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Abstract: The current work proposes thermal analysis system for analysis of ductile iron solidification processing. The system consists of standard pouring cup with built in thermocouple. The thermocouple is connected to data logger system so as to store temperature data of solidification sequence. The ductile iron treatment consists of composition control, melt pre-treatment, magnesium treatment and inoculation processing. Even small change in processing can be monitored by thermal analysis and its effects on final microstructure and mechanical properties were studied. Along with test cups, the tensile test bars were also poured and analyzed for correlating the mechanical properties with solidification sequencing. The melting trials with varying amount of inoculation processing were conducted to study its effect especially on amount of nodule count, nodularity and amount of pearlite and ferrite phase. As the microstructure decides the final mechanical properties in ductile iron castings, the nucleation and proportion of these phases is of paramount importance during solidification. The thermal analysis of base metal and inoculated material can be effectively used for improving mechanical properties of ductile iron castings.

I. Introduction

Many of the steel components are replaced by ductile iron due to high strength to weight ratio and range of properties. The ductile iron provides good combination of strengths and ductility due to presence of spheroidal graphite. From a metallurgical view, ductile iron is one of the most complicated materials. During solidification several phases were nucleating and interaction of these different phases during growth is very complicated. The occurrence and distribution of these phases have major impact on the final mechanical properties of the casting. It is therefore interesting to understand how the different phases nucleate and grow during solidification in order to be able to control the casting process and achieve the right mechanical properties [1].

The commonly used mechanical properties for ductile iron are tensile strength, yield strength, percent elongation and brinell hardness. Because of the nominal and consistent influence of spheroidal graphite, the tensile properties and the brinell hardness of ductile iron are well related. The relation between tensile properties and hardness depends on structure of its base matrix. In the matrix, the softer ferrite gives higher ductility but lower yield strength than pearlite. Also the graphite morphology plays an important role and the more the graphite shape deviates from the ideal spherical shape, the lower is the ductility and strength [2]. The time after spheroidal treatment has significant effect on the elongation, but insignificant effect on the tensile strength and hardness of castings. Even small changes of the elements show significant increase or decrease in mechanical properties of ductile iron [3].

The chemical composition, melt treatment and cooling rate are important processing parameters which decide the final properties of ductile iron. The graphite nodule count and nodularity (deviation from spherical shape) and the amount of phases are to be controlled to achieve better combination of properties in ductile iron. Melt treatment consisting of modification and inoculation, in which initially magnesium treatment of the melt is done (for changing graphite shape from flake to spheroidal) and further inoculation (for increasing the nodule count or to suppress carbide formation) is must [4]. In case of hypoeutectic ductile iron, solidification proceeds by nucleation of austenite, and graphite spheroids nucleate on pre-existing austenite and grow in the interdendritic regions. In hyper eutectic melts, solidification starts with graphite nodules [5,6], which reduces the remaining carbon in the liquid, upon further cooling, the austenite grows dendritically and thus allowing new graphite spheroids in interdendritic regions[7]. Graphite nodules nucleate on small inclusions [8] but further growth solely depends on foreign particles or solutes which are added as inoculant [5].

Rare earth elements reduce the magnesium requirement for a particular set of nodule count and nodularity. As some of the magnesium measured is in the form of magnesium sulfide, final iron sulfur level affects the magnesium needed to result in nodular graphite. Maximum nodularity can be achieved by keeping magnesium residual just enough (0.02%) will deteriorate the nodule shape from fully spheroidicity [9]. Nodule count can be maximized by sound base iron melting practice and good inoculation practice. Nodule count and nodularity is affected by cooling rate. Thin section regions due to fast cooling results in better nodule shape than
slowly cooled ductile iron for the same magnesium residuals. Larger sections require increased magnesium residual whereas late inoculation reduces the magnesium requirement[10]. In conjunction with this, current research work attempts to establish relationship between chemical composition, inoculation processing and pouring temperature of casting by solidification thermal analysis and comparing with experiments.

II. Experimental Procedure

To study the solidification process and its effect on mechanical properties, one needs to study heat transfer analysis of solidification sequences in ductile iron. The current study comprises of capturing solidification temperature data by built in thermocouples fitted in cup casting. Measuring and correlating the properties in castings by analyzing cooling curves. The cup is made up of shell sand, with 21x21x42mm cavity (Electronite standard QC-4010) as shown in Fig.1. The weight of cup casting is 0.315 kg having modulus (volume/surface area) of 7 mm.

The melt charge consisting of 15-20% pig iron, 30-35% Cold rolled steel scrap and remaining foundry returns is melted in 500 kg capacity coreless medium frequency induction furnace. The raw materials are tested for its chemical compositions by spectrometer analysis and are reported in Table 1. The molten metal was tapped in a preheated ladle containing Ferro-silicon-magnesium alloy of size 10-15mm at the bottom covered with steel scrap. The tapping temperature of molten metal was 1450°C. The inoculant is then added in the melt, while pouring directly in the stream for proper mixing (Fig.2 a). Inoculant of size 2 to 4 mm is added in molten metal stream so as to dissolve easily and should be dust free to avoid losses due to oxidation or thermal air currents. Total four ductile iron melts were poured using transfer ladle treatment method as shown in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Charge materials</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Size/shape</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Mg</th>
<th>Ca</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Ba</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pig iron</td>
<td>65 kg</td>
<td>Briquettes</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundry returns</td>
<td>135 kg</td>
<td>Gating</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel scrap</td>
<td>300 kg</td>
<td>Punching</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-Si-Mg alloy</td>
<td>1.8 kg/150 kg ladle</td>
<td>10-15 mm</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>47.50</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inoculant</td>
<td>200 gm/50Kg</td>
<td>2-4 mm</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>74.62</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>2.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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While pouring, sample was taken from the melt for spectroscopic analysis. The treated iron was poured into shell molds for tensile test sample and cup castings for thermal analysis (Fig. 3). The pouring temperature recorded is 1380°C. Similarly remaining melts were properly inoculated with each melt having varying amount of inoculant. In the varied inoculation trials, three cups were arranged in such a manner that pouring will be done in a sequential manner. K type thermocouples connected to each cup casting to capture temperature during solidification. ADAQ-3005 (MCC-USA) data logger for data acquisition synchronized with Desylab 12.0 software for analysis(Fig. 2 b). Temperature time data thus captured can be further processed to plot cooling curves of individual melts processed differently. Metal samples are taken for spectroscopic analysis while pouring so as to measure final chemistry of the castings being poured. The final chemistry for each melt was determined using Spectrometer (Spectro-lab, M-9 model, German make). These chilled specimens were grinded on sand paper for performing the chemical analysis (Table 2).

### Table 2: Chemical analysis of 0.4%, 0.6% and 0.8% Inoculated metal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal Treatment</th>
<th>% C</th>
<th>% Si</th>
<th>% Mn</th>
<th>% P</th>
<th>% S</th>
<th>% Cu</th>
<th>% Al</th>
<th>% Ca</th>
<th>% B</th>
<th>% Ce</th>
<th>% Mg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Base Metal</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A) 0.4% Inoculation</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B) 0.6% Inoculation</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C) 0.8% Inoculation</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### III. Results

The experiments are conducted in ductile iron jobbing foundry and the results of thermal analysis, microstructural analysis and mechanical properties are presented as follows:

#### 3.1: Thermal Analysis

The magnesium treatment is performed by transfer ladle process into 150 kg ladle. The base metal sample is taken after completion of charging and melting and poured into cup for thermal analysis as shown in Fig. 3 (a). The Mg treated metal is transferred into ladles of 50 kg and inoculated with A) 0.4% with 0.2kgf inoculant, B) 0.6% with 0.3kgf inoculant and C) 0.8% with 0.4kgf inoculant respectively (Table 2). The thermal analysis of metals with different inoculant is shown in Fig. 3(b). The thermocouples inserted in each cup casting records the thermal history of solidification process.

In the current experiments, the cooling curves are generated from solidification temperature history of solidifying base metal cup. It is observed that lower eutectic undercooled temperature($T_{EU}$)for base metal is 1119 °C and $T_{BA}$ temperature is 1132 °C which shows the larger undercooling effect which gives larger recalescence degree. The cooling curves generated from 0.4%, 0.6% and 0.8% inoculated cups, indicating the decreasing amount of recalescence as shown in Fig. 4(b). Larger the undercooling, more are chances of formation of undesirable carbides. The minute details of undercooling, recalescence and total solidification time values are used to correlate these solidifying details with final microstructure and mechanical properties.
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In the cooling curve (Fig. 3) \( T_M \) - Maximum temperature of the melt (\(^\circ\)C); \( T_{GL} \) - temperature of graphitic liquidus (\(^\circ\)C); \( T_{EU} \) - Temperature of eutectic undercooling (\(^\circ\)C); \( T_{ER} \) - Temperature of the graphitic recalescence(\(^\circ\)C); \( \Delta T_R \) - Recalescence (\(^\circ\)C); \( T_{ES} \) - Temperature at the end of solidification (\(^\circ\)C); \( t_s \) - Total solidification time (sec).

When the liquidus temperature (\( T_{GL} \)) is reached, the cooling curve shows a quasi-horizonal plateau. This point means that, the heat losses are exactly balanced by the amount of heat of solidification. The length of the horizontal plateau is the total solidification time needed for the graphite to grow. However, the decrease of temperature continues till the lowest eutectic temperature (\( T_{EU} \)).

The period of nucleation is normally short and is followed by an increase in the temperature giving a maximum temperature (\( T_{ER} \)) shortly after the nucleation event. At eutectic temperature, simultaneously austenite and graphite gets precipitated from the liquid melt. After \( T_{ES} \), the solidifying metal releases heat which shows overall increase in temperature, is referred as recalescence (\( \Delta T_R \)) which is measure of difference between \( T_{ER} \) and \( T_{EU} \).

The \( \Delta T_{EU} \) is the difference in temperature of eutectic undercooling of inoculated and uninoculated iron and reported in Table 3. Also the recalescence is a measure of difference in \( T_{ER} \) and \( T_{EU} \) (\( \Delta T_R = T_{ER} - T_{EU} \)).

The ideal recalescence depends on casting material and its modulus. In well inoculated materials, the recalescence should be low to avoid the formation of gas porosity and shrinkage porosity.
ductile iron casting, less than 5°C recalescence is preferred. Lower level of recalescence will depict high efficiency of inoculants and the risk for micro shrinkage and porosity will be reduced.

Fig. 4: a) Undercooling difference between inoculated and un-inoculated ductile irons b) Recalescence degree of inoculated ductile iron

3.2: Microstructure analysis

The samples for microstructural observation were taken from the center of the casting and polished properly. The samples were etched with 2% Nital (2% concentric Nitric acid and 98 ml Methylalcohol). Then optical micrographs were taken with a 35 mm camera attached to a Leintz microscope.

Table 4: Analysis of microstructure in inoculation varied ductile iron samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inoculation amount</th>
<th>% Nodularity</th>
<th>Nodule count/(mm²)</th>
<th>% Ferrite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>88.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>90.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>94.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The polished samples after metallographic analysis were put under Image Analyzer (Make-ProMetal-11) to investigate the nodule count, nodularity and percentage of ferrite and pearlite content in the cast samples (Fig. 5). A microstructure analysis result of 0.4, 0.6 and 0.8% inoculated metal showing increase in nodule count, nodularity and ferrite % with amount of inoculation (Fig. 6). The nodule count increases from 205 to 291, % nodularity from 84 to 95 and % ferrite from 88 to 94 % with increase in inoculation from 0.4 to 0.8 % respectively (Table 4).

Fig.5: Microphotographs of ductile iron samples indicating unetched (A, B and C) and etched with Nital(A-E, B-E and C-E) inoculated with 0.4 %, 0.6% and 0.8% respectively
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Fig. 6: a) Effect of % inoculation on nodule count. b) Effect of % inoculation on % elongation.

3.3: Mechanical properties

The ductile iron inoculated metal in also poured into the shell mould of tensile bar as shown in Fig. 7 (a) so as to prepare tensile sample as per ASTM E-24 standard Fig.7 (b). After machining of tensile cast bars, these samples are tested for tensile strength and results are reported in Table 5. The thermocouples inserted in each cup casting records the thermal history of solidification process. From each melt the tensile bars are poured and machined to prepare specimens for conducting tensile test as per ASTM standard E-24. The tensile strength and elongations results measured using MTS machine were reported in Table 5. The tensile strength decrease from 483 to 421 MPa with increase in elongation from 15.7 to 21 % with increase in addition of inoculant from 0.4 to 0.8 % respectively.

Fig. 7: (a) Test bar mould poured with molten metal, and b) Specifications of tensile specimen as per ASTM E-24

Table 5: Effect of inoculation variation on tensile strength of ductile iron

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inoculation amount</th>
<th>% Elongation</th>
<th>Tensile strength (Mpa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.40%</td>
<td>15.73</td>
<td>482.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60%</td>
<td>18.21</td>
<td>452.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.80%</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>420.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. Discussion

Experiments have been performed on ductile iron melts with base metal composition and inoculated metal with varying amount of inoculants. The thermal history of solidification processing is being recorded which will be used to correlate microstructural evolution of the solidified structures and mechanical property measurements. In case of un-inoculated (base) metal, the recalescence ($\Delta T_r$) is 13 $^\circ$C, which indicates need of larger driving force for the solidification process. However, the higher undercooling before recalescence increases the risk of formation of carbides in the solidified structure. In case of inoculated metal, the recalescence value is within 5 $^\circ$C. The increase in amount of inoculation shows decrease in recalescence value. The basic function of inoculation is to increase nucleation sites, thereby reducing undercooling and promoting the graphite nucleation which minimizes the risk of formation of hard eutectic carbides. The cooling curves of inoculated metal reveal that, the eutectic undercooling temperature ($T_{\text{EU}}$) increases with increase in inoculation.
The solidification times of melts A to C ($t_{SA}, t_{SB}$, and $t_{SC}$) increases with increased amount of inoculant addition. Nodule count, expressed as the number of graphite nodules/mm$^2$, also influences the mechanical properties of ductile iron, although not as strongly and directly as graphite shape. Generally, high nodule count indicates good metallurgical quality, but there is an optimum range of nodule count for each section size of castings, and nodule count in excess of this range may result in a degradation of properties. Thermal analysis cups are designed such that, it weighs 315 gms having modulus of 7mm, offers cooling rates such that it gives optimum combination of tensile strength and ductility, which is followed as guideline for making casting of nearby modulus.

V. Conclusion

The experiments were conducted on ductile iron with varying amount of inoculant. The cup thermal analysis can be effectively used for measuring performance of amount of inoculant on solidification of ductile iron castings. Within the experiments conducted, the following conclusions were highlighted:

- Nodule count influences the pearlitic content of as-cast ductile iron. Increasing the nodule count decreases the pearlite content and tensile strength and increase in % elongation.
- Increasing the nodule count improves ductility which reduces the tendency of formation of chill carbides.
- Matrix consistency is influenced by nodule count. Increasing the nodule count produces a finer and more homogeneous microstructure. This refinement of the matrix structure reduces the segregation intercellular harmful carbides, pearlite or degenerated graphite.
- Inoculation practices used to improve nodule count often make the nodules more spherical. Thus, high nodule count is generally associated with improved nodularity offering increase in toughness.
- The increase in amount of inoculation decreases the recalescence and amount of undercooling improving overall properties of ductile iron.
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