

## A Study on Technology-Based Learning in Present Scenario

Ranjita Mahadeorao Shambharkar

*Research Scholar*

*Department of Education, Desh Bhagat University*

*mail id of scholar- ranjieetas@gmail.com*

Dr. Pragya Shukla

*Research Supervisor*

*Department of Education, Desh Bhagat University*

*Mail id — pragya.et.shukla724@deshbhagatuniversity.in*

---

### **Abstract**

*This study examines the evolving landscape of technology-based learning (TBL) in the contemporary educational context, analysing its conceptual foundations, global and Indian trajectories, opportunities, challenges, and future directions. Drawing on literature and policy reviews, the paper traces TBL's progression from digitised resources in the 1990s to today's adaptive, immersive, and mobile-first platforms. It highlights how technological innovation, policy initiatives, and socio-cultural shifts—accelerated by the COVID-19 pandemic—have transformed TBL into a central mechanism for delivering education. The analysis underscores key benefits, including enhanced accessibility, personalised learning pathways, increased engagement, and scalable skill development, while identifying persistent challenges such as the digital divide, uneven teacher preparedness, content quality concerns, and data privacy risks. Recommendations focus on bridging infrastructure gaps, strengthening pedagogical capacity, ensuring quality assurance, and integrating emerging technologies within culturally relevant frameworks. The study concludes that, if implemented through coordinated action among policymakers, educators, technology providers, and learners, TBL can advance equitable, adaptable, and future-ready education systems, redefining the parameters of teaching and learning in the twenty-first century.*

**Keywords:** *Technology-based learning, digital pedagogy, educational technology, adaptive learning, educational policy*

---

### **I. Introduction**

The rapid advancement of information and communication technologies (ICTs) has fundamentally transformed the educational landscape over the past two decades. What was once confined to chalkboards, printed textbooks, and face-to-face instruction has evolved into an interconnected, technology-driven ecosystem where learning can occur synchronously or asynchronously, on-site or remotely, and through multiple modalities. Technology-based learning (TBL), encompassing e-learning platforms, mobile learning applications, virtual classrooms, and artificial intelligence-enabled adaptive systems, has emerged as a critical component of modern education. Its significance has been further amplified in the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic, which forced educational institutions worldwide to adopt digital solutions almost overnight, reshaping both pedagogy and learner expectations.

The present scenario of TBL is characterised by an unprecedented convergence of technological innovation and educational reform. Governments, private enterprises, and academic institutions have invested heavily in digital infrastructure, learning management systems (LMS), and teacher training to meet the growing demand for flexible, accessible, and personalised learning experiences. In the Indian context, initiatives such as the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020, the Digital India programme, and platforms like SWAYAM and DIKSHA demonstrate an institutional commitment to integrating technology into mainstream education (Mehta & Aguilar, 2022). At the same time, globally, innovations in artificial intelligence, augmented reality (AR), and virtual reality (VR) are redefining how learners interact with content, instructors, and peers, bridging geographical divides and expanding opportunities for lifelong learning.

Despite these advances, the adoption of technology-based learning is not without its challenges. Issues such as the digital divide, inconsistent internet connectivity, lack of standardised digital content, and varying levels of teacher preparedness continue to limit the inclusivity and effectiveness of TBL. Moreover, questions remain about learner engagement, quality assurance, and the long-term sustainability of technology-driven pedagogies, particularly in low-resource settings (UNESCO, 2023). These complexities underscore the need for a critical and evidence-based examination of TBL in the present era, focusing on both its transformative potential and its practical limitations.

This paper aims to analyse the current state of technology-based learning through a review of existing literature, an assessment of global and Indian practices, and an exploration of its benefits, challenges, and future prospects. Specifically, it seeks to address three research questions: (1) What are the dominant forms and tools of TBL in use today? (2) How effective are they in improving learner outcomes and engagement? (3) What barriers and systemic challenges hinder their optimal implementation? By addressing these questions, the study endeavours to contribute to ongoing academic and policy discussions on how technology can be harnessed to create equitable, high-quality, and future-ready educational systems.

### **Technology-Based Learning: Concepts and Evolution**

Technology-Based Learning (TBL) has emerged as one of the most significant pedagogical transformations of the twenty-first century, redefining how knowledge is created, shared, and internalised. Broadly understood as the use of digital technologies to mediate and enhance the teaching–learning process, TBL encompasses a wide array of modalities ranging from online courses and virtual classrooms to interactive simulations, mobile applications, and adaptive learning systems. Unlike traditional, instructor-centred approaches that rely heavily on passive information transmission, TBL promotes a more dynamic, learner-centred model in which technology serves both as a conduit for content delivery and as a catalyst for deeper cognitive engagement. In its most effective form, TBL integrates multimedia elements, real-time feedback mechanisms, and opportunities for interaction, thus enabling learners to engage actively with material, collaborate with peers, and tailor their learning pace and style to individual needs (Khalid et al, 2024).

The evolution of TBL globally can be traced through several overlapping phases. In its early form during the 1990s, technology in education largely meant the digitisation of static resources—electronic copies of textbooks, PowerPoint slides, and supplementary readings. This phase was followed in the early 2000s by the proliferation of learning management systems (LMS) such as Blackboard and Moodle, which introduced structured online modules, discussion forums, and basic formative assessments. The last decade, particularly accelerated by the COVID-19 pandemic, has witnessed an unprecedented expansion of fully online and blended learning environments, powered by high-speed internet, cloud computing, and artificial intelligence. This contemporary phase is characterised by adaptive learning algorithms, gamification strategies, immersive simulations, and virtual or augmented reality applications, which together aim to make learning not only more accessible but also more personalised, interactive, and outcome-oriented (World Economic Forum, 2020; Shi et al., 2020).

The conceptual foundations of TBL are deeply rooted in educational theory. Active Learning Theory, which positions learners as co-constructors of knowledge rather than passive recipients, has been central to the design of effective digital learning environments. Empirical studies have consistently shown that when learners engage in activities such as collaborative problem-solving, simulations, or interactive quizzes, they demonstrate greater retention, deeper conceptual understanding, and enhanced critical thinking skills compared to those in lecture-based settings (Harris & Bacon, 2019). Multimedia Learning Theory (MLT), as articulated by Mayer (2003), further informs the design of TBL by emphasising that learning is optimised when information is presented through both visual and auditory channels in ways that reduce cognitive overload. The dual-channel assumption and limited capacity model inherent in MLT underscore the importance of careful instructional design to ensure that multimedia elements complement, rather than compete with, one another.

Equally significant is the framework of Self-Regulated Learning (SRL), which underscores the role of learner autonomy, goal-setting, and metacognitive monitoring in academic success. TBL environments are uniquely positioned to foster SRL through features such as adaptive content sequencing, progress dashboards, and embedded formative assessments, all of which provide learners with real-time insights into their performance and areas for improvement (Khalid et al., 2024). This intersects closely with the construct of self-efficacy, defined by Bandura (1986) as an individual's belief in their capacity to execute the actions required to achieve desired outcomes. Digital platforms that allow for repeated practice in safe, simulated environments can significantly strengthen self-efficacy, thereby encouraging persistence and resilience in the face of academic challenges. Motivation, both intrinsic and extrinsic, is likewise a critical determinant of learning outcomes in TBL. By leveraging gamification, social learning elements, and authentic problem-solving contexts, technology can sustain learner engagement and deepen investment in the learning process (Ryan & Deci, 2000).

Cognitive Load Theory adds another dimension to the theoretical underpinnings of TBL by highlighting the limitations of working memory and the need to design instructional materials that minimise extraneous processing. Well-structured digital learning modules break complex information into manageable segments, scaffold content to align with prior knowledge, and use multimodal resources to reinforce key concepts without overwhelming the learner (Sweller, 1994). Recent scholarship also cautions that some degree of cognitive challenge, or “desirable difficulty,” can be beneficial, suggesting that digital tools must strike a careful balance between reducing unnecessary load and maintaining sufficient complexity to promote deep learning (Skulmowski & Xu, 2022).

In the Indian context, the evolution of TBL has been shaped by both infrastructural opportunities and persistent challenges. Government initiatives such as SWAYAM, DIKSHA, and NPTEL have significantly expanded access to high-quality, curriculum-aligned resources, supporting the objectives of the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 to promote technology integration across all levels of education. Private sector innovations, exemplified by BYJU'S, Unacademy, and Vedantu, have further diversified the TBL landscape by offering personalised, mobile-first solutions that cater to learners from urban centres to remote rural areas. Research indicates that TBL in India has been particularly effective in addressing geographical and linguistic barriers, though digital literacy gaps, inconsistent connectivity, and disparities in device access continue to limit its universal adoption (Bawa, 2016). The COVID-19 pandemic served as both a stress test and a catalyst for the system, compelling institutions to adopt online modes at an unprecedented scale, with mixed results in terms of student satisfaction and learning outcomes (Gopal et al., 2021).

Overall, the conceptualisation and evolution of TBL reveal a trajectory toward increasingly learner-centered, adaptive, and theoretically grounded practices. By synthesising principles from active learning, multimedia design, self-regulated learning, and cognitive load management, technology offers the potential to not merely replicate traditional pedagogy in digital form but to transform it fundamentally. The challenge, particularly in contexts like India, lies in ensuring equitable access, aligning technological affordances with pedagogical intent, and continuously evaluating the impact of TBL on diverse learner populations. As the global and local experiences suggest, when grounded in robust theory and responsive to contextual realities, TBL can serve as a powerful driver of educational innovation and inclusivity.

In synthesising these global trends, theoretical perspectives, and contextual realities, this study adopts a conceptual framework that positions TBL at the intersection of three interdependent dimensions: technological infrastructure, pedagogical design, and learner readiness. Technological infrastructure refers to the availability, accessibility, and reliability of digital platforms, devices, and connectivity. Pedagogical design involves the alignment of technology with learning objectives, instructional strategies, and assessment mechanisms to create engaging and outcome-oriented experiences. Learner readiness encompasses digital literacy, motivation, self-regulation, and adaptability, which determine how effectively individuals can engage with and benefit from TBL environments. The interplay of these dimensions determines the overall efficacy of technology-based learning, with optimal outcomes occurring when all three are robustly developed and contextually aligned. This framework not only guides the present analysis but also serves as a lens for evaluating the opportunities, limitations, and future directions of TBL in the current educational scenario.

### **Current Scenario of Technology-Based Learning**

Technology-based learning (TBL) has evolved from a supplementary educational approach to an essential pedagogical strategy across both formal and informal learning environments. Over the past decade, the integration of digital platforms, multimedia tools, and interactive pedagogies has been driven by technological innovation, expanding device access, and shifting socio-cultural expectations around learning (OECD, 2023; World Bank, n.d.). While its adoption is now a global phenomenon, the present scenario reflects distinct national trajectories shaped by infrastructure readiness, policy frameworks, and socio-economic realities.

Advanced economies such as the United States, China, and the United Kingdom maintain a strong advantage in TBL integration due to high broadband penetration, extensive device availability, and long-standing policy commitments. Frameworks such as the Every Student Succeeds Act in the United States, the UK Digital Strategy for Education, and China's National Smart Education Strategy embed technology directly into curriculum design, pedagogy, and assessment systems, ensuring that digital tools are not peripheral but central to learning delivery (OECD, 2023). In these contexts, adaptive learning platforms, AI-driven assessment tools, and immersive technologies like AR/VR are increasingly mainstream, with data analytics informing personalised learner pathways.

India's TBL trajectory, while influenced by these global trends, reflects a distinctive "mobile-first leapfrogging" model. Constraints in traditional infrastructure have been partially offset by rapid expansion in smartphone usage, affordable data plans, and the emergence of indigenous EdTech platforms such as BYJU'S, Unacademy, and Vedantu (Javaid et al., 2024). Government-led initiatives including PM e-Vidya, Digital India, SWAYAM, and DIKSHA aim to create a scalable digital learning ecosystem that addresses linguistic diversity, offers offline access in low-connectivity regions, and builds teacher capacity in digital pedagogy ("Access and Use of Digital," n.d.). This approach has been particularly valuable in bridging geographic and socio-economic divides, although challenges remain.

The COVID-19 pandemic acted as a catalyst for TBL adoption worldwide, but its effects were uneven. In India, urban centres transitioned more seamlessly to remote learning, while rural and underserved regions encountered persistent barriers in connectivity, device access, and digital literacy (Hussain, 2021). In response, states experimented with community learning hubs, educational television and radio broadcasts, and partnerships with private technology providers to expand reach. These interventions revealed the adaptability of India's TBL

ecosystem, while also underscoring structural inequities that must be addressed to ensure inclusive learning opportunities.

Pedagogically, the present TBL environment increasingly reflects the principles of Multimedia Learning Theory (Mayer & Moreno, 2003), which emphasises the combined use of visual and verbal channels to enhance comprehension and retention. Many modern platforms now integrate interactive simulations, adaptive testing, and real-time feedback mechanisms—features shown to improve cognitive and non-cognitive outcomes (Shi et al., 2019). In India, these strategies are visible in the design of SWAYAM and DIKSHA modules, which incorporate multilingual content, embedded quizzes, and progress dashboards tailored to diverse learner profiles. The growing use of AI-driven personalisation in global contexts suggests a trajectory towards even greater adaptability, though such integration in India remains at an early stage. Despite these advancements, persistent barriers limit the full realisation of TBL's potential. Globally, variations in the depth of integration—where technology transforms rather than merely supplements teaching—remain a challenge. In India, disparities in teacher preparedness, patchy internet access in remote areas, and limited quality assurance mechanisms for digital content are ongoing concerns. Addressing these issues will require sustained investment in infrastructure, professional development, and context-sensitive pedagogical models. In this light, the present scenario offers both proof of TBL's transformative possibilities and a reminder of the systemic reforms needed to make them universally accessible.

### **Potential and Strategic Advantages of Technology-Based Learning**

The rapid expansion of technology-based learning (TBL) has generated a range of pedagogical, social, and economic benefits that extend across diverse educational contexts. At its core, TBL enhances flexibility and accessibility, enabling learners to access educational resources anytime, anywhere, and at their own pace. This is particularly valuable for non-traditional learners, such as working professionals, individuals with caregiving responsibilities, and students in geographically remote or conflict-affected areas (OECD, 2023). By decoupling learning from rigid schedules and physical locations, TBL promotes inclusivity and widens participation in education.

Another major benefit lies in personalisation of learning pathways. Through adaptive learning systems powered by artificial intelligence, educational platforms can analyse learner performance data to adjust content difficulty, recommend supplementary resources, and provide targeted feedback (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2020). This personalisation not only supports differentiated instruction for diverse learners but also enables mastery learning approaches, in which students progress only after demonstrating proficiency in specific skills or concepts. Such adaptability can significantly improve learner engagement and retention, particularly for students who might struggle in uniform, one-size-fits-all instructional models.

TBL also strengthens learner engagement by integrating interactive and immersive elements. Gamification—through points, badges, leaderboards, and challenge-based tasks—has been shown to boost motivation, while virtual and augmented reality applications enable experiential learning in complex subjects such as engineering, medicine, and history (Radianti et al., 2020). Similarly, multimedia-rich content, interactive simulations, and collaborative online projects promote active participation, encouraging learners to take ownership of their learning process.

From an economic and developmental perspective, TBL presents opportunities for large-scale skill development aligned with labour market demands. Governments and industries can use TBL platforms to deliver targeted upskilling and reskilling programmes, often at a fraction of the cost of traditional in-person training (World Bank, 2022). In India, initiatives such as SWAYAM's skill development courses and private EdTech offerings cater to both academic and vocational learning needs, thereby supporting employability and lifelong learning goals. Moreover, the scalability of digital platforms allows for rapid dissemination of new content in response to emerging technological or industry trends.

TBL further enables bridging of geographic and socio-economic gaps. While infrastructural inequities persist, mobile-first learning solutions and offline-access features have made it possible for students in rural or underserved areas to engage with quality educational content. For example, DIKSHA's offline mode and multilingual resources have been instrumental in extending access to learners in low-connectivity regions. Such initiatives highlight the potential of TBL to act as a levelling force in education, provided that access barriers are systematically addressed. TBL offers rich opportunities for data-driven decision-making in education. Learning analytics can provide actionable insights into student behaviour, progress, and performance patterns, enabling educators and policymakers to design targeted interventions. This data-centric approach can inform curriculum refinement, early identification of at-risk students, and continuous improvement of digital learning tools. However, leveraging these benefits requires parallel attention to data privacy and ethical considerations to ensure that the advantages of analytics are realised without compromising learner rights.

Therefore, the benefits and opportunities of TBL are multidimensional, encompassing enhanced accessibility, personalised learning, increased engagement, economic scalability, and data-informed educational strategies. These advantages position TBL not merely as a stopgap solution for crisis situations, such as the

COVID-19 pandemic, but as a foundational pillar for future-ready education systems. The challenge ahead lies in ensuring that these benefits are equitably distributed and sustained through appropriate policy, infrastructure, and pedagogical innovation.

### **Constraints to Effective TBL Implementation**

While technology-based learning (TBL) offers significant benefits, its effective implementation is constrained by a series of structural, pedagogical, and ethical challenges. Foremost among these is the digital divide, which remains a persistent barrier in both developed and developing contexts. Unequal access to reliable internet connectivity, devices, and electricity disproportionately affects learners from rural, low-income, and marginalised communities, limiting their ability to participate fully in digital education (World Bank, 2022). In India, for instance, while mobile penetration is high, disparities in bandwidth quality, device compatibility, and digital literacy create a tiered access structure that risks widening educational inequalities rather than narrowing them.

A second challenge lies in teacher preparedness and professional development. The shift to TBL requires not only familiarity with digital tools but also the ability to integrate them meaningfully into pedagogy. Many educators, particularly in resource-constrained environments, lack training in instructional design for online or blended contexts, resulting in a tendency to replicate traditional lecture formats in digital environments without leveraging interactive or adaptive features (Hussain, 2021). Without sustained professional development, the pedagogical potential of TBL is underutilised, and its transformative capacity is diminished.

Content quality and standardisation present another limitation. The proliferation of digital learning platforms has led to wide variation in the accuracy, cultural relevance, and pedagogical soundness of available resources. In the absence of robust quality assurance mechanisms, learners may be exposed to outdated, fragmented, or non-aligned content, which can undermine learning outcomes (OECD, 2023). Moreover, the dominance of English-language content in many global platforms can disadvantage learners whose primary language is different, making localisation and multilingual content development critical for equitable TBL adoption.

The issue of learner engagement and self-regulation also warrants attention. While interactive features and gamification can increase motivation, TBL environments demand higher levels of self-discipline and time management compared to traditional classrooms. For some learners, especially younger students or those without strong self-regulated learning skills, the flexibility of TBL can lead to procrastination, inconsistent participation, or superficial engagement with material (Ryan & Deci, 2000). This challenge is compounded in environments where parental or community support for structured learning is limited.

Assessment and evaluation limitations further complicate the TBL landscape. While digital platforms enable automated quizzes and adaptive testing, measuring higher-order skills such as critical thinking, creativity, and collaboration remains challenging in online formats. Reliance on multiple-choice or algorithmically graded assessments can narrow the scope of evaluation, and remote assessment environments raise concerns about academic integrity (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2020).

Finally, privacy and data security have emerged as critical concerns in the expanding EdTech ecosystem. Learning analytics, while valuable for personalisation, involve the collection and processing of vast amounts of learner data. Without stringent data protection policies and transparent governance, there is a risk of misuse, unauthorised sharing, or commercial exploitation of sensitive information. This issue is particularly pressing in contexts wherein regulatory frameworks lag behind technological innovation.

In sum, while TBL has demonstrated its transformative potential, these challenges underscore the need for a balanced approach that combines technological advancement with equitable access, pedagogical capacity-building, quality assurance, learner support systems, and robust data governance. Without addressing these limitations, the promise of TBL may remain unevenly realised, reinforcing existing disparities and creating new forms of exclusion.

### **Recommendations and Future Prospects**

The preceding analysis of opportunities and challenges in technology-based learning (TBL) indicates that its long-term success depends on reinforcing its existing strengths while systematically addressing the barriers to equitable adoption. Several recommendations emerge directly from the discussion. First, the flexibility, accessibility, and personalisation that define TBL can only be sustained if the persistent digital divide is reduced through targeted investment in connectivity, device availability, and multilingual content development. This is particularly crucial in the Indian context, where mobile-first adoption patterns can be leveraged to reach rural and underserved learners, provided infrastructure gaps are addressed.

Second, the expansion of interactive and adaptive learning opportunities must be matched by sustained professional development for educators. As Section 5 highlighted, teacher preparedness remains uneven, with many instructors replicating traditional methods in digital spaces. Comprehensive training programmes—

integrating instructional design for online environments, learner engagement strategies, and the use of analytics for personalised feedback—would enhance the pedagogical value of TBL and ensure that its interactive potential is fully realised.

Third, the challenges of content quality and standardisation call for the establishment of rigorous quality assurance mechanisms, both at the institutional and policy levels. The success of initiatives such as SWAYAM and DIKSHA demonstrates the feasibility of centralised repositories offering accurate, curriculum-aligned, and culturally relevant resources. Expanding these repositories while encouraging collaborative content creation among educators could further enhance both reach and relevance.

The trajectory of TBL is likely to be shaped by emerging technologies such as AI-driven adaptive systems, immersive AR/VR environments, and blockchain-enabled credential verification. While their adoption in India is still in its early stages, their integration—if guided by mobile-first design principles and localised content—can offer transformative learning experiences. Globally, hybrid learning models are becoming the norm, combining the accessibility of digital platforms with the social and collaborative benefits of in-person learning. Similar blended approaches, adapted to India's socio-cultural context, could offer a sustainable pathway forward.

Therefore, the future prospects of TBL extend beyond the mere digitisation of traditional pedagogy. If the opportunities identified in Section 4 are actively cultivated, and the limitations in Section 5 are addressed through coordinated policy, technological innovation, and pedagogical reform, TBL can evolve into a core component of equitable, resilient, and future-ready education systems. Its continued success will depend on the extent to which stakeholders—educators, policymakers, technology providers, and learners—can collaborate to create learning environments that are inclusive, adaptable, and responsive to the changing demands of the twenty-first century.

## II. Conclusion

This study set out to examine the current state of technology-based learning (TBL), tracing its conceptual evolution, present applications, opportunities, and challenges. The analysis reveals that TBL has transitioned from a peripheral support tool to a central mechanism for delivering education in diverse contexts, driven by technological advances, policy initiatives, and changing learner needs. While it offers unprecedented flexibility, personalisation, and reach, these benefits are unevenly realised due to infrastructural gaps, disparities in teacher readiness, and issues of content quality and standardisation. The recommendations emerging from this study—focused on bridging the digital divide, strengthening pedagogical capacity, ensuring quality assurance, and embracing emerging technologies—are directly shaped by these findings. If implemented with attention to local contexts and informed by global best practices, they can help TBL mature into a sustainable and equitable model of learning. Ultimately, TBL's potential lies not only in replacing or supplementing traditional methods but in redefining the very parameters of education—making it more inclusive, adaptable, and future-ready. Its continued success will depend on coordinated action by policymakers, educators, technology providers, and learners to ensure that innovation translates into lasting educational impact.

## References

- [1]. "Access and Use of Digital" (n.d.). Access and use of Digital initiatives of NCERT under the aegis of MoE-Govt. of India. Central Institute of Educational Technology. URL: <https://ciet.ncert.gov.in/initiatives>
- [2]. Bandura, A. (1986). *Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory*. Prentice-Hall.
- [3]. Bawa, P. (2016). Retention in online courses: Exploring issues and solutions—A literature review. *SAGE Open*, 6(1), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244015621777>
- [4]. Gopal, R. et al (2021) Impact of online classes on the satisfaction and performance of students during the pandemic period of COVID 19. *Education and Information Technology* 26, 6923–6947. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10523-1>
- [5]. Harris, N. & Bacon, C. E. W. (2019) Developing Cognitive Skills Through Active Learning: A Systematic Review of Health Care Professions. *National Athletic Trainers' Association*. URL: <https://nata.kglmeridian.com/view/journals/atej/14/2/article-p135.xml>
- [6]. Hussain, M. (2021). Role of ICT In Enabling the Teaching-Learning Process for Attaining Learning Outcomes. *Educational Trend*. 2(1). URL: <https://ejournals.ncert.gov.in/index.php/ET/article/view/3646>
- [7]. Javaid, M. et al (2024). Digital economy to improve the culture of industry 4.0: A study on features, implementation and challenges. *Green Technologies and Sustainability*. 2(2). URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2949736124000101>
- [8]. Khalid, N., et al (2024). Theoretical underpinnings of technology-based interactive instruction. *Teaching and Learning in Nursing*, 19(1), e145–e149. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.teln.2023.10.004>
- [9]. Mayer, R. E. (2003). The promise of multimedia learning: Using the same instructional design methods across different media. *Learning and Instruction*, 13(2), 125–139. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-4752\(02\)00016-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-4752(02)00016-6)
- [10]. Mayer, R. E., & Moreno, R. (2003). Nine Ways to Reduce Cognitive Load in Multimedia Learning. *Educational Psychologist*, 38(1), 43–52. [https://doi.org/10.1207/S15326985EP3801\\_6](https://doi.org/10.1207/S15326985EP3801_6)
- [11]. OECD (2023). *OECD DIGITAL EDUCATION OUTLOOK 2023 Towards an Effective Digital Education Ecosystem*. URL: [https://www.oecd.org/content/dam/oecd/en/publications/reports/2023/12/oecd-digital-education-outlook-2023\\_c827b81a/c74f03de-en.pdf](https://www.oecd.org/content/dam/oecd/en/publications/reports/2023/12/oecd-digital-education-outlook-2023_c827b81a/c74f03de-en.pdf)
- [12]. Radianti, J., et al. (2020). A systematic review of immersive virtual reality applications for higher education: Design elements, lessons learned, and research agenda. *Computers & Education*, 147, 103778. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103778>
- [13]. Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. *American Psychologist*, 55(1), 68–78. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.55.1.68>

- [14]. Shi, Y. et al, (2019). College Students' Cognitive Learning Outcomes in Technology-Enabled Active Learning Environments: A Meta-Analysis of the Empirical Literature. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 58(4), 791-817. URL: <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/0735633119881477>
- [15]. Skulmowski, A., & Xu, K. M. (2022). Understanding cognitive load in digital and online learning: A new perspective on extraneous cognitive load. *Educational Psychology Review*, 34(1), 171–196. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-021-09624-7>
- [16]. Sweller, J. (1994). Cognitive load theory, learning difficulty, and instructional design. *Learning and Instruction*, 4(4), 295–312. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0959-4752\(94\)90003-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0959-4752(94)90003-5)
- [17]. World Bank (n.d.) "Digital Technologies in Education". URL: <https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/edutech>
- [18]. World Economic Forum (2020). The Future of Jobs Report 2020. URL [https://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF\\_Future\\_of\\_Jobs\\_2020.pdf](https://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_Future_of_Jobs_2020.pdf)
- [19]. Zawacki-Richter, O. (2019). "Systematic review of research on Artificial Intelligence Applications in higher education – where are the educators? *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*. 16:39 URL: <https://d-nb.info/1204837163/34>