# A Study on Quality of Work Life among Employees in It Sector

# M. Kavitha, Anupreeti.T& Janani Prabha.S

I B.com CA *M.Com*, *MBA*, *M.phil*, Sri Krishna Arts and Science College Coimbatore

## **Abstract**

The success of any organization is highly dependent on how it attracts, recruits, motivates and retains its workforce. Today's organizations need to be more flexible so that they are equipped to develop their workforce and enjoy their commitment. Therefore, organizations are required to adopt a strategy to improve the employees quality of work life to satisfy both the organizational objectives and employee needs. The term quality of work life refers to the favourableness' or unfavourableness of a total job environment for people. The main aim of this study is to know employees balance their life and to identify health determinants in working life among employees. For this purpose quality of work life is measured by taking into account of employees' Health and safety, Work Environment, Job satisfaction, Motivation, Job Designing and Term Effectiveness. The sample size for this study was 50 and primary data was collected from employees' working in IT companies. From this study work environment, job analysis, satisfaction and motivation are the four major determinants of quality of work life which play the vital role for employees' better performance in the organization.

Keywords: Employees, IT sector, Organization, Quality of Work Life

### I. Introduction

Quality of Work Life is the existence of a certain set of organizational condition or practices. This definition frequently argues that a high quality of work life exists when democratic management practices are used, employee's jobs are enriched, employees are treated with dignity and safe working conditions exist. Quality of Work Life refers to the level of satisfaction, motivation, involvement and commitment individuals experience with respect to their lives at work.

Quality of Work Life is the degree to which individuals are able to satisfy their important personal needs while employed by the firm. Companies interested in enhancing employees Quality of Work Life generally try to instill in employees the feelings of security, equity, pride, internal democracy, ownership, autonomy, responsibility and flexibility.

# **OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY**

- To assess the quality of work life among employees in IT companies.
- To know the perceived link between work life balance and team effectiveness.
- To identify the importance of work environment towards the performance.

## SOME MAJOR CONCEPTUAL CATEGORIES RELATING TO OWL

- Adequate and fair compensation
- Safe and healthy working conditions
- ❖ Immediate opportunity to use and develop human capacities
- Opportunity for continued growth and security
- Social integration in the work organization
- Constitutionalism in the work organization
- ❖ Work and total life space

# PROBLEMS OF IMPLEMENTING QUALITY OF WORK LIFE PROGRAMMES

- ✓ Managerial attitudes
- ✓ Union influence
- ✓ Restrictiveness of industrial engineering

# STRATEGIES TO IMPROVE QUALITY OF WORK LIFE

By implementing some changes, the management can create sense of involvement, commitment and togetherness among the employees which paves way for better Quality of Work Life.

- > Job enrichment and Job redesign
- > Autonomous work redesign
- > Opportunity for growth
- > Administrative or organizational justice
- ➤ Job security
- Suggestion system
- > Flexibility in work schedules
- > Employee participation

| WORKLIFE BALANCE BENEFITS TO THE   | WORKLIFE BALANCE BENEFITS TO THE                                  |  |
|--|---|--|
| ORGANISATION   | INDIVIDUAL  |  |
| Measured increase in individual productivity, accountability and commitment  More value and balance in your daily life |   |  |
| Better team work and communication   | Better understanding of what your individual work life balance is |  |
| Improved morale Increased productivity and reduced stress  |   |  |
| Less negative organizational stress  | Improved relationship both on and off the job                     |  |

# II. Study Result

# **Percentage Analysis**

# TABLE: 1

| AGE                    | NO. OF RESPONDENTS | PERCENTAGE |
|------------------------|--------------------|------------|
| BELOW 25               | 24                 | 48         |
| 25-30                  | 17                 | 34         |
| 30-35                  | 9                  | 18         |
| ABOVE 35               | 0                  |            |
| TOTAL                  | 50                 |            |
| GENDER                 | NO. OF RESPONDENTS | PERCENTAGE |
| MALE                   | 25                 | 50         |
| FEMALE                 | 25                 | 50         |
| TOTAL                  | 50                 |            |
| EXPERIENCE             | NO. OF RESPONDENTS | PERCENTAGE |
| LESS THAN 3 YEARS      | 24                 | 48         |
| 3- 6 YEARS             | 17                 | 34         |
| 6-9 YEARS              | 6                  | 12         |
| 9- 12 YEARS            | 3                  | 6          |
| ABOVE 12 YEARS         | 0                  |            |
| TOTAL                  | 50                 |            |
| INCOME                 | NO. OF RESPONDENTS | PERCENTAGE |
| UPTO 2,00,000          | 11                 | 22         |
| 2,00,000- 4,00,000     | 19                 | 38         |
| 4,00,000- 6,00,000     | 11                 | 22         |
| 6,00,000- 8,00,000     | 3                  | 6          |
| 8,00,000- 10,00,000    | 4                  | 8          |
| ABOVE 10,00,000        | 2                  | 4          |
| TOTAL                  | 50                 |            |
| JOB SECURITY           | NO. OF RESPONDENTS | PERCENTAGE |
| YES                    | 42                 | 84         |
| NO                     | 8                  | 16         |
| TOTAL                  | 50                 |            |
| MOTIVATION             | NO. OF RESPONDENTS | PERCENTAGE |
| EXTREMLY MOTIVATING    | 21                 | 42         |
| FAIRLY MOTIVATING      | 21                 | 42         |
| NEITHER MOTIVATING NOR | 8                  | 16         |
| DEMOTIVATING           |                    |            |
| TOTAL                  | 50                 |            |

# **Chi- Square Analysis**

TABLE: 2

Ho: There is no significance difference between Job security and Experience.

Ha: There is a significance difference between Job security and Experience.

|            | IN YEARS | JOB SECURITY |
|------------|----------|--------------|
| Chi-square | 22.800   | 23.120       |

| Df          | 3    | 1    |
|-------------|------|------|
| Asymp. Sig. | .000 | .000 |

The asymptotic significance is less than 0.05, the difference between observed and expected frequencies is significant. Therefore the null hypothesis is rejected. Therefore there is the significance relationship between Experience and Job Security.

### TABLE: 3

Ho: There is no significance difference between Inter relationship and Age.

Ha: There is no significance difference between Inter relationship and Age.

|             | INTER RELATIONSHIP | IN YEARS |
|-------------|--------------------|----------|
| Chi-square  | 32.000             | 6.760    |
| Df          | 1                  | 2        |
| Asymp. Sig. | .000               | .034     |

The asymptotic significance is less than 0.34 which is greater than 0.05, the difference between observed and expected frequencies is insignificant. Therefore the null hypothesis is accepted. Therefore there is no significance relationship between Inter Relationship and Age.

### TABLE: 4

Ho: There is no significance difference between Motivation and Income.

Ha: There is a significance difference between Motivation and Income.

|             | MOTIVATION | IN RUPEES |
|-------------|------------|-----------|
| Chi-square  | 6.760      | 25.840    |
| Df          | 2          | 5         |
| Asymp. Sig. | .034       | .000      |

The asymptotic significance is less than 0.000 which is less than 0.05, the difference between observed and expected frequencies is significant. Therefore the null hypothesis is rejected. Therefore there is a significance relationship between Motivation and Income.

### TABLE: 5

Ho: There is no significance difference between Co-operation and Gender.

Ho: There is a significance difference between Co-operation and Gender.

|             | CO-OPERATION | GENDER |
|-------------|--------------|--------|
| Chi-square  | 20.480       | .000   |
| Df          | 1            | 1      |
| Asymp. Sig. | .000         | 1.000  |

The asymptotic significance is less than 1.000 which is less than 0.05, the difference between observed and expected frequencies is insignificant. Therefore the null hypothesis is accepted. Therefore there is no significance relationship between Co-operation and Gender.

## III. Improving Worklife Balance

IT organizations should take up the initiative of improving and enhancing the emotional intelligence of their employees. This can be done by designing and providing effective training to their employees. This will help enhance the skills of the employees with regard to "self – awareness" self – awareness forms the most critical element of emotional intelligence. High self – awareness helps an individual to monitor the actions and try to rectify it if required, self-awareness guides an individual to fine tune the job performance style and become more acceptable and socially networked. Further it also helps employees, use their emotions to facilitate performance by directing them toward Constructive activities and improving personal performance. Any person highly capable in this dimension would be able to encourage him or herself to do better continuously and direct his or her emotions in positive and productive directions. Hence emotionalIntelligence will help an employee experience better work – life balance.

IT organizations should clearly define the roles and responsibilities for each every employee so that there is a clear objective laid down by the organization as to who is accountable for what and no does extra work. By doing this IT organizations will help improve the work - life balance across management levels.

IT organizations can improve the work life balance of their employees by encouragingthe concept of job sharing.

There should be a clear boundary drawn between work and non – work activities. HumanResources and the Senior Management should take the initiative and communicate the importance of having a good balance between personal and professional life. Focus on effectiveness rather than on length of work hours. Use communication technologies and time management strategies to boost output.

## IV. Conclusion

All over the world people are craving for their human dignity and respect. Besides their aspirations and expectations are rising along with rapid changes in times and technologies. There is growing significance attached to human resources. Therefore, it is necessary to ensure quality work life for all-round peace and prosperity. Better quality of work life leads to increased employee morale. It minimizes attrition and checks labor turnover and absenteeism. There will be better communication and understanding among all employees leading to cordial relations. It enhances the brand image for the company as that, in turn, encourages entry of new talent into the organizations work environment means the milieus around a person. It is the social and professional environment in which employees' are supposed to interact with a number of people. Employees' are supposed to co-ordinate with each other in one way or the other. They may be working in a team or in dependent. It depends upon their position and status in their work place. It is not important that an office would always be called the work place. It can either be home environment where they use to work for all the time where they were supposed to interact with your family members by and by. Work environment does not only count the living world things but also the materialistic world stuff. It may count the room or home where they are working. It may counts the things that they are using in one way or the other. It is all about things and livings that are around the employees' where they are working. It finally concluded that work environment, job analysis, satisfaction and motivation are the four major which dominates all the other factors and helps the employees for their better performance in the organization.