Prevalence of Diabetic Foot Syndrome and Its Determinants among Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus patients attending Integrated Diabetes & Gestational Diabetes Clinic of a Tertiary Health Care Level Hospital of Eastern India.

Dr. Jiwesh Kumar Thakur¹, Dr. Rakesh Kumar², Dr. Debasis Basu³, Kajal Hansda⁴, Dr. Boudhayan Das Munshi⁵, Dr. Sasthi Narayan Chakraborty⁶,

Sumana Maity⁴, Kiran Bahrus⁷, Dr. Richa⁸, Dr. Nikhil Kumar⁹

¹(Assistant Professor: Dept. of General Surgery, IQ City Medical College & Narayana Hospital, India)

²(Associate Professor: Dept. of Community Medicine; In Charge& Consultant: Integrated Diabetes & Gestational Diabetes

Clinic, IQ City Medical College & Narayana Hospital, Durgapur, India.

³(President: Diabetes Awareness & You, Kolkata),

⁴(Consultant Clinical Dietitian & Diabetes Educator: Integrated Diabetes & Gestational Diabetes Clinic, IQ City Medical

College & Narayana Hospital, Durgapur, India)

⁵(Assistant Professor: Department of General Medicine; Consultant: Integrated Diabetes & Gestational Diabetes Clinic, IQ City Medical College & Narayana Hospital, Durgapur, India)

⁶(Assistant Professor: Department of Community Medicine; Consultant: Integrated Diabetes & Gestational Diabetes Clinic,

IQ City Medical College & Narayana Hospital, Durgapur, India)

⁷(Assistant Secretary: Diabetes Awareness & You, Kolkata)

⁸(Associate Professor: Department of Community Medicine, IQ City Medical College & Narayana Hospital, Durgapur,

India)

⁹(Assistant Professor: Department of Pathology, IQ City Medical College & Narayana Hospital, Durgapur, India) Corresponding Author: Dr. Rakesh Kumar

Abstract: Introduction: Globally about 425 million people are suffering from diabetes mellitus and it is expected to rise to about 629 million by 2045. India is home of about 72.9 million diabetes patients which will rise to about 134 million by 2045. Diabetic foot is one of most common and devastating micro vascular complications of diabetes. Diabetic foot is defined as a group of syndromes in which neuropathy, ischemia and infection lead to tissue breakdown and possible amputation. This study was aimed to determine the prevalence of Diabetic Foot Syndrome and its determinants among Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM) patients attending Integrated Diabetes & Gestational Diabetes Clinic (IDGDC), Durgapur (West Bengal India). Materials & Methods: An institution based, observational, Cross-Sectional study was conducted from July-October 2018. A pre designed, pre tested, semi structured schedule was used to collect clinic-social data. Evaluation of diabetic peripheral neuropathy was done with the help of Michigan Neuropathy Screening Instruments (MNSI). Peripheral vascular assessment of the feet was done by calculating "Ankle-Brachial Index (ABI)" in both lower limbs using "Diabetik Foot Care India Pvt. Limited" Vascular Doppler instrument having 8 MHz transducer. The International Working Group on Diabetic Foot (IWGDF) Risk Classification System was used to classify study subjects foot problems. Results: Prevalence of DFS was found to be 67.1%. Increasing age, longer duration of diabetes, female gender, smoking, treatment with insulin, central obesity, obesity, hypertension, ischemic heart disease and hypothyroidism was significantly associated with DFS among study participants. Conclusion: There is a high prevalence of Diabetic Foot Syndrome. Most of the risk factors like obesity, smoking, hypertension are modifiable.

Key Words: Diabetic foot syndrome (DFS), Peripheral Neuropathy, Integrated Diabetes Care, Chronic Care Model, Peripheral Arterial Disease (PAD), Diabetic Foot Ulcer, Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM)

Date of Submission: 04-01-2019

Date of acceptance: 19-01-2019

I. Introduction

Diabetes Mellitus is a group of metabolic disorder resulting from either insulin resistance and/or relative or absolute insulin deficiency. It is one of the global health emergencies of 21st century. Globally about 425 million people are suffering from diabetes mellitus and it is expected to rise to about 629 million by 2045¹. India is home of about 72.9 million diabetes patients which will rise to about 134 million by 2045¹. Diabetes is associated with significant mortality & morbidity, increased health care cost and reduced quality of life²⁻⁴. Globally, the burden of diabetes is on the rise and the implications of non treated and/or poorly treated diabetes will be devastating in terms of both micro & macro vascular complications. Diabetic foot is one of most common and devastating micro vascular complications of diabetes. Diabetic foot is defined as a group of syndrome in which neuropathy, ischemia and infection lead to tissue breakdown and possible amputation⁵. Results of epidemiological research shows that 15% of the diabetes patients will develop foot ulcer in their life time and it will proceed to lower limb amputation in almost 85% of cases⁶. Risk factors for foot ulcers in diabetes patients include peripheral neuropathy, limb ischemia, foot deformity, high plantar pressure and infections. Poor glycemic control, long duration of diabetes and male gender are other important risk factors for foot ulcers among diabetes patients⁷. DFS is a serious complication which is largely preventable. Simple interventions like regular foot examinations, patient education

regarding hygienic practices, appropriate footwear, early diagnosis and treatment of minor/major injuries and a multidisciplinary team approach can decrease ulcer occurrence by 50% and lower limb amputations by up to 85%⁸⁻⁹.

Integrated Diabetes & Gestational Diabetes Clinic (IDGDC) is a joint venture of IQ City Medical College and Diabetes Awareness &You (DAY) - a nonprofit social welfare organization. IDGDC serves as a single contact point for all non emergency diabetes patients visiting IQ City Medical College. IDGDC is based on "Chronic Care Model"¹⁰ and gives team care to all diabetes patients. Team comprises of Patients, their family members, Diabetologists, Gynaecologist, dietitians and diabetes educators. IDGDC also manages referral of the patients to the different departments namely Nephrology, Surgery, Orthopedics, Physiotherapy and Cardiology. About 500 diabetes patients attend IDGDC every month. This study was aimed to determine the prevalence of Diabetic Foot Syndrome and its determinants among Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM) patients attending IDGDC.

II. Material and Methods

This Institution based, Observational; Cross-Sectional study was conducted among T2DM patients who attended IDGDC, IQ City Medical College & Narayana Hospital, Durgapur, West Bengal (India) between July-October 2018. A total 243 study subjects participated in study.

Study Design: Cross-sectional

Study Location: Integrated Diabetes & Gestational Diabetes Clinic (IDGDC), IQ City Medical College & Narayana Hospital, Durgapur, West Bengal (India)

Study Duration: July -Oct 2018.

Sample size: 243

Sample size calculation: Peripheral neuropathy is a component of DFS. In a study done by George et al the prevalence of peripheral neuropathy among diabetes patients was found to be $47\%^{11}$. Sample size was calculated as per World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines¹², using formula $Z\dot{\alpha}^2 pq/d^2$. Thus with prevalence (p) of peripheral neuropathy=47%, q=1-p, and absolute precision 15% with 95% confidence interval and 20% possible non response rate, the final sample size of 231 was obtained.

Sampling procedure: Systematic random sampling was followed throughout data collection period. Sampling interval was decided on the basis of previous patient's attendance record.

Study population: Type 2 Diabetes Patients who attended IDGDC during data collection period during data collection period.

Inclusion criteria:

- 1. Age ≥ 18 years
- 2. Duration of T2DM \geq 6months

Exclusion criteria:

- 1. Stress induced diabetes
- 2. Steroid induced diabetes
- 3. Known case of neurological disorder
- 4. Refusal to give consent
- 5. Critically ill.

Study technique: Written informed consent was taken from all study subjects. A pre designed, pre tested, semi structured schedule was used to collect clinic-social data. Relevant medical records were also reviewed for data collection. Data was collected from 243 study subjects. Anthropometric measurements were taken as per standard WHO protocols¹³. Blood pressure of the study subjects were measured and classified as per Joint National Committee (JNC) - 8 guidelines¹⁴. Peripheral vascular assessment of the feet was done by calculating "Ankle-Brachial Index (ABI)" in both lower limbs using "Diabetik Foot Care India Pvt Limited" Vascular Doppler instrument having 8MHz transducer. Dorsalis pedis artery and posterior tibial artery in both lower limbs were palpated manually for peripheral pulses. ABI ≤ 0.9 and absence of pulse in dorsalis pedis and/or posterior tibial arteries was considered as peripheral artery disease (PAD)¹⁵.

Evaluation of diabetic peripheral neuropathy was done with the help of Michigan Neuropathy Screening Instruments (MNSI)¹⁶. MNSI has two components. First component is subjective and consists of peripheral neuropathy symptoms related 15 (yes/no type) self administered, questions. A higher score (total score 13) indicates higher neuropathic symptoms. The Second component of the MNSI is a brief physical examination involving a) inspection of the feet; b) semi-quantitative assessment of vibration sensation at the dorsum of great toe; c) grading of ankle reflexes; and d) Semmes-Weinstein monofilament testing. Patients are considered neuropathic if they are screen positive (score ≥ 2.5 on a 10 point scale) on the second component of MNSI.

The International Working Group on Diabetic Foot (IWGDF) Risk Classification System was used to classify study subjects foot problems¹⁷.

Statistical analysis:

Data were codified and analyzed using SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) version 20.0 for Windows. Frequency of clinic-social variables was calculated. Chi-square test was used to show association between categorical variables.

III. Result

The mean age of the study participants was 53.19 years (SD 10.4). 153 (63.0%) study participants were in the 41-60 years age group, followed by 60 (24.7%) and 60 (24.7%) from \geq 61 years and 30 (12.3%) from \leq 40 years age group. There was male preponderance in the study population, 156 (64.2%) of them were male and 87 (35.8%) were females. 178 (73.3%) were residents of urban area and rest were from rural area. 89 (36.6%) were current smoker. 122 (50.2%) of the

study population had > greater than class X education followed by 86 (35.4%), 24 (9.9) and 11 (4.5) of the study participants were educated up to class VI-X, illiterate and up to class V respectively. 101 (41.6%) of the study participants had family history of T2DM. Duration of T2DM among study participants was 0-5 years in 101 (41.6%) followed by 6-10 years and \geq 11 years in 65 (26.7) and 77 (31.7%) of the study participants respectively. 76.1 % of study participants were treated with Oral Hypoglycemic Agents (OHA) alone and 23.9% were treated with both Insulin & OHA (Table-1).

As per WHO BMI classification for International comparison, 115 (47.3%) of study participants were normal, 90 (37.0%) were overweight, 33 (13.6) were obese and 5 (2.1%) were underweight. 172 (70.8%) of study participants had central obesity as reflected by high waist circumference. As co-morbidity, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, ischaemic heart disease and hypothyroidism was present in 120 (49.4%), 56 (23.0%), 25 (10.3%), 42 (17.3%) of study participants respectively. Diabetic foot syndrome (DFS) was present in 163 (67.1%) of study participants (Table-1). The prevalence of DFS was found to be 67.1% (Table-1).

Numbness of feet, burning sensation in feet, too sensitive to touch and prickling sensation in feet was reported by 56.8%, 50.2%, 40.3% and 37.0% of study participants respectively. About 21.0% of study participants were unable to differentiate between hot & cold sensation and about 35.4% of study participants reported worsening of symptoms at night. About 9% and 6% of study participants had history of peripheral neuropathy and lower limb amputation respectively (Table-2). On physical examination, about 64% study participants had abnormal appearance of their feet. Dry Skin/Callus, infection, deformity, ingrown nails and fissure were present in the feet of 46.5%, 20.2%, 13.1%, 9.9% and 4.9% of study participants respectively. Foot ulcers were present in 6.6% of study participants. A reduced/absent ankle jerk was present in 20.2% of study participants had reduced/absent vibration perception with 128Hz tuning fork and 37.9% had loss of protective sensation on 10g SW Monofilament testing (Table-3). 36 (14.8%) of the study participants had peripheral vascular disease (PAD) as reflected by reduced Ankle-Brachial Index (<0.9).

The overall prevalence of DFS in our study participants was 67.1% (Table-1). According to "the International Working Group on Diabetic Foot (IWGDF) Risk Classification System", 32.9% were category 0 (normal) and remaining 67.1% had foot risk. 42.4% of study participants foot were in risk category 1, 15.2% were in the risk category 2 and 9.5% were in the risk category 3 (Table-4). Increasing age, longer duration of diabetes, female gender, smoking, treatment with insulin, central obesity, obesity, hypertension, ischemic heart disease and hypothyroidism was significantly associated with DFS among study participants. There was no statistically significant association between study participant's residential area, educational status, positive family history of T2DM, hypercholesterolemia and DFS (Table-5).

Clinico-Social characteristics	n(%)	Clinico-Social characteristics	n(%)
Age group		BMI (Kg/m ²)	
≤40yrs	30(12.3)	Underweight (<18.5)	5 (2.1)
41-60yrs	153 (63.0)	Normal (18.5-24.9)	115 (47.3)
≥61 yrs	60 (24.7)	Overweight (25.0-29.9)	90 (37.0)
Sex		Obese (≥30.0)	33 (13.6)
Male	156 (64.2)	Waist Circumference (Cm)	
Female	87 (35.8)	Normal	71 (29.2)
Residence		High(Male>90;Female>80)	172 (70.8)
Urban	178 (73.3)	Hypertension (mmHg)	
Rural	65 (26.7)	Normal	123 (50.6)
		High(≥140/90)	120 (49.4)
Current smoker		Hypercholesterolemia	
Yes	89 (36.6)	Present	56 (23.0)
No	154 (63.4)	Absent	187 (77.0)
		Ischemic Heart Disease	
Educational status		Present	25 (10.3)
Illiterate	24 (9.9)	Absent	218 (89.7))
Up to class V	11 (4.5)	Hypothyroidism	
Class VI-X	86 (35.4)	Present	42 (17.3)
>Class X	122 (50.2)	Absent	201 (82.7)
Family History of T2DM		Diabetic Foot Syndrome	
Yes	112 (46.1)	Present	163 (67.1)
No	131 (53.9)	Absent	80 (32.9)
Duration of Diabetes	. ,		
0-5years	101 (41.6)		
6-10 years	65 (26.7)		
>10years	77 (31.7)		
Treatment Regimen			
Insulin + Oral Hypoglycemic Medicines	58 (23.9)		
Oral Hypoglycemic medicines	185 (76.1)		

Table 1: Shows clinico-social characteristics of study subjects (n=243)

Table-2: Shows response of study participants to the first component of Michigan Neuropathy Screening Instruments (MNSI) questionnaire* (n=243)

Questions	Response
	Yes (%) No (%)
Q1-Are your legs and/or feet numb?	138 (56.8) 105 (43.2)
Q2- Do you ever have any burning pain in your legs and/or feet?	122 (50.2) 121 (49.8)
Q3- Are your feet too sensitive to touch?	98 (40.3) 145 (59.7)
Q5- Do you ever have any pricking feelings in your legs or feet?	90 (37.0) 153 (63.0)
Q6- Does it hurt when the bed cover touches your skin?	43 (17.7) 200 (82.3)
Q7- When you get into the tub or shower, are you able to tell the	
hot water from the cold water?	193 (79.5) 50 (20.5)
Q8- Have you ever had an open sore on your foot?	40 (16.5) 203 (83.5)

Q9- Has your doctor ever told you that you have diabetic neuropathy?	22 (9.1)	121 (90.9)	
Q11- Are your symptoms worse at night?	86 (35.4)	157 (64.6)	
Q12- Do your legs hurt when you walk?	33 (13.5)	210 (86.5)	
Q13- Are you able to sense your feet when you walk?	210 (86.4)	33 (13.6)	
Q14- Is the skin on your feet so dry that it cracks open?	52 (21.4)	191 (78.6)	
Q15- Have you ever had an amputation?	14 (5.7)	129 (94.3)	

*Question number 4 & 10 excluded because they are not part of score calculation.

 Table-3: Shows 2nd component of the Michigan Neuropathy Screening Instruments (MNSI) assessment findings of the study participants (n=243)

Physical as	ssessment	Yes (%)	No (%)
1.	Appearance of Feet- Normal	88 (36.2)	155 (63.8)
	Deformities	32 (13.1)	211 (86.9)
	Dry skin, callus	113 (46.5)	130 (53.5)
	Infection	49 (20.2)	194 (79.8)
	Fissure	12 (4.9)	231 (95.1)
	Ingrown nails	24 (9.9)	219 (90.1)
2.	Ulceration present	16 (6.6)	227 (93.4)
3.	Ankle Reflexes reduced/absent	49 (20.2)	194 (79.8)
4.	Vibration perception at great toe absent/decreased	125 (51.4)	118 (48.6)
5.	10gm SW Monofilament test reduced/absent	92 (37.9)	151 (62.1)

Table-4: Shows Classification of diabetic foot syndrome according to IWGDF Risk Classification System (n=243)

Risk Category	Characteristics	n(%)
Category 0	No peripheral Neuropathy	80 (32.9)
Category 1	Peripheral Neuropathy	103 (42.4)
Category 2	Peripheral Neuropathy with PAD and/or a foot deformity	37 (15.2)
Category 3	Peripheral Neuropathy and a history of foot ulcer or lower extremity amputation	23 (9.5)

Table-5: Showing association between Clinico-social determinants and DFS (n=243)

C-S Factors	Diabetic Foot Sy		Total n (%)	χ² (df)	p value	
	Yes (%)	No (%)				
Age Group						
≤40 years	12 (40.0)	18 (60.0)	30 (100.0)			
41-60 years years	103 (67.3)	50(32.7)	153 (100.0)	14.5 (2)	0.001	
≥61 Years	48 (80.0)	12 (20.0)	60 (100.0)			
Sex						
Male	96 (61.5)	60 (38.5)	156(100.0)			
Female	67 (77.0)	20 (23.0)	87 (100.0)	6.1 (1)	0.009	
Residence			. ,			
Urban	120 (67.4)	58 (32.6)	178(100.0)			
Rural	43 (66.2)	22 (33.8)	65 (100.0)	0.03(1)	0.484	
Educational Status	· · · ·	()	()			
Illiterate	20 (83.3)	4 (16.7)	24 (100.0)			
Up to Class V	7 (63.6)	4 (36.4)	11 (100.0)			
Class VI- X	55 (64.0)	31 (36.0)	86 (100.0)	3.3 (3)	0.343	
>Class VI- X	81 (66.4)	41 (35.6)	122 (100.0)	5.5 (5)	0.575	
Addiction (current	· /	-1 (55.0)	122 (100.0)			
Yes	66 (74.2)	23 (25.8)	89 (100.0)			
No	97 (63.0)	57 (37.0)	154 (100.0)	3.2 (1)	0.049	
Family History of "		57 (51.0)	134 (100.0)	5.2 (1)	0.042	
Yes	75 (67.0)	37 (33.0)	110 (100.0)			
No	88 (67.2)	43 (32.8)	131 (100.0)	0.001(1)	0.540	
NO Duration of Diabet		43 (32.8)	131 (100.0)	0.001 (1)	0.340	
0-5 years	53 (52.5)	10 (17 5)	101 (100.0)			
6-10 years	46 (70.8)	48 (47.5) 19 (29.2)	65 (100.0)			
	· · ·	· · ·		10.1(2)	0.000	
≥ 11 years	64 (83.1)	13 (16.9)	77 (100.0)	19.1(2)	0.000	
Treatment Regime		12 (20 7)	59 (100 0)			
Insulin+ OHA	46 (79.3)	12 (20.7)	58 (100.0)	/ - >	0.01.6	
OHA only	117 (63.2)	68 (36.8)	185 (100.0)	5.1(1)	0.016	
Waist Circumferer		20 (54.0)	71 (100 0)			
Normal	32 (45.1)	39 (54.9)	71 (100.0)			
High(Male>90;Fem	ale>80) 131 (76.2)) 41 (23.8)	174 (100.0)	22.0(1)	0.000	
BMI (Kg/m ²)			- (100.0)			
Underweight (<18.5		3 (60.0)	5 (100.0)			
Normal (18.5-24.9)	53 (46.1)	62 (53.9)	115 (100.0)	48.7 (3)	0.000	
Overweight (25.0-2		12 (13.3)	90 (100.0)			
Obese (≥ 30.0)	30 (90.9)	3 (9.1)	33 (100.0)			
Hypertension						
Yes	98 (91.7)	22 (8.3)	120 (100.0)			
No	65 (52.8)	58 (47.2)	123 (100.0)	22.8(1)	0.000	
Hypercholesterole	nia					
Yes	41 (73.2)	15 (26.8)	56 (100.0)			
No	122 (65.2)	65 (34.8)	187 (100.0)	1.2(1)	0.171	
Ischemic Heart Dis	ease					
Yes	21 (84.0)) 4 (16.0)	25 (100.0)			
No	142 (65.1	1) 76 (34.9)	118 (100.0)	3.6(1)	0.042	

Prevalence and determinants of Diabetic Foot Syndrome

Yes	36 (85.7)	6(14.3)	42 (100.0)			
No	127 (63.2)	74 (36.8)	201 (100.0)	7.9(1)	0.003	
		()				

IV. Discussion

In this study, the prevalence of Diabetic Foot Syndrome (DFS) was found to be 67.1%. According to "the International Working Group on Diabetic Foot (IWGDF) Risk Classification System", 32.9% were category 0 (normal) and remaining 67.1% had foot risk. 42.4% of study participants foot were in risk category 1, 15.2% were in the risk category 2 and 9.5% were in the risk category 3. Several other studies from India as well as from other countries reported the prevalence of DFS and peripheral from 42.0%-52.0%¹⁸⁻²¹. Higher prevalence of DFS and Diabetic Peripheral Neuropathy (DPN) in our study may be due to the selective referral of high risk and complicated cases at IDGDC. In a study done by Lawrence A Lavery et al. the prevalence of Category 3 foot was found to be 10.8%²⁰. However, D'Souza M et al reported a much lower 18.3% prevalence of DPN²². Numbness of feet was the most common symptom, reported by 56.8% of study participants. Burning sensation in feet, too sensitive to touch and pricking sensation in feet was reported by 50.2%, 40.3% and 37.0% of study participants respectively. Numbness of feet as the most common symptom of DPN was also reported by Vibha S.P et al¹⁸. Pain during walking (65.4%) followed by pricking sensation in feet (63.0%) as most common symptoms was reported by D'souza M et al²². About 2/3rd of the study participants had abnormal looking feet. Most common abnormality present was dry feet/callus in 46.5% of study participants, followed by infection, deformity; ingrown nails and fissure were present in the feet of 20.2%, 13.1%, 9.9% and 4.9% of study participants respectively. A higher prevalence of 74.5% foot abnormality was reported by Vibha SP et al¹⁸. Most common abnormality in their study was dry feet/callus followed by infections, which are similar to our study findings. In this study, the prevalence of Peripheral Artery Disease PAD) as reflected by reduced Ankle-Brachial Index (<0.9) was found to be 14.8%. A 36.0% prevalence of PAD in among diabetes patients was reported by Shukla V et al. However, a lower prevalence $3.5\%^{24}$ and a higher prevalence $42.6\%^{25}$ of PAD using Ankle Brachial Index were reported by other studies.

Increasing age was significantly associated with DFS and DPN. Similar association of advancing age with DFS and DPN were reported by Vibha SP et al¹⁸, D'souza M et al²², Dipika Bansal et al²⁶ and Sailesh K shahi et al²⁷.

DPN and DFS were significantly associated with female sex. Solanki JD et al²⁸ and Ali Z²⁹ reported similar significant association between female gender and DPN. However, few other studies reported significant association with male gender²². Smoking is an established risk factor for DFS and was significantly associated with DFS in this study too. Similar significant association of smoking with DFS was also reported by various studies²⁶⁻²⁷. Treatment with insulin was significantly associated with DFS which may attribute to the long standing and complicated nature of insulin treated cases. Similar findings were reported by Vibha SP et al¹⁸. In this study a significant association between High Waist Circumference and High BMI was found. This may be due to the fact that obesity can hamper long term Glycemic control which may in turn is a risk factor for DFS. However, few researchers did not report any significant association between DFS and Obesity³⁰⁻³¹. Hypertension and ischemic heart disease significantly favored the occurrence of DFS. This finding is in agreement with various other studies^{18, 26-28}. While the effect of hypothyroidism on DFS is not well established and conflicting, we found a significant association between hypothyroidism and DFS. A study from China also reported significant association between hypothyroidism and DFS.

Results of the study cannot be generalized as the study has been conducted in a Specialty Unit which is bound to get more complicated cases.

V. Conclusion

There is high prevalence of Diabetic Foot Syndrome and diabetic peripheral neuropathy. Most of the risk factors like obesity, smoking, hypertension are modifiable. Control of modifiable risk factors may prevent or delay the progression of DFS and subsequent lower limb amputation.

References

- [1]. IDF Diabetes atlas 8th Edition; International Diabetes Federation. 2017. www.diabetesatlas.org
- Roper NA, Bilous RW,KellyWF,Unwin NC, Connolly VM. Excess mortality in a population with diabetes and the impact of material deprivation: longitudinal, population based study. BMJ 2001;322:1389–1393
- [3]. Currie CJ, Gale EA, Poole CD. Estimation of primary care treatment costs and treatment efficacy for people with type 1 and type 2 diabetes in the United Kingdom from 1997 to 2007. Diabet Med 2010;27: 938–948
- [4]. Manuel DG, Schultz SE. Health-related quality of life and health-adjusted life expectancy of people with diabetes in Ontario, Canada, 1996-1997. Diabetes Care 2004;27:407–414
- [5]. Forlee M. What is the diabetic foot? The rising prevalence of diabetes worldwide will mean an increasing prevalence of complications such as those of the extremities. Continuing Medical Education. 2010;28:152–6.
- [6]. Jain AKC, Vishwanath S. Studying major amputations in a developing country using Amit Jain's typing and scoring system for diabetic foot complications time for standardization of diabetic foot practice. Int Surg J. 2015;2:26–30.
- [7]. Lavery LA, Armstrong DG, Vela SA, Quebedeaux TL: Practical criteria for screening patients at high risk for diabetic foot ulceration. *Arch Intern Med* 158:157-162,1998
- [8]. Alexiadou K, Doupis J. Management of Diabetic Foot Ulcers. Diabetes Ther. 2012;3:4.
- [9]. Bakkar K, Foster A, Houtum WV, Riley P. Diabetes and Foot Care: Time to act. 4th edition. Netherlands: 2005.
- [10]. The Improving Chronic Illness Care Program. The Chronic Care Model: Improving Chronic Illness Care [website] (http://www.improvingchroniccare.org/index.php?p=The_Chronic_Care_Model&s=2).
 [11]. George H, Rakesh PS, Krishna M, Alex R, Abraham VJ, George K, Prasad JH. Foot care knowledge and practices and the
- [11]. George H, Rakesh PS, Krishna M, Alex R, Abraham VJ, George K, Prasad JH. Foot care knowledge and practices and the prevalence of peripheral neuropathy among people with diabetes attending a secondary care rural hospital in southern India. J Fam Med Primary Care. 2013;2:27–32.
- [12]. Lwanga SK, Lemeshow S. Sample Size Determination in Health Studies: A Practical Manual. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1991. p. 9.

- [13]. World Health Organization. Waist circumference and waist-hip ratio. Geneva: Report of a WHO expert consultation; 2008.
- [14]. James PA, Oparil S, Carter BL, Cushman WC, Dennison- Himmelfarb C, Handler J et al. Evidence-based guideline for the management of high blood pressure in adults: report from the panel members appointed to the Eighth Joint National Committee (JNC 8). JAMA. 2014 Feb 5;311(5):507-20.
- [15]. Potier L, Khalil CA, Mohammedi KA, Roussel R. Use and Utility of ankle brachial index in patients with diabetic. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg. 2011;41:110–6.
- [16]. University of Michigan. How to Use the Michigan Neuropathy Screening Instrument. Michigan. http://diabetesresearch.med.umich.edu/peripherals/profs/documents/svi/MNSI_howto.pdf Last accessed on 5th Jan 2019.
- [17]. Bus SA, Netten JJ, Lavery LA, Monteiro-Soares M, Rasmussen A, Jubiz Y, Price PE. IWGDF guidance on the prevention of foot ulcers in at-risk patients with diabetes. Diabetes Metab Res Rev. 2016;32:16–24.
- [18]. Vibha S.P, Kulkarni M.M, Ballala A.B.K, Kamath A, Maiya G.A. Community based study to assess the prevalence of diabetic foot syndrome and associated risk factors among people with diabetes mellitus. BMC Endocrine Disorders (2018) 18:43. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12902-018-0270-2
- [19]. Kishore S, Upadhyay AD, Jyotsna VP. Categories of foot at risk in patients of diabetes at a tertiary care center: insights into need for foot care. Indian J Endocrinol Metab. 2015;19:405–9.
- [20]. Lavary LA, Armstrong DG, Wunderlich RP, Terdwell J, Boulton A. Evaluation the prevalence and incidence of foot pathology in Mexican-Americans and Nonhispanic whites from a diabetes disease management cohort. Diabetes Care. 2003;23:1435–8.
- [21]. Peters EJ, Lavery LA. Effectiveness of the diabetic foot risk classification system of the international working group on the diabetic foot. Diabetes Care. 2001;24:1442–7.
- [22]. D'Souza M, Kulkarni V, Bhaskaran U, Ahmed H, Naimish H, Prakash A, Tabreez S, Dahiya B, Thapar R, Mithra P, Kumar N, Holla R, Darshan BB, Kumar A. Diabetic peripheral neuropathy and its determinants among patients attending a tertiary health care centre in Mangalore, India. Journal of Public Health Research 2015; 4:450;p120-24.
- [23]. Shukla V, Fatima J, Ali M, Garg A. A Study of Prevalence of Peripheral Arterial Disease in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Patients in a Teaching Hospital. Journal of The Association of Physicians of India.Vol. 66 ;May 2018.
- [24]. Premalatha G, Shanthirani S, Deepa R, Markovitz J, Mohan V. Prevalence and risk factors of peripheral vascular disease in a selected South Indian population: the Chennai Urban Population Study. Diabetes Care 2000; 23:1295-1300.
- [25]. Paquissi FC, Cuvinje ABP, Cuvinje AB. Prevalence of Peripheral Arterial Disease among Adult Patients Attending Outpatient Clinic at a General Hospital in South Angola. Scientifica 2016; Article ID 2520973: 1-6.
- [26]. Bansal D, Gudala K, Muthyala H, Esam HP, Nayakallu R, Bhansali A. Prevalence and risk factors of development of peripheral diabetic neuropathy in type 2 diabetes mellitus in a tertiary care setting. J Diabetes Investig. 2014;5:714–21.
- [27]. Shahi SK, Kumar A, Kumar S, Singh SK. Prevalence of diabetic foot ulcer and associated risk factors in diabetic patients from North India. Age. 2012;47:55–6.
- [28]. Solanki JD, Makwana AH, Mehta HB, Gokhle PA, Shah CJ, Hathilla PB. Assessment of Ankle Brachial Index in Diabetic patients in Urban area of West India. International Journal of Basic and Applied Physiology 2012; 1:114-119.
- [29]. Ali Z, Ahmed SM, Bhutto AR, Chaudhry A, Munir SM. Peripheral Artery Disease in Type II Diabetes. Journal of the College of Physicians and Surgeons Pakistan 2012; 22:686-689.
- [30]. Bansal D, Gudala K, Muthyala H, Esam HP, Nayakallu R, Bhansali A. Prevalence and risk factors of development of peripheral diabetic neuropathy in type 2 diabetes mellitus in a tertiary care setting. J Diabetes Investig 2014;5:714-21.
- [31]. Hillson RM, HockadayTD, Newton DJ. Hyperglycaemia is one correlate of deterioration in vibration sense during the 5 years after diagnosis of type 2(non-insulin-dependent) diabetes. Diabetologia 1984;26:122-6.
- [32]. Zhao W, Zeng H, Zhang X, Liu F, Pan J, Zhao J et al. A high thyroid stimulating hormone level is associated with diabetic peripheral neuropathy in type 2 diabetes patients. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. May 2016;115:122-9.