Molecular Identification of Specific Virulence Genes in Enteropathogenic*Escherichia coli*

Hassan Fadhil Naji¹ and Ali Sabah Nasser²

^{1,2}(Department of Biology, College of Science, University of Babylon, Iraq) This paper is part of a M.Sc. thesis of the second author.

Abstract: A total of fifty Escherichia coli isolates were isolated from 300 clinical samples. The isolates were identified using traditional methods and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) technique. The electrophoresis analysis of PCR amplification products of specific virulence genes revealed that ten isolates (20%) werebelonged to enteropathogenicE. coli (EPEC).Of these, two isolates (4%) harboured eae, bfpAandeafgenes, but lacking stx1, stx2 and hlyA genes, these isolates identified as typical EPEC. Whereas eight isolates (16%) werecarried eae gene but did not possess bfpA, eaf, stx1, stx2 and hlyA genes, these isolates identified as atypical EPEC. Forty isolates (80%) of E. colifound do not have any one of the specific virulence genes, these isolates identified as non-EPEC. These findings indicated that theeae, bfpAandeafgenes are significant for molecular Identification of EPEC.

Keywords: Diarrhea, EPEC, PCR, Typical, Virulence.

I. Introduction

Diarrheagenic or pathogenic E. coli offered a taxonomic challenge since for many years, their characterization was based on the virulence traits, this group of bacteria are named enterotoxigenicE. coli (ETEC), enteroinvasiveE.coli (EIEC), enteroaggregativeE. coli (EAEC), diffusely adherent E.coli (DAEC), enterohemorrhagicE. coli (EHEC) and enteropathogenicE. coli (EPEC)^{8,14}. Generally, EPEC causes infantal and sporadic diarrhea in the world¹⁶. The main mechanism of EPEC pathogenesis is a lesion called attaching and effacing (A/E) which is characterized by microvilli destruction, intimate adherence of bacteria to the intestinal epithelium of small intestine, pedestal formation and aggregation of polymerized actin and elements of the cytoskeleton at sites of bacteria attachment¹⁵. The EPEC adherence factor plasmid (pEAF) containing an operon of 14 genes encoding for complete and functional bundle forming pilli (BFP)^{7,17}. BFP are postulated to initiate a long range adhesion of bacteria with the intestinal epithelium and recruited other EPEC cells into aggregates, which result in the presence of bacterial microcolonies¹⁷. The bfpAgene, which is located on pEAF, and the eae gene located in the locus of enterocyte effacement pathogenicity island, have both used for subdivision of EPEC into typical and atypical strains¹². Therefore, the strains with A/E genotype (eae⁺) that harbour the pEAF($bfpA^+$) are classified as typical EPEC and the strains with A/E genotype that $bfpA^-$ are classified as atypical EPEC. Hence, this research was undertaken to focus on the detection of some virulence genes in EPEC as a rapid identification f this group of bacteria.

Collection of Samples

II. Materials And Methods

Three hundred faecal specimens were collected from children ≤ 2 years of age infected with diarrhea, hospitalized in Babylon Paediatric Hospital, Iraq. The specimens were collected in 50 ml sterile containers and transferred immediately into the microbial laboratory for further experiments.

Isolation and Identification

The specimens were cultured on MacConkey agar (Himedia-India) and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours under aerobic conditions in order to differentiate the lactose fermented bacteriafrom the non-lactose fermented bacteria. Well isolated colonies were selected and cultured on Eosin methylene blue agar (Himedia-India) to detect the*E. coli* isolates, which produce a green metallic sheen. The isolates were identified depending on morphological properties (for cells and colonies) and biochemical tests as described by Macfaddin(2000).

DNA Extraction

For molecular identification of *E. coli*isolates, whole genomic DNA was extracted using Wizard Genomic Extraction Kit (Promega, USA).

PCR primers and Conditions

The primers of PCR amplification of specific virulence genes used in this study were synthesized by Bioneer, Korea. These primers and their reaction conditions are demonstrated in Table 1.

Primer		Sequence (53)	Amplicon size (bp)	Conditions (D,A and E)	Cycle No.	Source
1.6.4	F	AATGGTGCTTGCGCTTGCTGC	326	94°C/1 min	30	Gunzburg et al., 1995
bfpA	R	GCCGCTTTATCCAACCTGGTA		60 C/1 min 72°C/2 min		
	F	ATTGGTGCTTGCGCTTGCTGC		94°C/30sec		Vatsuvanagi <i>et al</i>
bfpA	R GCCGCTTTATCCAACCTGGTA		326	56°C/1 min 72°C/2 min	30	2002
	F	ACGTTGCAGCATGGGTAACTC		94°C/60sec	30	Gannon etal., 1993
eae	R	GATCGGCAACAGTTTCACCTG	815	55°C/60sec 72°C/60sec		
	F	CAGGGTAAAAGAAAGATGATAA		94°C/60sec		
eaf	R	TATGGGGACCATGTATTATCA	397	57°C/45sec 72°C/60sec	30	Franke <i>et al.</i> ,1994
	F	AAATCGCCATTCGTTGACTACTTCT		94°C/1 min		
Stx1	R	CAGTCGTCACTCACTGGTTTCATCA	370	64°C/1 min 72°C/15 sec	35	Brian <i>et al.</i> , 1992
	F	TGCCATTCTGGCAACTCGCGATGCA		94°C/1 min		
stx2	R	GGATATTCTCCCCACTCTGACACC	283	64°C/1 min 72°C/15 sec	35	Brian <i>et al.</i> , 1992
	F	ACGATGTGGTTTATTCTGGA		94°C/60sec		Nataroand Kaper, 1998
hlyA	R	CTTCACGTCACCATACATAT	166	48°C/180sec 72°C/240sec	34	

Table 1 . PCR primers and their conditions used in this study (Bioneer, Korea).

Abbreviations:D, denaturation;A, anneling ; E, extention;F, forward primer ; Reverse primer.

Preparation of Reaction Mixture

The reaction mixture was prepared according to the manufacturer instructions (Promega, USA). The total volume of the reaction was 25μ l, consisting of 12.5 µl of Go Taq Green Master Mix, 2.5 µl of downstream primer, 2.5 µl of upstream primer, 2.5 µl of nuclease free water and 5 µl of DNA template. Negative control contains all the above contents without DNA templete was also used. The amplification reactions were performed in an automated thermocycler apparatus (Clever Scientific, UK).

Agarose Gel Electrophoresis

The amplification products of PCR were ran on horizontal agarose gel (1%) stained with ethidium bromide for 1.5 hour and 80 volt. 5 μ l of amplificationproducts plus 1 μ l of loading dye were loaded in the well of the gel. The DNA marker 100-1500 bp (Promega,USA) were used to detect the size of the electrophoresis fragments of amplified genes. The DNA bands were photographed by gel documentation system (Biometra-Germany)¹³.

III. Resultsand Discussion

The detection of some virulence genes(*eae*, *bfpA*_{ATT}, *bfpA*_{AAT}, *eaf*, *stx1*, *stx2* and *hlyA* genes) from genomic DAN of *E. coli* isolates were investigated. A total of fifty *E. coli* isolates were isolated from 300 stool specimens of children (≤ 2 years of age) infected with diarrhea. The distribution of these isolates according to sex, age and host are summarized in Table 2.

Sex	Age		Host		EPEC		Non-EPEC
	1-12 month	1-2 year	Rural	Arban	tEPEC	aEPEC	
Male	20	10	23	07	01(2%)	06(12%)	23(46%)
Femal	14	06	14	06	01(2%)	02(4%)	17(34%)
Total	34	16	37	13	02(4%)	08(16%)	40(80%)

Table 2. Distribution of *E. coli* isolates according to sex, age and host.

The isolates were identified using morphological (microscopically and cultural) properties and biochemical tests (data not shown). The electrophoresis results of PCR amplification products of virulence genes showed that the isolates EC10,EC11, EC29,EC31,EC38,EC39, EC40, EC42, EC44 and EC50 (20%) were belonged to EPEC (Figure 1 and Table 3). Of these, EC39 and EC40 isolates (4%) were harboured the *eae*, $bfpA_{ATT}$, $bfpA_{AAT}$ and *eaf* genes, but lacking the *stx1*, *stx2* and *hlyA* genes, these isolates identified as typical EPEC. Whereas the isolates EC10,EC11, EC29, EC31, EC38, EC42, EC44 and EC50 (16%) were carried the *eae* gene but did not possess the $bfpA_{ATT}$, $bfpA_{AAT}$, *eaf, stx1, stx2* and *hlyA* genes, these isolates identified as atypical EPEC. Fourty isolates (80%) of *E. coli* found do not have any one of the specific virulence genes, these isolates identified as non-EPEC (Figures 1, 2, 3, 4 and Table 3). It was shown that some of *E. coli* isolates were carried the *bfpA*gene approximately, 200bp which represent the non-specific genes coding for localized adherence like pattern (LAL) and this gene considered as negative result for identification of this bacteria as reported by Carneiro*et al.* (2003). The present results showed that all EPEC isolates were harboured the *eae*gene, and in addition to this gene, the typical EPEC isolates possessed the *bfpA*and *eaf*genes. It has previously been reported that 71 EPEC isolated by Blanco *et al.*(2006) and 19 EPEC isolated by Moura *et al.*(2012) werecarried *eae*Agene. Mitra*et al.*(2011) reported that 51 of 178 *E. coli* isolates (28.6%) were EPEC and their frequency were higher in children with the age ofless than five years. Similar to the results of Ghosh and Ali (2010), all *E. coli* isolates in the present study were negative for*stx1, stx2* and *hlyA* genes.



Figer 1.Electrophoresis of*eae* gene amplification products from genomic DNAof *E. coli* isolates on (1%) agarose gel for 90 min. Lane L: ladder, 1.5 Kb; Lanes: 1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 12,13, 14, 17, and 35 represent the positive results (815 bp) of the isolates EC39, EC31, EC40, EC10, EC38, EC29, EC11, EC42, EC44 and EC50, respectively; Lane C: negative control.



Figer2. Electrophoresis of fpA_{ATT} gene amplification products from genomic DNA of *E. coli* isolates on (1%) agarose gel for 90 min. Lane L: ladder, 1.5 Kb; Lanes: 5 and 40 represent the positive results (326 bp) of theisolates EC40 and EC39, respectively ; The amplified $bfpA_{ATT}$ gene (200bp) represent the non-specific genes coding for localized adherencelike pattern(LAL) ; Lane C: negative control.





Figer3. Electrophoresis of *bfpA*_{AAT} gene amplification products from genomic DNA of *E. coli* isolates on (1%) agarose gel for 90 min. Lane L: ladder, 1.5 Kb; Lanes: 4 and 18 represent the positive results (326 bp) of the isolates EC40 and EC39, respectively ; The amplified *bfpA*_{ATT} gene (200bp) represent the non-specific genes coding for LAL ; Lane C: negative control.



Figer4. Electrophoresis of *eaf* gene amplification products from genomic DNA of *E. coli* isolates on (1%) agarose gel for 90 min. Lane L: ladder, 1.5 Kb; Lanes: 10 and 42 represent the positive results (397bp) of the isolates EC40 and EC39, respectively ; Lane C: negative control.

11-4- N-	Virulence gene							Deth stores
isolate No.	eae	$bfpA_{ATT}$	$bfpA_{AAT}$	eaf	Stx1	Stx2	hlyA	Рапотуре
EC10	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	atypical EPEC
EC11	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	atypical EPEC
EC29	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	atypical EPEC
EC31	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	atypical EPEC
EC38	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	atypical EPEC
EC39	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	typical EPEC
EC40	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	typical EPEC
EC42	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	atypical EPEC
EC44	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	atypical EPEC
EC50	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	atypical EPEC
Remainder	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Non-EPEC

Table 3.Frequency of the EPEC and their virulence genes.

IV. Conclusion

PCR is a highly sensitive and specific molecular technique for the detection of target DNA in various clinical specimens; it can help to differentiate EPEC from those of the normal florain stool samples. Thus, it is concluded that the *eae*, *bfp*A and *eaf* genes appear to be essential for molecular identification of EPEC and for subdivision of this group of bacteria into typical and atypical pathotypes.

Acknowledgments

Theauthors are grateful to the staff of Laboratory Unit of the Babylon Paediatric Hospital, Hilla, Iraq for providing the clinical samples and required research facilities.

References

- [1]. Blanco, M.; Blanco, J. E.; Dahbi, G.; Alonso, M.; Mora, A.; Coira, M. A.; Madrid, C.; Juárez, ;Bernárdez, M.; González, E. A. and Blanco, J. (2006). Identification of two new intimintypes inatypical enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli*. Int. Microbiol. 9: 103-110
- [2]. Brian, M. J.; Frosolono, M.; Murray, B. E.; Miranda, A.; Lopez, E. L.; Gomez, H. F. and Cleary, T. G. (1992). Polymerase chain reaction fordiagnosis of enterohemorrhagic *Escherichiacoli* infection and hemolytic uremic syndrome. J. Clin.Microbiol.30: 1801– 1806.
- [3]. Carneiro, L. A. M.; Lins, M.C.; Garcia, F. R. A.; Silva, A. P. S.; Mauller, P. M.; Alves, G. B.; Rosa, A. C. P.; Andrade, J. R. C.; Freitas- Almeida, A.C. and Queiroz, M.L.P. (2006). Phenotypic andgenotypic characterization of *Escherichia coli* strains serogrouped as enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* (EPEC) isolated from pasteurized milk. Intern. J. FoodMicrobiol. 108 : 15-21.
- [4]. Franke, J.; Franke, S. and Schmidt, H. (1994). Nucleotide sequence analysis of enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* (EPEC) adherence factor probe and development of PCR for rapid detection of EPEC harboring virulence plasmids. J. Clin. Microbiol. *32* : 2460–2463.
- [5]. Gannon, V. P. J.; Rashed, M.; King, R. K. and Thomas, E. J. (1993). Detection and characterization of the *eaegene of shiga-like toxin-producing Escherichia coli* using polymerase chain reaction. J. Clin. Microbiol. 31: 1268–1274.
- [6]. Ghosh, K. P. and Ali, A. (2010). Isolation of atypical enteropathogenic Escherichia coli from children with and without diarrhoea in Delhi and the national capital region, India. J. Med. Microbiol.59: 1156–1162.
- [7]. Gunzburg, S.T.; Tornieporth, N.G. and Riley, L. W. (1995). Identification of enteropathogenic *Escherichia coliby* PCR-based detection of the bundle-forming pilus genes.J. Clin. Microbiol, 33: 1375-1377.
- [8]. Iguchi, A.; Thomson, NR.; Ogura, Y.; Saunders, D.; Ooka, T. and Henderson, IR. (2009). Complete genome sequence and comparative genomeanalysis of enteropathogenic *Escherichiacoli*O127:H6 strain E2348 / 69. J.Bacteriol .191:347 354.
- [9]. Kaper, J. B. ;Nataro, J. P. and Mobley, L. T. (2004). Pathogenic Escherichiacoli. Nat. Rev. Microbiol.2: 123-140.
- [10]. MacFaddin, J. F. (2000). Biochemical tests for identification of medical bacteria. 3rd ed.". The Williams and Wilkins. Baltimor, USA.
 [11]. Mitra, M.; Ahmad, P.; Mehdi, R.; Hosein, A. and Ahmad, K. (2011). Multiple drug resistance of enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* isolated from children with diarrhea in Kashan, Iran. Afric.J.Microbiol. Res. 5 (20):3305-3309.
- [12]. Moura, C. ;Fregolente, M, C. D. ; Martini, I. J. ;Domingos, D. F. ; Silva, E. J. ;Ferraz, M. M.G.; Gatti, M. S. V. and Leite, D. S. (2012). Prevalence of enteropathogens in normal feces from healthychildren at an infant day care in Brazil. J. Infect. Dev. Ctries. 6 (2) : 176-180.
- [13]. Nataro, J. P. and Kaper, J. B. (1998). Diarrheagenic Escherichia coli .Clin.Microbiol.Rev.11: 142-201 .
- [14]. Sambrook, J. and Russel, D. W. (2001) .Molecular cloning , a laboratorymanual ."3rd ed. "ColdSpring Harbor : Cold Spring HarborLaboratoryPress .New York .
- [15]. Santona, S.; Diaz, N.; Fiori, P. L.; Francisco, M.; Sidat, M. Cappuccinelli, P. and Rappelli, P. (2013). Genotypic and phenotypic features of enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* isolated in industrialized and developing countries. J.Infect. Dev. Ctries .7 (3): 214 219.
- [16]. Trabulsi, L. R. ; Keller, R. and Gomes, T. A. T.(2002). Typical and atypical enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* (EPEC). Emerg. Infect. Dis. 8:508-513.
- [17]. Yatsuyanagi, J.; Saito, S.; Sato, H.; Miyagima, Y.; Amano, K. I. and Enomoto, K. (2002). Characterization of enteropathogenicand enteroaggregative *Escherichia coli* Isolated from diarrhoeal outbreaks. J. Clin.Microbiol. 40 (1): 294-297.

[18]. Zahavi, E. E.; Lieberman, J. A.; Donnenberg, M. S.; Nitzan, M.; Baruch, K.; Rosenshine, I.; Turner, J. R.; Melamed-Book, N.; Feinstein, N.; Rivkin-Zlotkin, E. andAroeti B. (2011).Bundle forming pilus retraction enhances enteropathogenic *Escherichia. coli* infectivity. Mol.Biol. of Cell. 22: 2436-3447.