Urinary Tract Infection in Elderly: Clinical Profile and Outcome

Dr. Samrudhi Bagaria, Dr. Animesh Gaur

Corresponding Author: Dr. Samrudhi Bagaria

Date of Submission: 25-02-2019	Date of acceptance: 11-03-2019

I. Introduction

ØUTI is one of the most common infectious diseases among the geriatric population. ØDue to their anatomy and reproductive physiology, females are more susceptible. ØDiagnosis and treatment of UTI in the elderly varies when compared to younger patients and is rather difficult due to the absence of specific symptoms and lack of clear clinical history. ØThis leads to under diagnosis and inadequate treatment.

ØPrevious studies show that UTI is frequently erroneously diagnosed in around 40% of hospitalized elderly admissions, because of nonspecific symptomatology. ØBacteria are the most common causative agents causing UTI in humans. ØOccasionally viruses and fungi can also be responsible; hence these should not be ignored. ØGram-negative bacilli (eg. Escherichia coli, Enterobacter spp, Klebsiella spp, Proteus spp) are the most common. ØThere is a noticeable increase in more resistant bacteria when compared to young adults.

ØExamples :- • Pseudomonas aeruginosa • Gram-positive organisms like:- - enterococci (E. fecalis and E. faecium) - coagulase-negative staphylococci - group B Streptococcus (Streptococcus agalactiae). ØElderly population comprises a subset of population, more vulnerable to UTI; both in community and long term care facilities.

ØHigh risk may be attributable to differing characteristics such as :- • anatomical and hormonal changes • presence of comorbidities such as neurological and urological abnormalities • diabetes mellitus • prolonged indwelling catheter use in hospitals and long term care facilities. ØA considerable difference from typical clinical presentation of UTI is seen in elderly population. ØMarked by :- • absent or reduced fever • change in mental status • nonspecific symptoms such as anorexia and increased lethargy.

ØManagement of UTI in elderly is complicated by - • increased prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria • presence of benign urinary symptoms • atypical clinical presentation and underlying cognitive impairment • limitation of use of urine culture in diagnosis of symptomatic infection • increasing resistance to antibiotics among uropathogens with geographical variation • parallel increase in financial burden in UTI care.

OBJECTIVES ØUTI the most common bacterial infection with considerable morbidity and mortality especially in hospitalized geriatric patients. ØHowever, there is not much information pertaining to various aspects of UTI in the elderly in India. ØHence, this study was done to find out :- • the present clinical profile • predisposing factors • uropathogen profile causing UTI • outcome of UTI in elderly • to identify associated factors responsible for mortality.

II. Methodology

ØThis was a prospective, observational study. ØDone in the department of Medicine and Microbiology at KEM hospital, Mumbai conducted over a period of one year. ØDone after obtaining approval from Institutional ethics committee and written informed consent from participants, Ø95 indoor patients from medicine wards of age 60 years and above having symptoms of UTI and urine culture showing significant growth were included in the study. ØPatients with negative urine culture, not willing to participate in the study were excluded. ØData including age, sex, occupation, predisposing factors and clinical profile was taken. ØDetailed history of symptoms was taken, like :- • fever with chills • urgency, dysuria, pyuria, hematuria, frequency • backache, pain in abdomen • loin tenderness • altered sensorium and clinical examination. ØPatients were observed with respect to :- • anatomical location of infection site • uncomplicated UTI manifesting as cystitis • complicated UTI in individuals with functional or structural abnormalities of the genitourinary tract • causative organism and susceptibility to various antibiotics till estimated outcome either discharge or death. ØThe laboratory tests included :- • complete blood picture • renal and liver function test • urine microscopy including culture/ sensitivity • Ultrasonography Kidney Ureter Bladder (KUB) • Computerised Tomography KUB if required. ØDescriptive and inferential statistical methods were used . ØA probability of < 0.05 was accepted as significant. RESULTS ØAmong study population :- • 50.52% patients were in age group of 61 to 70 years. • 37.89% were in 71 to 80 year group. • 11.57% patients were above age of 80 years. ØMale and female formed 55.78% and 44.21% of study population respectively. ØIn our study population :- • frequency of micturition was seen in (65.26%) patients • urgency (62.10%) • dysuria (62.10%). ØFever was present in 45.26% of the study population. ØDiabetes mellitus was predisposing factor associated with UTI seen in (46.31%) patients. ØBenign Prostatic Hyperplasia (41.50%) among males. ØCystocele (30.95%) among females. ØUrine culture showed growth of :- • gram negative organism in 88 (92.63%) patients • gram positive organism in 7 (7.36%) patients. ØE.coli was isolated from urine culture in (47.36%) patients. ØKlebsiella group in (18.94%) ØPseudomonas group in (14.73%). ØE.coli isolates were sensitive to :- • Imipenem (97.77 %) • Meropenem (88.88%) • Piperacilin + Tazobactam (82.22%) ØKlebsiella group isolates were sensitive to :- • Imipenem (83.33 %) • Meropenem (77.77%) • Levofloxacin (72.22%). ØPseudomonas group isolates were sensitive to :- • Imipenem(85.71%) • Meropenem (78.57%) • Levofloxacin (64.28%). ØProteus group isolates were sensitive to :- • Imipenem(91.66%) and Meropenem (91.66%%) • Levofloxacin (83.33%) ØOrganisms were least susceptible to :- • Cefotaxime, followed by • Ceftriaxone. Ø17(17.89%) of the 95 patients with UTI died during hospitalization. Ø78(82.10%) patients from study population were discharged. Ø There was no significant difference in mortality between men and women and in different age groups. ØSignificantly higher mortality was seen in patients with diabetes mellitus compared with patients without diabetes mellitus (36.36% versus 1.96%; p<0.0001). ØComplicated UTI patients had higher mortality compared with uncomplicated UTI (28.88% versus 8%; p<0.001). ØMortality was also higher among patients having dementia compared with patients having reserved cognitive status (40% versus 5%; p<0.0001). ØCompromised renal function with serum creatinine >1.4 mg/dl was significantly associated with mortality:- • 25.45% (14/55) of these patients died compared to 7.5% (3/40) in patients with preserved renal function (p<0.0001). ØMortality was significantly related to the number of predisposing factors, with mortality rates of :- • 9.52% (4/42) in patients with 0-1 predisposing factor. • 21.05% (8/38) in those with 2-3 factors. • 33.33% (5/15) in those with three and more predisposing factors. ØMortality was not significantly related to the use of urethral catheters. ØMortality rates of 14.28% in patients with urethral catheters compared with those 22.05% patients not having urethral catheters. \emptyset Also, there was no significantly higher incidence of death in patients with neutrophilia (leucocyte count \geq 11,000 cells/dl), compared to patients with normal leucocyte count (18.57% compared to 16%).

III. Discussion

ØUTI is an important cause of morbidity and sepsis in elderly patients having a spectrum varying from benign cystitis to potentially life threatening pyelonephritis. ØIn our study, lower urinary tract symptoms were more common with frequency of micturition being the most common symptom f/b urgency, dysuria which may be attributed to more number of cases suffering from diabetes mellitus and obstructive uropathy in our study population. ØIn study conducted by Mahesh E et al. fever was the most common symptom followed by dysuria. ØBlunted fever response might be in part because of a lower basal body temperature in elderly. ØAltered sensorium, an atypical symptom in general population, was found in 17.89% of our study population which was not evaluated in earlier studies. ØAs typical features such as fever and leucocytosis can be absent in elderly population, a subtle clue to underlying UTI may be change or a decline in mental status. ØDiabetes mellitus was the most common predisposing factor in this study found in 46.31% cases f/b BPH in males and cystocele in females. ØBacteriuria is more common in diabetics than in non-diabetics due to a combination of host and local risk factors. ØLonger duration and greater severity of diabetes significantly increases chances of occurrence of UTI by causing neutrophil dysfunction, diapedesis and phagocytosis. ØHowever, prevalence of dementia and urinary catheterization was higher in study by Tal S et al. which could be attributed to high mean age at presentation. ØIn study conducted by Ibak G et al., urogenital procedures was most common predisposing factor followed by Diabetes Mellitus. ØIn our study, there is striking resemblance in pathogenic organisms with other studies. ØGram negative organisms were primarily etiological organisms causing UTI in 92.63% patients. ØE.coli being the most common isolate (47.36%) in urine culture as it's a commensal found in gastrointestinal and genitourinary tract f/b Klebsiella species, Pseudomonas, Proteus. • Staphylococcus aureus was the least common isolated pathogen which was in contrast to a Nigerian study. • As staphylococcus aureus has a status of common contaminant, it can produce a diagnostic dilemma among practitioners, under treatment of which can lead to lifethreatening staphylococcal bacteremia. ØWe evaluated sensitivity pattern to various antibiotics including penicillins, cephalosporins, quinolones, aminoglycosides and others. ØIn our study, E. coli isolates were highly sensitive to carbapenems like Imipenem and meropenem though studies done in catheterassociated UTI showed production of carbapenemases making even these drugs ineffective. ØE. coli isolates were least sensitive to third generation cephalosporins. ØCefotaxime thus showing trend towards resistance to higher antibiotics. ØStudy done by Ramaprasad AV, et al in India showed effectiveness of quinolones like ciprofloxacin against E. Coli. ØThis was in contrast to our study thus pointing towards emergence of drug resistance except levofloxacin which showed some promising results. ØAnother important finding in this study was non-E.coli gram negative organisms such as Pseudomonas, Klebsiella showed lower sensitivity to: -Levofloxacin, - Piperacillin + Tazobactam -Amikacin -Ceftazidime ØShowing trend towards resistance to higher antibiotics compared to other studies. ØSome factors that might be responsible for emergence of drug

resistant organisms are:- • irrational and inappropriate use of antibiotics for prophylaxis as well as treatment • excessive use of indwelling urinary catheters • nosocomial sources of infections. ØThus more aggressive and rational antibiotic therapy must be considered in these patients. ØIn our study, statistically important risk factors for mortality include presence of diabetes mellitus and complicated UTIs. ØFactors which can predispose to UTI are :- • hyperglycaemia • impaired immune system • autonomic neuropathy leading to incomplete bladder emptying • drug resistant organisms. ØComplicated UTIs in diabetics include :- • renal and perirenal abscess • emphysematous pyelonephritis • emphysematous cystitis • fungal infections • papillary necrosis. ØEarly diagnosis, prompt therapy, regular monitoring of blood sugar levels are key factors for improved outcomes in these patients. ØAnother important determinant for higher mortality in our study was altered sensorium and dementia. ØThese factors can lead to impaired mobility and urinary incontinence leading to UTI. ØThese factors can be attributed to poor physical health resulting in mortality. ØIn contrast to previous studies, there was no evidence that use of indwelling catheters is a risk factor for mortality. ØPossible explanation could be lower mean age of our study population. ØTransient use of catheters for urinary output monitoring may not influence clinical course if concomitant factors such as cerebrovascular accident or urosepsis are absent. ØJudicious use of indwelling catheters can reduce :- • length of hospital stay • investigations • resistant pathogens • medicinal costs • thus, morbidity and mortality. ØThe limitations of this study were :- • Less statistical power due to small study population • single center study • non – generalizable results • small duration • non-assessment of source of UTI whether community or hospital acquired. ØThe strength of the study were the :- • prospective design • attempt to standardize evaluation and management of UTI • high performance of processes of care such as blood culture collection or early antimicrobial administration • evaluation of outcome based on comorbidities.

IV. Conclusion

This study focused on epidemiology, risk factors, clinical features and especially on outcome of UTI in elderly patients. • It suggests more appropriate antibiotic therapy particularly in non-E.coli UTI after culture and sensitivity tests. • Thus, early diagnosis of UTI and aggressive therapy are critical to reduce overall mortality.

References

- [1]. Foxman B. Epidemiology of urinary tract infections: incidence, morbidity, and economic costs. Dis Mon 2003; 49:53-70.
- [2]. Robichaud S, Blondeau JM. Urinary Tract Infections in Older Adults: Current Issues and New Therapeutic Options. Geriatrics and Aging 2008; 11:582-588.
- [3]. Matthews SJ, Lancaster JW. Urinary tract infections in the elderly population. Am J Geriatr Pharmacother 2011; 9:286-309.
- [4]. Nicolle LE. Urinary tract infection in geriatric and institutionalized patients. Current opinion in urology. Curr Opin Urol 2002; 12:51-5.
- [5]. Yoshikawa TT, Nicolle LE, Norman DC. Management of complicated urinary infection in older patients. J Am Geriatr Soc 1996; 44:1235-41.
- [6]. Matthews SJ, Lancaster JW. Urinary tract infections in the elderly population. Am J Geriatr Pharmacother 2011; 9:286-309.
- [7]. Mahesh E, Medha Y, Indumathi VA, Kumar PS, Khan MW, Punith K. Community-acquired urinary tract infection in the elderly. BJMP 2011; 4:a406.
- [8]. Norman DC, Grahn D, Yoshikawa TT. Fever and aging. J Am Geriatr Soc 1985; 33:859.
- [9]. Mouton CP, Bazaldua OV. Common infections in older adults. Am Fam Physician 2001; 63:257-269.
- [10]. Patterson JE, Andriole VT. Bacterial urinary tract infections in diabetes. Infect Dis Clin North Am 1997; 11:735-50.
- [11]. Chen SL, Jackson SL, Boyko EJ. Diabetes mellitus and urinary tract infection: epidemiology, pathogenesis and proposed studies in animal models. J Urol 2009; 182(6 Suppl):S51-6.
- [12]. Tal S, Guller V, Levi S, Bardenstein R, Berger D, Gurevich I et al. Profile and prognosis of febrile elderly patients with bacteremic urinary tract infection. J Infect 2005; 50:296-305.
- [13]. Ibak G, Mehmet U, Onur K, Esra NT, Kose SA, Pala HZ, et al. Clinical and laboratory evaluation of urinary tract infections in elderly population. Acta Medica Mediterranea 2013; 29:853.
- [14]. Pondei K, Orutugu L, Pondei J. Current Microbial And Culture Sensitivity Pattern of Urinary Tract Infection in a Private Hospital Setting in Bayelsa State, Nigeria. International Research Journal of Microbiology 2012; 3:393-398.
- [15]. Weems JJ Jr. The many faces of Staphylococcus aureus infection. Recognizing and managing its life-threatening manifestations. Postgrad Med 2001; 110:24-36.
- [16]. Shivesh P. Carbapenem Sensitivity Profile Amongst Bacterial Isolates from Clinical Specimens In Kanpur City. Indian Journal of Critical Care Medicine 2006; 10:250-253.
- [17]. Ramaprasad AV, Jayaram N, Nageshappa G. Urine Culture Sensitivity Pattern in a Private Laboratory Setup. Indian J Pathol Microbiol 1993; 36:119-23.
- [18]. Shalini, Joshi MC, Rashid MK, Joshi HS. Study of Antibiotic Sensitivity Pattern In Urinary Tract Infection At A Tertiary Hospital. NJIRM 2011; 2:43-46.
- [19]. Ackerman RJ, Monroe PW. Bacteremic urinary tract infection in older people. JAGS 1996; 44:927-33.
- [20]. Mnif MF, Kamoun M, Kacem HF, Bouaziz Z, Charfi N, Mnif F, et al. Complicated urinary tract infections associated with diabetes mellitus: Pathogenesis, diagnosis and management. Indian J Endocrinol Metab 2013; 17:442–445.
- [21]. Chung M-H, Huang C-C, Vong S-C, Yang T-M, Chen K-T, et al. Geriatric Fever Score: A New Decision Rule for Geriatric Care. PLoS ONE 2014; 9:e110927.
- [22]. Hsiao C-Y, Yang H-Y, Hsiao M-C, Hung PH, Wang M-C. Risk Factors for Development of Acute Kidney Injury in Patients with Urinary Tract Infection. PLoS ONE 2015; 10:e0133835.