, HL, DM and HT were significantly different compared to the groups. According to HT types, there is a statistically significant difference between ABI levels. ABI levels greater than 0.9 were found statistically significant in all pre hypertensive patients. Results: Smoking, HL, DM and HT incidence rates were significantly different compared to the groups. Moreover, as the risk increases, their rates increase. There is a statistically significant difference between ABI levels according to HT types. Glucose measurements show significant difference according to risk groups. Discussion and Conclusion: In our study, the average ABI of the prehypertension group was found to be significantly higher than the average ABI of Stage 1 and Stage 3 hypertensive patients. As a result of this study; the relationship between peripheral artery disease risk factors and Ankle Brachial Index is the first study to demonstrate in Turkey. In family medicine applications; the noninvasive diagnostic method Ankle Brachial Index method can be used to diagnose and treat peripheral arterial disease.
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## I. Introduction

Peripheral arterial disease (PAH) is an ischemic manifestation of atherosclerotic stenosis or occlusion of the lower extremity arteries. The frequency of PAH increases with advancing age and cardiovascular risk factors (Smoking, hyperlipidemia (HL), hypertension (HT), diabetes mellitus (DM)). Approximately $40 \%$ of patients with coronary artery disease or cerebrovascular disease have PAH. Noninvasive diagnostic methods for PAH include Ankle Brachial Index (ABI).

ABI is the ratio of the highest brachial systolic blood pressure to the highest systolic blood pressure in both ankles. The ABI method used in the diagnosis of PAH is an easy, noninvasive diagnostic method that can be used in family health centers by hand Doppler or sphygmomanometer. ABI has a $95 \%$ sensitivity, $99 \%$ specificity for the diagnosis of PAH and shows a high risk of cardiovascular ischemic event (1,2). Cardiovascular diseases are the cause of nearly $30 \%$ of deaths in western countries (3). In some studies, the normal ABI value was found to be between 0.9 and 1.5 in healthy subjects. Low and high ABI values were associated with atherosclerotic heart disease. In recent years, the relationship between mortality rate and ABI value is higher than 1.5 (4). The aim of this study is; to determine the relationship between the risk factors of peripheral arterial disease and ankle brachial index in asymptomatic patients.

## II. Material and Method

Our study was carried out between May 2011 and July 2011 in 150 patients in Eskişehir Mihalıççık Gün Sazak District Hospital Family Medicine policlinic. Patients were divided into 4 groups according to their risk factors such as smoking, hyperlipidemia, hypertension and diabetes mellitus. The control group was the group without risk factors. Among the groups; demographic characteristics, anthropometric measurements, biochemical values and ABI values were compared. ABI value $<0.9$ was considered high risk for peripheral arterial disease (5). Patients who were 50 years and over and had at least one risk factor for PAH were included in the study. Written informed consent was obtained from the patients included in the study. The consent was obtained from the ethics committee for the study on April 7, 2011, numbered 9258. Patients with known atherosclerosis with resting and exercise, leg pain, and abnormal leg pulse examination were excluded from the study.

## III. Statistical Analysis

For statistical analysis, the Statistical Software (Utah, USA) program was used for the NCSS (Number Cruncher Statistical System) 2007 \& PASS (Power Analysis and Sample Size 2008). In the evaluation of the data, descriptive statistical methods (Average, standard deviation, frequency), as well as comparison of quantitative data, normal distribution of parameters, Oneway Anova test and Tukey HDS test were used to determine the group that caused the difference. Kruskal Wallis test was used for the comparison of the parameters which did not show normal distribution and Mann Whitney $U$ test was used for the determination of the group that caused the difference. The paired sample $t$ test was used for intragroup comparisons of the parameters with normal distribution and Wilcoxon sign test was used for intra-group comparisons of parameters that did not show normal distribution. Chi-Square test and Fisher's Exact Chi-Square test were used to compare qualitative data. The results were evaluated at $95 \%$ confidence interval and $p<0.05$ at significance level.

## IV. Results

Our study included 150 patients, $48.7 \%(\mathrm{n}=73)$ male and $51.3 \%(\mathrm{n}=77)$ female. The patients were between 50 and 89 years of age and the average age was $70.63 \pm 8.42$. If the groups had 1 risk factor in determining the first group, the second group had 2 risk factors, the third group had 3 risk factors and the fourth group had 4 risk factors. The control group was determined as patients without risk factors. There was no statistically significant difference between groups according to their age and gender ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) (Table 1).

Table 1. Distribution of descriptive characteristics

|  |  | 1 risk | 2 risk | 3 risk | 4 risk | Control | ${ }^{+} \mathbf{p}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average $\pm$ SD | Average $\pm$ SD | Average $\pm$ SD | Average $\pm$ SD | Average $\pm$ SD |  |
| Age |  | 71,63 $\pm 8,03$ | 71,77 $\pm 7,03$ | 68,43 $\pm 9,39$ | 71,57 $\pm 8,26$ | 69,77 $\pm 9,24$ | 0,453 |
| BMI |  | 29,03 $\pm 6,64$ | 30,27 $\pm 8,64$ | 29,55 $\pm 6,37$ | 31,38 $\pm 7,58$ | 28,48 $\pm 6,45$ | 0,566 |
| Waist circumference |  | 94,97 $\pm 12,02$ | 95,90 $\pm 13,56$ | 93,97 $\pm 12,28$ | 100,33 $\pm 15,29$ | 90,50 $\pm 13,58$ | 0,083 |
|  |  | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) | ${ }^{++} \mathbf{p}$ |
| Gender | Male | 16 (\%53,3) | 14(\%46,7) | 15(\%50,0) | 16(\%53,3) | 12(\%40,0) | 0,828 |
|  | Female | 14(\%46,7) | 16(\%53,3) | 15(\%50,0) | 14(\%46,7) | 18(\%60,0) |  |
| Age | < 55 | $1(\% 3,3)$ | 0 (\%0) | 3 (\%10) | $1(\% 3,3)$ | $2(\% 6,7)$ | 0,770 |
|  | 56-64 | 3 (\%10) | 5 (\%16,7) | 8 (\%26,7) |  | 8 (\%26,7) |  |
|  | 65-74 | 15 (\%50) | 16 (\%53,6) | 11 (\%36,7) |  | 10 (\%33,3) |  |
|  | 75-84 | 10 (\%33,3) | $8(\% 26,7)$ | 6 (\%20) |  | $9(\% 30)$ |  |
|  | > 85 | $1(\% 3,3)$ | $1(\% 3,3)$ | $2(\% 6,7)$ |  | $1(\% 3,3)$ |  |

${ }^{+}$Oneway anova test
There was no significant difference between the average ABI levels of the groups ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ). The rates of smoking, HL, DM and HT showed a significant difference compared to the groups, and as the risk increased, their rates increased ( $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ ) (Table 2).

Table 2. Ratio of PAH risk factors and ABI values in groups

|  | 1 risk | 2 risk | 3 risk | 4 risk | Control | ${ }^{+} \mathbf{p}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Average } \pm \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Average } \pm \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \pm \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \pm \\ \text { SD } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Average $\pm$ SD |  |
| Average ABI | 1,01 $\pm 0,11$ | 1,09 $\pm 0,16$ | 1,14 $\pm 0,12$ | 1,12 $\pm 0,14$ | 1,14 $\pm 0,15$ | 0,514 |
| Risk factor | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) | ${ }^{++}$p |
| Average $\mathrm{ABI}<0,9$ | $1(\% 3,3)$ | $4(\% 13,3)$ | $1(\% 3,3)$ | $2(\% 6,7)$ | $2(\% 6,7)$ | 0,523 |
| Average $\mathbf{A B I}>0,9$ | $29(\% 96,7)$ | 26 (\%86,7) | $29(\% 96,7)$ | $28(\% 93,3)$ | 28(\%93,3) |  |
| Smoking | 5(\%16,7) | 13(\%43,3) | 11(\%36,7) | 30(\%100) | 0(\%0) | 0,001** |
| HL | 1(\%3,3) | 12(\%40,0) | 28(\%93,3) | 30(\%100) | 0(\%0) | 0,001** |


| DM | 1(\%3,3) | 2(\%6i7) | 22(\%73,3) | 30(\%100) | 0(\%0) | 0,001*** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Normal | 0 | 2(\%6,7) | 5(\%16,7) | 2(\%6,7) | 5(\%16,7) | 0,046* |
| Pre HT | 10(\%33,3) | 10(\%33,3) | 9(\%30,0) | 8(\%26,7) | 6(\%20) |  |
| Stage 1 HT | 11(\%36,7) | 10(\%33,3) | 7(\%23,3) | 4(\%13,3) | 14(\%46,7) |  |
| Stage 3 HT | 9(\%30,0) | 8(\%26,7) | 9(\%30,0) | 16(\%53,3) | 5(\%16,7) |  |

There was no statistically significant difference between the risk factors of smoking, hyperlipidemia and patients with and without diabetes mellitus ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) (Table 3).

Table 3. Evaluation of average ABI levels according to risk factors

| Risk factors |  | Average ABI |  | ${ }^{+} \mathbf{p}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average | SD |  |
| Smoking | Yes | 1,13 | 0,14 | 0,466 |
|  | No | 1,11 | 0,13 |  |
| HL | Yes | 1,13 | 0,13 | 0,565 |
|  | No | 1,11 | 0,14 |  |
| DM | Yes | 1,14 | 0,13 | 0,307 |
|  | No | 1,11 | 0,14 |  |

${ }^{+}$Student t test

There was a statistically significant difference between ABI levels according to HT type ( $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ ). No significant difference was found between the normal group and prehypertension, stage 1 and stage 3 hypertension groups ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ); The average ABI of the prehypertension group was found to be significantly higher than that of the Stage 1 and Stage 3 hypertensive patients $(p=0.034 ; p=0.001)($ Table 4$)$.

Table 4. Evaluation of average levels of ABI according to HT status

| HT | Average ABI |  | $\boldsymbol{p}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average | SD |  |
| $\mathbf{0}, 001 * *$ |  |  |  |
|  | 1,13 | 0,12 |  |
| Pre HT | 1,18 | 0,14 | 0,12 |
| Stage 1 HT | 1,10 | 0 |  |
| Stage 3 HT | 1,07 | $* * p<0,01$ |  |
| Oneway Anova test |  |  |  |

According to the risk groups, there was no statistically significant difference between total cholesterol, HDL, LDL and triglyceride measurements ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ). Glucose measurements show a significant difference according to the risk groups ( p <0.01). When the meaningfulness of the group is examined; glucose levels of patients with 1 risk were found to be significantly lower than those with 3-risk and 4-risk groups ( $p=0.024 ; p=$ 0.011 ). Glucose levels of 2 risk-bearing cases were found to be significantly lower than those with 3 -risk and 4 risk groups ( $p=0.009 ; p=0.004$ ). There was no statistically significant difference between the other paired comparisons ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) (Table 5).

Table 5. Evaluation of biochemical measurements of groups

|  | 1 risk | 2 risk | 3 risk | 4 risk | Control | $\boldsymbol{p}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average $\pm$ SD | Average $\pm$ SD | Average $\pm$ SD | Average $\pm$ SD | Average $\pm$ SD |  |
| Total <br> cholesterol | $211,63 \pm 37,31$ | $217,13 \pm 34,38$ | $221,53 \pm 47,25$ | $228,40 \pm 37,83$ | $207,87 \pm 48,24$ | $\mathbf{0 , 3 3 6}$ |
| HDL <br> cholesterol | $56,93 \pm 15,97$ | $53,67 \pm 11,27$ | $60,23 \pm 14,48$ | $62,03 \pm 14,63$ | $62,23 \pm 2286$ | $\mathbf{0 , 1 9 9}$ |
| LDL <br> cholesterol | $126,03 \pm 32,84$ | $134,30 \pm 30,71$ | $133,50 \pm 41,99$ | $136,40 \pm 38,03$ | $121,37 \pm 42,59$ | $\mathbf{0 , 4 9 0}$ |
| Triglyceride | $144,77 \pm 59,47$ | $147,97 \pm 29,80$ | $141,20 \pm 34,87$ | $156,33 \pm 75,31$ | $122,70 \pm 44,63$ | $\mathbf{0 , 1 4 3}$ |
| Glucose | $109,60 \pm 26,94$ | $105,33 \pm 30,92$ | $150,27 \pm 72,90$ | $153,63 \pm 71,44$ | $130,63 \pm 37,38$ | $\mathbf{0 , 0 0 1 * *}$ |

There was no significant difference between BMI and waist circumference measurements according to ABI classification ( $p>0.05$ ). No statistically significant difference was found between Smoking, HL, Type 2 DM and blood pressure stages according to ABI classification of normal, Stage 1 and stage 3 hypertension ( $\mathrm{p}>$ 0.05 ); It was statistically significant that ABI levels of all cases with prehypertension were greater than 0.9 ( $\mathrm{p}>$ 0.05 ) (Table 6).

Table 6. Evaluation by ABI classification

|  | ABI |  | ${ }^{+} \boldsymbol{p}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<0,9$ ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ) | $>0,9(\mathrm{n}=140)$ |  |
|  | Ort $\pm$ SD (Median) | Ort $\pm$ SD (Median) |  |
| BMI | 29,37 $\pm 5,21(29,60)$ | 29,77 $\pm 7,30(29,06)$ | 0,830 |
| Waist circumference | 97,60 $\pm 14,88$ (97) | 94,96 $\pm 13,54$ (95) | 0,615 |
|  | n (\%) | n (\%) | ${ }^{++} p$ |
| Smoking | 3 (\%30) | 56 (\%40) | 0,532 |
| Hyperlipidemia | 5 (\%10) | 66 (\%47,1) | 0,861 |
| Tip 2 DM | 3 (\%30) | 52 (\%37,1) | 0,651 |
| Blood Pressure Staging |  |  |  |
| Normal | 0 (\%0) | 14 (\%10) | 0,312 |
| Pre HT | 0 (\%0) | 43 (\%30) | 0,038* |
| Stage 1 HT | 5 (\%50) | 41 (\%29,3) | 0,170 |
| Stage 3 HT | 5 (\%50) | 42 (\%30) | 0,188 |
| Mann Whitney U test | ${ }^{++}$Chi-Square | *p<0,05 |  |

## V. Discussion and Conclusion

Central systolic blood pressure and pulse pressure as measured by noninvasive methods and cardiovascular risk assessment were first performed in end-stage renal disease ( 6,7 ). These studies; Central systolic blood pressure and pulse pressure are strong markers for all causes and mortality due to cardiovascular causes. In our study, the average ABI of the prehypertension group was found to be significantly higher than the average ABI of Stage 1 and Stage 3 hypertensive patients.

According to the TEKHARF study, the prevalence of hypertension in our country was found to be $33.7 \%$ and its frequency was found to increase with age (8). In our study, the rate of people under 55 years of age and 4 risk factors was $6.7 \%, 26 \%$ between $56-64$ years of age and $33 \%$ between $65-74$ years of age. As the age progresses, the proportion of those with 4 risk factors increases, therefore the proportion of people with HT and the associated risk of PAH increase significantly.

Mortality in patients with type 2 diabetes is quite high compared to non-diabetic individuals and cardiovascular diseases are the most important cause of mortality (9). Dziemidok et al. examined the ABI values of 175 people with type 2 diabetes mellitus. They found high ABI in $37 \%$ of these patients. Şarer Yürekli et al. compared patients with high ABI values to those with normal ABI. They found that HbA1c was significantly lower in the high ABI group compared to the normal group (4). In our study, it is known that as the blood glucose level increases, the number of risk factors for PAH increases and this contributes significantly to mortality. In addition, blood glucose levels of 4 risk factors are higher than those with single risk factors.

Şatiroğlu Ö. et al. in their study on the relationship between the prevalence of peripheral arterial disease and risk factors for atherosclerotic diseases, they found that $48 \%$ of the patients with PAH were smoking. In the same study, they found $58 \% \mathrm{HT}, 26 \% \mathrm{DM}$ and $49 \%$ hypercholesterolemia in patients with PAH (10). In our study, the rate of smoking was $30 \%$, Stage 1 and Stage 3 HT were $66 \%$, DM ratio was $30 \%$ and HL was $30 \%$ in patients with 4 risk factors for PAH. In both studies, the risk of PAH increases significantly as the ratio of these 4 parameters increases.

As a conclusion, our study is very valuable because it is the first study to reveal the relationship between peripheral arterial disease risk factors and Ankle Brachial Index in family medicine applications. Peripheral artery disease can be diagnosed and treated by Ankle Brachial Index method which is noninvasive method in family medicine applications. Thus, cardiovascular complications can be reduced. The widespread use of non-invasive and easy methods such as ABI for atherosclerotic diseases, which is an important health problem in terms of mortality and morbidity, will inform the patients with risk and inform them closely. It will also provide significant benefits for community health and provide doctors with important clues about patients.
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