# American Tariff Policy And Its Impact On The Indian Economy

# Mr. Dilip B. Pawar

Assistant Professor,

Shri. Venkatesh Mahavidyalaya Ichalkaranji, Tal- Hatkanagale, Dist-Kolhapur.

#### Abstract

The United States has recently shifted its trade policy, moving away from its long-standing commitment to globalization and free trade. Under this new approach, the U.S. has imposed higher tariffs on key imports such as steel, aluminium, and various technology products. These actions are driven by the goals of protecting domestic industries, reducing the trade deficit, and curbing unfair trade practices. A major consequence of this shift was the withdrawal of the Generalized System of Preferences (GSP) for several developing countries, including India. As a major trading partner of the U.S., India has felt a significant impact. The removal of GSP benefits has hurt Indian exports, particularly from small and medium-sized enterprises in sectors like textiles, gems and jewelry, agriculture, and auto components. The increased tariffs have made Indian products less competitive in the U.S. market, leading to reduced exports and a shrinking trade surplus. This protectionist move by the U.S. reflects the classic economic concept of "Beggar Thy Neighbor", introduced by Dr. Adam Smith in 1776. The idea describes policies aimed at improving one nation's economic condition at the expense of others. In this case, while the U.S. aims to secure its domestic economy through high tariffs, it indirectly induces economic hardship—or "beggarness"—in its trading partners, including friendly nations like India. The broader effects include declining investor confidence, disruptions in global supply chains, and a reassessment of India's trade strategies.

Let me know if you'd like a version in simpler or more academic language. This paper explores the key elements and motivations behind the new American tariff policy and evaluates its wide-ranging impact on the Indian economy. It also examines how India has responded, including through retaliatory tariffs, efforts to diversify export markets, domestic industrial reforms under the Atmanirbhar Bharat initiative, and the pursuit of new bilateral trade agreements. Finally, the paper offers policy recommendations aimed at strengthening India's position in the evolving global trade landscape, with a focus on enhancing competitiveness, fostering innovation, and pursuing strategic diplomacy.

**Keywords:** American Tariff Policy, Indian Economy.

Date of Submission: 21-07-2025 Date of Acceptance: 31-07-2025

#### I. Introduction

Tariffs have long been used as a key instrument in the economic policies of nations. They serve various purposes, from protecting domestic industries from foreign competition to generating government revenue and correcting trade imbalances. In some cases, tariffs are also employed to achieve broader strategic or geopolitical goals. While such measures have been commonplace throughout history, their use has been relatively restrained in the era of globalization, particularly by developed economies like the United States, which have largely promoted the ideals of free and open trade.

However, in recent years, the United States has adopted a more protectionist stance, signaling a clear shift from its traditional support for liberal trade policies. This change became especially prominent under the "America First" agenda, which emphasized the revival of domestic manufacturing, job creation within U.S. borders, and the reduction of dependence on foreign imports. The imposition of steep tariffs on imports of steel, aluminum, solar panels, washing machines, and various technology-related goods marked a new phase in U.S. trade policy. These measures were justified on grounds ranging from national security to the correction of what the U.S. deemed as unfair trade practices by other nations.

One of the major outcomes of this shift was the revocation of trade privileges extended under the Generalized System of Preferences (GSP), a program designed to promote economic growth in developing countries by allowing duty-free access for thousands of products into the U.S. market. In 2019, India—the largest beneficiary of the GSP program—was removed from this list. The decision affected nearly \\$5.6 billion worth of Indian exports, particularly in labour-intensive sectors such as textiles, gems and jewelry, leather, pharmaceuticals, and agricultural goods.

DOI: 10.9790/487X-2707093540 www.iosrjournals.org 35 | Page

India has enjoyed strong and steadily growing trade relations with the United States over the past two decades. The U.S. is currently India's largest trading partner and a key destination for its exports. Bilateral trade between the two countries has crossed \$120 billion, encompassing goods and services in a wide range of sectors. The abrupt policy changes in the U.S., especially the tariff increases and withdrawal of GSP, have had significant implications for India's economy.

Indian exporters, particularly small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), have found it challenging to maintain their market share in the U.S. due to increased tariffs. The loss of price competitiveness has led to reduced demand for Indian goods, which in turn has affected production, employment, and revenue generation in various sectors. Beyond trade, these changes have impacted investor confidence, disrupted supply chains, and forced India to reassess its trade strategy in a rapidly changing global economic environment.

This research seeks to understand the nature and scope of the new American tariff policy and analyze its specific impact on the Indian economy. By evaluating both direct and indirect consequences, as well as India's responses to these developments, the study aims to offer insights and recommendations for navigating future trade dynamics effectively.

## II. Objectives Of The Study

- 1. To analyze the key features of the new American tariff policy
- 2. To examine the impact on India's exports and trade balance
- 3. To assess sector-specific consequences on Indian industries
- 4. To explore India's strategic responses and suggest future direction

## III. Methodology

This research study is based entirely on secondary data analysis, utilizing information drawn from credible and authoritative sources. Key data has been sourced from official trade statistics published by the Ministry of Commerce and Industry, Government of India, as well as the Office of the United States Trade Representative (USTR). In addition, reports and publications from renowned international organizations such as the World Trade Organization (WTO), International Monetary Fund (IMF), and the World Bank have been extensively consulted to provide a global context and macroeconomic perspective.

To strengthen the analytical framework, academic literature and research papers from well-regarded think tanks including Brookings Institution, Carnegie India, and Observer Research Foundation (ORF) have been reviewed. These sources offer valuable insights into policy shifts, bilateral trade relations, and sector-specific implications of tariff changes. Furthermore, up-to-date information and commentary have been gathered from reliable news outlets such as The Economic Times, Financial Express, Business Standard, and Bloomberg, which help capture the real-time developments and responses from stakeholders.

## IV. Key Features Of The New U.S. Tariff Policy

## **Higher Tariffs on Strategic Imports:**

One of the central elements of the new U.S. tariff policy is the imposition of steep duties on strategic imports such as steel and aluminum. Specifically, a 25% tariff was levied on steel and a 10% tariff on aluminum, with the stated objective of revitalizing domestic manufacturing industries. The U.S. government argued that these measures were necessary to reduce dependence on foreign suppliers, ensure national security, and revive American jobs in industries that had been adversely affected by cheaper imports. This move had a direct impact on exporting countries, including India, which saw a decline in its steel and aluminum shipments to the U.S.

#### **Targeting Trade Surplus Countries:**

Another prominent feature of the policy is its focus on countries that run significant trade surpluses with the United States. India, along with China and Mexico, was targeted due to its consistently positive trade balance with the U.S. The American administration contended that such trade imbalances were a result of unfair practices and non-reciprocal market access. As a result, the U.S. adopted tariffs and other trade barriers as tools to compel these countries to negotiate more balanced trade relationships, thereby reducing its own deficit and promoting fairer terms of trade.

#### **Legal Justifications:**

The new tariff measures were introduced under specific provisions of U.S. trade law, primarily Sections 232 and 301. Section 232 allows the President to impose tariffs on imports that threaten national security, while Section 301 authorizes action against countries engaging in unfair trade practices. These legal tools provided the U.S. administration with the flexibility to impose tariffs unilaterally, without requiring approval from Congress or multilateral trade organizations like the WTO. While these justifications have been contested internationally, they remain legally valid within the U.S. framework.

#### Withdrawal of GSP for India:

A critical turning point in U.S.-India trade relations came in 2019, when the U.S. terminated India's designation under the Generalized System of Preferences (GSP). This preferential trade program had allowed India to export nearly 1,900 products to the U.S. duty-free, covering approximately \\$5.6 billion worth of exports annually. The withdrawal was justified by the U.S. on grounds that India had failed to provide equitable and reasonable access to its markets. The removal of GSP significantly affected Indian exporters, particularly small and medium enterprises (SMEs) in sectors like textiles, agriculture, leather, and handicrafts, who relied heavily on cost competitiveness in the U.S. market.

## V. Impact On The Indian Economy

#### **Exports and Trade Balance**

One of the most immediate impacts of the new American tariff policy on India has been the decline in export volumes across several key sectors. Industries such as leather, textiles, pharmaceuticals, and auto components have experienced a reduction in shipments to the United States. The increased tariffs imposed by the U.S. have made Indian goods more expensive, reducing their appeal in the American market. Small and medium-sized exporters, who form the backbone of these industries, have been disproportionately affected, resulting in lost revenue, job cuts, and declining capacity utilization in export-oriented units.

With the removal of India from the Generalized System of Preferences (GSP), Indian exports to the U.S. are now subject to import duties that range from 5% to 7% or even higher in some cases. This has eroded the price competitiveness of Indian goods, especially when compared to countries like Vietnam, Bangladesh, and Mexico, which either enjoy preferential trade terms with the U.S. or have lower production costs. As a result, Indian exporters have found it increasingly difficult to maintain their market share in the U.S., leading to a gradual shift of American importers to alternative suppliers.

The overall impact of these developments is reflected in the shrinking trade surplus that India traditionally maintained with the United States. While India continues to export a broad range of goods and services to the U.S., the rate of growth has slowed. A reduced trade surplus has raised concerns over India's current account balance, especially at a time when global demand is weakening and oil import bills remain high. This deterioration in trade performance poses challenges for macroeconomic stability and foreign exchange reserves.

#### **Sectoral Impact**

## a. Steel and Aluminum:

The imposition of a 25% tariff on steel and a 10% tariff on aluminum by the United States has had a significant impact on Indian metal exports. Major Indian producers like JSW Steel and Tata Steel, who previously relied on the U.S. as a lucrative market, have been forced to divert their shipments to other regions, often at lower prices. This shift has not only affected revenue but also disrupted long-term supply agreements and logistics arrangements. The sudden redirection to alternative markets has resulted in overcapacity in some segments and increased competition in others, eroding profit margins for Indian firms.

#### b. Pharmaceuticals:

Although Indian pharmaceutical exports were not directly targeted by tariffs, the sector has been affected indirectly through stricter U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) inspections, non-tariff barriers, and heightened quality compliance requirements. Indian drug manufacturers have had to invest more in quality control and regulatory compliance to retain their foothold in the U.S. market. This has increased operational costs and affected profit margins. Additionally, the general climate of trade tension has introduced uncertainty, discouraging expansion plans and new investment in the pharmaceutical export sector.

## c. Textiles and Apparel:

The Indian textile and garment industry, which significantly benefited from GSP duty-free access, has been hit hard by its withdrawal. Small and medium textile exporters are struggling to compete on price with countries like Bangladesh, which continues to enjoy favorable trade terms with the U.S. As a result, Indian exporters have lost market share in key apparel segments. The sector, already battling domestic issues such as rising input costs and lack of modernization, now faces the additional challenge of higher tariffs in one of its most important markets.

## d. Gems and Jewelry:

India's gems and jewelry sector, a major contributor to the country's exports, has been affected by increased scrutiny at U.S. customs and higher tariffs. The removal of duty-free access and the imposition of import duties have increased the landed cost of Indian jewelry in the U.S., making them less attractive to American

buyers. Additionally, delays in customs clearance and increased documentation requirements have further strained exporters. With competition from countries like Thailand and China intensifying, the sector faces a real risk of losing its leadership position in the U.S. market.

### e. Information Technology (IT) Services:

Although not subject to direct tariffs, India's IT sector has faced indirect impacts from changes in U.S. immigration policy. The tightening of H-1B visa rules has made it more difficult for Indian professionals to work on-site in the U.S., affecting project delivery and client servicing. Many Indian IT companies have had to hire locally in the U.S. at higher wage costs, reducing their operating margins. Furthermore, the general anti-outsourcing sentiment and data localization requirements have compelled Indian IT firms to rethink their global delivery models, which has added complexity and costs to operations.

#### **Impact on Investment and Business Sentiment**

The uncertainty created by the U.S. tariff policy has also impacted the flow of investments into India. American businesses, facing an unpredictable trade environment, have become more cautious in making long-term investment commitments. Projects that were earlier considered viable under a stable trade regime are now being re-evaluated due to the risk of tariff escalation and geopolitical friction. As a result, several U.S. companies have either delayed or scaled down their investment plans in India, particularly in export-focused sectors.

Moreover, global supply chains are undergoing a realignment in response to growing tensions between the U.S. and China. While India was initially seen as a potential alternative to China for global manufacturing, it has not fully capitalized on this opportunity. Infrastructural bottlenecks, cumbersome regulations, and lack of large-scale industrial readiness have limited India's ability to attract major investments. Additionally, the imposition of retaliatory tariffs and regulatory unpredictability have made foreign investors wary, thereby affecting India's global business sentiment.

## VI. India's Policy Response

## **Retaliatory Tariffs**

In response to the United States' withdrawal of India's Generalized System of Preferences (GSP) benefits and the imposition of tariffs on Indian steel and aluminum, India announced retaliatory tariffs on 28 American products. These included agricultural goods such as almonds, walnuts, apples, and pulses, which are significant U.S. exports to the Indian market. This move was both symbolic and strategic. By targeting products that affect American farmers—an important domestic political constituency in the U.S.—India aimed to apply pressure while simultaneously protecting its domestic agricultural sector. These retaliatory tariffs served as a diplomatic signal of discontent and demonstrated India's willingness to assert its interests in global trade negotiations.

#### **Trade Negotiations**

India has actively pursued dialogue with the United States to resolve trade tensions and restore GSP benefits. In multiple rounds of bilateral discussions, India has consistently advocated for more favorable access for its exports, particularly in critical sectors like pharmaceuticals, which are heavily regulated in the U.S. market. Indian negotiators have also emphasized the removal of non-tariff barriers that affect dairy and agricultural exports, including sanitary and phytosanitary standards and labeling regulations. These negotiations reflect India's intent to strike a balance between accommodating strategic trade interests and protecting domestic producers, all while maintaining a constructive relationship with one of its largest trading partners.

## **Strategic Trade Partnerships**

Recognizing the risks of overdependence on a single market like the U.S., India has intensified efforts to diversify its trade relationships. It is deepening economic ties with regional blocs such as the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN), the European Union (EU), and key Middle Eastern countries. Additionally, India is re-evaluating its stance on the Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP), from which it had previously withdrawn due to concerns over potential harm to domestic industries from Chinese imports. Parallelly, India is in advanced stages of negotiating Free Trade Agreements (FTAs) with the United Kingdom, Australia, and the European Union. These FTAs aim to provide Indian exporters with alternative markets and reduce vulnerability to U.S.-centric trade disruptions.

## **Domestic Reforms under Atmanirbhar Bharat**

In light of global trade uncertainties and the need for economic resilience, India has launched the Atmanirbhar Bharat (Self-Reliant India) initiative. One of the cornerstones of this policy is the Production Linked Incentive (PLI) scheme, which provides financial incentives to encourage domestic manufacturing in strategic

sectors like electronics, pharmaceuticals, textiles, and automotive components. These reforms aim not only to boost exports but also to substitute imports in critical areas such as semiconductors, APIs (Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients), and defense equipment. By promoting domestic capability and reducing reliance on imports, especially from geopolitically sensitive regions, India seeks to build a more robust and independent economic framework capable of withstanding external shocks like the U.S. tariff shifts.

## VII. Strategic Recommendations

## **Enhance Export Competitiveness**

To mitigate the impact of U.S. tariffs and remain resilient in a dynamic global trade environment, India must significantly enhance the competitiveness of its exports. This involves investment in product quality certification systems that meet international standards, particularly in sectors like pharmaceuticals, textiles, and food products. Strengthening research and development (R\&D) can foster innovation, reduce production costs, and create cutting-edge products that can command a premium in global markets. Additionally, improvements in logistics and port infrastructure are crucial to ensuring faster, cost-efficient export operations. A comprehensive "Brand India" campaign, highlighting the reliability and quality of Indian products, would help build a stronger identity for Indian goods in global markets, especially in high-potential sectors.

#### **Diversify Export Markets**

Over-reliance on a few major economies—especially the United States and China—makes India vulnerable to abrupt policy shifts, as evidenced by the recent American tariff reforms. To de-risk its trade portfolio, India should explore and expand into underutilized markets such as Africa, Latin America, and Eastern Europe. These regions present opportunities for Indian products in pharmaceuticals, agricultural goods, information technology, and low-cost machinery. Strategic economic diplomacy, trade fairs, and bilateral cooperation in education, healthcare, and digital technology can open new avenues for exports. Tailored export promotion strategies, considering the specific needs and regulatory environments of these regions, will be essential in tapping their potential.

#### **Accelerate Bilateral Trade Agreements**

The conclusion of comprehensive and balanced Free Trade Agreements (FTAs) is a strategic necessity in today's global trade landscape. India must expedite its negotiations with the European Union, the United Kingdom, Canada, and the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) countries. These agreements should aim at reducing tariff and non-tariff barriers, easing rules of origin, and enabling smoother flow of goods, services, and investments. FTAs can provide Indian exporters with preferential market access and act as a buffer against sudden tariff hikes from other partners. Moreover, aligning FTAs with domestic industrial policies, particularly in MSME development and labour-intensive sectors, can ensure broad-based economic benefits.

#### **Promote Value Addition and Innovation**

To evolve from a low-cost, labour-dependent manufacturing base, India needs to shift its focus towards producing high-value, technologically advanced products. This involves investing in cutting-edge sectors such as semiconductors, renewable energy technology, biotechnology, and artificial intelligence-driven services. The government can play a catalytic role by supporting innovation hubs, startup incubators, and university-industry collabourations. Promoting value addition in traditional sectors—such as processed food, designer textiles, and customized auto components—can also boost export earnings. Developing a knowledge-driven economy with a strong intellectual property ecosystem will allow India to better integrate into global value chains and reduce susceptibility to commodity price swings and tariff barriers.

#### VIII. Conclusion

U.S. President Donald Trump repeatedly criticized India, labeling it as the "Tariff King" due to what he perceived as high import duties on certain American products such as Harley-Davidson motorcycles, American whiskey, and the lack of free market access for electric vehicles like those produced by Tesla. These comments underscored growing U.S. concerns over market access and reciprocal trade terms.

In response, India has taken several constructive steps to address these concerns and improve bilateral trade relations. Tariffs on certain goods have been reduced, and regulatory processes have been streamlined to encourage foreign investment and ease of doing business. Despite these positive gestures, the U.S. continues to pursue a non-reciprocal tariff approach, expecting India to make more trade concessions without offering equivalent benefits in return.

India has always shown a genuine commitment to maintaining strong and strategic ties with the United States, recognizing the geopolitical and economic significance of this relationship. However, the U.S. increasingly appears to approach the partnership through the lens of "power dynamics"—viewing trade as a tool of leverage

rather than mutual cooperation. This often results in a shifting goalpost situation, where fulfilling one demand only leads to the imposition of new expectations.

The shift in the United States' tariff policy represents a significant moment for global trade, and for India, it serves as a critical inflection point. The imposition of higher tariffs, withdrawal of preferential trade benefits under the GSP, and an increasingly protectionist U.S. approach have directly impacted India's exports, disrupted sectoral growth, and created uncertainty in the investment climate. These developments underscore the need for India to rethink its external trade strategy and reduce its dependence on a few key markets.

While the immediate effects—declining export volumes, reduced competitiveness, and strained bilateral negotiations—have posed economic challenges, they also present an opportunity. India must leverage this moment to strengthen its fundamentals by focusing on building globally competitive industries, investing in innovation and infrastructure, and diversifying its export destinations. Enhancing resilience through better trade agreements, supply chain integration, and domestic reforms will be crucial.

Ultimately, the way forward lies in adopting a long-term, strategic perspective that blends economic diplomacy with structural transformation. If India can realign its trade priorities around value addition, technological advancement, and inclusive growth, it can not only withstand external policy shocks but also emerge as a stronger player in the evolving global trade order.

#### References

- [1] Brookings Institution. (2023). The New Global Trade Order: Implications For Emerging Economies. [Https://Www.Brookings.Edu] (Https://Www.Brookings.Edu)
- [2] Carnegie India. (2023). India's Trade Relations In The Indo-Pacific. [Https://Carnegieindia.Org] (Https://Carnegieindia.Org)
- [3] Financial Express. (2023–2024). Articles And Reports On India–US Trade Developments. [Https://Www.Financialexpress.Com] (Https://Www.Financialexpress.Com)
- [4] Ministry Of Commerce And Industry, Government Of India. (2024). Export-Import Data Bank. [Https://Commerce.Gov.In] (Https://Commerce.Gov.In)
- [5] Panagariya, A. (2022). India's Trade Strategy In The 21st Century. Journal Of Economic Policy Studies, 19(2), 101–118.
- [6] The Economic Times. (2023–2024). Trade News And Editorial Articles. [Https://Economictimes.Indiatimes.Com] (Https://Economictimes.Indiatimes.Com)
- [7] United Nations Conference On Trade And Development (UNCTAD). (2023). World Investment Report. [Https://Unctad.Org] (Https://Unctad.Org)
- [8] United States Trade Representative (USTR). (2023). 2023 National Trade Estimate Report On Foreign Trade Barriers. [Https://Ustr.Gov] (Https://Ustr.Gov)
- [9] World Bank. (2023). World Development Report: Trade And Global Value Chains. [Https://Worldbank.Org] (Https://Worldbank.Org)
- [10] World Trade Organization (WTO). (2023). Trade Policy Review: India. [Https://Wto.Org](Https://Wto.Org)
- [11] Observer Research Foundation (ORF). (2023). Policy Briefs On India–US Trade Relations. [Https://Www.Orfonline.Org](Https://Www.Orfonline.Org)
- [12] Bloomberg. (2023–2024). Reports On U.S. Tariff Policy And Global Trade Trends. [Https://Www.Bloomberg.Com](Https://Www.Bloomberg.Com)