# **Study of Demographic Status of Tuberculosis Patient**

Priyadarshi Sharma<sup>1</sup>, Nidhi Sharma<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Consultant Pulmonologist, Sudha hospital Kota<sup>2</sup> 2<sup>nd</sup> year PG resident biochemistry,Kota.

## Abstract:

**Background**: Tuberculosis (TB) is one of the most globally serious public health problem. Poverty illiteracy and poor socio-ecomonic status are key factors for tuberculosis disease. Literacy can play an important role in developing understanding about TB & to perform activities with regard to prevention.

Aim and objective: To assess the demographic status of Tuberculosis patients.

**Methods**: 76 patients which were registered under RNTCP DOTS category 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> were taken in the study. The knowledge in the interviewed patient about annual income, occupation, literacy and smoking habit were assessed through a questionnaire during April 2005 to September 2006 in Department of tuberculosis and Chest disease, RNT Medical College, Udaipur Rajasthan.

**Results:** Out of 76 tuberculosis patients 44 % were in category  $1^{st}$ , 23.6 % were in category  $2^{nd}$  and 31 % were found in category  $3^{rd}$ . 71.05% were within the age group of 20-50 years. About 71% were male and 29% were females. 81.5 % belong to the Annual Income group of less than Rs. 30000, 42.1 % belong to income group below Rs. 15000. 53.9% patients were illiterate and 46.05 % patients were literate. 38 % patients were labour by occupation. 76 % TB patients were smokers and 23 % were non smokers (p value is 0.4)

**Conclusion:** Increased prevalence of tuberculosis in lower socio-economic status. There is a need to implement targeted interventions to educate masses for better TB control.

keywords: illiterate, Mycobacterium Tuberculosis, occupation poverty, socio-economic status, Tuberculosis

## I. Introduction

Tuberculosis is a disease caused by Mycobacterium tuberculosis. It was first isolated by Robert Koch in 1882 (1). Nearly one-third of the global population is infected with Mycobacterium tuberculosis (2). New infections occur in about 1% of the population each year (3). In 2007, an estimated 13.7 million chronic cases were active globally (4), while in 2013, an estimated 9 million new cases occurred (5). In 2013 there was between 1.3 and 1.5 million associated deaths (6), most of which occurred in developing countries (7). In India more than 1.8 million new cases appear every year. Approximately 4,00,000 people die from TB every year in India, more than 1,000 every day (8). The problem is aggravated by increasing population density, poverty and illiteracy (9). There is a relevance of gender issues in prevalence and treatment of TB in developing countries (10). Evidence from various researches strongly suggests that there is a close link between TB and poverty(11 - 14). It has been shown that anti-TB treatments when given under direct observation gives a cure rate as high as 95 % (15,16) and the World Health Organization (WHO) recommends control programs to use Directly Observed Treatment, Short Course (DOTs) (17).

Income Poverty and TB (18)



#### Aims And Objective: To assess the demographic status of Tuberculosis patients.

#### **II. Material And Methods**

The study was conducted in the Department of Tuberculosis and Chest Disease RNT Medical College , Udaipur (Raj), during April 2005 to September 2006. 76 patients which were registered under RNTCP DOTS category  $1^{st} 2^{nd}$ , and  $3^{rd}$  included in this study. The knowledge in the interviewed patient about annual income, occupation and literacy were assessed through a questionnaire and calculated p value by Microsoft excel programme.

#### **III. Results**

In this study of 76 tuberculosis patients, 71.05% were within the Age Group of 20-50 years, about 71% cases were Male and 29% were Females. Majority of patients 81.5% belong to the Annual Income Group of less than Rs.30000, 42.1% belong to Income Group below Rs. 15000. 54% patients were illiterate and 46% patients were literate. 38% patients were Labour by occupation. 76% of TB patients were Smokers(p value is 0.4).

 Table – 1: Distribution Of Patients According To Age

| S.No. | Age-Group (in | Number of Patients | Number of Patients |         |       |  |  |  |
|-------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------|-------|--|--|--|
|       | years)        | Cat-I              | Cat-II             | Cat-III | Total |  |  |  |
| 1     | < 20          | 1                  | 1                  | 5       | 7     |  |  |  |
| 2     | 21-30         | 14                 | 3                  | 5       | 22    |  |  |  |
| 3     | 31-40         | 11                 | 3                  | 9       | 23    |  |  |  |
| 4     | 41-50         | 2                  | 4                  | 3       | 9     |  |  |  |
| 5     | 51-60         | 3                  | 4                  | 2       | 9     |  |  |  |
| 6     | >60           | 3                  | 3                  | 0       | 6     |  |  |  |
| 7     | Total         | 34                 | 18                 | 24      | 76    |  |  |  |



Distribution of patients according to age group

| TABLE -2 | Gender W | ise Distribution   | <b>Of Patients Ir</b> | 1 Different Categories |
|----------|----------|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
|          | Genaer " | 100 10100110401011 |                       |                        |

| S. No. | Sex    | Number of Patients |        |         |             |  |  |
|--------|--------|--------------------|--------|---------|-------------|--|--|
|        |        | Cat-I              | Cat-II | Cat-III | Total       |  |  |
| 1      | Male   | 22                 | 16     | 16      | 54 (71.05%) |  |  |
| 2      | Female | 12                 | 2      | 8       | 22 (28.94%) |  |  |
| 3      | Total  | 34                 | 18     | 24      | 76          |  |  |

 TABLE-3
 Distribution of patients among smokers and non-smokers ( p value=0.4 )

| PARAMETER | SMOKING | NON-SMOKING | TOTAL |
|-----------|---------|-------------|-------|
| MALE      | 42      | 12          | 54    |
| FEMALE    | 16      | 6           | 22    |
| TOTAL     | 58      | 18          | 76    |

| S.No. Lit | Litereev   | Cat-I |        | Cat-II |        | Cat-III |        | Total |      |
|-----------|------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|---------|--------|-------|------|
|           | Literacy   | Male  | Female | Male   | Female | Male    | Female | No    | %    |
| 1         | Illiterate | 12    | 6      | 8      | 2      | 6       | 7      | 41    | 53.9 |
| 2         | Primary    | 5     | 5      | 6      | -      | 7       | 1      | 24    | 31.5 |
| 3         | Middle     | 3     | 1      | -      | -      | -       | -      | 4     | 5.26 |
| 4         | Secondary  | 2     | 0      | 2      | 0      | 3       | -      | 7     | 9.21 |
| 5         | Total      | 22    | 12     | 16     | 2      | 16      | 8      | 76    | 100  |

| TABLE -4 | Distribution of | <b>Patients Accordi</b> | ing To Literacy |
|----------|-----------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
|          |                 |                         |                 |

## **TABLE -5** Distribution Of Patients According To Annual Income

| S.No. | Annual Income | Number of | Patients | Total   | Total |       |  |
|-------|---------------|-----------|----------|---------|-------|-------|--|
|       |               | Cat-I     | Cat-II   | Cat-III | No    | %     |  |
| 1     | ≤ 15000       | 15        | 5        | 12      | 32    | 42.10 |  |
| 2     | 15001-30000   | 10        | 9        | 11      | 30    | 39.47 |  |
| 3     | 30001-45000   | 5         | 1        | 1       | 7     | 9.21  |  |
| 4     | > 45000       | 4         | 3        | -       | 7     | 9.21  |  |
| 6     | Total         | 34        | 18       | 24      | 76    | 100   |  |

| TABLE -6 | Distribution O | f Patients Accor | ding To | Occupation |
|----------|----------------|------------------|---------|------------|
|          |                |                  |         |            |

| S.No. | Occupation   | Cat-I |        | Cat-II |        | Cat-III |        | Total | %     |
|-------|--------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|---------|--------|-------|-------|
|       |              | Male  | Female | Male   | Female | Male    | Female |       |       |
| 1     | Farmer       | 6     | 2      | 3      | -      | 5       | -      | 16    | 21.05 |
| 2     | Labour       | 12    | 2      | 7      | -      | 7       | 1      | 29    | 38.15 |
| 3     | House wife   | -     | 7      | -      | 2      | -       | 7      | 16    | 21.05 |
| 4     | Professional | 1     | -      | 1      | -      | 2       | -      | 4     | 5.2   |
| 5     | GovtServant  | 3     | 1      | 5      | -      | 2       | -      | 11    | 14.4  |
| 7     | Total        | 22    | 12     | 16     | 2      | 16      | 8      | 76    |       |

## **IV. Conclusion And Discussion**

71% of studied patients belongs to 20-50 years of age group i.e. the most economically productive age group and affecting the back-bone of community/country in all aspects. 75% of tuberculosis cases occur in the age group 20-49 yrs representing man and woman in their most productive years (19).

67.1% belongs to annual income group of less than Rs. Thirty thousand. Tuberculosis thrives in conditions of poverty and can worsen poverty by affecting the productive age group and initiating vicious cycle. "While TB is not exclusively a disease of the poor, the association between poverty and TB is well established and wide spread"(20). A person with TB loses on average 20 to 30 percent of annual household income due to Illness (21).

71% of the cases were male and 29% were female. Case notification rates for TB in SAARC region show higher rates for males with approximately 2:1 male to female ratio(22). 54 % patients were illiterate whereas 46 % were literate and majority of them had education upto primary level. literates were more aware about preventive measures of TB as compared to the illiterates. Majority of males were labour and farmer by occupation. Improving economic status and reducing poverty are long term goals, education is key towards better future. More emphasis should also be paid to create awareness about tuberculosis in society and community. This would enhance the strength of the campaign against tuberculosis.

#### References

- Ismael Kassim, Ray CG (2004).Sherris Medical Microbiology (4th edition).McGraw Hill. [1].
- "Tuberculosis Fact sheet N°104". World Health Organization. November 2010. Retrieved 26 July 2011. [2].
- [3]. "Tuberculosis". World Health Organization. 2002.
- [4]. World Health Organization (2009). "Epidemiology" (PDF). Global tuber"Improved data reveals higher global burden of tuberculosis". who.int. 22 October 2014. Retrieved 23 October 2014.tuberculosis control: epidemiology, strategy, financing. pp. 6-33. ISBN 978-92-4-156380-2
- [5].
- "Improved data reveals higher global burden of tuberculosis". who.int. 22 October 2014. Retrieved 23 October 2014. GBD 2013 Mortality and Causes of Death, Collaborators (17 December 2014)."Global, regional, and national age-sex specific all-[6]. cause and cause-specific mortality for 240 causes of death, 1990-2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013". Lancet 385 (9963): 117-171.doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61682-2. PMC 4340604. PMID 25530442 e
- World Health Organization (2011). "The sixteenth global report on tuberculosis" [7].
- Managing the RNTCP in your area Modules 1 to 4, April 2005. Central TB Division, Directorate General of Health Services, [8]. Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi, 2005
- Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Bangladesh, 2008 [9].
- [10]. Diwan V.K. and Thomson A., Sex, gender and tuberculosis, Lancet, 1999; 353(9157): 1000-1001.
- Nair D.M., George A., Chacko K. T. Tuberculosis in Bombay: new insights from poor urban patients. Health Policy and Planning, [11]. 1997; 12 (1): 77-85
- McKay H.A., Editorial. Tuberculosis and the povertydisease cycle. Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine 1999; 92(3). [12].
- Rajeswari R., Balasubramanian., Muniyandi M, Geetharamani S, Thresa X, and Venkatesan P., Socioeconomic impact of [13]. tuberculosis on patients and family in India. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis, 1999; 3(10): 869-877

- [14]. Diamond I., Matthews Z., Stephenson R., Assessing the health of the poor: towards a pro-poor measurement strategy. Health Systems Resource Centre, Department for International Development, London, 2001.
- [15]. World Health Organization. The stop TB Initiative. Country Profiles. WHO/CDS/ STB / 2000.3. 2000.
- World Health Organization. Guidelines for tuberculosis treatment in adults and children in national tuberculosis programmes. WHO/TB/ 161. Geneva, 1991. [16].
- [17]. World Health Organization. Tuberculosis. WHO/TB/98.253, 1998.
- World Health Organization Addressing Poverty in TB Control : HTM/TB/2005 .12-20 World Health Organization ,Tuberculosis control & medical Schools : 1998/236/9 [18].
- [19].
- World Health Organization Addressing Poverty in TB Control : TB/2005 .35 [20].
- [21]. World Health Organization Department of Gender and Women's Health, Gender and Tuberculosis, Geneva, Switzerland, January 2002.
- [22]. SAARC CANADA Regional tuberculosis and HIV/AIDS Project. Gender Differences among Tuberculosis Patients in National TB Control Programmes within SAARC Countries. 2001. 2-13.