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Abstract:

Background: Hypomotility of the GI tract in the absence of obstruction is the hallmark of an ileus. Postoperative ileus predictably occurs after abdominal surgery. This study was performed to improve the gastric motility after abdominal exercise.

Aim: To assess the effectiveness of abdominal exercise on gastric motility among post operative patients. Design: Quasi experimental – post test only control group design.

Setting: Lotus hospital and research center and Kumarasamy hospital, Erode.

Participants: Thirty post operative patients were selected by using purposive sampling method among those who fulfill the sampling criteria.

Methods: 15 post operative patients were experimental group and 15 were control group. Only the experimental group patients received abdominal exercise. Modified gastric motility assessment scale on gastric motility was used to assess the effectiveness of abdominal exercise.

Results: From the findings of this study it can be concluded that the percentage of patients were in the age group 30- 44 years, 50% of patients were males and 50% of them were females, most of them were educated and majority of the patients had undergone appendicectomy. In experimental group the overall mean score of gastric motility (11.6±1.52) which was 97% whereas in control group the mean score was (7.46±1.77) which was 62%. It reveals the difference of 35%. Unpaired ‘t’ test score was 6.8 at the level of significant (P= 0.05). Highly significant difference was found between the post test scores of experimental and control group. No significant association between the demographic variables and post test scores of experimental group of post operative patients, i.e. age, gender, educational status and type of surgery.

Conclusion: Abdominal exercise was highly effective in improving the gastric motility of the post operative patients. Therefore abdominal exercise can be used as a safe and effective method to improve gastric motility.

I. Introduction

Diseases have existed as long as mankind. Indeed surgery was practiced, even during pre history times. Before the second century, surgery was not even considered to be entity. In the present century, advanced technologies have been developed in the surgical emporium. At present Laparoscopic Surgery has more or less replaced the conventional surgery. Since the advent of Laparoscopic Surgery, we have been able to decrease the cost of treatment, shorten the hospital stay, minimize the surgical trauma; it has definitely helped in diagnosing and treating the most difficult cases with ease and confidence.

The surgical procedures and anesthesia plays the patient at risk for several complications or adverse events. The most common post operative discomforts are nausea, vomiting, restlessness, sleeplessness, thirst, constipation, flatulence and pain. Exercise stimulates postprandial motor activity in the stomach and small bowel, and this effect was related to the intensity of exercise. An abdominal exercise is easy to do and does not usually require equipment. Abdominal exercises are one of the most important types of exercises.

According to the National Center for Health Statistics of the U.S. Centers for Disease Control (CDC), in 2000 over 40 million inpatient surgical procedures were performed in the United States. Statistically, women were more likely to have surgery, accounting for 58% of ambulatory and inpatient procedures. This data includes both emergency and elective procedures. Today cholecystectomy is the most common elective operation performed by general surgeons throughout the western world. It is estimated that some 40,000 cholecystectomies are performed annually in England and a quarter for a million each year in the United States. Simon, P. (2004), reported that over 2,60,000 hospital admissions are undergoing surgery. In meta analysis of epidemiological studies of India. He was reported that about 35% to 39% of patients were affected by complication after abdominal surgery. Clemnt, A. (2005), stated that pre operative teaching combined with post operative exercise helps the patients to wear of physiological effects of anesthesia, stimulates peristaltis movements and reduce the post operative complications like pneumonia, circulatory problems. It increases the ventilation and reduces the post operative abdominal distension. Researcher identified abdominal exercise as effective to improve the gastric motility of post operative patient, there by preventing one of the major complications like paralytic ileus.

Objectives of the Study

1. To assess gastric motility among post operative patients in experimental and control group after abdominal exercise.
2. To compare the effectiveness of abdominal exercise on gastric motility among post operative patients in experimental group with control group.
3. To find out the association between post test scores of gastric motility among experimental group of post operative patients with their selected demographic variables.
II. Methods

RESEARCH APPROACH
An quantitative approach was adopted for the study.

DESIGN
Quasi experimental design more specifically Post test only control group design was used for the present study.

SETTING OF THE STUDY
The study was conducted in Lotus Hospital & Research Centre, Erode and kumarasamy Hospital in Erode.

VARIABLES
1. Independent variable - Abdominal exercises.
2. Dependent variable - Gastric motility among post operative patients.

POPULATION
The population for the present study was Post operative patients.

SAMPLE
The sample for the present study was post operative patients who undergone selected laparoscopic surgery in Lotus hospital & Research Centre and Kummarasamy Hospital, Erode.

SAMPLE SIZE
The total sample size was 30 samples, out of which 15 were experimental group and 15 were control group.

SAMPLING TECHNIQUE
Purposive sampling technique was selected for the present study.

DEVELOPMENT OF THE TOOL
The tool which consist of two sections. They were,

Section A  It consists demographic characteristics of post operative patients, such as Age, Gender, Education and type of surgery

Section B  It consists of Modified Gastric Motility assessment Scale to assess the gastric motility of post operative patients.

DATA COLLECTION PROCEDURE
Permission from the concern authority
Prior to data collection permission was obtained from the Dean of Lotus Hospital & Research Center and Kumarasamy Hospital, Erode.

Period of data collection
The data was collected from 14/08/2015 to 16/09/2015 for the period of one month. The investigator collected data from the both control and experimental group.

Implementation of abdominal exercise
Abdominal exercise given to the laparoscopic post operative patients in Lotus Hospital & Research centre, Erode and Kumarasamy Hospital, Erode. The duration of exercise was given at 20 minutes for twice a day for 2 days.

Evaluation of abdominal exercise (Post test)
Modified gastric motility assessment scale was used to assess the gastric motility among post operative patients after 2 days of abdominal exercise intervention.

PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS
• Assess gastric motility among control and experimental group of post operative patients after abdominal exercise is analyzed by using frequency and percentage.
• Compare the effectiveness of abdominal exercise on gastric motility among post operative patients in experimental group with control group is analyzed by using unpaired t test, mean, standard deviation and mean percentage.
• Find out the association between post test scores of gastric motility among experimental group of post-operative patients with their selected demographic variables is analyzed by using chi-Square test

Ethical Consideration
The research proposal was approved by the Institutional Human Ethics Committee of Dhanvantri College of Nursing Erode, Tamil Nadu. A written permission was obtained from the principal and ethical committee. The investigator explained about the study to the students and obtained written consent prior to the data collection.

III. Results And Discussion.

Table 1: Frequency and percentage distribution of samples according to their demographic variables
(N=30)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Demographic Variable</th>
<th>Experimental group (n=15)</th>
<th>Control group (n=15)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Frequency (n)</td>
<td>Percentage (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Age in year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>19 – 32</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>33 – 46</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>47 – 60</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td>61 - 74</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Educational status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>No formal education</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Demographic Variable</th>
<th>Experimental group (n=15)</th>
<th>Control group (n=15)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frequency (n)</td>
<td>Percentage (%)</td>
<td>Frequency (n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Primary education</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>High school education</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td>Higher secondary education</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.</td>
<td>Graduation</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Type of surgery
a. Appendectomy | 7 | 47 | 7 | 47 |
b. Cholecystectomy | 4 | 27 | 5 | 33 |
c. Oophorectomy | 2 | 13 | 0 | - |
d. Laparoscopic assisted vaginal hysterectomy | 2 | 13 | 3 | 20 |

Distribution of experimental and control group samples according to their age groups depicts that, in experimental group the highest percentage (47%) of post operative patients were in the age group of 19-32 years and the lowest percentage (20%) of post operative patients were in the age group of 47-60 years. In control group highest percentage (53%) of them were in the age group of 33-46 years and the lowest percentage (7%) of them were in the age group of 47-60 years. It depicts that the highest percentage of post operative patients were in the age group of 19-32 years and 33-46 years respectively, (Fig 1). According to their gender depict that, in experimental group the highest percentage (67%) of post operative patients were males and the lowest percentage (33%) of post operative patients were females. In control group the highest percentage (67%) of post operative patients were females and the lowest percentage (33%) of post operative patients were males, (Fig 2). According to the education reveals that, in experimental group the highest percentage (40%) of the post operative patients were high school education and similarly the lowest percentage (13%) of post operative patients were no formal education, primary education and higher secondary education. In control group the highest percentage (40%) of post operative patients were primary education and the lowest percentage (7%) of post operative patients were high school education and higher secondary education, (Fig 3). According to the type of surgery reveals that, the highest percentage (47% and 47%) of the post operative patients had undergone appendectomy in both the group and the lowest percentage (13% and 20%) of post operative patients had undergone Oophorectomy and laparoscopic assisted vaginal hysterectomy respectively, (Fig 4).

Fig 1: Bar diagram showing the distribution of control and experimental group samples according to their age group.

Fig 2: Bar diagram showing the distribution of control and experimental group samples according to their gender.
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Fig 3: Bar diagram showing the distribution of control and experimental group samples according to their educational status.

Fig 4: Bar diagram showing the distribution of control and experimental group samples according to their type of surgery.

Table 2: Frequency and percentage distribution of the control group and experimental group post test scores of gastric motility among post operative patients (N= 30)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grading of gastric motility</th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>Post test scores</th>
<th>Experimental group</th>
<th>Post test scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frequency (n)</td>
<td>Percentage (%)</td>
<td>Frequency (n)</td>
<td>Percentage (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irregular</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Frequency and percentage distribution of control group and experimental group posttest scores of gastric motility among post operative patients depicts that, in control group most (73%) of patients had irregular gastric motility and only 27% of patients had regular gastric motility. In experimental group all (100%) of patients had regular gastric motility. It seems that abdominal exercise was effective in improving the gastric motility among post operative patients.

Table 3: Unpaired ‘t’ test value of control and experimental group post test scores of gastric motility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Areas</th>
<th>‘t’ value</th>
<th>Table value</th>
<th>Level of significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bowel sound</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05 significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appetite</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05 significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defecation</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05 significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05 Not significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05 significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DF: 28 Table value = 2.05 (P > 0.05 significant)

Unpaired ‘t’ test was calculated to analyze the difference in control and experimental group post test scores on different aspects of gastric motility (bowel sound, appetite, defecation and vomiting). The total score was 6.8, when compared to ‘t’ value (2.05) it was high. But vomiting aspect the score was 1.54, when compared to ‘t’ value (2.05) is less. Hence it seems that there was significant relationship between abdominal exercise and gastric motility among post operative patients in the area of bowel sound, appetite and defecation and there was no significant relationship between abdominal exercise and gastric motility among post operative patients in the area of vomiting.

Table 4: Area wise comparison of mean, standard deviation, and mean percentage of control and experimental group post test scores.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Areas</th>
<th>Maximum Score</th>
<th>Post test scores</th>
<th>Difference in mean percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Experimental group</td>
<td>Control group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bowel sound</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Appetite</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Defecation</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Areas</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>TV</th>
<th>Level of significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>7.82</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05 Not significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05 Not significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>949</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05 Not significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of surgery</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>7.82</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05 Not significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(P > 0.05 Not significant)

Chi – square was calculated to find out the association between the experimental group post test scores of the post operative patients with their demographical variables regarding gastric motility (Age, gender, education and type of surgery) in P > 0.05. Hence it can be interpreted that there is no significant association between post test scores of experimental group with their demographic variables.

IV. Discussion

Objective 1:
To assess gastric motility among post operative patients in experimental and control group after abdominal exercise. The findings were,

1. In experimental group majority (67%) of them had normal bowel sound and 33% of them had hyperactive bowel sound. In control group majority (47%) of post operative patients were found to have hypoactive bowel sound, 40% of them had normal bowel sound and 13% of them had hyperactive bowel sound.

Fig: 5, Bar diagram showing the comparison of control and experimental group posttest scores on gastric motility

Table 5: chi square value of association between the demographic variables and experimental group post test scores of gastric motility among post operative patients.
In experimental group majority (93%) of them had good appetite and 7% of them had moderate appetite. In control group majority (40%) of post operative patients were found to have moderate appetite, 33% of them had mild appetite, 20% of them had good appetite and 7% of them had loss of appetite.

3. In experimental group majority (54%) of them had passed once, 33% of them had passed more than once and 13% of them have not passed. In control group majority (67%) of post operative patients were found to have not passed defecation, 13% of them absent of defecation and passed more than once and 7% of them had defecated once.

4. In experimental group all (100%) of them have no vomiting. In control group majority (87%) of post operative patients were found to have no vomiting, and 13% of them had mild vomiting.

5. In experimental group all (100%) of them had were had regular gastric motility In control group most 73% of patients had irregular gastric motility and only 27% of patients had regular gastric motility.

Hypothesis: 1
There is a significant level of gastric motility among control and experimental group of post operative patients after abdominal exercise. So hypothesis was accepted

Objective 2:
To compare the effectiveness of abdominal exercise on gastric motility among post operative patients in experimental group with control group.

1. Unpaired ‘t’ test was calculated to analyze the difference in control and experimental group scores on different aspects of gastric motility (bowel sound, appetite, defecation and vomiting). The total score was 6.8, when compared to ‘t’ value (2.05) it was high. But vomiting aspect score was 1.54, when compared to ‘t’ value (2.05) is less. Hence it seems that there was significant relationship between abdominal exercise and gastric motility among post operative patients in the area of bowel sound, appetite and defecation and there was no significant relationship between abdominal exercise and gastric motility among post operative patients in the area of vomiting.

2. Area wise comparison of mean, standard deviation, and mean percentage of control and experimental group post test scores depicts that, In experimental group the parameter bowel sounds has the post test mean score was (2.33±0.49) which was 78% whereas in control group the post test mean score of bowel sound was (1.66±0.73) which was 55% and the mean difference percentage was 23%.

In experimental group the parameter appetite had the post test mean score was (2.93±0.26) which was 98%. In experimental group the post test mean score of appetite was (1.73±0.88) which was 58% and the mean difference was 40%. In experimental group the parameter defecation had the post test mean score was (2.2±0.67) which was 73% whereas in control group the post test mean score was (1±0.86) which was 40% and the mean difference percentage was 33% respectively. In experimental group the parameter vomiting had the highest mean score was (3±0) which was 100% whereas in control group the mean score was (2.86±0.35) which was 95% and the mean difference was 5%.

Similarly the overall experimental group the mean score was (11.6±1.62) which was 97% whereas in control group the mean score was (7.46±1.77) which was 62%. It reveals the difference of 35%. It shows that abdominal exercise is found to be effective in that of post operative patients. This finding concludes that abdominal exercise was found to be effective in appetite, defecation, bowel sound and vomiting among post operative patients.

Hypothesis: 2
There is a significance effectiveness of abdominal exercise on gastric motility among post operative patients in experimental group than control group. So hypothesis was accepted.

Objective 3:
To find out the association between post test scores of gastric motility among experimental group of post operative patients with their selected demographic variables.

Chi – square was calculated to find out the association between the experimental group post test scores of the post operative patients with their demographical variables regarding gastric motility (Age, gender, education and type of surgery) and P > 0.05. Hence it can be interpreted that there is no significant association between post test scores of experimental group with their demographic variables.

Hypothesis: 3
There is significant association between post test scores of gastric motility among experimental group with their selected demographic variables. So the hypothesis was rejected.

V. Conclusion
The study findings revealed that the highest percentages of the patients were in the age group of 19-32 and 33-46 years, both gender were equal, most of them were educated, highest percentage patients underwent appendicectomy, abdominal exercise was highly effective on gastric motility in the area of appetite, defecation, and bowel sound. Abdominal exercise was highly effective in improving the gastric motility of the post operative patients. Therefore abdominal exercise can be used as a safe and effective method to improve gastric motility.

NURSING IMPLICATIONS

Nursing Services
1. This exercise can be used by the nursing personnel working in hospital for reinforcing their practices.
2. This method can be used in various settings.
3. This exercise can be used to demonstrate the care givers of the patients.
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**Nursing Education**
1. Nursing educator should educate the nursing professionals to follow this exercise and find out the effectiveness.
2. The nursing curriculum concentrates more on abdominal exercise when formulating syllabus.

**Nursing administration:**
1. Nursing administrator can influence quality of nursing care in hospital setting community by planning and supervising health education in different levels.
2. Administrative staff should understand the felt need of post operative patients.
3. Nursing administrator can organise conferences, seminars, and workshops for nurses working in the hospital to encourage a positive attitude on abdominal exercise.

**Nursing Research**
1. The result of this study encourage the nurse to adopt this as a part their nursing intervention in providing a holistic care to the patients.

**VI. Recommendations**

Based on the findings of the study the following recommendations have been made for the study.

- A large scale study can be carried out to generalize the findings.
- A similar study can be conducted to all post operative patients.
- A similar study can be conducted to see the effectiveness of abdominal breathing on gastric motility among post operative patients.
- A comparative study can be conducted to assess the effectiveness of abdominal breathing vs Vajarasana on gastric motility among post operative patients.
- A similar study can be conducted with planned teaching program, self instructional module and compact disc.
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