Minimally Invasive Restoration of Endodontically Treated Molars

*MarwaChakroun¹,Mohamed Chebil²,Ameni Adli³,Zohra Nouira⁴, JilaniSaafi⁴,Mounir Cherif⁵

¹DDS, ²Assistant Professor, ³Associate Professor, ⁴Professor, 5^Professor Head of Department Department of Fixed Prosthodontics, Dental Clinic of Monastir, Tunisia.

Abstract: The restoration of the endodonticallytreated toothis awidely debated topic in the literature and has been for manyyears. The criteriastudied are somany and the analytical methods are varied. Indeed, the "gold standard" is to choose the technique that offers the least invasive preparation with maximum dental tissue preservation and improved retention and resistance to fracture. The endocrowns could be an alternative torestoratea posterior endodontically treated teeth. Therefore, the presentarticle describes a case report of restoration of a non-vitallower molaran endocrown.

Keyworlds: endodonticallytreatedteeth, molars, endocrown, CAD/CAM technique

Date of Submission: 02 -12-2017

Date of acceptance: 14-10-2017

I. Introduction

Compared to vital teeth, fracture riskof endodonticallytreatedteethishigherbecause of loss of structural integrityassociatedwith dental caries, accesscavitypreparation, and root canal preparationratherthan changes in dentin(1). With the development of adhesivesystems, the need for post-corerestorationsisreduced. Especially for restoration of excessivelydamaged endodontically treated molars, endocrowns have been used as an alternative to the conventional post-core and fixed partial dentures(2). It consist on a total porcelain crown fixed to an endodonticallytreatedposteriortooth, whichisanchored to the internal portion of the pulpchamber and to the cavitymargins, thusobtainingmacromechanicalretention (provided by the pulpalwalls), and microretention (by usingadhesivecementation)(3). In addittion, endocrown presents greater fracture strengththan conventional crowns(4).

However, the success and longevity of the endocrownare directly related totooth selection, correct preparation of the tooth, the selection of the most suitable ceramic options, and the choice of bonding material, since adequate adhesive cementation is absolutely necessary for the success of this restorative treatment (5)

Case Presentation

A 40-year female patient was referred to our departmentfor restoring her firstleftmandibularmolar.Clinicalexaminationshoweda damaged molarwith a defectiveamalgam restoration (Figure1).Periapical radiographshowedanincomplete endodontic fitting of the apical third of the mesialrootdue to the presence of a fractured file (Figure2).We tried to remove the fractured instrument during the endodontic retreatment. Unfortunately we failed since the instrument was in the apical third of the root.

Since the toothdidn't show any clinical symptomatology, endocrownrestorationwasrecommended. All the unsatisfactory restorative amalgam was removed from tooth #36. The canal entrances were sealed with conventional, chemically activated glass ionomer cement. The preparationconsisted of a cervical margin in the form of a butt joint and a central retentioncavity into the entire pulp chamber constructing both the crown and the core as a single unit. The appropriate reduction of the buccal and lingual wallswasdone. Interocclusal spacewascare fully evaluated and occlusal reduction done to achieve a clearance of 2 mm (Figure 3).

A provisionalendocrownwasperformedusingpolymethacrylateand cemented with eugenol-free temporary cement (Figure 4). The impression was made with polyvinyl siloxane. The endocrownwas manufactured in the laboratory via indirect CAD/CAM technique. A lithium-disilicateblocks was chosen because of itssufficient fracture resistance value (Figure 5).

The patient returned for a try-in of the crown and to test theinternal and proximal adjustments, prosthetic retention and the esthetic result. For cementation, the external cervical margin of the crown was protected with wax and then etched with 10% hydrofluoric acid for 20 seconds, then washed and dried. Next, silane was applicated and dried. After that, the tooth was isolated (Figure 6) and treated with 37% phosphoric acid for 30 seconds, then thoroughly rinsed and dried.

Bonding was performed using a dual curing self-adhesive permanent resin (TOTALCEM ®).

A thin layer was applied to the prosthetic endocrown, which was positioned, and polymerized at intervals of 5 seconds on the free surfaces, making it easy to remove cement excesses. Afterwards it was polymerized for 60 seconds on all surfaces (Figure 7). Then, occlusion contact was checked in order to have no area contact when dynamic occlusion. If there is contact, rectifications must be followed by a polishing.



Figure1: Severely damaged molarwithamalgam and provisional restoration.



Figure2: Initialradiograph:themesialrootisincompl etelyfilled due to a fractured file



Figure 5a : Definitiveprosthesis



Figure3 : Molarpreparation



Figure 4 : Provisionalprosthesis



Figure 6 : Molar isolation beforeendocrownbonding



Figure 7: Clinical view after bonding theendocrown

II. Discussion

Endocrowndoesno needperipheraltoothpreparation; it's a good treatmentthatpreserves dental tissue. For preparation, the axial heightisreducedat least twomillimeters. These two millimeters must always be checked in occlusion. This makes it possible to take into account the occlusal relationship between the prepared tooth and the antagonists. The grooveon the buccalwallisdictated by the location of the amalgam and avoidsextra mutilationwith excessive occlusal reduction. The depth of the cameralchambershouldbe at least threemillimeters, with a divergence of the walls of eight to tendegrees.

There Are Two Types Of Preparation For Endocrowns:

1. A cervical margin in the form of a butt joint. It corresponds to a simple reduction of the occlusal heightwithout peripheral preparation.

2. A peripheral preparation with a shoulder finish line.

In both cases, apreparation of the pulpchamberthatdoes not extendinto the rootcanals.must be performed.

Given the cervical discoloration, the peripheral preparation can give esthetic results. However, the cervical third of the mandibular molar is not visible and does not cause an esthetic problem. To keep as much dental tissue as possible, we chose the butt-joint margin.

For endocrowns, 90% of the failures were tooth fracture associated with displacement of restoration on the opposite side of the application of force.

Only 10% presented fracture of the tooth (in the apical third of the root portion)(6)

Different materials like feldspathic, glass ceramic, hybrid composite resin and newest CAD/CAM (computer aided design /computer aided manufacturing) resin blocks can be used for fabrication of endocrowns(7-10).

The endocrown, was first described using the CEREC system; however, other systems may be employed in this particular restoration. Recently, the VITA-PM9 system (Vident, Brea, CA, USA) has been commercialized. It consists of a pressed ceramic that uses a microparticulate coating.

The system employs thin feldspathic ceramic, which provides excellent resistance and polish(11-14).

In this case, the endocrown was made with lithium disilicate, which have esthetic result, provides excellent resistance and polish(15,6). However, according to some cliniciens, pressable ceramic systems yield good functionality, retention, esthetics, and durability.

The essential advantage of this technique is the best fitting of the endocrown with the anatomy of the floor of the cavity and the option of using an articulator.(17)

References

- [1]. RoopadeviGarlapati, BhuvanShome Venigalla1, Shekhar Kamishetty1, JayaprakashThumu; Ceramic onlay for endodonticallytreatedmandibularmolar; Journal of Orofacial Sciences 69 Vol. 6• Issue 1• January 2014
- [2]. Gaye Sevimli, SedaCengiz, M. SelçukOruç ;Endocrowns: Review ; J Istanbul UnivFac Dent 2015;49(2):57-63.
- [3]. Gislaine Rosa Biacchi, BeatrizMello, Robertatarkany Basting, The Endocrown: An Alternative Approach for RestoringExtensivelyDamagedMolars; Journal of Esthetic and RestorativeDentistryVol 25 No 6 383–390 2013
- [4]. GRBiacchi, RT Basting; Comparison of Fracture Strength of Endocrowns and Glass Fiber Post- RetainedConventional Crowns; OperativeDentistry, 2012, 37-2, 130-136
- [5]. Rafael Menezes Silva, Rafael Francisco LiaMondelli, Sérgio Kiyoshi Ishikiriama, Endocrown: a conservative approach, Braz Dent Sci 2016 Apr/Jun;19(2):121-131
- [6]. El-Damanhoury HM, Haj-Ali RN, Platt JA ; Fracture resistance and microleakage of endocrownsutilizing three CAD-CAM blocks ; Oper Dent. 2015 Mar-Apr;40(2):201-10
- [7]. BanodeAnkur Mahesh, GadeVandana, Patil Sanjay, GadeJaykumar, ChandhokDeepika, NathaniAatif, Endocrown: Conservative Treatment Modality for Restoration of Endodontically Treated Teeth – A Case Report, Endodontology, December 2015;27(2):188-191.
- [8]. Beuer F, Schweiger J, Edelhoff D. Digital dentistry: an overview of recent developments for CAD/CAM generated restorations. Br Dent J. 2008; 204: 505-511.
- [9]. Bindl A, Richter B, Mörmann Wh. Survival of ceramic computer- aided design/manufacturing crowns bonded to preparations with reduced macro retention geometry. Int J Prosthodont. 2005 May-Jun; 18(3):219-24.
- [10]. Daniel J. Poticny, James Clim, Cad/Cam in-office technology Innovations after 25 years for predictable, esthetic outcomes, J Am Dent Assoc 2010; 141(6 suppl):5S-9S.
- [11]. Leonardo Fernandes da Cunha, José Mondelli, Caroline Moreira Auersvald, CarlaCastigliaGonzaga, Rafael Francisco LiaMondelli, Gisele Maria Correr, and Adilson Yoshio Furuse, Endocrown with Leucite-Reinforced Ceramic: Case of Restoration of Endodontically Treated Teeth; Hindawi Publishing Corporation Case Reports in Dentistry, Volume 2015, Article ID 750313, 4 Pages
- [12]. Dennis J. Fasbinder. The CEREC system; 25 years of chair side CAD/CAM dentistry, , J Am Dent Assoc 2010;141;3S-4S
- [13]. FASHBINDER D.J. Predictable Cerecocclusal relationships. In Mörmann W.H. (ed.) State of the art of CAD/CAM restaurations, 20 years of Cerec, Berlin: Quintessence, 2006
- [14]. Laborde G, Lacroix P, Margossian P, Laurent M. Les systèmescéramo-céramiques : Actualités et perspectives. Real Clin 2004;15:89-104.9
- [15]. Dietschi D, Spreafico R. Restaurationsesthétiquescollées : composites et céramiquedans les traitementsesthétiques des dents postérieures. Paris : Quint Int, 1997, 215p.
- [16]. Fron H, Coudray L, Attal Jp.Cfao :céramiques, lesquelleschoisir ? Inf dent 2007; 29: 1693-1698.
- [17]. Roopak Bose Carlos, Mohan Thomas Nainan, ShaminaPradhan, Roshni Sharma, Shiny Benjamin, and Rajani Rose; Restoration of Endodontically Treated Molars Using All Ceramic Endocrowns; Hindawi Publishing Corporation Case Reports in Dentistry Volume 2013, Article ID 210763, 5 pages

*MarwaChakroun. "Minimally Invasive Restoration of Endodontically Treated Molars." IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences (IOSR-JDMS), vol. 16, no. 10, 2017, pp. 78–81.