Somatometric Measurement of the Meitei Population of Manipur Valley.

Dr. Th. Naranbabu Singh¹, Dr A Jaishree Devi² Dr. Y. Ibochouba Singh³, Dr. M. Matum Singh⁴, Dr. R K Ajita⁵, and Dr. Kaini Pfoze⁶

^{1,3,4}Professor ^{2,5} Associate Professor, Dept of Anatomy, Regional Institute of Medical Sciences, ⁶ Assistant Professor, Dept of Anatomy, JNIMS. Imphal Manipur.

Abstract: Somatometric measurements on 600 males and 400 females in the age group 18 yrs to 25 yrs of Meitei population of Manipur valley only had been grouped into the range variation of certain measurements and indices used by Saller, Martin, Lebzelter and others. The present findings on these "stock" on high prevalence rates after being grouped into the given "range variation" of the sexes were: - (i) From the measurements – lower medium stature, medium head length and breath, medium breath of bizygomatic arch, low facial height for males and medium facial height for females; and above medium nasal breadth for males. (ii) From indices – (a) cephalic index showed Brachycephalic – tendency to Mesocephalic in males, but Hyperbrachycephalic in females (b) Mesoprosopic, Euryen (c) very narrow Jugo-mandibular index, Mesorhinae (d) narrow chest girth-stature index in males whereas medium chest in females (e) Robusticity - tendency to very weak in case of males and good in case of females. As cephalic index showed varied range, it could not represent the mongoloid feature of Meiteis.

Key word: Meitei, Manipur, somatometric, measurement, indices and mongoloid.

I. Introduction:

The Meiteis having a population of about 14 lakhs are inhabitant of Manipur valley, containing an area of 2 258 sq km and lies between 23.47° and 25.41° North latitude, and 93.6° and 94.48° East longitudes. The height of the valley is about 750 m above the sea level. The Meiteis are mostly Hindus and belong to Tibeto-Burman stock. Among Meiteis cross – cousin marriage is not practiced and clan exogamy is strictly observed. The Mongolian feature is predominant in the Meiteis population. About the feature of Meiteis, Brown R⁽¹⁾ wrote". Although in general, facial characteristics of the Manipuris are of Mongolian type, there is a great diversity of features among them, some of them showing a regularity approaching Aryan type. Among both men and women the stature is vary various differing about as much as is found among Europeans".

Dun $EW^{(2)}$ described as "There can be no reasonable doubt that a great Aryan wave of very pure blood passed through Manipur into Burma in pre-historic times. I see traces of this in the finely cut features seen now and then among the Manipuris". Sir James Johnstone⁽³⁾ gave his view, as "The Manipuris themselves are a time stalwart race descended from an Indo-Chinese stock with some admixture of Aryan blood, derived from the successive waves of Aryan invaders that have passed through the valley in prehistoric days". The present day Meitei population appears to be formed out the mingling of several waves of immigrants in the fertile valley in the remote post. At different periods, the Nagas the kukis, Shan, the Chinese had settled in this land and merged themselves into the Manipuri (Meiteis) community. Roy J⁽⁴⁾ described about the presence of the Dravidian features are also found in them.

Studies in physical anthropology of the Meiteis have not been taken up adequately. Anthropometrics measurements recorded by British Administrators like Hudson TC $^{(5)}$ are found to be meager. Due to custom and less population dry skull/skeleton measurements are not possible a significant data of anthropometrics measurements of Meiteis population. Because of this, the present study has been taken up.

II. Materials and methods:

This cross sectional study on the anthropometrics measurements of the Meiteis were studied in 600 men and 400 women, aged 18 to 25 years attending higher secondary schools and colleges in the Manipur valley and inhibited in the valley district of Manipur. Consent from the individuals and permission from the Institutional Ethical Committee, RIMS, Imphal were taken for this study. During the selection of the individuals, other parameters like nutritional status, illness during childhood, siblings and relevant prenatal history were also considered. The methods of measurements were followed as given in Anthropometry by Singh I P and Bhasin M K.⁽⁶⁾

Inclusion criteria: male and female of 18 to 25 years of age, inhibited in the valley of Manipur without family history of inter racial marriage.

Exclusion criteria: male and female with congenital or acquired external deformities and with family history of inter racial marriage or migrated from other state / country

Th	e measurements and instruments used were the followings;	-
1.	Body weight (BW)	weighing machine
2.	Chest girth(CG)	measuring tap
3.	Height vertex (vertex to floor)(HV)	anthropometrics rod
4.	Height tragus (tragion to floor)	- do -
5.	Head height (HH)	- do -
6.	Total head height (vertex to gnathion)	spreading caliper
7.	Maximum head length(MHL)	- do –
8.	Maximum head breadth(MHB)	- do –
9.	Bigonial breath (angle of mandible)(BB)	- do –
10.	Breath of Bizygomatic arch (BBA)	- do –
11.	Morphological facial height (MFH)	sliding caliper
	(between nasion and gnathion)	
12.	Morphological upper facial height (MUFH)	-do
	(between nasion and prosthion)	
13.	Physiognomic upper facial height(PUFH)	- do -
	(between nasion and stomion)	
14.	Height of lower face (HLF)	-do -
	(between stomion and gnathion)	
15.	Physiognomic facial height (PFH)	- do -
	(between trichion and gnathion)	
16.	Nasal height (nasion to sub-nasal)(NH)	- do –
17.	Nasal breadth (alar to alar)(NB)	- do –

From the above measurements the following indices were recorded.

	Indeces			
1	Chest girth – stature index (CGSI) = $\frac{\text{chest girth X 100}}{\text{chest girth X 100}}$			
	height- vertex			
2.	Cephalic index (CI) = $\underline{\text{maximum head breadth X 100}}$			
	maximum head length			
3.	Nasal index (NI) = <u>nasal breadth X 100</u>			
	nasal height			
4.	$Jugo - mandibular index(JMI) = \underline{bigonial breadth X 100}$			
_	breadth of Bizygomatic arch			
5	Morphological facial index(MFI) = $\frac{\text{morphological facial height X 100}}{\text{morphological facial height X 100}}$			
	breadth of Bizygomatic arch			
< M				
6. Morphological upper facial index (MUFI)= $\frac{\text{morphological upper facial height X 100}}{100}$				
	breadth of Bizygomatic arch			
7	Length Height index of head (LIHII) _ head height V 100			
1.	Length- Height index of head $(LHIH) = \frac{\text{lead height X 100}}{meaning the set has the $			
0	maximum nead length			
8.	Breadth – Height index of head(BHIH) = <u>head height X 100</u>			
	maximum head breadth			
0	P obusticity index (PI) = height vertex = (chest girth \pm weight)			
7.	(1) = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 =			

The statical analysis - ranges of all the measurements and indices were calculated using "t" test.

III. Observations:

The findings of the various measurements were the followings and the findings of the study were statistically analysed using "t" test.

Measurements	Male		Female	
	Variation	Range	Variation	Range
		In cm		In cm
Body weight (BW)		40-65.3kg		40-63kg
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		P<0.001		P<0.001
Chest girth		72-95 5		74-89 cm
(CG)		P<0.001		P<0.001
Height vertex or		150-174 3	I	138-165.8
stature	Very short (130-149.9)	Nil	Very short(121-139.9)	1 3%
(HV)	Short $(150 - 159 9)$	25.4%	Short $(140-148, 9)$	20%
(11)	I_{ower} medium (160, 163, 0)	23.470	I_{ower} medium (140, 152, 0)	40%
	Medium $(164, 166, 0)$	21.3%	Medium $(153, 155, 0)$	18 8%
	Upper medium $(167, 160, 0)$	12 0%	Upper medium (156, 158, 0)	8 80%
	T_{2} (170 170 0)	7 1%	Tall $(150, 167, 0)$	11 3%
	1 dil (170-179.9)	P<0.001	Tan (159-167.9)	P<0.001
Hoad height(HH)		11.6.16.5		11 7 15 2
Head height(HH)		D<0.001		D<0.001
Mariana haad		F<0.001		F<0.001
Maximum nead	$\mathbf{V}_{\text{const}} = \mathbf{h}_{\text{const}} \left(-\frac{1}{2} 0 \right)$	15.7-20.5	\mathbf{V}_{2} and \mathbf{v}_{1} (V 1(1))	10-18./
length (MHL) use by	very short $(x-16.9)$	1.5%	very short $(X-10.1)$	1.3%
Lebzelter and Saller	Short (17.0-17.7)	14.6%	Short (16.2-16.9)	16.3%
	Medium (17.8-18.5)	46.3%	Medium (17.0-17.6)	46.3%
	Long (18.6-19.3)	31.3%	Long (17.7-18.4)	32.5%
	Very long (19.4-X)	6./%	Very long (18.5-X)	3.8%
		P< 0.001	<u> </u>	P <0.001
Maximum head		13.7 – 16.5		13.7 – 16
breadth(MHB)use by	Very narrow (X-13.9)	0.8%	Very narrow (X-12.0)	Nil
Lebzelter and Saller	Narrow (14.0-14.7)	15.4%	Narrow (12.1-12.7)	1.3%
	Medium (14.8-15.5)	48.8%	Medium (12.8-13.5)	60%
	Broad (15.6-16.3)	32.5%	Broad (13.6-14.2)	36.3%
	Very broad (16.4-X)	2.5%	Very broad (14.3-X)	2.5%
		P<0.001		P<0.001
Breadth of		12.3-15.3		11-14.4
Bizygomatic	Very narrow (X-12.7)	1.7%	Very narrow (X-12.0)	1.3%
arch(BBA) use by	Narrow (12.8-13.5)	32.5%	Narrow (12.1-12.7)	3.85
Lebzelter and Saller	Medium (13.6-14.3)	50.8%	Medium (12.8-13.5)	61.3%
	Broad (14.4-15.1)	14.2%	Broad (13.6-14.2)	32.3%
	Very broad (15.2-X)	0.8%	Very broad (14.3-X)	1.3%
		P<0.001		P<0.001
Bigonial breadth(BB)		7.2-10.8		7-89
g()		P<0.001		P<0.001
Morphological facial		96-137		93-131
height (MFH) use by	Very low $(X_{-}11 1)$	18.8%	Very low $(X_{-}10.2)$	11.3%
Lebzelter and Saller	L_{OW} (11.2-11.7)	30.2%	$I_{\rm OW}$ (10.3-10.7)	21.3%
Leozener and Saner	Medium $(11.8-12.3)$	29.6%	Medium (10.8-10.7)	51.3%
	High $(12.4, 12.9)$	0.6%	High $(11.4, 11.0)$	15.0%
	V_{ary} high (12.4-12.9)	2.0%	$\frac{11}{11} \frac{11}{11} 11$	1 3%
	very high (15.0-A)	2.9% D<0.001	very high (12.0-X)	1.5% D<0.001
		r<0.001		r<0.001
Morphological upper	T	5170	+	571
facial height(MUEU)	1	D<0.001		D<0.001
Inclai height (NUFH)		P<0.001		P<0.001
Height of lower		5.8-5.9 D -0.001		5./-5.1 D.:0.001
Tace(HLF)		P<0.001		P<0.001
Physiognomic facial		15.9-20.5		15.5-18.9
height(PFH)		p>0.05		p>0.05
Physiognomic upper		6.1-8.7		5.8-7.7
facial height (PUFH)		p>0.05		p>0.05
Nasal height		4.2-6.3	1	3.8-5.5
(NH)		P<0.001		P<0.001
Nasal breadth	In cm	32-45		30-39
(NB)use by	Short (X-2.4)	Nil	Short (X-2.4)	Nil
Lebzelter and Saller	Below medium $(2, 5-2, 9)$	Nil	Below medium $(2, 5-2, 9)$	Nil
_selencer and burlet	Medium $(3.0-3.4)$	10.4%	Medium $(3.0-3.4)$	53.8%
	Above medium $(3.5-3.9)$	76.3%	Above medium $(3.5-3.9)$	46.3%
	Large $(4.0-X)$	13.3%	Large (4 0-X)	Nil
	Lunge (7.0 /1)	P<0.001	Lugo (7.0 21)	P<0.001
		1 (0.001		1 (0.001

IV. Findings Of The Measurements Table.1

From the findings of measurements (Table1) the following observations were revealed:

In male: BW- 40 to 65kg, CG- 75 to 93cm, HV- short to medium, head height 11.6 to 16.5cm, MHL – medium to long, MHB - medium to broad, BZA - narrow to medium, BB – 7.2 to 10.8cm, MUFH - 5.1 to 7.9cm, HLF - 3.8 to 5.9cm, PFH - 15.9 to 20.5 cm, PUFH - 6.1 to 8.7cm, NH - 4.2 to 6.3cm, and NB - medium. In female:- BW - 40 to 63kg, CG - 75 to 84cm, HV - short to medium, HH - 11.7 to 15.2cm, MHL - medium to long, MHB - medium to broad, BBA - medium to broad, BB - 7 to 8.9cm, MFH - medium, MUFH - 5 to 7.1cm, HLF - 3.7 to 5.1cm, PFH- 15.5 to 18.9cm, PUFH - 5.8 to 7.7cm, NH - 3.8 to 5.5cm and NB - medium to above medium.

.....

From the above measurements the followings indices were deducted.

Indices

	Table 2.						
$ \begin{array}{c c} Ches grift-Stature Index \\ (CGSI) \\ (C$	Indices	Variation	Male	Female			
$\begin{array}{cccccc} (\text{CSD}) & \text{Medium chest} ($10-$59) & \text{S}.4\% & \text{6}\% & \text{5}\% & \text{Fed.001} & \text{Ped.001} & Ped.$	Chest girth -Stature Index	Narrow chest (X—509)	60.8%	22.5%			
Broad chest $(50 - X)$ 3.8% P<0.001 5% P<0.001 Cephalic Index (CI) (Martin & Saller) Male Female 1 Dictocephalic (71-75.9) 2.5% 1.3% Dictocephalic (72-76.9) 2.5% 1.3% Brachycephalic (81-85.4) (82.86.4) 43.8% 43.8% Hyperbrachycephalic (81-85.4) (82.86.4) 43.8% 43.8% Ulta-brachycephalic (91-X) (92-X) 4.2% 2.5% Nasal index (N) (91-X) (92-X) 4.2% 2.5% Mesorthinae 70-84.9 same range nil nil nil Lago-Mandibular index(MI) (Lago-Mandibular index(MI) P<0.001	(CGSI)	Medium chest (510-559)	35.4%	65%			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	- /	Broad chest (560—X)					
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			3.8%	.5%			
			P<0.001	P<0.001			
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Cephalic Index (CI)						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	(Martin & Saller)	Male Female					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Dolichocenhalic	(71-75.9) $(72-76.9)$	2.5%	1 3%			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Mesocenhalic	(76-80.9) $((77-81.9)$	26.3%	16.3%			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Brachycenhalic	(81 - 854) $(82 - 864)$	43.8%	43.8%			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Hyperbrachycenhalic	(855-909) $(865-919)$	23.3%	36.3%			
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Illtra-brachycephalic	(91-X) $(92-X)$	4 2%	2 5%			
Nasal index (NI) (Martin & Saller) X=54.9 same range nil nil nil Leptorhinae 55-69.9 29.6% 38.8% 56.9% 38.8% 56.9% 56.9% 56.9% 56.9% 56.9% 56.9% 56.9% 56.9% 56.9% 56.9% 56.9% 56.9% 56.9% 56.9% 56.9% 56.9% 56.9% 56.9% 56.9% 56.9% 56.9% 56.9% 56.9% 56.9% 56.9% 56.9% 56.9% 56.9% 56.9% 56.9% 56.9% 56.9% 56.9% 56.9% 56.9% 56.9% 56.9% 70.9% 70.7% 70.76.9 13.3% 13.3% 13.3% 13.3% 13.3% 13.3% 13.3% 13.3% 13.3% 13.3% 13.3% 13.3% 13.3% 13.3% 13.3% 13.3% 13.3% 13.3% 13.3% 13.3% 13.3% 13.3% 13.3% 13.3% 13.3% 13.3% 13.3% 13.3% 13.3% 13.3% 13.3% 13.3% 13.3% 13.3% <td>onda oracinycophane</td> <td></td> <td>P<0.001</td> <td>P<0.001</td>	onda oracinycophane		P<0.001	P<0.001			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Nasal index (NI)		1 <0.001	1 <0.001			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	(Martin & Saller)						
	Hyper-leptorbinge	X_54.9 same range	nil	nil			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Leptorbinae	55_60 0	29.6%	38.8%			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Mesorhinae	70-84.9	67.1%	51.3%			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Chamaerhinae	85 00 0	3 30%	10%			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Hyper-chamaerbinae	85—99.9 100—X	nil	nil			
Iugo-Mandibular index(JMI) (Lund Borg-Linders & Saller Very narrow X - 69.9 X - 67.9 98.8% 98.8% Narrow 70 - 74.9 68 - 72.9 1.3% 1.3% Medium broad 70 - 74.9 68 - 72.9 1.3% 1.3% Very broad 70 - 74.9 68 - 72.9 1.3% Nil Morphological facial index (MFI) Nil Nil Nil (Martin & Saller) X - 78.9 X - 76.9 15.8% 15% Hyper-euryprosopic 79-83.9 77-80.9 28% 30% Euryprosopic 84-87.9 81-84.9 30.8% 36% Hyper-euryprosopic 93-X 90-X 5.4% 3.8% Hyperprosopic 93-X 90-X 5.4% 3.8% Hyperpryreyre X-42.9 same 10% 27.5% Euryper X-42.9 same 10% 27.5% Euryper X-42.9 same 10% 2.5% Hyperpreprepreprepreprepreprepreprepreprep	Tryper-enamaerminae	100—A	P<0.001	IIII P∠0.001			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Jugo Mandibular index(IMI)		1 <0.001	1 <0.001			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	(Lund Borg – Linders & Saller						
Very ballow $X + 0.7.9$ (Martin X $X + 0.7.9$ (Martin X $26.3n$ (Martin X $26.3n$ 	Very parrow	X 60.0 X 67.0	08 8%	08 8%			
Mail W $10^{-14.9}$ $08^{-12.9}$ 1.5% 1.5% 1.5% Wery broad Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil Morphological facial index P<0.001	Nerrow	A = 07.9 $A = 07.9$	1 20/	1 20/			
Mercinin Dradi Nil Nil Nil Nil Very broad Nil $P<0.001$ $P<0.001$ $P<0.001$ Morphological facial index (MFI) $X-78.9$ $X-76.9$ 15.8% 15% (Martin & Saller) $X-78.9$ $X-76.9$ 28% 30% Hyper-euryprosopic $79\cdot83.9$ $77\cdot80.9$ 28% 30% Leptoprosopic $84\cdot87.9$ $81\cdot84.9$ 30.8% 36% Leptoprosopic $84\cdot92.9$ $85\cdot89.9$ 20% 15% Leptoprosopic $93\cdot X$ $90\cdot X$ $P<0.001$ $P<0.001$ Morphological upper facial index (MUFI) $P<0.001$ $P<0.001$ $P<0.001$ (Martin & Saller) $43\cdot47.9$ range 43.8% 50% Hypertoryer $X-42.9$ same 10% 27.5% Letten $53\cdot56.9$ range 8.3% 20% Mesen $45\cdot2.9$ range 8.3% 20% Lepten $53-56.9$ 8.3% 2.5% $15.\%$ Hyperlepten $75\cdotX$ <td< td=""><td>Madium broad</td><td>10-14.9 08-12.9</td><td>1.570 NGI</td><td>1.3% Nil</td></td<>	Madium broad	10-14.9 08-12.9	1.570 NGI	1.3% Nil			
Very bload Nil Nil Nil Morphological facial index (MFI) (Martin & Saller) X-78.9 X-76.9 15.8% 15% Hyper-euryprosopic 79-83.9 77-80.9 28% 30% Euryprosopic 84-87.9 81-84.9 30.8% 36% Mesoprosopic 88-92.9 20% 15% 15% Leptoprosopic 93-X 90-X 5.4% 3.8% Hyperreuryer 93-X 90-X 5.4% 3.8% Hyperprosopic 93-X 90-X 5.4% 3.8% Hyperprosopic 93-X 90-X 5.4% 3.8% Hyperprosopic 34-47.9 range 43.8% 50% Euryer 43-47.9 range 43.8% 20% Lepten 53-56.9 36.3% 2.5% Hyperlepten 57-X 1.7% Nil Chamaceophalic 58 – 62.9 range 0.4% Nil Orthocephalic 58 – 62.9 range 0.4% Nil Orthocephalic 63 - X 90.6% 100% 0	Very broad		NII	NII NII			
Morphological facial index (MFI) Norphological facial index (Mesoprosopic Norphological facial index (MUFI) Norphological facial index (MUFI) Norphological upper facial index (Murtin & Saller) Norphological upper facial index (MUFI) Norphological upper facial index (Murtin & Saller) Norphological upper facial index (MUFI) Norphological (Martin & Saller) Norphological upper facial index (MUFI) Norphological (Martin & Saller) Norphological upper facial index (Murtin & Saller) Norphological upper facial index (Martin & Saller) Norphological upper	very broad		NII P<0.001	INII P∠0.001			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Morphological facial index		1 <0.001	1 <0.001			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	(MEI)						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(Martin & Saller)	X 78 0 X 76 0	15 8%	15%			
Intpercent phosophe 1760.33 17760.33 25% 30.3% Buryprosopic $84.45.9$ $81.84.9$ 30.8% 36% Mesoprosopic $93.X$ $90.X$ 5.4% 3.8% Hyperprosopic $93.X$ $90.X$ 5.4% 3.8% Hyperprosopic $93.X$ $90.X$ 5.4% 3.8% Morphological upper facial index (MUFI) $$	(Martin & Saner) Hyper europrosonic	70 83 0 77 80 0	28%	30%			
Lingptospic $64^{-3}7.9$ $51^{-6}9.9$ 50.3% 50.3% 50.3% 50.3% 50.3% 50.3% 50.3% 50.3% 50.3% 50.3% 50.3% 50.3% 50.3% 50.3% 50.3% 50.3% 50.3% 50.3% 50.3% 50.3% 50.3% 50.3% 50.3% 50.3% 50.3% 50.3% 50.3% 50.3% 50.3% 50.3% 50.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% 70.3% <td< td=""><td>Europrosopio</td><td>24 27 0 21 24 0</td><td>20.8%</td><td>30%</td></td<>	Europrosopio	24 27 0 21 24 0	20.8%	30%			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Masoprosopie	84-07.9 82.02.0 85.20.0	2004	15%			
Leppinosopic 33.4 30.4 $3.4.6$ $3.4.6$ HyperprosopicP<0.001	Lentoprosopic	03 Y 00 Y	2076 5 496	3 80%			
HyperprospicFC0.001FC0.001Morphological upper facial index (MUFI) (Martin & Saller)X-42.9same10%27.5%Hypereuryer $43.47.9$ range 43.8% 50%Euryer $43.47.9$ range 36.3% 2.0%Lepten $53.56.9$ 8.3% 2.5%Hyperlepten $57.X$ 1.7% NilMartin & Saller)X-57.9sameNil(Martin & Saller)X-57.9sameNilChamacephalic $58 - 62.9$ range 0.4% NilOrthocephalic $58 - 62.9$ range 0.4% NilMypsicephalic $58 - 62.9$ range 0.4% NilOrthocephalic $58 - 62.9$ range 0.4% NilOrthocephalic $79.84.9$ same range 10% NilMatriocephalic $79-84.9$ $85.X$ 81.7% 90% Aerocephalic $85.X$ 81.7% 90%	Hyperprosopie	93-A 90-A	J.470 B<0.001	D<0.001			
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Typerprosopic		1<0.001	1 < 0.001			
Monipolicital upper lactal index (MOLL) X-42.9 same 10% 27.5% Hypereuryer 43.47.9 range 43.8% 50% Mesen 48-52.9 36.3% 20% Lepten 53-56.9 8.3% 2.5% Hyperlepten 57-X 1.7% Nil P<0.001	Morphological upper facial index (MUEI)						
Hypereuryer X-42.9 same 10% 27.5% Euryer 43.47.9 range 43.8% 50% Mesen 48-52.9 36.3% 20% Lepten 53-56.9 8.3% 2.5% Hyperlepten 57-X 1.7% Nil P<0.001	(Martin & Saller)						
In ypercuryer $43.47.9$ range 43.8% 50% Euryer $43.47.9$ range 43.8% 50% Mesen $48.52.9$ 36.3% 20% Lepten $53.56.9$ 8.3% 2.5% Hyperlepten $57.X$ 1.7% NilLength height index of head $7.57.9$ same $7.\%$ (LHIH) $X-57.9$ sameNilNil(Martin & Saller) $X-57.9$ range 0.4% NilOrthocephalic $58-62.9$ range 0.4% NilOrthocephalic $58-62.9$ range 0.4% NilBreadth - height index of head $X-78.9$ same range 10% Nil(Martin & Saller) $X-78.9$ same range 10% NilTapeicephalic $79-84.9$ 16.7% 10% 90% Matriocephalic $85-X$ 81.7% 90% Aerocephalic $85-X$ 81.7% 90%	Hypereurver	X_42.9 same	10%	27.5%			
Mayer43.577.7Harge43.570 50% 50% Mesen48-52.9 36.3% 20% Lepten53-56.9 8.3% 2.5% Hyperlepten $57-X$ 1.7% NilP<0.001	Furver	43_47.9 range	43.8%	50%			
Inext 7052.7 20.570 20.70 Lepten $53-56.9$ 8.3% 2.5% Hyperlepten $7-X$ 1.7% NilP<0.001	Mesen	48-52 9	36.3%	20%			
Lepth 3550.9 63.7% 2.5% Hyperlepten $7-X$ 1.7% NilLength height index of head $P<0.001$ $P<0.001$ (LHIH)X- 57.9sameNil(Martin & Saller)X- 57.9same0.4%Orthocephalic $58 - 62.9$ range 0.4% Orthocephalic $63 - X$ 99.6% 100% Hypsicephalic $Breadth - height index of headP<0.001P<0.001Breadth - height index of headX-78.9same range10\%Nil(Martin & Saller)X-78.9same range10\%NilTapeicephalic79-84.916.7\%10\%NilMatriocephalic85-X81.7\%90\%90\%AerocephalicP<0.001P<0.001P<0.001$	Lenten	53-56.9	8 3%	2.5%			
Hypercepter 37 A 117% 147% Length height index of head (LHIH) (Martin & Saller)X- 57.9 sameNilNil(Martin & Saller)X- 57.9 same0.4%NilOrthocephalic58 - 62.9 range0.4%NilOrthocephalic63 - X99.6%100%HypsicephalicP<0.001	Hyperlepten	57-X	1.7%	Nil			
Length height index of head (LHIH) (Martin & Saller)X- 57.9 S8 = 62.9 rangeNilNilChamaecephalic Orthocephalic58 - 62.9 63 - Xrange0.4%NilOrthocephalic Hypsicephalic63 - X99.6%100%Breadth - height index of head (BHIH) (Martin & Saller)X-78.9 79-84.9same range10%NilMatriocephalic Aerocephalic79-84.916.7%10%NilAerocephalic85-X81.7%90%P<0.001	Hypericpich	57-X	P<0.001	P<0.001			
Integration of indexX-57.9 SamesameNilNil(LHH) (Martin & Saller)58 - 62.9 Samerange0.4%NilOrthocephalic63 - X99.6%100%Hypsicephalic63 - X99.6%100%Breadth - height index of head (BHHH) (Martin & Saller)X-78.9 79-84.9same range10%Nil79-84.916.7%10%Matriocephalic85-X81.7%90%P<0.001	Length height index of head		1 (0.001	1 (0.001			
$\begin{array}{c c} (Martin \& Saller) & X-57.9 & same \\ (Martin \& Saller) & 58-62.9 & range \\ Orthocephalic & 63-X & 99.6\% & 100\% \\ Hypsicephalic & P<0.001 & P<0.001 \\ \hline \\ Breadth - height index of head \\ (BHIH) \\ (Martin \& Saller) & X-78.9 & same range \\ Tapeicephalic & 79-84.9 & 16.7\% & 10\% \\ Matriocephalic & 85-X & 81.7\% & 90\% \\ Aerocephalic & P<0.001 & P<0.001 \\ \hline \\ \end{array}$	(LHIH)						
Chamacephalic $18 - 62.9$ range 0.4% NilOrthocephalic $63 - X$ 99.6% 100% Hypsicephalic $P<0.001$ $P<0.001$ Breadth - height index of head (BHIH) $X-78.9$ same range 10% (Martin & Saller) $X-78.9$ same range 10% NilTapeicephalic $79-84.9$ 16.7% 10% Matriocephalic $85-X$ 81.7% 90% P<0.001	(Martin & Saller)	X- 57.9 same	Nil	Nil			
Orthocephalic63 - X99.6%100%Hypsicephalic63 - X99.6%100%Breadth - height index of head (BHIH)Readth - height index of head (BHIH)NilP<0.001	Chamaecephalic	58 - 62.9 range	0.4%	Nil			
HypsicephalicP<0.001P<0.001Breadth - height index of head (BHIH) (Martin & Saller)X-78.9 same range10%NilTapeicephalic Matriocephalic79-84.916.7%10%Aerocephalic Aerocephalic85-X81.7%90%P<0.001	Orthocephalic	63 - X	99.6%	100%			
Breadth - height index of head (BHIH) (Martin & Saller)X-78.9 79-84.9same range10%NilTapeicephalic Matriocephalic79-84.916.7%10%Aerocephalic P<0.001	Hypsicephalic		P<0.001	P<0.001			
(BHIH) (Martin & Saller)X-78.9 same range10%NilTapeicephalic79-84.916.7%10%Matriocephalic85-X81.7%90%AerocephalicP<0.001	Breadth - height index of head						
(Martin & Saller)X-78.9 rapeicephalicsame range10%NilTapeicephalic79-84.916.7%10%Matriocephalic85-X81.7%90%AerocephalicP<0.001	(BHIH)						
Tapeicephalic79-84.916.7%10%Matriocephalic85-X81.7%90%P<0.001	(Martin & Saller)	X-78.9 same range	10%	Nil			
Matriocephalic85-X81.7%90%AerocephalicP<0.001	Tapeicephalic	79-84.9	16.7%	10%			
Aerocephalic P<0.001 P<0.001	Matriocephalic	85-X	81.7%	90%			
	Aerocephalic		P<0.001	P<0.001			

Robusticity index (RI)			
(According to Tschernorutzky)			
Very strong	X-10 same range	2.8%	6.1%
Strong	11-15	3.4%	15.2%
Good	16-20	13.8%	24%
Medium	21-25	16.6%	21.2%
Weak	26-30	18.6%	18.2%
Very weak	31-35	28.3%	12.1%
Bad	36-X	16.6%	nil
		P<0.001	P<0.001

From the deducted indices (Table 2), the followings were observed:

CGSI: narrow to medium in male and medium to narrow in female.

- CI: mesocephalic to upper brachycephalic in male, brachycephalic to hyper brachycephalic in female. But, mostly brachycephalic in both sexes.
- NI: mesorhinae both in male and female.
- JMI: very narrow both in male and female.
- MFI: euryproscopic to mesoproscopic both in male and female.
- MUFI: euryer to mesen in male and euryer in female.
- LHIH: hypsicephalic both in male and female.
- BHIH: aerocephalic both in male and female.
- RI: very weak to good in male and weak to strong in female. Long range in both sexes with tendencies to very weak in male and good in female,

V. Discussion:

The result of the study has shown a great diversity of features as it had been commented by many authors i.e. Brown R⁽¹⁾, Dun EW³ & Roy J⁽⁴⁾. The result of the study by Waddell LA⁽⁷⁾ had similar findings even though the sample size was a few male only belonging to higher age group from different parts of the Eastern India of that period.

In this study, to avoid the influence of altitude the residents of the valley only has been chosen.⁽⁸⁾According to Ripley WC,⁽⁹⁾ there are different practices in different countries about the age group for the measurement of the stature. The present study has chosen the age group between 18yrs to 25 yrs and the result has not shown significant variation. Further, the resident of the different districts has also been noted and the result shows no significant variation. In both male and female, most of the measurements and indices were in the same range with wide ranges except in few of them. These variations and wide ranges may be due to evolutionary changes or due to other genetically influences.

Even though cephalic index does not express of any absolute quantity and it is higher by two units than that of the cranial index ⁽⁹⁾, it has been incorporated with other cranio-facial measurements to attempt a represent able configuration of the Meiteis population.

The measurement finding of the present study is compared with the finding of other workers whose have studied on Meitei inhabited at different part of Manipur other than valley of Manipur i.e. SinghS.J ⁽¹⁰⁾ on Meitei inhabited in Assam and Manipur, Devi K R ⁽¹¹⁾ on Meitei of Kwatha Village near Myanmar as shown in Table 3.

Measurement	ent Range in mm in both sex		
	SinghS.J	Devi K R	Present
MHL	16.8 - 19.5	16.5 - 21.5	15.7-20.5
MHB	14.0-16.2	13.0 - 17.5	13.7 -16.5
HH	11.0 - 15.1	9.8 - 16.0	11.6 -16.5
BBA	11.0 - 14.9	11.3 – 16.5	11.0 - 15.3
BB	8.8 - 12.5	8.1 - 13.5	7.0 10.8
UFH	5.6 - 7.7	5.6 - 8.0	5.0 - 7.9
TFH	10.0 - 12.9	9.9 - 12.6	9.3 - 13.7
Nasal leangth	4.0-5.7	3.8-5.5	3.8 - 6.3
Nasal breadth	3.1 - 4.3	3.1 - 4.3	3.2 - 4.5

The finding of the present study is more similar to the finding of SinghS.J⁽¹⁰⁾, but the finding of , Devi K $R^{(11)}$ on Meitei of Kwatha Village has shown comparatively higher Bizygomatic breadth and Bi gonial Breadth. This difference in facial configuration may be because of other racial influences even though all are belong to mongoloid group.

Cephalic index in %				
Туре	SinghS.J	Present		
	In both sex	Male	Female	
Dolicochephalic	3	2.5%	1.3%	
Mesocephalic	29	26.3%	16.3%	
Brachycephalic	41	43.8%	43.8%	
Hyperbrachycephalic	24	23.3%	36.3%	
Ultrabrachycephalic	2	4.2%	2.5%	
Nasal index in %				
Hyper-leptorhinae	0	nil	15%	
Leptorhinae	19	29.6%	30%	
Mesorhinae	65	67.1%	36%	
Chamaerhinae	16	3.3%	15%	
Hyper-chamaerhinae		nil	3.8%	
Morphological facial index in %				
Hyper-euryprosopic	20	15.8%	15%	
Euryprosopic	42	28%	30%	
Mesoprosopic	25	30.8%	36%	
Leptoprosopic	9	20%	15%	
Hyperprosopic	4	5.4%	3.8%	

Further, some of the indices of present study is also compared with that of SinghS.J¹⁰ on Meitei inhabited in Assam and Manipur as shown in table 4.

In cephalic index , finding of the present study is comparatively similar to the finding of SinghS.J⁽¹⁰⁾ In nasal index , finding of the present study has shown prevalence of Hyper-leptorhinae and Hyper-chamaerhinae among female which is absent in the study of SinghS.J⁽¹⁰⁾. In Morphological facial index, the finding of the present study is comparatively similar to that of SinghS.J.⁽¹⁰⁾

To avoid controversies, the result of this study is not compared with the result of other studies on other races.

VI. Conclusion

From the finding of the present study, it has been concluded that Cephalic index alone does not represent Meitei of the Manipur valley and even though it belongs to mongoloid group, its somotometric finding showed wide range of variations as it has been mentioned by the earlier authors.

Acknowledgment:

This study is indebted to all the individuals who has volunteered for the measurements and to all the medical student whose had extended help particularly Dr.Santibala Devi, Dr. Sanahanbi Devi, Dr. Satyabala Devi and Dr. Ashok Singh.

Reference:

- [1]. Brown R. Statical Account of Manipur, 1873.
- [2]. Dun E W. Gazetteer of Manipur, Calcutta. 1856,
- [3]. Hudson T C. The Meiteis, London. 1908
- [4]. Johnstone J. Manipur and Naga Hills, London. 1896.
- [5]. Dastider M G and Gupta R. Age Changes in Anthropometric Characters among the Sherpas of the Eastern Himalaya: Altitude Effect. J. India Anthrop.Soc.23: 232-41 1988.
- [6]. Ripley W C. The Races of Europe. D. Appleton and Company. New York. 1965. pp 591-96.
- [7]. Roy J. History of Manipur, Calcutta. 1958.
- [8]. Singh I P and Bhasin M K. ANTHROPOMETRY. Bharti Bhavan, Kem Publishers. Delhi. 1968
- [9]. Waddell L A. The Tribes of the Brahmaputra Valley. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal. Vol xix.part iii. 1900.pp 114-5
- [10]. Singh S J. Anthropo-Gentical Variation on the Meiteis of Manipur and Assam (unpublished thesis) 1991.
- [11]. Devi KR. The kwatha Meitei: culture and demo genetics study. <u>Indian ETD Repository @ INFLIBNET</u> ><u>Manipur University</u> > <u>Department of Anthropology</u> linked on 5th Oct, 2015