Effect of Educational Program for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation Using SimMan versus Traditional Manikin on 2nd Year Nursing Students’ Performance
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Abstract:

Aim: of this study is to evaluate the effect of educational program for cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) using SimMan versus traditional manikin on 2nd year nursing students’ performance at Medical Surgical Nursing Department laboratory- Faculty of Nursing- Benha University.

Research hypothesis: The mean score of 2nd year nursing students’ performance level regarding CPR in the study group will be higher than the score of students in the control group.

Design: A quasi-experimental research was utilized.

Setting: This study was conducted in Medical Surgical Nursing Department laboratory- Faculty of Nursing- Benha University.

Subject: All available 2nd year nursing students (340 students) were recruited in this study and divided into equal groups, the control group using the traditional manikin and a study group using SimMan.

Tools: Data were collected through two main tools: Nursing students’ self-administered questionnaire sheet to assess students’ general characteristics and knowledge regarding CPR. And nursing students’ practice observational checklist for CPR & AED to assess students’ practice regarding CPR.

Results: mean scores of students’ knowledge and practice regarding advanced CPR in study group was higher than control group (P<0.001). There was positive correlation between students’ total knowledge and practice scores in both groups.

Conclusion: there was a highly statistically significant improvement in nursing students’ performance level regarding advanced CPR using SimMan versus traditional manikin.

Recommendation: Additional researches need to be conducted on the use of SimMan for improving nursing education, critical thinking, and clinical competency and evaluate its effect on the students’ performance regarding CPR on the actual patients (in the real situations) with cardiac arrest in emergency departments.
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I. Introduction

Nursing education has long utilized simulation in some form to teach principles and skills of nursing care. Simulation in nursing education in the form of static manikins, role playing, CPR manikins, and other techniques has also been utilized as a teaching modality for quite some time. Nursing education needs to play its key role in training innovative, committed and responsible students so that, the students can effectively take different roles and critical nursing duties in different situations.

The developments in medical technology and the increasing number of severe cases, advanced intensive expertise and nursing intervention techniques are required in the clinical field. Therefore, nurses are required to have more sophisticated work capacities. Through theoretical and practical educational programs, nursing education organizations focus on nurturing professional nurses’ abilities to provide high-quality services. Simulation in nursing education has been recommended and introduced.

Simulation is defined as a technique used to “replace or amplify real experiences with guided experiences that evoke or replace substantial aspects of the real world in a fully interactive manner. Medical simulators range from simple replications of body parts for task based learning of some examination skills, to more sophisticated HFHPS driven by complex pathophysiological computer models which are developed to replicate clinical environments. SimMan is manikin utilized in resuscitation training have realistic features such as the ability to replicate chest expansion and breathing sounds, provide exhaled carbon dioxide, generate a pulse and blood pressure, and speak or make sounds. SimMan measures the quality of CPR providing real-time feedback regarding compression rate, depth, release, and hands-off time. In addition, SimMan can respond
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appropriately to treatment; it automatically registers the amount, speed, and type of drug and activates the appropriate physiological responses[4].

The CPR is an essential skill for all health care professionals, especially nurses. It can be a lifesaver when applied by a competent and skilled person during resuscitation. The CPR procedure is a coordinated integration of chest compression-induced circulation, rescue airway and breathing management whereby priorities are determined by evidence from literature and practice and required professional and good training nurses[4].

II. Aim Of The Study

The aim of the study is to evaluate the effect of educational program for cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) using SimMan versus traditional manikin on 2nd year nursing students’ performance through the following:

1- Assessment of 2nd year nursing students’ performance level (knowledge and practice) in both groups (study & control) regarding CPR pre educational program implementation using SimMan versus traditional manikin.
2- Designing and implementing educational program regarding CPR for 2nd year nursing students using SimMan versus traditional manikin.
3- Evaluating the effect of educational program regarding CPR using SimMan versus the traditional manikin on 2nd year nursing students’ performance (knowledge and practice).

Research hypothesis:
The mean score of 2nd year nursing students’ performance level (knowledge and practice) regarding CPR in the study group will be higher than the score of students in the control group post program implementation.

Research Design: A quasi-experimental research design was utilized to conduct the aim of this study.

Setting:
This study was conducted at Medical Surgical Nursing Department laboratory- Faculty of Nursing -Benha University.

Subjects:
All available 2nd year nursing students (340 students) in academic year (2014–2015) were recruited in this study applying two the clinical teaching methods. The subject was randomly divided equally into study group (170) and control group (170). Using the traditional manikin for the control group, while using SimMan for the study group.

Tools of data collection: Data were collected through two main tools:

First tool: Nursing students’ self-administered questionnaire sheet:
It was constructed by the researcher after reviewing relevant literature. It was written for assessing 2nd year nursing students’ knowledge regarding CPR in both groups (study & control), it included:

Part (1): Demographic characteristics of 2nd year nursing students in both groups such as: name, age, gender, group, educational level, learning experiences and number of training program.

Part (2): (A) students’ knowledge regarding CPR: It was included meaning of cardiac arrest, signs and symptoms of cardiac arrest, first aid for cardiac arrest, principles, indication, main components for CPR according to priority, the ways to open the air way, the steps to give the victim breaths, the way to check the breathing, depth of chest compression, the rate of compressions, the site at which starts chest compressions, contraindication and complications of CPR. It contained (21) questions which designed by the researcher and adopted from previous research references after reviewing related literature.

(B): Students’ knowledge regarding Automatic External Defibrillator (AED): It was included meaning of AED, characteristics of AED, indications, what should be indicated if shock present, contraindications, complication of defibrillation and post defibrillation nursing care. It contained (9) questions.

Knowledge scoring system:
All knowledge variables were weighted according to the items included in the model answer of each question. The data collected from the knowledge test was computed and the test received a grade out of 21 questions for CPR and 9 questions for AED, the scores were allocated as follows: right (1) and wrong (0).

The score of knowledge test expressed as percent from a maximum of 30 points as follow:

≥ 70% (22 points) considered satisfactory level of knowledge.
< 70% (22 points) considered unsatisfactory level of knowledge.

Second tool: Nursing students’ practice observational checklist; it included the following:

Part (1): Nursing students’ practice observational checklist for CPR:
It was constructed by the researcher after reviewing relevant literature. It was adopted from[5][6][7]. It was concerned with assessing the nursing students’ practice regarding CPR in both groups (study & control). The items of the checklist were checked as done correct, done incorrect or not done. This part consisted of (24) items that identify the steps of CPR, it was contained the following items:
Pre procedure: (5 items) it included hand washing, wearing gloves, preparing equipment, keeping privacy and assess the patient condition.

During procedure: (13 items) it included noting time of arrest, assessing patient’s consciousness by tapping him on the shoulder and shout ‘are you alright’, checking for responsiveness and assess Air way, Breathing and Circulation to see if the patient is apnea or gasping, immediately calling out for help, palpating the carotid artery during 10 seconds after arrest, placing the patient supine on a firm surface, while rolling his head and torso as a unit, removing bed head if patient is in bed and ensuring adequate space between back of bed and wall, ensuring a clear air way, giving 30 chest compressions, opening the airway, delivering breathing, maintaining intubation and establishing intravenous access.

Post procedure: (6 items) it was included; assessing the patient's condition (airway, breathing, circulation, blood pressure and urine output), checking arterial blood gases, checking full blood count and biochemistry, monitoring patient’s cardiac rhythm and recording 12-lead of ECG and assessing patient’s level of consciousness.

Part (2): Nursing students' practice observational checklist for AED:

It concerned with the assessing the nursing students' practice regarding the AED procedure in both groups. It consisted of 21 items, it included verifying that patient is unresponsive, verifying ECG reading of ventricular tachycardia, or ventricular fibrillation, checking that crash cart has arrived or begin CPR, plugging defibrillator into electric outlet, turning defibrillator power on and defaults to 200 joules, preparing patient and/or paddles with proper conductive agent, checking that defibrillator is in asynchronous mode, turning on ECG recorder for continuous printout, turning off oxygen source during actual, standing away from bed area. Also, it included placing one paddle at the heart’s Apex just left of the nipple in midaxillary line, placing the other paddle just below the right clavicle to the right of the sternum, applying paddles with firm pressure (1kg pressure), depressing discharge buttons on defibrillator simultaneously to ensure appropriate discharge, delivering 3 stacked shocks (if necessary) in close sequence (200 J, 250-300) or according to doctor order, resuming CPR immediately, beginning with chest compression (giving five cycles), assessing patient cardiac rhythm, continuing CPR, initiating advanced life support protocols, intubation and obtaining IV access, post procedure including care of patient, care of the used equipment and documentation.

Practice scoring system: Each item was scored as follows:

- Zero = not done.
- (1) = done incorrectly.
- (2) = done correctly.

The total scores were (46) for CPR, (42) for AED and total scores (88) as follows:

≥ 80 % (70 points) considered satisfactory level of students’ practice.
< 80 % (70 points) considered unsatisfactory level of students’ practice

Preparatory phase:

This phase included the following: reviewing the available literature and different studies related to research problem, and theoretical knowledge of its various aspects of the study, using textbooks, evidence-based articles, internet periodicals and magazines in order to collect tools of this study. This period extended from February 2014 to June 2014.

Designing the educational program:

This was taken period of time from June 2014 to August 2014. Based on literature review, the researcher designed educational program regarding CPR for 2nd year nursing students using SimMan versus traditional manikin At Medical Surgical Nursing Department laboratory- Faculty of Nursing-Benha University. The designed educational program regarding CPR was developed and constructed by the researcher in the form of booklet. The booklet consisted of two parts;

Theoretical part: covered the knowledge related to cardiac and respiratory arrest (definition, pathophysiology, causes, diagnosis and signs & symptoms). Knowledge about CPR (definition, indications, principles, phases, complications, advanced technique for airway management and drugs used). Knowledge about defibrillation (indication, purpose, equipment, precautions and types). Knowledge related to AED (Paddle placement, Precautions, Complications, Disadvantage).

Practical part: related to CBR and AED procedure included definition, purpose, principles, indication, CPR procedure guide line steps and AED procedure guide line steps.

Tools validity and reliability

Validity: Testing validity was tested by an expert panel consisting of 9 experts who composed of 1 professor, 1 assistant professor and 1 lecturer from faculty of nursing, Zgazig University, 2 assistant professors and 1 lecturer from faculty of nursing, Benha University, 1 professor, 1 assistant professor and 1 lecturer from faculty of medicine, Benha University. Testing reliability of the proposed tools was done by Cronbach alpha test.

A Pilot study
Pilot study was carried out on 10% of the studied subjects (37 students). Some questions and items were omitted, added or rephrased, and then the final forms were developed the experts reviewed the tools for clarity, relevance, comprehensiveness and simplicity & applicability. The students included in pilot study were excluded from main study subjects. This phase took one month.

**Ethical consideration:**
Approval was granted for this study by the faculty of nursing ethical committee before implementation the educational program. The researcher assured maintaining anonymity and confidentiality of the subjects’ data. The nursing students were informed that they are allowed to choose to participate or not in the study and they had right to withdraw from the study at any time. The research tools were not causing any harm or pain for the nursing students. The researcher clarified the purpose, requirements, duration and anticipated benefits of the study to the nursing students.

**Field work:**
The process of data collection was carried out from 7/2/2015 to 20/4/2015. The researcher interviewed the students in both study and control groups in the academic year (2014–2015) and started the educational program by teaching the theoretical part about advanced CPR for all students in both groups. Theoretical part conducted in the 2nd year medical surgical nursing students’ department class room and took 2 hours but regarding implementation the practical part conducted in the affiliated laboratory to this department and took 4 hours for each group including demonstration and re-demonstration for 10 days. For the students in the control group the academic staff that was responsive for these students (170) were oriented about the check list that was developed by the researcher to be used for training and evaluating them. After that the students in control group were divided into 10 groups, the number of the students in each group was (17) students. Then the academic staff does demonstration using traditional manikin and allow them to do re-demonstration; this training phase took 10 days. Meanwhile the students in study group were divided into 20 groups the number of the students in each group was (8 or 9) students to facilitate their training on the SimMan according to the stated scenario. SimMan is a wireless life size patient manikin that can talk with pre-recorded sounds and speech, breathe with normal and abnormal breath sounds and produce heart sounds, palpable pulses and unilateral/ bilateral chest movements. It is connected to a monitor which displays parameters such as oxygen saturation, ECG trace, pulse rate and blood pressure. It can be programmed with a range of clinical examination findings, in current study it was programmed to display up signs of cardiac arrest. SimMan was set up on a standard hospital bed equipped with monitors, simulated oxygen supply and other supplies found in the clinical skills lab such as a training automatic external defibrillator, oxygen, medications, an oropharyngeal airway and a bag-mask manual ventilator. The researcher was started the evaluation phase after the end of re-demonstration phase for all the students in the study and control groups. Each student was evaluated 3 times (3 trials) and the mean was taken. The time of evaluation for each student in each trial took about 15 to 20 minutes. The evaluation phase took one month, during this period the researcher observed the students’ practice for CPR through the observational check list and assessed their knowledge through nursing students’ self-administered questionnaire sheet.

**NB:** The final evaluation was documented for 2nd year nursing students in the control group after the exposure to the same experience and calculated average of their mean scores to avoid bias in the difference of practice mean scores between both groups regarding CPR procedure.

**Administrative Design:** Approval to carry out this study was granted from the Dean of Faculty of Nursing, Benha University, and Head of medical surgical nursing department. The researcher was visited the setting and done interview with the nursing students to explain the objectives and the nature of the study and then carry out the study with minimum resistance.

**Statistical Design:** The collected data were organized, coded, computerized, tabulated and analyzed by using the statistical package for social science (SPSS), version (11). Data analysis was accomplished by the use of number, percentage distribution, mean, standard deviation, and correlation, coefficient. Paired t-test and multiple linear regression analyses was used to test the significance of some variances. A significant level value was considered when \( p<0.05 \).

**III. Results**

**Table (1):** This table demonstrates distribution of demographic characteristics among studied students, that the majority of the studied groups (control and study) were females with mean age (20.2588±8.8 & 20.0235±6.87) respectively and there was no statistically significant different between control and study groups regarding demographic characteristics (\( P >0.05 \)).

**Table (2):** This table demonstrated the mean score of studied students’ total knowledge regarding cardiac arrest, CPR and AED, there was highly statistically significant difference between control and study groups with \( P<0.001 \).

DOI: 10.9790/1959-0601030109 www.iosrjournals.org 4 | Page
Effect of Educational Program for CardioPulmonary Resuscitation Using SimMan Versus Traditional

Table (3): This table demonstrated comparison between the mean score of students' total practice regarding CPR & AED during the three trial of evaluation in both study and control groups, there was highly statistically significant differences in both control and study groups with P < 0.001. Whereas, the mean score of students' total practice during third trial (126.494±4.07801) was more than the mean score of their total practice during first and second trials respectively (112.470±6.85624 & 121.776 ± 3.71906) for the study group.

Table (4): This table illustrated the statistically significant relation between mean score of students' total knowledge regarding CPR and age in both control & study groups with P<0.05, whereas there was no statistically significant relation between mean score of their total knowledge and gender in both control & study groups with P > 0.05.

Table (5): This table showed that no statistically significant relation between mean score of students' total practice regarding CPR and demographic characteristics in both control and study groups with P > 0.05.

Table (6): This table cleared positive correlation between students' total practice score and total knowledge score in both control and study groups’ P≤ 0.001.

Table (1): Description of demographic characteristics among the studied students in both the study & control groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic characteristics</th>
<th>Control (n=170)</th>
<th>Study (n=170)</th>
<th>Chi-square χ²</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age in years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-20</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>130</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-23</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>20.26±0.816</td>
<td>20.02±0.687</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.325</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>141</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary school</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>122</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Institute</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No statistically significant at (p>0.05)

Table (2): The mean score of students' total knowledge regarding cardiac arrest, CPR and AED in both study & control groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Knowledge related to Cardiac arrest</th>
<th>Control (n=170)</th>
<th>Study (n=170)</th>
<th>Independent t test</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>2.4471 ± .7391</td>
<td>2.7294 ± 5692</td>
<td>-3.967</td>
<td>&lt;0.001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Knowledge related to CPR</td>
<td>3.9765 ± 2.39069</td>
<td>11.6225 ± 16.0065</td>
<td>-7.409</td>
<td>&lt;0.001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Knowledge related to AED</td>
<td>6.0176 ± 1.88575</td>
<td>7.5142 ± 13391</td>
<td>8.680</td>
<td>&lt;0.001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Knowledge</td>
<td>18.4412 ± 4.04706</td>
<td>21.8941 ± 24505</td>
<td>-9.552</td>
<td>&lt;0.001**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Highly statistically significant at(p<0.001**)

Table (3): Comparison between the mean score of students' total practice regarding CPR & AED during the three trial of evaluation in study and control groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time of assessment</th>
<th>procedure</th>
<th>Control (n=170)</th>
<th>Study (n=170)</th>
<th>Independent t test</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First trial</td>
<td>CPR</td>
<td>46.553 ± 7.65321</td>
<td>68.8175 ± 3.89832</td>
<td>-29.651</td>
<td>&lt;0.001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AED</td>
<td>31.984 ± 4.70518</td>
<td>45.6529 ± 2.58412</td>
<td>-33.940</td>
<td>&lt;0.001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second trial</td>
<td>CPR</td>
<td>63.154 ± 8.82654</td>
<td>76.4412 ± 3.09963</td>
<td>-26.391</td>
<td>&lt;0.001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AED</td>
<td>40.511 ± 5.88545</td>
<td>45.3553 ± 1.11412</td>
<td>-23.721</td>
<td>&lt;0.001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third trial</td>
<td>CPR</td>
<td>63.917 ± 7.13473</td>
<td>80.9118 ± 4.09335</td>
<td>-28.938</td>
<td>&lt;0.001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AED</td>
<td>41.147 ± 2.22592</td>
<td>45.5824 ± 7.5896</td>
<td>-23.090</td>
<td>&lt;0.001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total practice score</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>287.438 ± 14.37522</td>
<td>360.7412 ± 3.90165</td>
<td>-54.631</td>
<td>&lt;0.001**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Highly statistically significant at(p<0.001**)
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Table (4): Relation between students’ Total knowledge mean score regarding CPR in both groups and Demographic characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic characteristics</th>
<th>Control (n=170)</th>
<th>Study (n=170)</th>
<th>Independent t1 test</th>
<th>P1 value</th>
<th>P2 value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age in years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-20</td>
<td>19.114 + 3.4609</td>
<td>22.1134 + 2.39714</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>0.05**</td>
<td>&lt;0.05**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-22</td>
<td>17.0714 + 4.78206</td>
<td>21.1730 + 2.44851</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>0.05**</td>
<td>&lt;0.05**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>18.3517 + 4.18584</td>
<td>21.8369 + 2.56243</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>0.05**</td>
<td>&lt;0.05**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>18.9600 + 3.14219</td>
<td>21.7274 + 1.69179</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>0.05**</td>
<td>&lt;0.05**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school graduate</td>
<td>19.0270 + 3.70861</td>
<td>21.9426 + 2.62831</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>0.05**</td>
<td>&lt;0.05**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical institute</td>
<td>17.3390 + 4.44355</td>
<td>21.7708 + 1.88203</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>0.05**</td>
<td>&lt;0.05**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No statistically significant at (p>0.05) statistically significant at (p<0.05*)
t1 & P1 for control group t2 & P2 for study group

Table (5): Relation between students’ Total practice mean score in study & control groups and Demographic characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic characteristics</th>
<th>Control (n=170)</th>
<th>Study (n=170)</th>
<th>Independent t1 test</th>
<th>P1 value</th>
<th>P2 value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age in years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-20</td>
<td>287.3212 + 14.62369</td>
<td>361.2462 + 19.86503</td>
<td>0.277</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-22</td>
<td>287.870 + 14.10875</td>
<td>359.300 + 10.22014</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>286.8517 + 14.85361</td>
<td>361.3404 + 8.72099</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>290.0400 + 11.00030</td>
<td>357.8276 + 10.76081</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school graduate</td>
<td>287.8216 + 13.49751</td>
<td>360.500 + 10.59179</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical institute</td>
<td>287.1525 + 16.01703</td>
<td>361.3542 + 8.21128</td>
<td>0.502</td>
<td>0.05**</td>
<td>0.05**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No statistically significant at (p>0.05) statistically significant at (p<0.05*)
t1 & P1 for control group t2 & P2 for study group

Table (6): Correlation between students’ total practice score and total knowledge score regarding CPR in both control and study groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>Study group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge Practice</td>
<td>0.563</td>
<td>&lt;0.001**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. Discussion

Several educational methods for improving (CPR) have been tried out but both content and methods lack standardization. While, SimMan can be used to meet these demands by creating learning opportunities that are unavailable in clinical practice [5]. The CPR is an essential skill for all health care professionals, especially nurses. It can be a lifesaver when applied by a competent and skilled person during resuscitation. The CPR procedure is a coordinated integration of chest compression-induced circulation, rescue breathing and airway management whereby priorities are determined by evidence from literature and practice. Nursing students are instructed to carry out CPR effectively through resuscitation of knowledge and skills in different settings such as the simulation lab for improving learning out comes [6]. The present study was conducted to evaluate the effect of educational program for CPR using SimMan versus traditional manikin on 2nd year nursing student’s performance at Medical Surgical Nursing Department laboratory- Faculty of Nursing -Benha University.

The present study results show that, there was no statistically significant different regarding Demographic characteristics (age, gender and level of education) in both control and study groups. This result...
is in agreement with [9] who reported that were no statistically significant differences among the participants regarding demographic characteristics as gender and level of education ($p > .05$). Also this is supported by [10] who reported that no statistical significant differences between the two groups regarding demographic characteristics ($p = 0.083$).

Results of the present study showed that mean score of nursing students’ knowledge related cardiac arrest, CPR and AED in the study group was higher than the mean score in the control group. This may be due to the effectiveness of the educational program implementation.

Regarding the nursing students’ knowledge related to CPR, the study revealed that mean score of the nursing students’ knowledge related to CPR in the study group was higher than mean score in the control group. This might be due to the using of SimMan as a teaching method was improved restoring and gasping the knowledge for nursing students. This result agreed with [11] who concluded that the using of high fidelity simulation plays an important role to improve nursing students’ knowledge and skills. Also [12] in a study about role of SimMan in teaching clinical skills as CPR demonstrated that there was a significant improvement in the students’ knowledge and competence to perform CPR. While this was in disagreement with [13] in their study about Comparing two training methods for CPR skill that stated that there is no statistically significant difference between the mean scores of the total questions regarding knowledge assessment for both groups before and after training.

Results of the present study showed that mean score of nursing students’ knowledge related AED in the study group was higher than mean score in the control group. This finding was consisted with [14][15] in their study about the use of Benner’s framework in HFS faculty development the bay area simulation collaborative model and they stated that there was a significant difference in favor of the participants in SimMan group on both the acquisition and retention of knowledge and skills over time.

The current study results revealed that an obvious improvement in the total mean knowledge scores of study group using SimMan were documented as compared to control group with highly statistically significant difference. From the researcher points of view that this improvement might be related to the simulation classes using SimMan leading to enhancing and restoring the nursing students’ knowledge and given them opportunity for feedback the knowledge so it considers an effective clinical training method for them. This finding is supported by [16] in their study about the use of high fidelity human simulation to teach physical therapist decision-making skills for the intensive care. They found that the nursing students' knowledge and critical thinking improved after the simulation and showed the effectiveness of simulation as a teaching strategy to address nursing knowledge and critical thinking skills.

The researcher points out that the nurse educators should consider the use of high-fidelity simulation as a teaching strategy meets several objectives and can optimize and enhance learning outcomes. So that, SimMan as a teaching modality currently is being used by many nurse educators as an adjunct to a traditional didactic approach in nursing education. This finding is supported by [17] in their study about equivalence testing of traditional and simulated clinical experiences: undergraduate nursing students’ knowledge acquisition. Suggested that HFHPS as SimMan was indeed beneficial to both knowledge acquisition and clinical performance of the participants. The results of this study further support the increasing body of knowledge that indicates positive student outcomes related to SimMan.

Based on the present study findings, it is concluded that the mean score of total practice in the study group during the third trial was more than the mean score of total practice during the first and the second trial in the study group. This may be due to that, SimMan is giving a chance for repetition CPR procedure leading to restoring knowledge and improving the nursing students’ practice. This result was in agreement with [18] in their study about a comparative study of defibrillation and CPR performance during simulated cardiac arrest and they found that there was improvement between control group and study group regarding CPR & AED performance after second and third trial of the observation than after the first trial.

Regarding the nursing students’ practice related to CPR, the study revealed that mean score of nursing students’ practice related to CPR and AED procedure in the study group was higher than mean score in the control group. This might be due to that SimMan provides reality-based skills training in a safe setting and allows for reflective learning in a positive area. Moreover, SimMan had the ability to repeat scenarios, ensuring safe environment, meet clinical skill competence and facilitate psychomotor skills. In the same line [19] in a study about Innovative Simulation Strategies in Education who reported that SimMan is a promising learning tool for training nursing students regarding advanced CPR.

The present study findings showed that there were high statistically significant differences regarding the mean score of total practice in both control and study groups including CPR and AED procedures. This improvement in nursing students’ practice might be due to the fact that the educational program stressed on the practical training to change students’ practice using SimMan through adequate scenario, sessions, demonstration and re demonstration, which is needed for achievement of the desired level of practice. According to [20] the use of high-fidelity simulation in CPR training sessions resulted in improved cognitive performance of nursing
students. Also[19] in a study about "Simulation-based education improves quality of care during cardiac arrest team responses at an academic teaching hospital: a case-control study," found that performance improved significantly after simulator training.

In relation to the nursing students’ knowledge and their age, the finding of the current study revealed that there was statistically significant relation, this might be due to the nursing students with advanced age have ability to acquire and restore the knowledge. This result is in congruent with[20] about Impact of a basic life support training program on nurses’ knowledge and performance at emergency room and reported that there was significant correlation between age and total basic life support knowledge scores. While this result disagreed with the study conducted by[19] about knowledge of nurses towards CPR in a tertiary care teaching hospital in Nepal and reported that there was no significant association between the total knowledge score and age of the study group.

In relation to nursing students’ knowledge and their educational level, the finding of the current study revealed that there was statistically significant relation, this might be due to the nursing students from secondary school have same knowledge about cardiovascular system and respiratory system in their curriculum that may help them to catch knowledge relevant to this issue more than the students from technical health institute and nursing institute or may be due to the students from secondary school were representing the highest percentage in this study. This finding is supported by the study conducted by[21] about effect of CPR training program on nurses’ knowledge and practice and showed that there was relation between knowledge and nursing students' socio-demographic characteristics for control and study groups and explained that training program was effective in improving nurses’ knowledge and practice related to CPR. This result disagreed with[22] about the role of simulation in teaching pediatric resuscitation and reported that there were no statistically significant differences between educational level and baseline knowledge scores between groups.

The current study showed that there were no statistically significant relation between the mean score of students’ practice and their demographic characteristics (age, gender and educational level) in both control and study groups with p>0.05. This may be due to their lack of awareness that any practical skills required scientific knowledge to enhance their mastering of any skills or their beliefs that the practical experience is not depending on age, gender and educational level; it is acquired process depending on initiation what they observed. In the same line the study conducted by[23] about a comparison of CPR competence between two groups of advanced practice student nurses at a medical training college in Kenya, and reflects that an age, gender and experience had no significant effect on CPR performance between control and study groups.

Concerning the correlation between students’ total practice and total knowledge score in both control and study groups. The present study cleared that there was positive correlation between the students' total practice score and total knowledge score in both groups. This signified that the knowledge were influenced on the practice this mean that the nursing students who were having high level of knowledge inducted be having higher level in their practice when using SimMan as a teaching method. Moreover, SimMan considers an effective and realistic model for integrating the acquired knowledge related to CPR to facilitate practicing of this skill among the nursing students. This finding is in agreement with the result of study conducted by[24] about the effect of CPR training program on nurses knowledge and practice and indicated a positive significant correlation between nurses’ knowledge and practice related to CPR with p=0.01.

This study finding is in agreement with the study conducted by[25] about an exploration of the relationship between knowledge and performance-related variables in high-fidelity simulation and found that positive correlation between knowledge and practice. However this result is contraindicated with[19] who stated that there was no correlation between the mean scores of total knowledge and skill tests whereas no statistically significant differences in the mean scores between the experimental groups and control groups at p>0.05.

As a final point, the present study showed that there was a highly statistically significant improvement in nursing students' performance level (knowledge and practice) regarding advanced CPR using SimMan versus traditional manikin. So that, SimMan should be becoming rapidly accepted as an advanced teaching tool for nursing educators in all clinical training skills such as CPR.

V. Conclusion

In the light of the study finding, it might be concluded that there was a highly statistically significant improvement in the 2nd year nursing students' performance level (knowledge and practice) regarding advanced CPR using SimMan versus traditional manikin.

VI. Recommendations

Based on results of the present study the following recommendations can be suggested:

- Nursing educators should be generalizing using SimMan as a teaching strategy in the nursing students’ clinical training versus traditional manikin to enhance students’ learning outcomes and achieve a higher performance level, competency and critical thinking namely for all critical skills.
Faculty of nursing at different universities should beready to adopt simulation technology because the use of technology becomes important for training and mastering the nursing students’ skills.

Further researches need to be conducted to evaluate the effect of using SimMan on the nursing students’ performance regarding CPR on the actual patients (in the real situations) with cardiac arrest in emergency departments

Further researches need to be conducted to the evaluate effect of educational program for advanced CPR using SimMan on long-term retention of the nursing students’ performance (knowledge & practice).

Further studies need to be conducted to evaluate the effect of using traditional manikin for training nursing students’ critical skills such as CPR in addition of using video media and electronic teaching strategies on their performance in case of in availability of using SimMan.
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