Immediate post operative management of Bile leak following laparoscopic or open cholecystectomy – Tertiary care centre in South India

Villalan Ramasamy¹, Rajasekaran karuppiah², Amarjothi JMV³, Srinivasan muthukrishnan⁴, Prabhakaran.R⁵, Rajendran .S⁶, NaganathBabu.O.L⁷.

1.Assistant Professor, Department of Surgical Gastroenterology, MGMGH, Govt.KAPV Medical college, Trichy, Tamilnadu, India.(Former senior Resident, Madras Medical College, Chennai- 3, Tamilnadu, India.)

2. Senior Assistant Professor, Department of Surgical Gastroenterology, MGMGH, Govt. KAPV Medical college, Trichy, Tamilnadu, India. (Former senior Resident, Madras Medical College, Chennai - 3,

Tamilnadu,India.)

3. Assistant Professor, Department of Surgical Gastroenterology, Saveetha Medical college ,Thanadalam,Chennai, Tamilnadu,India .(Former senior Resident,Madras Medical College,Chennai- 3, Tamilnadu,India.)

4.Senior Resident Assistant ,Institute of Surgical Gastroenterology, Rajiv Gandhi Govt.General Hospital, Madras Medical College, Chennai

5. Senior Assistant Professor, Institute of Surgical Gastroenterology, Rajiv Gandhi Govt.General Hospital, Madras Medical College, Chennai.

6.Professor,Institute of Surgical Gastroenterology, Rajiv Gandhi Govt.General Hospital, Madras Medical College, Chennai.

7.Professor,Institute of Surgical Gastroenterology, Rajiv Gandhi Govt.General Hospital, Madras Medical College, Chennai

Abstract

Introduction:

The most common cause of bile duct injuries are latrogenic. Cholecystectomy related bile duct injuries are disastrous both healthwise and monetarily. There is no clear algorithm for early management of bile duct injuries using various percutaneous, endoscopic and surgical approaches.

Aim, Materials and Methods:

The aim of the study was to define the role of various approaches i.e percutaneous, endoscopic, laparoscopic and open surgical drainages in the early management of bile duct injuries. We retrospectively analysed 104 patients with bile duct injuries following cholecystectomies referred to and treated in our Institute between July 2012 and January 2017. Patients underwent drainage of the biliary collections by various means including percutaneous (n=47), endoscopic (n=26), laparoscopic and open surgical approaches (n=22) depending upon their clinical condition. Analysis of the outcomes of the various approaches for the early management of bile duct injuries was done using simple descriptive statistics.

Results:

Most of the biliary injuries occurred following laparoscopic cholecystectomy (66.4%). There was a female (58.65%) predominance and most of the patients were in the age group of 20 to 49 (n=65; 62.8%).

The most common type of biliary injuries were cystic duct stump leak (n=47; 45.2%) followed by type E1 (n=23; 22.1%), type E2 (n=13; 12.5%) and type E3 (n=10; 9.6%). Of the 104 patients, 43 (41.35%) were referred within 7 days of index surgery of which 38 (88.37%) had bilioma, 3 (6.97%) presented with jaundice and 3 (6.97%) with features of sepsis. They had a hospital stay ranging between 7 to 14 days. On the other hand, 61 patients (58.65\%) were referred beyond 7 days of which 57 (93.4%) had bilioma, 9 (14.8%) presented with sepsis and 8 (12.1%) patients with jaundice and this group had a hospital stay ranging from 50-90 days.

While the 9 patients who had no bilioma on imaging and no sepsis were managed conservatively, the rest underwent some form of intervention. The majority (n=47; 45.2%) underwent PCD insertion. 26 (25%) patients underwent ERCP with stenting while 22 (21.2%) underwent surgical drainage.

The overall success rate of ERCP was 52% and was specifically useful in cases with cystic duct stump leak (success rate 83.3%). ERCP was associated with complications like bleeding in two, cholangitis in three and pancreatitis in two patients.

Conclusion: Acute BDI should be managed at a tertiary care referral centre where multidisciplinary facilities including expertise in diagnostic, percutaneous radiological interventions and endoscopic and laparoscopic facilities are available. Early identification and referral is a key to reduced morbidity. Key words – Bile duct injury, Various approaches, Early referral _____

Date of Submission: 13-11-2018 -

Date of acceptance: 28-11-2018

I. Introduction:

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) has become the gold standard treatment for symptomatic cholelithiasis but at the risk of increased incidence of Bile duct injuries (0.2 to 0.7 Vs 0.1-0.3).¹ The most common cause of bile duct injuries are Iatrogenic.² Cholecystectomy related bile duct injuries are disastrous both healthwise and monetarily. Repairof these bile duct injuries can run 4.5 to 26.0 times the cost of uncomplicated procedures and carries a significant mortality rate. ³ Biliary peritonitis, cholangitis, biliary cirrhosis and portal hypertension are some of the potentially serious complications following BDI. Failure to recognize these injuries and inappropriate management increases the risk of complications severalfold. Subsequentdiagnostic and therapeutic interventions increases the cost of medical care exponentially alongside a considerable loss of work and vexatious litigation. It is very important to stratify the type and extent of injury so as to formulate a appropriate treatment strategy. A multidisciplinary team consisting of a experienced hepatobiliary surgeon, gastroenterologist, intervention radiologist and nutrition specialist is paramount in the acute management of biliary injury and to ensure good long term results.⁴ Sepsis control and effective management of fluid requirements and electrolytes are the mainstay of acute management of bile duct injury. There is no clear algorithm for early management of bile duct injuries using various percutaneous, endoscopic and surgical approaches.

Aim

To define the role of various approaches i.e percutaneous, endoscopic, laparoscopic and open surgical drainages in the early management of bile duct injuries

II. Methods

Bile duct injuries following cholecystectomies (N=104) referred to and treated in the Institute of Surgical Gastroenterolgy, Rajiv Gandhi Govt, General Hospital, Madras medical college, Southern part of India between July 2012 and January 2017 were retrospectively analysed. Leaks from the cystic duct and gall bladder bed, bile duct laceration, transection, following cholecystectomies (Both open and laparoscopic) were included . Detailed history and physical examination were recorded. Signs and symptoms of acute bile duct injury were noted. At presentation, information regarding the cholecystectomy (preoperative symptoms, indication for cholecystectomy, preoperative evaluation, operative details, intraoperative complications, and postoperative events) was gathered by reviewing the medical records, interviewing the patient, and by discussing with the surgeon who operated whenever deemed necessary. All patients in this study group submitted for Complete blood count, liver function test and Ultrasound abdomen. CECT abdomen was taken to look for site of biloma and whether it is localized and generalized in the peritoneal cavity.Patients underwent drainage of the biliary collections by various means including percutaneous, endoscopic, laparoscopic and open surgical approaches depending upon their clinical condition. MRCP was done after draining of intra abdominal collections for all patients to assess the type of bile duct injury by Strasberg classification. Follow up included clinical evaluation (jaundice,cholangitis,pruritus),liver function tests (especially Alkaline phospahtase),USG (for biliary dilatation) and MR cholangiogram. Since these patients are prone to develop biliary stricture, they will be treated surgically at later date after 6 weeks when bile leak stops spontaneously and when patient develops jaundice Analysis of the outcomes of the various approaches for the early managemet of bile duct injuries was done using simple descriptive statistics.

III. Results:

A total of 104 patients of post cholecystectomy biliary injuries were referred to our institute during the study period. Of them, 69 (66.4%) had occurred following laparoscopic cholecystectomy while 35 (33.6%) occurred following open cholecystectomy (Table 1).

Table 1 : Incidence of BDI in open and laparoscopic methods

		paroseopre methodo
Open cholecystectomy	Lap cholecystectomy	Total
35 (33.6%)	69 (66.4%)	104

They were slightly more common in females (n=61; 58.65%)(Table 2) and 65 (62.8%) of them occurred in the age group of 20 to 49 (Table 3).

Table ? Incidence of PDI in Male and female groups

Table 2 : Incidence of BDI in Male and remaie groups			
Male	Female	Total	
43 (41.35%)	61 (58.65%)	104	
Table 3 : Percentage of BDI in Various age groups			
Age group	No.	Percent	

Tuble 5. 1 electricage of DD1 in Various age groups		
Age group	No.	Percent
<20	1	0.9%
20-29	16	15.3%
30-39	30	29.3%
40-49	19	18.2%
50-59	19	18.2%
60-69	11	10.5%
70-79	7	6.7%
80-89	1	0.9%
Total	104	100%

The most common type of biliary injuries were cystic duct stump leak (n=47;) followed by type E1 (n=23;), type E2 (n=13;) and type E3 (n=10;). Type D constituted 9 cases () and types C and E4 constituted one case each (Table 4).

Type of bile duct injury (strassberg classification)	Number of patients	
Type A	47	
Туре В	0	
Type C	1	
Type D	9	
Type E1	23	
Type E2	13	
Type E3	10	
Type E4	1	
Type E5	0	

Table 4 : Type of bile duct injury based on strassberg classification

Of the 104 patients, 43 (41.35%) were referred within 7 days of index surgery of which 38 (88.37%) had bilioma, 3 (6.97%) presented with jaundice and 3 (6.97%) with features of sepsis. They had a hospital stay ranging between 7 to 14 days. On the other hand, 61 patients (58.65%) were referred beyond 7 days of which 57 had bilioma, 9 presented with sepsis and 8 patients with jaundice and this group had a hospital stay ranging from 50-90 days(Table 5).

Tuble 5. Various presentations based on adjution		
Time of referral	Referral < 7 days	Referral > 7 days
No. of patients	43	61
With sepsis	3	9
Bilioma	38	57
Jaundice	3	8
Hospital stay	7-14 days	50-90 days

Table 5 : Various presentations based on duration

While the 9 patients who had no bilioma on imaging and no sepsis were managed conservatively, the rest underwent some form of intervention. The majority (n=47; 45.19%) underwent PCD insertion. 26 patients underwent ERCP with stenting. While 22 (21.2%) underwent surgical drainage .The overall success rate of ERCP was 52% (Table 6).

Table 0: Treatment options for Acute BD1		
Treatment options	No.	
Conservative	9	
PCD	47	
Open drainage	22	
ERCP	26	

Table 6 : Treatment options for Acute BDI

IV. Discussion

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy is associated with a 2 to 4 times higher risk of bile duct injury than open cholecystectomy. More over bile duct injury caused by LC is more often a complete transection.⁵ Older age and male sex increased the risk of BDI. The possible mechanism behind this could be sex dependant differences regarding the tissue around the calot triangle, or the cause could be bile duct anomalies which may affect dissection and anatomical orientation in this particular area.⁶

There is no consensus in clinical studies about patient-related risk factors on the development of biliary injuries. In most studies, patient age greater than 70, male sex, acute cholecystitis, bleeding during surgery, impacted stone in Hartmann's pouch, severe thickening of the gallbladder wall, cirrhosis, previous upper abdominal surgery and anatomical variations are considered as predictors of difficult laparoscopic surgery. Whether the risk of biliary injury is increased in these difficult cases is unclear. Misidentification of biliary structures is the leading cause of biliary injury and patient related factors may contribute to this error.⁷

The consequences of major biliary tract injury following LC include a complex operative repair resulting in a lengthy post operative stay with an increased risk of death, an excessive number of perioperative diagnostic and therapuetic studies , frequent readmissions (often as emergencies) and a life time risk of restructure with enormous cost.³ There is a limited data that addresses the issue of immediate management of a major bile duct injury Management of biliary ductal injuries depends on timely recognition of the injury, the extent and type of injury, the patients co morbid status and the availability of an experienced surgeon .There are various classifications of BDI , including the Corlette – bismuth , Strasberg, Wu, Mcmohan, Hannover classification . Each has its own merit and can guide a surgeon to select the best appropriate repair for each injury.⁸

Early recognition of bile duct injury is of paramount importance. Several studies showed that only 8% to 33% bile duct injuries have been recognized at the time of LC. Usually, unexplained bile drainage raises the suspicion of a biliary injury.⁹⁻¹³ Immediate detection and repair leads to an improved outcome with the goal of repair being the restoration of a durable functional conduit, prevention of fistula ,abcess, stricture ,cholangitis and secondary biliary cirrhosis. While immediate recognition of any injury is mandatory for improved patient outcome, the timing of repair is controversial with convincing arguments on both sides of the issue . however , there seems to be more evidence to support either immediate repair in experienced hands or delayed repair beyond 6 weeks. Intermediate repair (within 3to 14 days) is more likely lead to failures and long term complications.¹⁴ Even a small amount of bile in the peritoneal cavity lead to severe sepsis and death. USG preferably CT guided percutaneous drainage of peritoneal bile is essential. So the first priority in BDI is to control peritoneal and biliary sepsis and to convert acute BDI to a controlled external biliary fistula –this can be achieved by endoscopic ,radiologic ,laparoscopic and or laparotomy .¹⁵⁻²⁰ Percutaneous drainage of large bilomas should be performed prior to endoscopic cholangiography to prevent bacterial contamination of the fluid collection.Once sepsis is controlled ,a complete cholangiogram is essential to delineate the biliary anatomy and to detect the site (in relation to the ductal confluence) ,nature (partial or complete)and extent (loss of segment) of the injury this is best obtained by MRC .²¹ Endoscopic therapy with either a NB drain or internal stent restores normal bile flow and facilitates leak closure. Endoscopic drainage appears to reduce or eliminate the transpappilary pressure gradient maintained by the sphincter of oddi ,diverting bile preferentially into the duodenum and away from the site of leakage. Biliary stenting significantly reduces the time of resolution of cystic lesions as compared to sphincterotomy in the canine model in a randomized ,controlled study .²²⁻²³Nasobiliary tube (ENBD) placement offers an alternative treatment of bile leak by diverting the bile flow into the tube. In particular, this procedure may be useful for patients with coagulopathy, such as liver cirrhosis or chronic renal failure, because it can be performed without ES.²⁴ However, anecdotally and bearing in mind that our patients have increasingly high expectations, we feel that the minimally invasive approach is preferable. This minor, but significant, change in the management of bile leaks and saving a laparotomy has a major impact on the patient's perception of the significance of the complication. Furthermore, longer-term problems such as intra-abdominal adhesions and incisional hernias may be reduced.²¹

V. Conclusion

Acute BDI should be managed at a tertiary care referral centre where multidisciplinary facilities including expertise in diagnostic, percutaneous radiological interventions and endoscopic and laparoscopic facilities are available. The aim of management should be to eliminate sepsis and convert the uncontrolled bile leak to controlled external biliary fistula which later evolves in to BBS. The type of biliary injury and patient's general condition dictate the type of intervention in the acute setting. Early identification and referral is a key to reduced morbidity.

References

- Vecchio R, MacFadyen BV, Latteri S. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy: an analysis on 114 005 cases of United States series. Inter Surg. 1998;83:215–219. [PubMed]
- [2]. A.M Malik et al International journal of surgery6 (2008)392-395
- [3]. Russell J, Walsh S, Mattie A, Lynch J.Bile duct injuries, 1989-1993. A State wide experience. Arch Surg. 1996;131:382-8. Connecticut Laparoscopic cholecystectomy registry.
- [4]. Iatrogenic biliary injuries :Multidisciplinary management in a major tertiary referral centre. Ibrahim abdelkader salama,Hany abdelmeged shoreem,et al .HPB Surgery ,Volume 2014, http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/575136
- [5]. Lygia Stewart and Lawrence W Way Laparoscopic bile duct injuries: timing of surgical repair does not influence success rate. A multivariate analysis of factors influencing surgical outcomes . HPB (Oxford). 2009 Sep; 11(6): 516–522 .doi: 10.1111/j.1477-2574.2009.00096.x
- [6]. Waage Å¹, Nilsson M Iatrogenic bile duct injury: a population-based study of 152 776 cholecystectomies in the Swedish Inpatient Registry. Arch Surg. 2006 Dec;141(12):1207-13.
- [7]. Lawrence W. Way, MD,^{*} Lygia Stewart, MD,^{*} Walter Gantert, MD Causes and Prevention of Laparoscopic Bile Duct Injuries. Analysis of 252 Cases From a Human Factors and Cognitive Psychology Perspective. Ann Surg. 2003 Apr; 237(4): 460– 469. doi: 10.1097/01.SLA.0000060680.92690.E9
- [8]. Kwangsik Chun Recent classifications of the common bile duct injury Korean J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Surg 2014;18:69-72http://dx.doi.org/10.14701/kjhbps.2014.18.3.69 Review Article
- [9]. Lillemoe KD, Martin SA, Cameron JL, et al. Major bile duct injuries during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Follow-up after combined surgical and radiologic management. Ann Surg 1997;225:459-68; discussion 468-71. [Crossref] [PubMed]
- [10]. Walsh RM, Henderson JM, Vogt DP, et al. Trends in bile duct injuries from laparoscopic cholecystectomy. J Gastrointest Surg 1998;2:458-62. [Crossref] [PubMed]
- [11]. Bauer TW, Morris JB, Lowenstein A, et al. The consequences of a major bile duct injury during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. J Gastrointest Surg 1998;2:61-6. [Crossref] [PubMed]
- [12]. Mirza DF, Narsimhan KL, Ferraz Neto BH, et al. Bile duct injury following laparoscopic cholecystectomy: referral pattern and management. Br J Surg 1997;84:786-90. [Crossref] [PubMed]
- [13]. .Nealon WH, Urrutia F. Long-term follow-up after bilioenteric anastomosis for benign bile duct stricture. Ann Surg 1996;223:639-45; discussion 645-8. [Crossref] [PubMed
- [14]. <u>Sahajpal AK¹, Chow SC, Dixon E</u>, et al Bile duct injuries associated with laparoscopic cholecystectomy: timing of repair and long-term outcomes. <u>Arch Surg.</u> 2010 Aug;145(8):757-63. doi: 10.1001/archsurg.2010.153.
- [15]. Kaffes AJ, Hourigan L, De Luca N et al. Impact of endoscopic intervention in 100 patients with suspected postcholecystectomy bile leak. Gastrointest Endosc 2005;61:269-275
- [16]. Wootton FT, Hoffman BJ, Marsh WH et al. Biliary complications following laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Gastrointest Endosc 1992;38:183-185.
- [17]. Peters JH, Ollila D, Nichols KE, et al. Diagnosis and management of bile leaks following laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Surg Laparosc Endosc1994;4:163-170.
- [18]. Christoforidis E, Vasiliadis K, Goulimaris I, et al. A single center experience in minimally invasive treatment of postcholecystectomy bile leak, complicated with biloma formation. J Surg Res 2007;141:171-175.
- [19]. Marks JM, Ponsky JL, Shillingstad RB et al. Biliary stenting is more effective than sphincterotomy in the resolution of biliary leaks. Surg Endosc 1998;12:327-330.
- [20]. Leung JW, Cotton PB. Endoscopic nasobiliary catheter drainage in biliary and pancreatic disease. Am J Gastroenterol 1991;86:389-394.
- [21]. Tahir R. Khalid, V. Javier Casillas, Berta M. Montalvo Using MR Cholangiopancreatography to Evaluate Iatrogenic Bile Duct Injury. Hepatobiliary Imaging. December 2001, Volume 177, Number 6
- [22]. Dolay K¹, Soylu A, Aygun E. The role of ERCP in the management of bile leakage: endoscopic sphincterotomy versus biliary stenting. J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A. 2010 Jun;20(5):455-9. doi: 10.1089/lap.2009.0308
- ^[23] Kook Hyun Kim and Tae Nyeu Kim. Endoscopic Management of Bile Leakage after Cholecystectomy: A Single-Center Experience for 12 Years Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Internal Medicine, Yeungnam University College of Medicine, Daegu, Korea. Clin Endosc 2014;47:248-253
- [24]. Sugiyama M, Mori T, Atomi Y. Endoscopic nasobiliary drainage for treating bile leak after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Heaptogastroenterology .1999 Mar-Apr;46(26):762-
- [25]. F ahmad, RN saunders, GM lloyd et al An algorithm for the management of bile leakfollowing laparoscopic cholecystectomy .Ann R Coll Surg Engl 2007; 89: 51–56doi 10.1308/003588407X160864

Villalan Ramasamy, et. al. "Immediate post operative management of Bile leak following laparoscopic or open cholecystectomy – Tertiary care centre in South India." IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences (IOSR-JDMS), vol. 17, no. 11, 2018, pp 19-23.

DOI: 10.9790/0853-1711061923