A Double Blinded Comparative Study of Intranasal Dexmedetomidine as a Premedicant in Children

Dr Kakani Janakibabu¹, Dr Dasari Shakeela², Dr P .Rajababu³, Dr G Vinya⁴,

¹Assistant Professor of Anaesthesia Andhra Medical College, Visakhapatnam, AP. ²Associate Professor of Physiology Rangaraya Medical College, Kakinada, East Godavari Dt. Andhra Pradesh. ³Associate Professor of Physiology ACSR Govt Medical College, Nellore, . Andhra Pradesh. 4 Postgraduate ,Department of anaesthesiology, Andhra Medical College, Visakhapatnam, AP

Abstract

Background: The pre-anesthetic management in children can be challenging. The centrally acting alpha2 agonist, Dexmedetomidine provides unique sedation, with quick arousal and minimal respiratory depression. These properties render the sedative and hemodynamic effects of intranasal dexmedetomidine in children.

Methods: This is a prospective double-blinded comparative study in 90 children aged 2 to 9 years of age alloted to one of 3 groups, 30 children in each group-Group NS, SD and DD receiving intranasal normal saline, Imcg/kg of intranasal `dexmedetomidine and 2mcg/kg of intranasal dexmedetomidine respectively. The premedication time was 60 mins after drug administration. Baseline measurements of HR, spo2 were noted and every 10 mins after the drug was administered. Sedation at the time of parenteral separation and at the time of induction and Behaviour scores were noted for mask/IV cannula acceptance.

Results:Intranasal dexmedetomidine was well tolerated in both the groups with no side effects of nasal irritation, or bradycardia or respiratory depression.Both drug groups produced significant and satisfactory sedation when compared to the control group.Imcg/kg and 2mcg/kg provided similar rates of sedation with no statistically significant difference between them.

Conclusion: The intranasal route is safe, effective and convenient to use for administration of dexmedetomidine in children. Further studies are needed to evaluate the dosing regimen and routine use of dexmedetomidine as a premedication in pediatric anesthesia.

I. Introduction

The pre -anesthetic management of children can be a challenge to the anesthesiologist because children are usually uncooperative and anxious.Inadequate handling of an uncooperative child pre-operatively and children who are extremely anxious during induction of anesthesia are extremely at risk of developing post operative behaviour problems compared to children who appear calm dur ing induction.Various methods available to decrease anxiety are: sedative premedication, parenteral presence during induction and pre operative preparation programs. In children pre anesthetic medications are administered as pharmacological adjuncts to

-alleviate stress and fear of surgery

-ease child parenteral separation

-smoothen induction

Various methods of drug delivery are oral,rectal,IV,IM but intranasal and oral routes are well tolerated. The intranasal route is advantageous in that it is easy to use,painless,avoids first pass metabolism and the drug is directly delivered to csf through nose-brain pathways. It has its own limitations eg.fewer drugs availability and mucosal health may also impact absorption. Dexmedetomidine is a potent, highly selective, and specific alpha2 agonist that has both sedative and analgesic effects with minimal respiratory depression. Unlike traditional gabaminergic sedative drugs, such as midazolam, the primary site of action of Dexmedetomidine is the locus ceruleous rather than the cerebral cortex. Therefore its induced sedation is characterized by an easy and quick arousal from sedation resembling natural sleep. Other undesirable effects of midazolam including restlessness, paradoxical reaction, and negative postoperative behavioral changes have made it a less than ideal premedication. Several case reports¹ are available on use of iv Dexmedetomidine for pre-procedural sedation in children undergoing general anesthesia.

II. Aims & Objectives:

This prospective study was designed to assess the sedative effects of IN Dexmedetomidine using 2

different doses of 1mcg/kg and 2 mcg/kg along with normal saline as a control group in pediatric patients.

III. Materials And Methods:

This is a prospective, randomised, double blinded control study undertaken in King George Hospital, Visakhapatnam after obtaining clearance from Institutional Ethics Committee. Informed consent was taken from parents and children aged 2 to 9 years of ASA classification 1 and 2 undergoing elective surgical procedures were included in this study. Children with known hypersensitivity to study drug, presence of any nasal pathology and children with congenital heart disease including arrhythmias or heart blocks and respiratory illness were excluded from this study. Ninety Children were randomly allocated to one of three groups; normal saline (Group NS) intranasal dexmedetomidine 1mcg/kg. (Group SD) or intranasal dexmedetomidine 2mcg/kg (Group DD). The randomization list was generated by an independent statistician who was not involved in data collection or analysis. Preservative-free dexmedetomidine in a concentration of 100 mcg/ml parenteral preparation was used. The study drug was prepared in a 1-ml syringe by an independent anesthetist. If recruited children were assigned to Group SD,dexmedetomidine was diluted with an equal volume of 0.9% saline; if assigned to Group DD, then Dexmeditomidine was not diluted. The final volume of administered solution was 0.02 ml/kg.An equal volume of drug was dropped into each nostril by a blinded research assistant. The premedication time of 60 minutes³ was taken. Baseline measurements of Heart rate ,Spo2 were observed and thereafter every 10min after intranasal drug administration until transfer to the operating theatre. Following intranasal drug administration, Sedation score(modified from the Observer Assessment of Alertness and Sedation Scale) at the time of parenteral separation and at the time of induction and Behaviour score for mask or IV cannula acceptance were assessed by a blinded observer.Inhalational or intravenous mode of induction was decided by the attending anesthesiologist depending on the sedation and behaviour characteristics of the child.

 Table 1: SEDATION SCORE (assessed during separation from parents and at induction)

1	Does not respond to mild prodding or shaking					
2	Responds only mild prodding or shaking					
3	Responds only after name is called loudly or repeatedly					
4	Lethargic response to name spoken in normal tone					
5	Appear asleep but respond readily to name spoken in normal tone					
6	Appear alert and awake, response readily to name spoken in normal tone					

Table 2:BEHAVIOUR SCORE (Assessed at the time of mask or IV cannula acceptance)

1	Calm and cooperative	
2	Anxious but reassurable	
3	Anxious and not reassurable	
4	Crying, or resisting	

For statistical analysis, sedation scores were categorized as being satisfactory when rated between 1 and 4 and unsatisfactory when rated 5 or 6. Behavior scores were categorized as satisfactory when they were 1 or 2, and unsatisfactory when they were 3 or 4.Demographic data and hemodynamic variables were analysed by analysis of variance(ANOVA).Sedation and behaviour scores were analysed using Chi-square test.A p value of less than 0.005 was considered to be statistically significant.

IV. Results

The demographic data is summarised in table 3.Patients in all the three groups were similar with respect to age, weight, gender, and age distribution in the age groups of 2 to 5 years and 6 to 9 years. The heart rate variability was assessed and showed a statistically significant decrease in heart rate after administration of intranasal dexmedetomidine of 1mcg/kg and 2mcg/kg as shown in table 4.but there were no incidences of bradycardia necessitating any intervention. No child complained of pain or showed signs of nasal irritation.

	GROUP NS n=30	GROUP SD n=30	GROUP DD n=30
Age(in years)	5.4	5.2	5.4
Weight(in kg)	17.5	16.1	18.3
Male/female	17/13	18/12	17/13
Age distribution			
2-5	16	17	17
6-9	14	13	13

TABLE 3:

Dexmedetomidine had no effect on oxygen saturation levels. Table 5,6 shows the proportion of children who were satisfactorily sedated at the time of parenteral separation and at the time of induction. Table 7 shows the results for Behaviour Scoring. On comparison to the control group, satisfactory sedation status was achieved in both the drug groups with the p value being significant but the satisfactory sedation achieved in both groups receiving 1mcg/kg and 2mcg/kg were similar in results with no statistical significant difference between them. The results were similar when assessing the Sedation status at the time of parenteral separation and at the time of induction and also with the Behaviour scoring for either mask or intravenous cannula acceptance.

IABLE 4:						
	GROUP NS	GROUP SD	GROUP DD			
Min.	83	81	78			
Max.	143	135	141			
Mean	112.56	107.27	107.86			
Std. dev.	17.24	12.35	14.50			
p value	0.953	0.003	0.001			

TADLE 4

Dexmedetomidine had no effect on oxygen saturation levels. Table 5,6shows the proportion of children who were satisfactorily sedated at the time of parenteral separation and at the time of induction. Table 7 shows the results for Behaviour Scoring. On comparison to the control group, satisfactory sedation status was achieved in both the drug groups with the p value being significant but the satisfactory sedation achieved in both groups receiving 1mcg/kg and 2mcg/kg were similar in results with no statistical significant difference between them. The results were similar when assessing the Sedation status at the time of parenteral separation and at the time of induction and also with the Behaviour scoring for either mask or intravenous cannula acceptance with the p value being insignificant.











Intranasal Dexmedetomidine used pre-operatively in pediatric anaesthesia is especially useful in extremely anxious and uncooperative children as observed by Kain et al¹¹ in relation to post operative behaviour problems.Kain et al ¹¹determined that a patient's anxiety level influences their immediate postoperative outcomes as well as long-term outcomes after discharge. Previous studies^{1,2-8} have shown that intranasal administration is an effective way to administer premedication and sedation to children It is a relatively easy and noninvasive route with a high bioavailability. However, cooperation is still required and it may be more difficult in younger children. Oral administration may be even more difficult in uncooperative children and compliance is easier with intranasal route than oral.Intranasal midazolam produces local signs of nasal irritation⁹, which was avoided with intranasal dexmedetomidine, not seen in any of study children. The main advantage of dexmedetomidine lies in the fact that the patients are sedated but are easily arousable¹³ which resembles natural sleep and also there were no incidences of bradycardia or respiratory depression or any untoward incidents.¹⁴However,the ideal premedication drug and the route of administration is still debatable. The onset time for intranasal Dexmedetomidine is relatively prolonged paralleling a prolonged premedication time. Yuen et al^2 used two doses of intranasal dexmedetomidine-0.5 and 1 mcg/ kg for premedication in healthy children aged 2 to 12 years. In their study, the premedication period was 45-60 min, and effective sedation was achieved within that time period in children who received 1 mcg/ kg. The optimal timing³ for the administration of 1 mcg/ kg intranasal dexmedetomidine for premedication in children was judged based on intravenous cannulation attempts at different time points after premedication. The median time for sedation onset was found to be 25 (25–30) min. In Yuen et al⁴. study, children who received a dose of intranasal dexmedetomidine similar to the dose utilized in our study (1 mcg/ kg) were significantly more sedated when they were separated from

their parents and at the time of anesthesia induction compared to those who received oral midazolam 0.5 mg/ kg, We hypothesised that 2mcg/kg produces more satisfactory sedation when compared to 1mcg/kg based on previous studies¹⁰. The discrepancy between our results and theirs may be attributed to pharmacokinetic factors^{15,16} including different age groups, children characteristics, and dissimilar sedation scores. We used simple nasal drops administration instead of nasal spray or mucosal atomisation device¹² We did not evaluate the onset time and peak effect of the two doses of intranasal dexmedetomidine or the blood concentrations. In this study, the premedication period was 60 min for intranasal dexmedetomidine. If a longer premedication period had been taken, possibly more subjects could have attained satisfactory sedation at separation from parents and at induction of anesthesia. The sedation produced by dexmedetomidine differs from other sedatives as patients may be easily aroused and cooperative. Some children who were premedicated with dexmedetomidine became distressed when they were aroused at the induction of anesthesia, despite being very much sedated at the time of parental separation. Anesthetic technique may need to be adjusted to provide optimal conditions for induction in children sedated with dexmedetomidine.

VI. Conclusion

Intranasal Dexmedetomidine is well tolerated without producing any side effects.1mcg/kg and 2 mcg/kg produced similar levels of sedation in children premedicated with intranasal dexmedetomidine. The utility of Dexmedetomidine in clinical practice is expanding because of its unique sedative, anxiolytic and analgesic properties. However future studies are warranted to provide optimal dose, timing of administration and role of intranasal route in clinical settings.

References

- [1]. Gyanesh P, Haldar R, Srivastava D, Agrawal PM, Tiwari AK, Singh PK. Comparison between intranasal dexmedetomidine and intranasal ketamine as premedication for procedural sedation in children undergoing MRI: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. J Anesth. 2014;28(1):12-8.
- [2] VM Yuen, Hui TW, Irwin MG, Yao TJ, Chan L, Wong GL, et al. A randomised comparison of two intranasal dexmedetomidine doses for premedication in children. Anaesthesia. 2012:67:1210-6
- [3]. Yuen VM, Hui TW, Irwin MG, Yao TJ, Wong GL, Yuen MK. Optimal timing for the administration of intranasal dexmedetomidine for premedication in children. Anaesthesia. 2010;65(9):922-9
- [4]. Yuen VM, Hui TW, Irwin MG, Yuen MK. A comparison of intranasal dexmedetomidine and oral midazolam for premedication in pediatric anaesthesia: a double-blinded randomized controlled trial. Anesthesia and Analgesia 2008; 106: 1715–21. [5]. Wang SS, Zhang MZ, Sun Y, Wu C, Xu WY, Bai J, et al. The sedative effects and the attenuation of cardiovascular and arousal
- responses during anesthesia induction and intubation in pediatric patients: a randomized comparison between two different doses of preoperative intranasal dexmedetomidine.Paediatric Anaesth 2014;24:275-81. [6]. Cheung CW, Ng KF, Liu J, Yuen MY, Ho MH, Irwin MG. Analgesic and sedative effects of intranasal dexmedetomidine in third molar
- surgery under local anaesthesia. Br J Anaesth 2011;107:430-7.
- [7]. Cimen ZS, Hanci A, Sivrikaya GU, Kilinc LT, Erol MK. Comparison of buccal and nasal dexmedetomidine premedication for pediatric patients. Paediatr Anaesth 2013:23:134-8.
- [8]. Sheta SA, Al-Sarheed MA, Abdelhalim AA. Intranasal dexmedetomidine vs midazolam for premedication in children undergoing complete dental rehabilitation: a double-blinded randomized controlled trial. Paediatr Anaesth 2014;24:181-9.
- [9]. Wilton NCT, Leigh J, Rosen DR et al. Preanesthetic
- [10]. sedation of preschool children using intranasal midazolam. Anesthesiology 1988; 69: 972–975.
- [11]. Ebert TJ, Hall JE, Barney JA, Uhrich TD, Colinco MD. The effects of increasing plasma concentrations of dexmedetomidine in humans. Anesthesiology 2000; 93: 382-94.
- [12]. Kain ZN, Mayes LC, O'Connor TZ, Cicchetti DV. Preoperative anxiety in children: predictors and outcomes. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med.1996;150(12):1238-1245
- [13]. Primosch RE, Guelmann M. Comparison of drops versus spray administration of intranasal midazolam in two- and three-year old children for dental sedation. Pediatric Dentistry 2005; 27:401-8.
- [14]. Khan ZP, Ferguson CN, Jones RM. alpha-2 and imidazoline receptor agonists. Their pharmacology and therapeutic role. Anaesthesia 1999;54:146-65
- [15]. Munro HM, Tirotta CF, Felix DE, Lagueruela RG, Madril DR, Zahn EM, Nykanen DG. Initial experience with dexmedetomidine for diagnostic and interventional cardiac catheterization in children. Paediatr Anaesth 2007;17:109-12
- [16]. Vilo S, Rautiainen P, Kaisti K, et al. Pharmacokinetics of intravenous dexmedetomidine in children under 11 yr of age.British Journal of Anaesthesia 2008; 100: 697-700
- [17]. Potts AL, Anderson BJ, Warman GR, Lerman J, Diaz SM, Vilo S.Dexmedetomidine pharmacokinetics in pediatric intensive care- a pooled analysis. Pediatric Anesthesia 2009; 19: 1119-29.