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Abstract: In Wireless Sensor Nodes due to the resource constraintsthe fast multipliers are preferred for data processing. In this paper, the RSA processor using Vedic multiplication technique is proposed which is capable of achieving considerable speed and with minimum area utilization. The multiplication of two prime numbers is implemented using Nikhilam and UrdvaTriyagbagam multipliers. The results show that there is good improvement in delay and device utilization usingUrdvatriyagbagam method. UrdvaTriyagbagam is utilized in Point addition and Point doubling, which are finite field arithmetic of ECC in both prime and binary field. Multipliers are implemented on RSA and ECC over NIST/SECG GF(p) and GF(2m) curves and estimates the algorithms with respect to performance in speed and memory usage.
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I. Introduction

The increase in application of Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs) in environments like home, military and commercial requires speeding up of the data processing in the network[1]. Cryptography is a practice for building the message secure with encryption and decryption processes. ECC and RSA are the public-key algorithms that have been investigated by the research community for several years. The RSA was developed by Rivest, Shamir and Adleman in 1976. Koblitz and Miller available work on ECC in 1985. Modular exponentiation is the major operation underlying in RSA and its security is in question due to its difficulty of factoring large integers. ECC operates on groups of points over elliptic curves and originates its security from the hardness of the elliptic curve discrete logarithm problem (ECDLP). The Public Key Cryptography (PKC) involves cryptographic processes which are computationally intensive and sensor nodes are strictly resource constrained. In encryption systems multipliers play important role. High speed systems with low power consumption and time delay mainly depends on multiplier execution time. Compared to conventional multiplication, Vedic technique of multiplication involves very less number of operations resulting in faster and high performance multiplier[2]-[4]. Compared to RSA, ECC better suited for WSNs, since ECC offers smaller key sizes, performs faster computation, as well as memory, energy and bandwidth are saved.

The construction of Vedic multipliers is based on Vedic Sutras. The Vedic mathematics has been distributed into sixteen different Sutras and their methods are comparable to the working of human mind which is capable of condensing the complex calculations into simpler ones, consuming less power and attains lower chip area[4]. In this work, the multiplication is computed using Nikhilam and Urdva-Tiryagbhayam multiplication methodologies for RSA algorithm that improves the performance in terms of area and speed. Nikhilam includes minimum number of steps, space, time saving and cerebral calculation. Urdhva – Tiryagbhayam formula is appropriate to all cases of multiplication and division of a large number by another large number. This is based on “Vertically and Crosswise” technique and creates almost all the numeric computations faster and easier. The benefit of multiplier developed on this sutra is that with the rise in the number of bits, area and delay increase at a smaller rate. So this Sutra is used for design of field arithmetic of ECC, Point addition and Point doubling.

Motivation: Security is generally expensive and the speed is more obvious in WSNs due to limited resources of the sensor nodes. In order to increase the speed while appropriately utilizing the available resources, it is important to use multipliers which have better performance with respect to security algorithms. Vedic multipliers allow the encryption and decryption of messages to speed up RSA algorithm and finite field arithmetic of ECC.
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Organization: The security techniques, public key cryptography and RSA cryptography, different multiplication algorithms are described in Section II. In Section III, Problem definition, Processor Model, Implementation of Vedic multiplier techniques, RSA Cryptography together with Algorithm and Performance Evaluation are discussed. Section IV comprises Conclusions.

II. Related Work

Shaila et al., [2-3] designs a key predistribution scheme for WSNs. Tiwari et al., [5] proposed Vedic multiplier constructed on algorithm of ancient Indian Vedic Mathematics, for low power and high speed applications and is implemented on ALTERA Cyclone II FPGA. Kunchugi et al., [6] discussed the efficiency of UrdhvaTriyagbhyam Vedic method for multiplication that takes 11 logic cells for nibble multiplier and propagation time of 4.585 ns. Based on the formulas of ancient Indian Vedic Mathematics, a novel complex number multiplier ASIC design that is highly suitable for high speed complex arithmetic circuits is discussed in [7][8].

Hudder et al., [9] presented a novel architecture to accomplish high speed multiplication using compressors with ancient Vedic mathematical techniques. Kumaravel et al., [10] examines modular multiplication using Vedic mathematics that increases the speed of performance. The multipliers are implemented with Karastuba-ofman and Booth algorithm considering delay as a parameter. In [11-13], authors implemented Vedic multiplier and compared their work with Booth and array multipliers.

Pritam et al., [14] proposed algorithms based on 1’s complement subtraction which will remarkably improve the computational efficiency of scalar multiplication. Soranet et al., [15-17] experimentally evaluated the performance of RSA and ECC. Shaila et al., [18] discussed about constraints of WSNs and proposed a scheme called Modified Blooms Scheme (MBS). Thapiyal et al., [19] utilizes Vedic Mathematics to implement Elliptic Curve Encryption that speeds up the task of multiplication process. Houssain et al., [14] elaborated on the study of hardware implementations of ECC in Wireless Sensor Networks. Both Binary and Primary field are used for the implementation of point addition and point doubling for ECC. Our proposed work provides moderate level of security for resource constrained devices.

III. Problem Definition And Model

A. Problem Definition

In a given WSNs, encryption of data is performed before it is transmitted. This is achieved by using multiplication techniques. Multipliers are the main source in high speed arithmetic logic units. A particular multiplication technique in encryption algorithm is not able to deliver all the desired performance properties for nodes in WSNs, so Public-key cryptography is adopted. Public-key cryptography is computationally expensive for WSNs if not accelerated by cryptographic hardware.

B. Objective

The main objective of this work is to design and develop efficient multipliers that increase the performance of RSA and ECC processor with Vedic multiplication methods.

C. Algorithm for RSA Processor

The complete process involves three phases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase 1. Key generation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Selection of prime numbers p, q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Computation of ( \phi(p) = p - q ) ( ............................(1) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Computation of ( \phi(p) = (p - 1) \times (q - 1) ) ( ............................(2) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Choose public key ( e ), satisfying the condition ( 1 &lt; e &lt; \phi(p), \gcd(e,\phi(p)) = 1 ) ( ............................(3) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Finding the private key ( d ), ( d \times e \mod \phi(p) = 1 ) ( ............................(4) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Private key = ( (d, n) ) ( ............................(5) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. Public key = ( (e, n) ) ( ............................(6) )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Phase 2. Encryption

\[ C = M^e \mod n \] \( ............................(7) \)
Where \( C \) is ciphertext and \( M \) is plaintext

Phase 3. Decryption

\[ M = C^d \mod n \] \( ............................(8) \)
D. ECC Arithmetic

ECC is computationally intensive since it includes arithmetic operations in finite fields. An elliptic curve cryptosystem functions in a group of points on an elliptic curve defined over a finite field. To improve performance most practical ECC implementations are binary extension fields GF(2^m) and prime fields GF(p). The prime field types permit efficient software implementation, particularly on processors furnished with a fast integer multiplier. For hardware implementation, binary extension fields GF(2^m) are commonly the superior choice.

Due to the computational expenditure of inversion associated to multiplication, projective coordinate methods have been projected which circumvents the inversion operation. Point arithmetic based on the Lopez-Dahab coordinate (LD) is effective for hardware implementation. In this work, Scalar multiplication operations are to be carried out with point adding in mixed coordinates i.e., one point is in affine and another point is in L-D projective coordinate and point doubling in L-D projective coordinates in the binary field. Both point addition and doubling operations are foundation of scalar multiplication. The representation considered in this paper is a normal base that is most suitable for hardware implementation. An elliptic curve over GF (2^m) is defined as the cubic equation E:

\[ y^2 + xy = x^3 + ax^2 + b \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Where \( a, b, x, y \in GF(2^m) \) and \( b \neq 0 \).

The Weierstrass equation defining an elliptic curve over GF(p):

\[ y^2 \mod p = (x^3 + ax + b) \mod p \]  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Where \( x, y \) are defines elements of GF(p), and \( a, b \) are integer modulo p.

IV. RSA Processor

E. RSA Processor- Design Unit

![Schematic Diagram of the Complete Processor](image)

Figure 1 shows the complete model in which both private key and public keys are generated. The public key of the sender is distributed over the network that is utilized for decryption process. The encryption process uses the private key of the sender, provides more security for data through digital signature and authentication.

The RSA processor shown in Figure 1 is modeled using Verilog and synthesized on FPGA device Spartan 3 XC3S400-5pq208 for software and hardware implementation. The encryption block (RSA_Cipher m4) computes cipher \( C = M^e \mod n \). The message \( M \) in binary form is taken as input along with public key \( e \) and modulus \( n \). The decryption block (RSA_Cipher m5) decodes user message \( M \) from cipher \( C \) by
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computing $M = C^d \mod n$, where, $d$ is the private key. Hence, the original message which is in the binary format is recovered safely.

F. Simulation and Hardware Results
Simulation results of Complete RSA processor is illustrated in this section. The primality tester outputs for $p, q$ are shown in Figure 2.

![Fig 3. Result of Nikhilam Multiplier](image)

Nikhilam and Urdhva – Tiryagbhayam multiplier results with 8 bit data is shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4.

![Fig 4. Results of Urdhva – Tiryagbhayam](image)

![Fig 5. Extended Euclidean algorithm output for GCD](image)

![Fig 6. Simulation Results of RSA Processor](image)

The Euclid algorithm gives the proof that the GCD of encryption key and $\phi$ in the RSA algorithm is one i.e., Euclid algorithm takes the two input values and calculates its gcd, if the gcd is one it gives the output ‘1’ else it gives the gcd of two numbers but it discards the output. The results are provided in Figure 5.
Figure 6 and Figure 7 display the complete RSA processor results with encryption and decryption of data. The message ($M$), encryption key ($e$) and modulus value ($n$) are observed as Indata, InExp and Inmod respectively. From the timing diagrams the time required for conversion to cipher text and convert back to original message can be observed.

The Hardware implementation of RSA processor on Xilinx Spartan III FPGA development board is shown in Figure 8. When the $rst=0$ and $fillsel=0$, the ready signals should be ‘1’. The input 8-bit data that is generated by the LFSR internally for the encryption along with the product result of the Vedic multiplier i.e., modulus value and a message ($16'd000000010111101$) is processed. The output of the encrypted data is obtained as ($000001111111100$). For decryption of data a decryption key, the encrypted data and modulus is required. The output is found as ($16'd000000010111101$) hence the original data is obtained and verified.

Figure 9 shows the ciphered output, through chipscope for the input data $16'd000000001101111$, the encrypted output obtained is $16'd0000100010001001$. The original data is retraced using the decryption key with output $16'd0000100010001001$.

G. Performance Analysis

In [12] author reports delay from different implementations that varies from $23.18\eta$ seconds to $32.01 \eta$ seconds. From Table 1 and Figure 10, it is observed that Nikhilam occupies more area than Urdhva, but the delay is 50% less and power consumption remains the same for both the multipliers. Considering less delay, Nikhilam multiplier can be chosen for implementation, whereas with area the Urdhva performs better. The device utilization on Spartan 3 FPGA, compared with [11] is given in Table 2, shows less device utilization in our implementation.
The multiplier can be selected depending on the type of application. For nibble multiplication, 11 logic cells are utilized and propagation delay is 4.585 η seconds in [6]. The Urdva multiplier occupies 9% of area [9] which is 75% more than our implementation.

**Table 1. Performance Comparison of Multipliers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters/Technique</th>
<th>Nikhilam</th>
<th>Urdhva – Tiryagbhyam</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area</td>
<td>315 slices</td>
<td>94 slices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delay</td>
<td>12.832nanosec</td>
<td>26.391nanosec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power(Static)</td>
<td>0.060watts</td>
<td>0.060watts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Device Utilization Comparison of Multipliers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bonded IOBs</th>
<th>[11]</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Our Work</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urdhva – Tiryagbhyam</td>
<td>33/108</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>32/141</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nikhilam</td>
<td>33/108</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>32/141</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Observing the results obtained from Table 1-4, it can be concluded that Urdhva gives the best device utilization, with higher frequency and no delay is found. So the Urdhva is selected for the implementation of ECC arithmetic operations, point addition and point doubling.

**Table 3. Comparison of Multipliers for Device Utilization**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Device</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Nikhilam</th>
<th>Urdhva</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Artix 7</td>
<td>Slice Registers</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LUTs</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>38.806Mhz</td>
<td>194.76Mhz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Path delay</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kintex</td>
<td>Slice Registers</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LUTs</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>50.698Mhz</td>
<td>228.760Mhz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Path delay</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtex</td>
<td>Slice Registers</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LUTs</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>15.110Mhz</td>
<td>62.888Mhz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Path delay</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spartan 3</td>
<td>Slice Registers</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LUTs</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>43.679 Mhz</td>
<td>202.360 Mhz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Path delay</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From the Figure 11 the time required for encryption and decryption with 16 bit data is calculated as below.

Encryption Timing = (time position of cursor2) - (time position of cursor1)
= (1430 - 30) ps
= 1430 ps

Decryption Timing = (time position of cursor3) - (time position of cursor2)
= (4670 - 1430) ps
= 3240 ps

From the simulation results obtained with 16 bit data Encryption decryption timings remains same for Nikhilam and Urdhava. The time required is in terms of picoseconds and very less compared to the previous results from literature survey.

### Table 4. Comparison with RSA encryption and decryption

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Device</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>RSA Encryption and Decryption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Artix 7</td>
<td>Slice Registers</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LUTs</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>38.806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Path delay</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Static Power</td>
<td>0.107 Watts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kintex</td>
<td>Slice Registers</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LUTs</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>50.698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Path delay</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Static Power</td>
<td>0.148 Watts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtex</td>
<td>Slice Registers</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LUTs</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>50.698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Path delay</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Static Power</td>
<td>0.671 Watts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spartan 3</td>
<td>Slice Registers</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LUTs</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>15.110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Path delay</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Static Power</td>
<td>0.060 Watts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### V. ECC Finite Arithmetic Model

The ECC finite arithmetic model architecture mainly consists of the main control unit which contains a control signal which is responsible for selecting the Binary or Primary field. Then it is followed by the elliptic curve arithmetic unit which is used to perform the point addition and point doubling with the aid of Vedic multiplier (Urdhavtriyagbhayam). The architecture is first implemented with 8 bits of input data with dual field. One field is selected at a time then both point addition and point doubling are performed. Further, the elliptic curves defined over the binary field and primary field GF(2^{163}) and GF(2^{192}) respectively. Urdhavtriyabhayam technique is used for point addition and point doubling operations. The block diagram for point addition and point doubling in binary field and prime field (dual field) using Urdhavtriyagbhayamis given in Figure 12. The proposed design has been coded in Verilog HDL, simulated by ModelSim and implemented on Virtex XC5VLX50T device. Figure 13 shows Dual field addition and doubling simulation results for 8 bits.
H. Point Addition and Point Doubling Over Binary Field

This point addition requires mainly two points one is in projective coordinate and another point affine coordinate, the outcome will be in the projective directions.

The algorithm shown in Figure 14, is used to perform the point addition over the binary filed using Lopez Dahab mixed coordinates, since it uses two points one is of affine, second point is of projective coordinates.

![Algorithm for Point addition in Binary Field](image)

**Inputs:** \( A(x_1, y_1), Q(x_2, y_2) \)

**Outputs:** \( R(x_3, y_3, z_3) \)

- \( A = x_1 + y_1 \cdot z_1^2 \)
- \( B = x_1 + y_1 \cdot z_1 \)
- \( C = B \cdot z_1 \)
- \( Z_3 = C \cdot C' \)
- \( D = x_2 \cdot z_3 \)
- \( E = A + B + B \cdot x_2 \cdot C \)
- \( X_3 = A + C \cdot E \)
- \( l = D + X_3 \)
- \( j = A \cdot C + Z_3 \)
- \( F = l \cdot J \)
- \( K = Z_3 \cdot Z_3 \)
- \( F_3 = F + x_1 \cdot K + y_2 \cdot K \)

**Fig 14. Algorithm for Point addition in Binary Field**
Figure 15 shows the simulation output for 8 bits in binary field and the Hardware output with Chipscope in Figure 16.

**Figure 15.** Binary field addition (8 bits)

**Figure 16.** Binary field addition (8 bits) on Chipscope

The point doubling algorithm with projective coordinates is shown in Figure 17. The corresponding simulation output and hardware output with chipscope is given in Figure 18 and Figure 19.

**Figure 17.** Algorithm for Point doubling in Binary Field

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inputs</th>
<th>(x1,y1,z1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yields</td>
<td>(x4,y4,z4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z4 = Z1^2 * X1^2,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X4 = X1^4 + b * Z1^4,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y4 = (Y1^2 + a * Z1 + b * Z1^4) * X1 + Z1 * b * Z1^4,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 18.** Binary field doubling using mixed coordinates (8 bits)
1. **Point Addition and Point Doubling Over Prime Field**

For an elliptic curve, in case of point addition over the prime field one point is the normal point which is represented as (x,y) is projected into projective coordinates (X1,Y1,Z1), where x=X/Z² and y=Y/Z³ and another point is of affine point represented as (x₂,y₂). Point addition algorithm and results are given in Figures 20-22.

The point doubling over prime field is carried out by using the pure projective coordinates. It requires only one point which is in projective coordinates and the result point doubling (adding a point with itself) is also in projective coordinates. In these algorithm a and b are called as the parameters of an elliptic curves. Here point P is the input and the output of point doubling is represented by 2P. The algorithm to perform point doubling over the prime field is represented as follows in Figure 23, 24 and Figure 25.

```
Algorithm
Input: Q=(X₄,Y₄,Z₄), A=(X₂,Y₂)
Output: R=(X₃,Y₃,Z₃)=P+Q;
A=X₄;
B=X₂*Z₄²;
C=A-B;
D=Y₄;
E=Y₂*Z₄³;
F=D-E;
G=A+B;
H=D+E;
Z₃=Z₄*C;
X₃=F²-G*C²;
I=G*C²-2*X₃;
Y₃=(I*F-H*C²)/2;
```

Fig 20. Algorithm for Point addition in Primary Field
Fig 21. Prime field addition simulation results

Fig 22. Primary field addition (8 bits) on Chipscope

Input: \( P = (X_1, Y_1, Z_1) \)
Output: \( Q = (X_4, Y_4, Z_4) = 2P \)

\[
\begin{align*}
A &= 3X_1^2 + 2Z_1^2; \\
B &= 4X_1Y_1; \\
X_4 &= A^2 - 2B; \\
Z_4 &= 2Y_1Z_1; \\
C &= 8Y_1^2; \\
Y_4 &= 4(B - X_4) - C.
\end{align*}
\]

Fig 23. Algorithm for Point doubling in Primary Field

Fig 24. Primary field doubling simulation
A. Performance Analysis

The input message data considered is of 8 bits and the time required for point addition is in terms of pico seconds. The device utilization is also very less i.e 0% for the FPGA used for the implementation. The input size is increased to 163 and 192 bits for both Binary and Primary field. The time required for Point addition and Point doubling is in terms of micro and nano seconds. Simulation waveforms are shown in Figure 26 and Figure 27.

Figure 28 shows the RTL schematic of the implemented dual field processor which performs point addition and point doubling in Binary and Primary fields.
The Verilog HDL code is executed with Cadence RTL Compiler for area, power and delay details. Figure 29, 30 and 31 gives the area utilized, path delay and power consumption. Figure 32 denotes physical layout of the processor implemented.
VI. Conclusions

RSA processor with multiplication algorithm centered on the formula of ancient Indian Vedic mathematics has been projected and implemented with both multipliers. Both the Vedic multiplication methods Urdhva-Tiryabhayam and Nikhilam, have been explored with respect to area, delay and static power consumption. Due to its construction, Urdhva suffers from high carry propagation delay in instance of multiplication, whereas with Nikhilam Sutra the multiplication is reduced to 50% of it. We have developed and implemented the multiplier architecture based on these Sutras for RSA processor. Further, it is planned to implement this work for higher bits to speed up the process of encryption and decryption in Wireless Sensor nodes.

Architecture of dual processor has been proposed with a data path capable of doing operations such as point addition and point doubling of the elliptic curves either on prime filed GF (P) or binary extended fields GF (2^m). The design of dual field processor is presented; this proposed method requires less computational time as compared with the previous implementations. Hence, it results in high performance. This is an initialization work to take up the complete Scalar multiplication in ECC for Encryption and Decryption of information.
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