

The bacteriological quality of Hamburger patties from fast-food restaurants in Umuahia, Nigeria

*Edward, K.C.¹, Ikpawho, E.¹ and Ibekwe, V.I.²

¹Department of Microbiology, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Abia State

²Department of Microbiology, Federal University of Technology, Owerri, Imo State

Abstract: Bacteriological analysis was conducted on sixteen samples of Hamburger patties from eight (A-H) fast-food restaurants in Umuahia. Eight of the samples were made of ground chicken (A₁-H₁) and the other eight samples were made of ground beef (A₂-H₂). Both C₁ and E₁ samples gave the highest *Escherichia coli* count of 3.9 Log₁₀ cfu/g while sample E₂ gave the highest count of 3.7 Log₁₀ cfu/g amongst the beef samples. Samples C₁ and C₂ gave the highest *Staphylococcal* count of 3.95 Log₁₀ cfu/g and 3.7 Log₁₀ cfu/g respectively. The *Salmonella* count of 2.4 Log₁₀ cfu/g was recorded by samples C₁ and E₂. The highest total aerobic plate count was 4.29 Log₁₀ cfu/g from sample E₂ while the lowest was recorded by sample D₁ with a count of 3.3 Log₁₀ cfu/g. Bacterial species isolated includes; *S.aureus*, *E.coli*, *Bacillus spp*, *Proteus spp*, *Micrococcus spp*, *Pseudomonas spp* and *Salmonella spp*. The presence of food-borne pathogens beyond the acceptable limit is of public health importance.

Keywords- Bacteriological quality, Fast-food, Hamburger patties, Umuahia

I. Introduction

From its birth in the 1940's in Southern California, the growth of fast food industry has been nothing less than astonishing. It has become so routine and so thoroughly mundane, that it is now taken for granted like brushing of teeth. In Nigeria, the fast food industry has grown tremendously in the 21st century. Every major city in Nigeria is flooded with fast food restaurant. Worldwide, more money are now spent on fast food than on newspapers, magazines, books, movies, videos and recorded music combined.

Hamburger is one of the most popular fast foods, which carries considerable amount of meat all over the world. After production, Hamburger patties are mainly being kept cold or frozen until they were used in many restaurants (Ciftcioglu *et al.*, 2008). The main raw material is minced beef, though fat and other ingredients may be included. (Fortuna *et al.*, 2012; USDA/FSIS, 2012).

Microbial pathogen control in hamburger patty production poses several challenges. Ground meats are a troublesome source of food borne infection. Intensive handling and complex preservation issues in preparation promote pathogen growth and transmission (Fortuna *et al.*, 2012). Grinding operations typically take raw beef trimmings from multiple sources and mix these inputs together to make patties. Meat trimmings may carry high pathogenic loads because of how they are being handled and because they have multiple exposed surfaces. The grinding operation itself disperses pathogens present on the trimmings throughout the ground product and there is opportunity for those pathogens to multiply in the subsequent supply chain.

The primary method of destroying pathogens in hamburger patties is to cook them to a proper internal temperature (Nester *et al.*, 2008). USDA recommend slowest heating points for hamburger patties of 71.1^oC /160^oF (Clavero *et al.*,1998; USDA/FSIS, 2012) or 70^oC for 2min (FDA, 2007). Ground poultry should be cooked to an internal temperature of 74^oC (165^oC). According to USDA/FSIS (2012), re-heated patties should be cooked to an internal temperature of 73.9^oC (165^oF). Premature browning is a food safety issue; because hamburger patties appear fully cooked (brown), even though they have not reached an internal temperature sufficient to kill pathogens (Hague *et al.*, 1994).

Although research indicates that food safety is not a factor which influences the public's choice when selecting an eating establishment (Leach *et al.*, 2001), the detection and characterization of pathogens in food is very important in the control and prevention of food poisoning outbreaks. This work is therefore aimed at determining the microbiological quality of Hamburger patties from fast food restaurants in Umuahia, Nigeria.

II. Materials And Methods

Sample collection:

Sixteen Hamburger patties {eight of chicken (A₁-H₁) and {eight of beef (A₂-H₂)} were bought from eight fast food restaurants in Umuahia, the samples were immediately transported to the laboratory in well wrapped, clean, sterile aluminum foil.

Isolation and enumeration of microorganisms

The bacteriological quality of Hamburger patties from fast-food restaurants in Umuhia, Nigeria

Nutrient agar, Salmonella shigella agar, Mannitol Salt agar, Eosin Methylene blue agar, were prepared according to manufacturer’s instruction and sterilized by autoclaving at 121°C for 15mins. Hamburger patties were analyzed after aseptic removal of the bread/bun component of the hamburgers. 10grams of each patty was blended in a sterile blender with 90mls of normal saline for two minutes. 10 fold serial dilutions were prepared and 1ml of the relevant dilutions was inoculated onto duplicate plates of the already prepared media using the pour plate technique. The plates were then incubated at 37°C for 24-48h and examined for colony formation. After incubation, colonies were counted using a colony counter (Gallencamp) and then the colonial counts recorded as cfu/g were converted to log 10 cfu/g. Pure cultures of isolates were obtained by sub-culturing in fresh medium using the streak plate method.

Identification of isolates

Bacterial isolates were identified based on standard microbiological cultural, morphological and biochemical characteristics as described by Cowan and Steel, (1965), Prescott *et al.* (1996) and Cheesebrough, (2006). The characteristics and biochemical tests include; Gram reaction, endospore staining, catalase, urease, coagulase, citrate, oxidase, sugar fermentation, methyl red-Voges proskauer and indole tests.

Table 1: Microbial counts for organisms of public health interest in burger patties

Samples	Microbial counts (Log ₁₀ cfu/g)			
	Total aerobic plate count	<i>E.coli</i>	<i>Staphylococcus</i>	<i>Salmonella</i>
A1	4.10	3.58	3.50	0
B1	3.60	2.80	2.84	0
C1	4.20	3.90	3.95	2.40
D ₁	3.30	2.70	2.80	0
E ₁	4.50	3.90	3.60	2.30
F ₁	3.60	2.78	2.80	0
G ₁	3.40	2.90	2.84	0
H ₁	3.70	2.80	2.97	0
A ₂	4.00	3.52	3.43	0
B ₂	3.75	2.90	2.97	0
C ₂	3.75	3.50	3.70	0
D ₂	3.50	2.87	2.90	0
E ₂	4.29	3.70	3.60	2.40
F ₂	3.50	2.85	2.90	0
G ₂	3.60	2.70	2.80	0
H ₂	3.50	2.78	2.70	0
APHA acceptable limit	□ 5.00 Log ₁₀ cfu/g	□ 2.00 log ₁₀ cfu/g	□ 2.00 log ₁₀ cfu/g	0/25g

Key:A1-H1=Chicken Burger, A2-H2=Beef Burger, APHA=American Public Health Association

Table 2: Percentage occurrence of bacterial isolates in burger patties

Isolates Samples	<i>Bacillus</i>	<i>Staphylococcus</i>	<i>Pseudomonas</i>	<i>Proteus</i>	<i>Micrococcus</i>	<i>Salmonella</i>	<i>E.coli</i>	percentage
A1	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	57%
B1	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	29%
C1	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
D ₁	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	29%
E ₁	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
F ₁	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	57%
G ₁	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	57%
H ₁	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	43%
A ₂	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	57%
B ₂	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	43%
C ₂	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	57%
D ₂	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	29%
E ₂	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	86%
F ₂	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	57%
G ₂	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	57%
H ₂	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	57%
%	38%	100%	31%	63%	50%	19%	100%	

Key: + = present, - = absent

III. Discussion

Most of the burger patties analyzed posed zero to low microbial risks i.e they were within acceptable limits (\square 5.0 Log₁₀ cfu/g for total aerobic plate counts, \square 2.0 Log₁₀ cfu/g for *S.aureus* and *E. coli* count and 0/25g for *Salmonella* (APHA, 2004). However, the bacterial quality of the samples also varied with the fast – food restaurants, with high microbial load from two of the fast-food restaurants ‘C and E’ ranging from 3.9 Log₁₀cfu/g -4.5 Log₁₀cfu/g (TABLE1). The high microbial load, though, within acceptable limits in the samples can be attributed to what Johnston and Tompskins (1992) described as some low level of contamination which occurs during handling, packaging or serving of cooked products on surface of the product from equipment’s and food handlers.

Growth of *Salmonella* was found in three samples, fast-food restaurants C₁, E₁ and E₂ (TABLE1). E₁ recorded 2.3 Log₁₀cfu/g, while sample E₂ and C₁ recorded 2.4 Log₁₀cfu/g respectively. Improper preparation and handling of foods at food service establishments are primary factors in *Salmonella* outbreaks (Jay, 1992). *S. aureus* recorded a highest count of 3.95Log₁₀cfu/g from fast food C₁, which might be attributed to low level of food hygiene practices (TABLE1). The presence of small number of *S. aureus* is not uncommon (Adams and Moss, 2000). Human contact with cooked food invariably introduces *S. aureus* of levels 10¹ or 10² to many sample units, (Surkiewicz, *et al.*, 1973), such levels are harmless but offer sufficient inoculums for growth. Sample C₁ and E₁ recorded the highest counts of *E. coli* which was 3.9 Log₁₀cfu/g (TABLE1). Unavoidable contamination usually will add coliforms of level 10¹ or 10² per gram to the surface of the product (Johnson and Tompskins, 1992). The presence of coliforms on the surface of properly cooked meat products indicate post process contaminations and warrants investigation of the condition of preparation (Speck, 1976). Also human contacts may sometimes introduce *E. coli*.

Other organisms like, *Proteus*, *Micrococcus*, *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus*, were found in their small numbers during the course of the research work (TABLE2). These organisms might be associated with the environment According to Killinger *et al.*, (2000), consumers often believe that a brown internal color indicates that a patty is fully cooked. The food safety and inspection service, the food and drug Administration and the centre for disease control and prevention recommend that consumers use a thermometer to cook hamburgers to 160⁰F(71⁰C) USDA/FSIS (1998a) with no reference to internal color.

IV. Conclusion

From this research it is evident that eating of hamburger patties might pose a health risk even though as yet there have not been any report of any outbreak assigned to its consumption in Nigeria. However, to ensure the safety and health of their customers, fast-food restaurants should inculcate food safety practices and habits in their staff and food processing. The critical control points to preventing food borne illness such as preventing cross – contamination from the raw products to ready to eat foods, using adequate time and temperatures for cooking (160⁰F or 71⁰C), avoiding recontamination after cooking, by surfaces previously contaminated with the raw meat, and properly chilling and storing meat after mincing should be emphasized. Food handlers should also be trained on hygienic food handling practices and safety.

References

- [1] N.M.D. Ahmed, E. Conner and D.I. Huffman, Heat resistance of Escherichia coli 0157:H7 in meat and poultry as affected by poultry composition, *Journal of Food Science*, 60(3), 1995, 600-610.
- [2] M.R.S. Clavero, L.R. Beauchat and M.P. Doyle, Thermal inactivation of Escherichia coli 0157:H7 isolated from ground beef and bovine faeces, suitability of media for enumeration. *Journal of Food Protection*, 61(4), 1998, 285-289.
- [3] S.T. Cowan, and K.J. Steel, *Manual for identification of bacteria* 2nd ed. (London: Cambridge University Press, 1965), pp163-169
- [4] M.A. Hague, K.E. Warren, M.C. Hunt, D.H. Kropf, C.L. Kastner, S.L. Stroda and D.E. Johnson, End point temperature, internal cooked color and expressible juice color relationships in ground beef patties. *Journal of Food Science*, 5, 1994, 465-470.
- [5] J.M. Jay, *Modern Food Microbiology* (New York :Chapman and Hall, 1992) pp 507-526
- [6] R.W. Johnstons and R.B. Tompskins, *Meat and poultry products*. Compendium of methods for the microbiological examination of foods. (Washington, D.C: American Public Health Association, 1992), pp821-835
- [7] K.M. Killinger, M.C. Hunt, R.E. Campbell and D.H. Kropf, Factors affecting premature browning during cooking of store-purchased ground beef, *Journal of Food Science*, 65(4), 2000, 585-587.
- [8] J. Leach, H. Mercer, G. Stew and S. Denyer, Improving food hygiene standards- a customer focused approach, *British Food Journal*, 3(4), 2001, 238-252.
- [9] E.W. Nester, D.G.C. Anderson, R. Evans and M.T. Nester, *Microbiology: Human perspective* 5th ed.(New York: McGraw-Hill Co Inc., 2008) Pp210-808.
- [10] L.M. Prescott, J.P. Harley, and D.A. Klein, *Microbiology Laboratory Exercise* 3rd ed, (New York: Block Dot Inc.1996) pp40-420
- [11] B.F. Surkiewicz, M.E. Harris and R.W. Johnson, Bacteriological Survey of frozen meat and gravy produced at establishments under Federal inspection, *Applied Microbiology*, 26(4), 1973, 574-576.
- [12] G. Ciftcioglu, O.O. Arun, A. Vural, A. Aiydin and H. Aksu, (Survival of *E.coli* 0157:H7 in minced meat and Hamburger patties, *Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment*, 6(1), 2008, 24-27.
- [13] Speck, M.L.. *Meat and poultry products*. Compendium of Methods for the microbiological examination of food. (Washington, D.C.: American Public Health Association, 1976) pp540-548
- [14] USDA/FSIS, *Food safety Information, Ground Beef and food safety* (USDA/FSIS, 2012).
- [15] FDA, revised guidance on safe cooking of burger, (FDA,2007)
- [16] J.L. Fortuna, E.Rosendo do Nascimento and R.M. Franco, detection of Salmonella spp in Hamburgers: a comparison between modified standard and salmocyst method, *Internet Journal of Food safety*, 14, 2012, 104-112.