Evaluation of Efficacy of IntrathecalPentazocine as a Sole Anaesthetic Agent for Lower Abdominal Surgeries

Dr.K.SanthaArulmozhi,M.D,D.A, Dr.RajeshRatnam M.D *Coimbatore Medical College*

I. Introduction

The relief of pain during surgery is the raison de tre of anaesthesia. Now from the intraoperative relief of pain, anaesthesiologist's work has extended on to postoperative pain relief, relief of chronic pain and cancer pain.

The above purpose is achieved by means of the use of different combination of drugs administered through various routes. Among the regional anaesthetic techniques spinal anaesthesia has a special place as it is a very simple procedure to perform and it is a more definite procedure and has a high success rate. In the recent past from alleviating pain intraoperatively it has extended its analgesic effect in the postoperative period also. Local anaesthetics are used commonly for spinal anaesthesia. But there are so many drawbacks when we use local anaesthetics alone, as they don't provide extended postoperative pain relief if used as a bolus. So a search for an alternate agent for spinal anaesthesia was on. In 1973 Pert and Synder discovered opioid specific receptors in the substantiagelatinosa of spinal cord and paved the way for the use of intrathecal opiates leading to a new era of pain relief. Wang et al in 1979 first applied intrathecal opiates for relief of pain.

Providing a good postoperative pain relief will reduce the postoperative morbidity, allows early ambulation and early discharge. So aopioid which is used as an sole anaesthetic agent has proven to provide adequate surgical anaesthesia without much hemodynamic compromise. In this study the drug Pentazocine is tried and its efficacy is evaluated.

II. Methods

It was a randomized single blinded study. The patients posted for elective lower abdominal surgery were chosen at random. All the 75 patients belonged to ASA risk 1 and 2 with age distribution between 18-60 years. After obtaining approval from the ethical committee and written informed consent from the patients the study was conducted. The exclusion criteria included patients belonging to ASA 3 and 4, spinal deformities, local skin sepsis, bleeding disorders and psychiatric illness.

Preanesthetic assessment included system examination and relevant investigations. Preoperative counseling and reassurance was given to all patients. No premedication was given.

The drug used was preservative free pentazocine lactate 3 percent. In the operation theatre after recording baseline, pulse rate, blood pressure and respiratory rate, an intravenous line was started with dextrose normal saline. Following resuscitative measures were kept ready before administering spinal anesthesia. Boyles machine with O2 source, laryngoscope and appropriate size ET tubes, suctioning apparatus, vasopressors, all other emergency drugs.

Lumbar puncture was performed under strict aseptic precautions with the patient in right lateral position with 22 or 23 gauge needles at L3-L4 interspace. After obtaining free flow of cerebro spinal fluid, injection pentazocine lactate 1-1.5mg/kg was injected. After the injection the patient was placed in supine position. The sensory blockade was assessed every 30 secons for the first 5 minutes. Then every 1 minute till final level is achieved using pinpricks. Motor blockade was assessed by bromage scale and time taken for grade 3 motor block noted down.

Bromage Scale (0- No Paralysis, 1-Inability to raise the extended leg, 2- inability to flex the knee joint, 3- Inability to flex the ankle joint). During surgery pulse rate , BP, respiratory rate were noted every five minutes. A fall of systolic pressure by 20% from the baseline value was taken as hypotension and managed with O2, IV fluids and injection ephedrine incremental doses if needed. Bradycardia if occurred treated with injection atropine in incremental doses.

At the end of surgery patients were asked to mark a point in the visual analog scale according to the intensity of pain. The observation was done every hour. The pain relief was graded according to visual analog pain scoring as follows, 0-1 – Excellent, 1-4 – Good, 4-6 –Fair, 6-8 –Poor, 8-10 –No relief. The duration of analgesia was taken as the period from the time of giving subarachnoid block till the patients

first requirement of systemic analgesic medication. Visual analog pain scoring more than 6 were given sublementary analgesia.

Sedation level was assessed for every hour and graded according to sedation score(Brain and ready) 0-Fullyawake, 1-Normal sleep, 2-Drowsy, arousable on touch, 3-Drowsy, arousable to painful stimulate, 4-Somnolent. The side effects like nausea, vomiting, pruritis, urinary retention were noted down.

III. Results

A total of 75 patients were included in the study. Out of them 68 were females and 7 were male patients. Most of them underwent gynaecological procedures like abdominal hysterectomy, vaginal hysterectomy with pelvic floor repair. Parameters evaluated are in table 2. Patient profile

						Tab	ole 1	
A			G				Е	4 2 . 8 <u>+</u> 1 2 . 4 8 y r s
S	E X		(M	/	F)	7 : 6 8
W	Е	I	G	Н		T		50.7 <u>+</u> 4.8 kgs
T	YPE	O F	S	U R	G	E R	Y	Abdominal hystectomy-24 Vaginal hysterectomy-44 Hernioplasty-7
DU	RATION C	F SUR	GER	Y	2 hr 13 min <u>+</u> 36 min			

Parameters

Table 2

Time of onset of sensory blockade at T10	2 . 2 9 <u>+ 1</u> . 0 1 m i n
Time of maximum level of block	3 . 4 4 <u>+ 1</u> . 0 3 m i n
Maximum level of block	1.5 mg/kg - T61 mg/kg - T10
Motor block (Grade 3)	4 . 2 4 <u>+ 1</u> . 0 4 m i n
2 Segment regression time	1 0 3 . 2 5 <u>+</u> 1 1 . 2 2 m i n
Duration of postoperative analgesia	2 1 . 6 5 <u>+ 8</u> . 7 8 h r s
Quality of analgesia	Excellent-15 Good - 40 Fair-18 Poor-2
S e d a t i o n	Score 1 in 75 patients

Complications

Table 3

Н	у	р	0	t	e	n	S	i	О	n	7
В	r	a	d	у	c	a	r	d	i	a	1
N	a u	s e	a	a n	d	v o	m i	t i	n	g	1 5
Re	espi:	rato	r y	depr	e s s	i o n	a n d	prı	uru	t i s	N i 1
Н	6	e	a	d		a	С		h	e	1

IV. Discussion

Ever since the opioid receptors were identified in 1973 attempts were being made towards the use of various opioidsperidurally and intra durally for analgesia as indicated by Leonkoffman on principles of intrathecal and epidural opioids. Intrathecal administration is technically easy and it provides better and long analgesia with minimal side effects due to specific action on opiate receptors. It acts on the small primary afferents in substantiagelatinosa and inhibits them. They alternate the release of substance P. Motor blockade is partly due to their effects of opiate receptors in ventral horn partly due to their action in axonal membrane.

Once introduced into cerebrospinal fluid the tertiary amine group of the opiate at pH 7.4 dissociates and the drug now gains entry into the axonal membrane of the spinal nerve roots and should block the nerve conduction similar to local anaesthetics. The present study proves the efficacy of Pentazocine as spinal anaesthetic following subarachnoid injection. Sensory and Motor blockade were obtained in all patients. Onset of motor and sensory paralysis was slower.

The axonal blockade produced by Pentazocine in the spinal nerves do not explain the postoperative analgesia noted in the study after recovery of motor block. The duration of analgesia produced by intrathecalpentazocine far exceed the duration of action when administered parenteraly. However there was big inter subject variation in this regard. This parallels the large inter individual variations in the plasma and cerebrospinal fluid concentrations of morphine and meperidine by Nord berg et al and Sjostrom et al in various surgical patients when given for postoperative pain relief.

All the clues point towards the interaction of intrathecalpentazocine with opiate receptors which are richly distributed in the posterior horn cells of spinal cord responsible for primary integration of nociceptive impulses and with brain own opiates sedation noticed following intrathecalpentazocine may be due to agonistic action on kappa opioid receptors which are responsible for sedation and spinal analgesia. Hemodynamic stability during surgery was maintained during intrathecalpentazocine with incidence of hypotension 9.33%. Bradycardia was observed in only one patient 1.33%.

Low incidence of complications indicate the rostral spread of pentazocine in the cerebrospinal fluid is minimal and could be attributed to their higher lipid solubility as compared with morphine. There was no respiratory depression as the drug has no effect but rather antagonistic action over μ receptors. Absence of pruritis was remarkable. Nausea and vomiting were seen in the postoperative period in 20% of patients, which responded to Inj. Metaclopramide. Sedation was found in almost all the patients with the sedation score of 1. Headache occurred only in one patient.

V. Conclusion

The study done to evaluate the efficacy of pentazocine as a sole anaesthetic agent for lower abdominal surgeries bears the following results.

- 1. It produces good surgical anaesthesia for up to 103 min
- 2. It obviates the need for intraoperative sedation
- 3. It gives very good postoperative analgesia helping in early ambulation of patients

Pentazocine having local anaesthetic like activity gives sensory and motor blockade for surgeries done below the level of umbilicus and it gives reasonable duration of postoperative analgesia. The commonest side effect was nausea and vomiting which was managed easily. So it can be tried as an alternative to local anaesthetic drugs effectively.

References

- [1]. Epidural Pentazocine for Postoperative Pain Relief. Kalia, Praveen K. DA; Madan, Rashmi MD; Saksena, Ravi MB, BS; Batra, Ravinder K. MD; Gode, Govind R. FFARCSAnesthesia & Analgesia: October 1983 Volume 62 Issue 10 ppg 949-950
- [2]. Intrathecalpentazocine as a sole anaesthetic Indian Journal of Anaesthesia.. 1997 Feb; 40(1): 30-6 Department of Anaesthesiology, Gandhi Medical College, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh. Indian Journal of Anaesthesia.. 1997 Feb; 40(1): 30-6
- [3]. A Clinical Study of Epidural Pentazocine in Comparison with Epidural Tramadol for Postoperative Analgesia B Narasimha Reddy*, Madhu R, Jithin Chandra Bose, Yadhuraj MK, Faizana Mohammed and SupriyaPawar Department of Anaesthesiology, MVJMC&RH, Hoskote, Bangalore
- [4]. Efficacy & dose-response of intrathecalpentazocine for post-operative pain relief. Chawla R, Arora MK, Saksena R, Gode GR.
- [5]. Efficacy and safety of intrathecalpentazocine as a sole anesthetic agent for lower limb surgeries. Jyothilakshmi Nair, Sunil Rajan, JerryPaul, SusammaAndrewsDepartment of Anesthesiology and Critical Care, Amrita Institute of Medical Sciences, Kochi, Kerala India.
- [6]. J Anesth. 1988 Mar 1;2(1):98-100. Spinal anesthesia with pentazocine for total abdominal hysterectomy. Cheun JK¹, Cho ST.
- [7]. Anesth Essays Res. 2013 Jan-Apr; 7(1): 49–53. doi: 10.4103/0259-1162.113989 Efficacy and safety of intrathecalpentazocine as a sole anesthetic agent for lower limb surgeries
- [8]. Beatty NC, Arendt KW, Niesen AD, Wittwer ED, Jacob AK. Analgesia after Cesarean delivery: a retrospective comparison of intrathecalhydromorphone and morphine. J ClinAnesth. 2013 Aug;25(5):379-83. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinane.2013.01.014. Epub 2013 Aug 17.
- [9]. Palmer CM, Emerson S, Volgoropolous D, Alves D. Dose-response relationship of intrathecal morphine for postcesarean analgesia. Anesthesiology. 1999 Feb;90(2):437-44. Erratum in: Anesthesiology 1999 Apr;90(4):1241.
- [10]. Terajima K, Onodera H, Kobayashi M, Yamanaka H, Ohno T, Konuma S, Ogawa R. Efficacy of intrathecal morphine for analgesia following elective cesarean section: comparison with previous delivery. J Nippon Med Sch. 2003 Aug;70(4):327-33.
- [11]. Acalovschi, V Eve Nicholas saddle block with pethidine for perineal analgesia. British journal of anaesthesia 1980 58:1012-1016.
- [12]. M.J.Cousins and P.O.Briden bough 1998 Neural blockade in clinical anaesthesia Introduction to pain mechanism, Spinal route of analgesia.
- [13]. Efficacy of dose response of intrathecalpentazocine for postoperative pain relief . Indian journal of medical council 1989 June 90-220-3.
- [14]. Intrathecalpentazocine for postoperastive pain relief. J.Indian medical association 1988-Apr 86:93-6.
- [15]. Mechanism of action of perineurally administered opiates. Journal of pharmacology and experimental therapeutics.1991:258:299-30.
- [16]. Pain control with intrathecally and peridurally administered opioids . Rhode island medical journal 1991:74:68-7.
- [17]. Goodman and Gilman. The pharmacological basis of therapeutics 8 th edition 1991.
- [18]. Harold Ellis and Stanley Foldman. Anatomy for anaesthetist.6 th edition 1993.
- [19]. Postoperative ana; gesia with extradural buphrenorphine and pentazocine. J.Indian medical association 1991, May 89;123-4
- [20]. Ronald D Miller Anaesthesia latest edition-Acute postoperative pain, Epiduarl and interathecalopioids.
- [21]. Mohan V.K, Batra .Y.K Analgesia effects and urodynamic effects of epidural meperidine and pentazocine . International Journal of clinical pharmacology. 1995 Jan