The Effect of Sulfamethoxazole and Selenium on Antioxidant Defense System in the Blood of Rats Treated With DEN
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Objective: This study aims to elucidate the combined effect of sulfamethoxazole (SMX) and antioxidant selenium (Se) on hematological parameters and antioxidant system in diethylnitrosamine (DEN) induced toxicity in rats.

Materials and Methods: Forty two male albino wistar rats were randomly assigned into seven groups of six rats each. Group 1 was control, while groups 2 (DEN control), group 3 (SMX control), group 4 (Se control), group 5 (SMX + Selenium control), group 6 (THR) and group 7 (PRH), received 30mg/kg sulfamethoxazole hydrochloride, 4 mg/ kg sodium selenite orally and single dose of 150 mg/kg DEN i.p. for 22 weeks, respectively. Rats in all seven groups received normal rat chow and drinking water ad libitum. Complete blood cell count was done using an automatic counter.

Results and Discussion: Rats treated with DEN showed severe toxic signs and significant decrease (P<0.05) white blood cell, red blood cell, hemoglobin concentration. However, the mean neutrophils count in the all groups was decreased significantly (P<0.001) except in the animals of group 4. The RBC count of the groups 4 and 6 was significantly higher than that of the animals of groups 2 and 7 (P<0.001). The antioxidant activity of enzymes GST, catalase, GPx and SOD in the animals of group 6 were found to be SMX significant increased but a significant decrease (P <0.001) in the levels of GSH was observed when compared with DEN treated group. However, co-administration of sulfamethoxazole (SMX) and selenium (Se) had a protective effect on the potential carcinogen DEN.

Conclusion: Thus it is concluded that oral administration of sulfamethoxazole and selenium increases RBC, Hb concentration, neutrophils and antioxidant enzymes in treatment group.
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I. Introduction

1.1. LIVER CANCER

Liver cancer is the leading cause of death in economically developed countries and the second leading cause of death in developing countries 1. Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the most common primary malignancy of the liver. Worldwide, approximately 500,000 new patients are diagnosed with HCC each year, often associated with poor prognosis 2. Also, it is reported that nearly 77% of deaths from HCC occur in developing countries. The prognosis of HCC is dismal with 5-year survival being 1–4% 3. The prevalence of HCC in India varies from 0.2 to 1.6% 4,5.

1.2. N-NITROSODIETHYLAMINE (DEN)

N-nitrosodiethylamine (DEN) is often used as a carcinogenic agent. The oxidative stress caused by DEN can contribute to hepatocarcinogenesis 6,7. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) generated by the P450-dependent enzymatic system might induce oxidative stress by the formation of hydrogen peroxide and superoxide anions. Production of ROS is known to cause DNA, protein and lipid damage; therefore, oxidative stress can play an important role in carcinogenesis 8,9.

1.3. SULFAMETHOXAZOLE(SMX)

Sulfamethoxazole being the drug of sulphonamide series acts as a competitive inhibitor of the enzyme dihydropteroate synthase (DHPS) which catalyses the conversion of para-aminobenzoate (PABA) to dihydropteroate (AHMHD), a precursor of folate synthesis necessary for the formation of nucleic acids that are essential as building blocks of RNA or DNA 10. As a result, it is possible to inhibit the synthesis of nucleic acids and thus proteins. The hydroxylamine and nitroso derivatives of sulfamethoxazole have been implicated in sulfonamide adverse drug reactions and induce sulfonamide- mediated immunomodulatory effects 11,12. Sulfonamides inhibit the synthesis of purine nucleotides and nucleic acids, these inhibitors also affects the proliferation of normally growing cells by competitively inhibit dihydrofolate reductase and block the formation of tetrahydrofolate (THF) providing hindrance in the biosynthesis of Purines, Thymine nucleotides and hence DNA is impaired, this result in blockage of cell proliferation.
I.4. SELINIUM (Se)

Selenium is an essential trace element in the human body, an important part of the antioxidant enzymes that protect cells against the effects of free radicals that are produced during the normal oxygen metabolism. The body has developed defenses, such as antioxidants, to control the levels of free radicals, which can damage cells and contribute to the development of some chronic diseases. These selenoproteins include glutathione peroxidase (GPx) and thioredoxin reductase (TrxR), which have important antioxidant and detoxification functions.

Mechanisms for anticancer action of selenium are not fully understood; however, several theories have been proposed, the important ones being antioxidant protection, enhanced carcinogen detoxification, enhanced immune surveillance, modulation of cell proliferation (cell cycle and apoptosis), inhibition of tumor cell invasion, and inhibition of angiogenesis.

II. Materials and Methods

II.1. Drugs and Chemicals:

Selenium, DEN was procured from Sigma-Aldrich Chemicals Co. St. Louis, USA and Sulfamethoxazole hydrochloride was provided as a gift sample from ASOJ pharmaceutical limited, Ahmadabad, Gujrat, India Chloroform and Diethyl ether from S.D. Fine Chem. Ltd. Mumbai. Disodium Hydrogen Phosphate was purchased from Merck Specialities Pvt. Ltd. Mumbai. All the chemicals were of analytical grade.

II.2. Animals:

This experiment was carried out on wistar rats weighing 100-125 g. They were procured from the animal house facility of Siddhartha Institute of Pharmacy for these experiments. Animals were caged in group of six under controlled conditions of temperature (22°C ± 3°C) and light (14:10h light and dark cycle) and provided balanced pellet diet and water ad libitum. The Protocol was approved by the Institutional Animal Ethical Committee (IAEC) (Reg. no. SIP/IAEC/17/2011) as per the guidance of the Committee for the Purpose of Control and Supervision of Experiments on Animals (CPCSEA); Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment, Government of India and taken for conducting research studies.

II.3. Dose selection of DEN, sulfamethoxazole and sodium selenite for induction and treatment of hepatocarcinogenesis induction

The doses of DEN, sulfamethoxazole and sodium selenium were selected as per the model reported by Ghosh A.S., Lawrence D. Mayer et al and Alwahaidi et al respectively.

II.4. Experimental Design

Experiment animals were divided into 7 groups, 6 rats in each group, as follow:

***Group 1 (NC):*** rats were given normal rat chow and drinking water. Also, a single intraperitoneal (i.p) injection of normal saline was given.

***Group 2 (DEN):*** liver tumors were induced with a single I.P injection of DEN at a dose of 150 mg/kg body weight in normal saline.

***Group 3 (SMX):*** Rats in this group served as a control for group 6 and group 7. Rats were given sulfamethoxazole for 22 weeks orally (30mg/kg). Instead of DEN, a single i.p. injection of normal saline was given.

***Group 4 (Se):*** Rats in this group served as a control for group 6 and group 7. Rats were given sodium selenite for 22 weeks (4mg/kg) for 22 weeks. No DEN was given. Instead, a single i.p. injection of normal saline was given.

***Group 5 (SMX+Se):*** Animals in this group designed as a control for group 6 and group 7. Animals were given sodium selenite (4mg/kg) and sulfamethoxazole (30mg/kg) in combination, orally for 22 consecutive weeks. No DEN was given. Only single i.p. injection of normal saline was given.

***Group 6 Therapeutic group (THR):*** 8 weeks after single dose of DEN administration, the rats were treated with sodium selenite (4mg/kg) and sulfamethoxazole (30mg/kg) in combination, orally for 22 weeks.

***Group 7 Prophylactic group (PRH):*** 8 weeks before single dose of DEN administration, animals were given sulfamethoxazole + Selenium combination orally, and continued at the end of experiment (22 weeks).

II.5. Record of body weights

A record of the body weights of normal control, DEN, sulfamethoxazole, selenium, and combination of both treated animals was kept throughout the study. The animals were weighed at the beginning of the experiment, once a week during the experiment and finally before sacrifice. Diet was freshly prepared and wood...
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chips for bedding were changed weekly. 22 weeks after the initiation of the experiment; all the rats were fasted overnight and then killed by cervical dislocation under ether anesthesia.

II.6. Sampling and Analysis of biochemical parameters:
Blood was collected from all groups directly from retro-orbital plexus after anesthetizing the animal with a mixture of chloroform-ether (2:3). Blood samples were collected in EDTA anticoagulant tubes (ethylene diamine tetra-acetic acid 8.5%), shake well and labeled and immediately stored in fridge device till it was required to conduct analysis which included - white blood cell count (WBC), red blood cells count (RBC), hemoglobin concentration (Hg), and the lymphocytes. The abdominal cavity of rats was dissected immediately after decapitation and the liver was rapidly removed, washed by ice-cold saline, weighed and blotted dry. A portion of the liver was homogenized in ice-cold saline and stored in defreeze for further use. 1 % homogenate of the liver tissue was prepared in phosphate buffer (0.1 M; pH 7.4), centrifuged, and the clear supernatant using a centrifuge at 1000 rpm for 15 minutes at 4°C to remove the cell debris and the supernatant was used to carry out the biochemical estimations which include lipid peroxidation (LPO), superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), glutathione peroxidase (GPx), and glutathione transferase (GST) 24. However, hemoglobin, TLC, neutrophils, lymphocyte and RBCs was estimated using a standard kit (Nicholas India Pvt. Ltd.) with semi-auto analyzer (photometer 5010, Nicholas India Pvt. Ltd).

II.7. Lipid peroxidation and antioxidant defense system enzymes
Lipid peroxidation was assayed according to the method of Wills 25. One of the end products of lipid peroxidation is malondialdehyde (MDA), which forms a pink colored complex with thiobarbituric acid with absorption maxima at 532 nm. Glutathione-Peroxidase enzyme activity was assayed using glutathione reductase and H₂O₂ as substrates, and the optical density was read at 340 nm with a double beam spectrophotometer 26. The activity of total SOD was measured at 560 nm following the method of Kono 27. The enzymatic determination of catalase was performed according to the method of Luck 28 and the concentration of H₂O₂ was monitored at 240 nm. The activity of glutathione-S-transferase was estimated according to the method of Habig et al 29. Reduced GSH contents were determined using the method of Ellman 30. Glutathione reductase (GR) activity was assayed using the method of Carlberg et al 31.

II.8. Statistical Analysis:
The results were expressed as Mean ± S.E.M. Statistical significance between more than two groups was tested using one-way ANOVA and a multiple post hoc test (LSD). The significance was set at P < 0.05. The results are represented as mean ± SD.

III. Results

Three mortality were observed in Group 2 (week 4), Group 6 (week 4), and Group 7 (week 10). The overall survival rate was 92.85%.

III.1. Body weight changes:
Relatively more weight losses were observed in the group 2 (353.05 ±17.32) and Group 3 showed less decrease in body weight (405.28 ±13.7) as compared with group 1 (444.13 ± 39.5). In case of group 4, there was significant increase in the body weight (426.02 ±11.32) as compared to group 2. The group 7 showed the body weight significantly increased (395.05 ±20.10) when compared to group 2 and group 6 showed significant (413.37±15.73) improved in body weight were noticed.

III.2. Hematological Analysis:
Hematological and serum variables for DEN-treated and control rats are shown in (Figure 1-6). Hemoglobin concentration was significantly lower in rats of groups 3 and 5 (7.43±0. 46 and 8.22 ± 0.139) respectively when compared to the animals of groups 1 and 4 (13.00±0.353 and 14.2 ± 0.75) (p <0.001). No significant changes were observed in total leukocyte counts. The mean neutrophils count in the all groups was decreased significantly (P<0.001) except in the animals of group 4, as compared to the animals of group 1. The mean RBC count in the animals of the groups 1, 2, and 6 were 9.66 ± 0.22, 8.18 ± 0.08 and 6.840 ± 0.0927 10⁶/mm³ respectively. The RBC count of the groups 4 and 6 was significantly higher than that of the animals of groups 2 and 7 (P<0.001).

III.3. Antioxidant Status:
In this study, malondialdehyde (MDA) levels are a direct indicator of lipid peroxidation and they were observed to be significantly decreased after DEN treatment (P < 0.001). Se control treatment of normal rats did not indicate any changes in MDA levels. DEN treatment to animals resulted in a significant decrease (P <
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0.001) in the enzymes activities of GST, SOD, catalase and GPx. In contrast, a significant increase (P < 0.01) in the levels of GSH was observed following DEN treatment (Table 2). However, SMX + Se treatment to DEN treated animals resulted in a significant elevation in the activities of enzymes GST, catalase, GPx and SOD, but caused a significant decrease (P < 0.001) in the levels of GSH when compared with DEN treated group. (Table 1)

IV. Discussion

Figure 2-6 shows the levels of hemoglobin, TLC, Neutrophils count, Lymphocytes count and RBC count in the control and experimental groups of rats. Increased RBC, hemoglobin level in the animals of group 4 indicated the protective effects of selenium (sodium selenite) on the hemopoietic system. The maximum recovery was observed in many of the hematological parameters (Hemoglobin, RBC and TLC) in the group of 6. The blood cells are the mobile units of the body’s protective system. Increased total leukocyte count (p<0.01) in the animals of group 2 indicate decreased resistance of the body to toxicity induced by DEN. Decreased RBC count (p<0.001), hemoglobin (p<0.01) also indicate the severity of hepatic damage induced by DEN. Decrease in the hemoglobin levels might be due to increased catabolism and degradation of hemoglobin. Reduction in hemoglobin content can be related to decrease in RBC number which in turn indicates anemic induction 43-44. Induction of anemic status in toxicity and HCC cancer are well established. Increased RBC and WBC contents (neutrophils and lymphocytes) and increased levels of hemoglobin in the drug treated group 6 along with the toxin DEN indicate the protective effect of the combination SMX + Se on the hemopoietic system.

Neutrophils are the major granulocytes to be activated when the body gives the response to any antigen and they provide the first line of defense. In the animals of group 2, neutrophils was increased significantly (P<0.001) as compared to the animals of group 1. It is also clear that the increase level of neutrophils in DEN group is the result of release of inflammation mediators in to the blood.

The protective effects of selenium on the oxidative stress enzymes were observed in an experimental model of DEN-induced liver carcinogenesis. The study clearly indicates that the administration of selenium attenuates the DEN induced alterations in the levels of lipid peroxidation and the overall antioxidant enzymatic status in the rat liver.

In the present study, the levels of lipid peroxidation in the hepatic tissues were decreased after 22 week of DEN treatment. Previous studies have shown reduced rates of lipid peroxidation in the tumor tissue of various types of cancers 35-38. Our results indicate that a decrease in the levels of MDA can be attributed to increased cell proliferation, which is thought to be involved in the pathogenesis of liver cancer. Cancer cells acquire particular characteristics that promote their proliferation 39 and tend to proliferate faster when the lipid peroxidation level is low. Therefore, the decreased lipid peroxidation observed in DEN-treated rats could be due to increased cell proliferation. The malignant tissues seem to be less susceptible and more resistant to free radical attack, and hence lipid peroxidation is less intense 40. Interestingly, simultaneous SMX+Se treatment to DEN treated animals showed an increase in the levels of MDA. The observed increased levels of LPO under SMX+Se treatment could be as a consequence of the inhibitory action of selenium on the proliferative activity of cancerous cells. Aerobic cells are endowed with extensive antioxidant defense mechanisms including both low molecular weight scavengers, which counteract the damaging effects of toxic oxygen species 41-42 and endogenous antioxidant enzyme such as superoxide dismutase (SOD) which converts the superoxide free radical anion to hydrogen peroxide. Our studies have also shown reduced catalase levels in DEN treated rats. The reduction in catalase level correlated well with tumor stage according to Dukes, suggesting that this peroxisomal enzyme could be used as a potential prognostic marker 43. Besides, enhanced GSH level was also observed following DEN treatment. This might be due to the increased cell proliferation involved in the pathogenesis of DEN-induced liver cancer 44. It was previously demonstrated that GSH is expressed in greater amounts in the neoplastic cells, conferring a selective growth advantage 45. Thus, the elevated GSH levels observed in our study might be used as a marker of cell proliferation. The antioxidant enzymes SOD, GPx and catalase limit the effects of oxidant molecules on tissues and are activated in the defense against oxidative cell injury by means of their being free radical scavengers 46. In the present study, SOD, GPx and catalase activities were found to be significantly decreased following DEN treatment, when compared to the animals of the normal control (group 1). The antioxidant activity of selenium can be explained by its important role in preventing lipid peroxidation and in protection of integrity and functioning of tissues and cells. This mechanism has been well documented to be important for the chemopreventive activity of many thiol-reactive chemopreventive agents 47-49. The ability of selenium compounds to inhibit growth and induce tumor cell apoptosis has been suggested to be a potential mechanism for cancer chemoprevention. 50

V. Conclusion
In conclusion, the result of this study suggests that sulfamethoxazole + selenium has a positive beneficial effect against the chemically induced hepatocarcinogenesis in rats, which provides an effective chemopreventive combination approach to manage the disease. However, further studies are warranted with regard to other bioassays and documentation of specific molecular markers to establish the exact mechanism for sulfamethoxazole + selenium mediated chemoprevention of cancer. Moreover further exploration of the combination is required to be done by the researchers in terms of other carcinoma.
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Figure 1: Effect of Sulfamethoxazole with selenium on hematologic parameters of animals

Mean ± S.E.M., n=6; one way ANOVA followed by multiple post hoc test.

NC (0.9% saline p.o.), DEN (Diethylenitosamine, 150mg/kg i.p.), SMX (Sulfamethoxazole, 30 mg/kg p.o.)
Se (Sodium selenite 4mg/kg p.o.), SMX+Se (Sulfamethoxazole+ Sodium selenite,30 mg/kg+4 mg/kg p.o.)

a p< 0.05 normal versus disease control animals, b p<0.001 disease control versus treated animals
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Figure 2: Effect of Sulfamethoxazole with selenium on hemoglobin of animals
Mean ± S.E.M., n=6; one way ANOVA followed by multiple post hoc test.
NC (0.9% saline p.o.), DEN (Diethylenitrosamine, 150mg/kg i.p.), SMX (Sulfamethoxazole, 30 mg/kg p.o.)
Se (Sodium selenite 4mg/kg p.o.), SMX+Se (Sulfamethoxazole+ Sodium selenite,30 mg/kg+4 mg/kg p.o.)

Figure 3: Effect of Sulphamethoxazole with selenium on total leukocytes count of animals
Mean ± S.E.M., n=6; one way ANOVA followed by multiple post hoc test.
NC (0.9% saline p.o.), DEN (Diethylenitrosamine, 150mg/kg i.p.), SMX (Sulfamethoxazole, 30 mg/kg p.o.)
Se (Sodium selenite 4mg/kg p.o.), SMX+Se (Sulfamethoxazole+ Sodium selenite,30 mg/kg+4 mg/kg p.o.)

Figure 4: Effect of Sulphamethoxazole with selenium on Neutrophils count on animals
NC (0.9% saline p.o.), DEN (Diethylenitrosamine, 150mg/kg i.p.), SMX (Sulfamethoxazole, 30 mg/kg p.o.)
Se (Sodium selenite 4mg/kg p.o.), SMX+Se (Sulfamethoxazole+ Sodium selenite,30 mg/kg+4 mg/kg p.o.)
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Figure 5: Effect of Sulphamethoxazole with selenium on lymphocyte count of animals
Mean ± S.E.M., n=6; one way ANOVA followed by multiple post hoc test.
NC (0.9% saline p.o.), DEN (Diethylenitrosamine, 150mg/kg i.p.), SMX (Sulphamethoxazole, 30 mg/kg p.o.)
Se (Sodium selenite 4mg/kg p.o.), SMX+Se (Sulphamethoxazole+ Sodium selenite,30 mg/kg+4 mg/kg p.o.)

Figure 6: Effect of Sulphamethoxazole with selenium on RBC count of animals
Mean ± S.E.M., n=6; one way ANOVA followed by multiple post hoc test.
NC (0.9% saline p.o.), DEN (Diethylenitrosamine, 150mg/kg i.p.), SMX (Sulphamethoxazole, 30 mg/kg p.o.)
Se (Sodium selenite 4mg/kg p.o.), SMX+Se (Sulphamethoxazole+ Sodium selenite,30 mg/kg+4 mg/kg p.o.)
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Table 1. Effect of Sulfamethoxazole + selenium on lipid peroxidation and antioxidant enzymes in the liver of rats subjected to 22 wk of DEN treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.no</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>LPO (nmoles of MDA/min/100 mg protein)</th>
<th>CAT (mmol of H₂O₂ decomposed/min/mg protein)</th>
<th>SOD (LU)</th>
<th>GPx (mmol NADPH oxidized/min/mg protein)</th>
<th>GSH(μmol GSH/g tissue)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>3.21 ± 0.38</td>
<td>1.24 ± 0.200</td>
<td>6.45 ± 0.617</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.63 ± 0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>DEN</td>
<td>2.24 ± 0.35*</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.03*</td>
<td>4.45 ± 0.36*</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.15*</td>
<td>1.09 ± 0.03*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>SMX</td>
<td>2.34 ± 0.23</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.34</td>
<td>4.89 ± 0.65</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.56</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Se</td>
<td>3.24 ± 0.20</td>
<td>1.24 ± 0.13</td>
<td>6.29 ± 0.54</td>
<td>0.72 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>SMX+Se</td>
<td>2.09 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.99± 0.11</td>
<td>5.01± 0.78</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.14</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>THR</td>
<td>3.01 ± 0.21#</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.28#</td>
<td>5.67 ± 0.47#</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.17#</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.07#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>PRH</td>
<td>2.99 ± 0.32**</td>
<td>1.01 ± 0.54#</td>
<td>5.14 ± 0.34*,#</td>
<td>0.63 ± 0.26#</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.45#</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean ± S.E.M., n=6; one way ANOVA followed by multiple post hoc test.
NC (0.9% saline p.o.), DEN (Diethylenitrosamine, 150mg/kg i.p.), SMX (Sulphamethoxazole, 30 mg/kg p.o.)
Se (Sodium selenite 4mg/kg p.o.), SMX+Se (Sulphamethoxazole+ Sodium selenite,30 mg/kg+4 mg/kg p.o.)
Significant at **P < 0.05 Normal control versus Disease control animal
*P < 0.001 Disease control versus treated animals
# P< 0.001 Disease control versus treated animals