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Abstract: It has been observed that a phenomenon known as “transient vibration” sometimes occurs in centrifugal compressors with between bearing configuration during start-up just after a short shutdown. The phenomenon is caused by a thermal induced bow which is similar to rotor bow occurring in large steam turbines during start-up. The occurrence of this phenomenon depends on certain operating conditions and loop configurations of centrifugal compressors. The temporary rotor bow may cause rotor–stator rubbing due to vibration at high amplitude during restart of compressor in the hot condition. This write up endeavors to provide a guideline about when a method to assess sensitivity to rotor bow during testing of compressors at manufacturer’s test bed. Without identifying the potential severity of this issue it is very cumbersome to change design or implement protective logics in system.
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I. Introduction

Centrifugal compressors are mission critical machinery and stoppage of such machines in an important process loop leads to production downtime and loss of inventory.

Machinery and associated process loop protection is carried out by attenuating alarm and trips taking into view to minimize machinery outage time. Apart from onerous alarm/trip annunciations, there are some benign high vibration signals, signal error or controller error, coupling guard noise issues, spurious trips etc. which are mended quickly and compressor is started again after a short shutdown.

High radial vibration trips/failures were encountered while starting up centrifugal compressors at field after a short shutdown (known as hot-start). The vibration was highest at first resonant speed. Spurious alarm/trip annunciation indicating dry gas seal failure were also experienced.

The high 1X vibration normally is a symptom of imbalance which is either caused by high eccentric mass center of rotor or skewed impeller or shaft with a residual bow. The balancing record of rotor and coupling, imbalance response test results of compressors in vendor shop, alignment data showed all values were well within acceptance criteria. In some of cases, where the rotors accelerated through the HH vibration trip value with very low margin, the vibration amplitude came back to normal at its operating speed after some time. The reason was finally concluded as high transient eccentricity caused by rotor thermal transient bow phenomenon.

Unlike permanent bow due to gravity sag of rotor, it was identified that this type of bow occurs due to uneven temperature distribution circumferentially around the rotor i.e. differential heating/cooling of rotor. As the compressor is shutdown, the rotor starts dissipating heat as following manner –

The convective heat transfer from lower part of rotor is more than with respect to upper part (a case of differential cooling). See the convection current in blue in fig 1. The higher temperature pole will elongate in the axial direction more than the other pole and, as a result, the rotor will bow in resultant direction.

Fig 1 - Transient thermal instability phenomena in rotor
It is worth noticing that rotor static deflection also plays a significant role in setting up the direction of rotor bow as shown in figure. The mathematical treatment of this phenomena is explained in detail by Baldassarre et al [1]. Differential heating of rotor can happen due to hot seal gas supply by seal gas heaters if inadvertently kept on during long pressurized standby condition of compressor.

It is interesting to know that all compressors do not exhibit such phenomenon although it occurs in all compressor rotors the reasons shall be explained in later portion of this paper. There are many governing factors involved like rotor construction and rotor stiffness, settle out pressure and temperature construction and process condition just before stoppage and time taken to restart. Rotor settle pressure plays a role in convective heat transfer as the phenomena implicitly dependent on pressure and temperature of surrounding area and thermal dilation of rotor material [2].

The issue of rotor bow remains undetected until a rub occurs as most of the time operators do not look into phase amplitude plots during restart after short shut-down. There is no data base of such incident available to all compressor manufacturers/operators as the a) API 617 test procedure does not ask for hot restart b) most of the time soft inter-stage labyrinth are used. Baldassarre et al [1] have formulated a successfully implemented (hot restart sensitivity analysis) in design phase although the process is still its nascent stage (i.e. yet to be implemented by other compressor manufacturers).

II. Worst case scenario

The issue can lead to more profound effect due to high thermal gradient between a very short span of rotor when a back to back impeller configuration with cross over piping i.e. without any inter-stage cooling is used. With a bowed rotor having labyrinths with hard material, high radial vibration can lead to rotor-stator rub. The rotor can have deep scratch marks on sleeves and impeller hubs, partially wiped out division wall seal and blunted stainless steel labyrinth tooth.

Subsequent to rub, material may be taken away by hard part of rotor (from balance drum and stationary labyrinth) and higher level of permanent unbalance was created. The compressor has to be dismantled to repair rotor and stator parts and compressor has to be subjected to mechanical run and performance tests. These activities may cause considerable delay in start-up of compressor.

III. Dynamics and severity of rotor transient thermal bow

The rotor phase angle with a bow can be considerably different than a rotor with residual unbalance. The phase of mass eccentricity can be easily found out in MRT but it is quite difficult to predict the location of shaft bow (i.e. angle between mass eccentricity vector δs and shaft bow δr). The total shaft deflection δtotal is a vectorial sum of mass eccentricity vector δs and shaft bow δr .

It is possible to study and analyze the extent of bow and effect on rotor vibration amplitude by using phase amplitude plot from start up to resonance.

If the subject compressor is given multiple starts in short span of time (if driver permits), phase angle of unbalance and slow roll data changes at each start as the extent of bowing gets lessened.

The force associated with a bent shaft is equal to the shaft stiffness times the bow radius. This is very different from mass unbalance case where force is associated with residual unbalanced mass, radius and speed squared.

As stated before in this paper that not all compressor rotor demonstrates the bow in explicit manner or cause serious threat to machinery integrity. The severity of bow depends on the magnitude of rotor bow compared to rotor residual unbalance (mass eccentricity) and phase angle between mass eccentricity δs and shaft bow δr. The below plots are shown to mathematically express the rotor behavior with a bow and unbalance (mass eccentricity). [3],[4]
When the extent of thermal bow is less than mass eccentricity and they have phase difference of 180 degrees, the rotor vibration dips at value of $\omega/\omega_n = 0.707$ and goes to max at $\omega/\omega_n = 1$. This is shown in blue in plot at left side in fig 3. When the extent of bow is larger than mass eccentricity and they have phase difference of 180 degrees, the rotor vibration dips at value of $\omega/\omega_n = 1.414$. This is shown in red in plot at left side in fig 3.

When the extent of thermal bow is less than mass eccentricity and they have phase difference of 90 degrees, the rotor vibration goes to max at $\omega/\omega_n = 1$.

When the extent of thermal bow equal to mass eccentricity and they have no phase difference, the rotor vibration goes to max at $\omega/\omega_n = 1$. The slow roll run out is directly proportional to extent of rotor bow. This is shown in right side of fig 3. The severity of bow is classified as A if bow is less than eccentricity, bow is classified as B if bow is equal to eccentricity, bow is classified as C if bow is less than eccentricity.

The above can be used as logic solver to detect the severity (A, B, C) of bow shown below.

---

**Fig 3** – Effect of Rotor bow and mass eccentricity on vibration amplitude

**Fig 4** – Logic Diagram to identify Rotor bow from mass eccentricity in 1x vibration

IV. Identification of Rotor bow at OEM works

Usually slow roll run out data is taken during startup and coast down in Bode plot. It is found that difference between slow roll data at start up and coast down after a prolonged run is considerable.
Shaft displacement is frequently plotted in polar plot as phase and amplitude. In polar plot, unbalance vector and thermal vector can be identified; the change in vibration and phase angle within the polar plot from starting point to end of rigid body mode is termed as thermal vector. A polar plot data along with Bode plot can be used in conjunction to detect residual thermal bow using phase reference during hot restart.

V. Detecting susceptibility of rotor bow during tests at OEM works

As an experiment to validate the phenomenon, one centrifugal compressor was spin tested as per API 617 edition 7 and peak amplitude and shaft slow roll data were taken at vendor works. The model of rotor response analysis to 4x API mid-span unbalance (with same properties of bearings) was kept as reference. After the mechanical run test (spintest), the subject compressor was put to full load full speed test keeping gas thermodynamic properties similar to contractual condition. The comparison demonstrated the phenomena of rotor bow.

The response of rotor for 4x API i.e. 4U = 6350 W/N yielded 2.3 μm of eccentricity showing peak response as 14μmpk -pk which was very close to unbalance test result.

After 4 hr. full load full speed test with a closed loop, the compressor was restarted after 25 minutes where the peak response at resonant condition was 72 microns which showed the shift of center of gravity by 12μm which confirmed the phenomena of rotor susceptibility to thermal bow. It can be seen that vibration was below 25μm (Red line limit) during steady state condition meeting the API 617 criteria. During the test alarm and trip settings were relaxed taking care of actual rotor to stator gap and measured amplification factor of rotor during unbalance response test.
spinning, unless the affected area has exceeded the yield limit of the material. This proves that transient eccentricity caused by differential cooling/heating of rotor is reversible. It has been found that compressor having 5 pad LOP configuration do have high amplification factor on synchronous response to unbalance in comparison to 4 pad LBP configuration as shown in table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SN</th>
<th>maximum discharge temperature (°C)</th>
<th>RPM</th>
<th>Rotor Bearing span (mm)</th>
<th>Rotor weight (kgs)</th>
<th>Type of bearing 4 Pad / 5 pad</th>
<th>LOP / LBP</th>
<th>Pivot offset</th>
<th>1st Critical Speed</th>
<th>Interstage labyrinth material</th>
<th>Synchronous Amplification factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>177.8</td>
<td>10500</td>
<td>1690</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>5 Pad</td>
<td>LOP</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>Stainless steel (531635)</td>
<td>5.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>168.1</td>
<td>11410</td>
<td>1625</td>
<td>523.83</td>
<td>4 Pad</td>
<td>LBP</td>
<td>Center</td>
<td>5226</td>
<td>Stainless steel (531635)</td>
<td>3.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>9801</td>
<td>1733</td>
<td>661</td>
<td>4 Pad</td>
<td>LOP</td>
<td>Center</td>
<td>3813</td>
<td>Aluminum Alloy</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sec.1: 145</td>
<td>8026</td>
<td>2127</td>
<td>1002</td>
<td>5 Pad</td>
<td>LOP</td>
<td>Center</td>
<td>3210</td>
<td>Aluminum Alloy</td>
<td>13.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>135.9</td>
<td>11813</td>
<td>1362</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>5 Pad</td>
<td>LOP</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>6299</td>
<td>Aluminum Alloy</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Sec.1: 130</td>
<td>11147</td>
<td>1658</td>
<td>627.2</td>
<td>5 Pad</td>
<td>LOP</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>4790</td>
<td>AISI 316</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Sec.1: 90</td>
<td>11975</td>
<td>1617</td>
<td>403.86</td>
<td>4 Pad</td>
<td>LBP</td>
<td>Center</td>
<td>4725</td>
<td>DGI13 (Marinonic Stainless steel)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>7316</td>
<td>2379</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>5 Pad</td>
<td>LOP</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>3113</td>
<td>Aluminum Alloy</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>12054</td>
<td>1496</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>5 Pad</td>
<td>LOP</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>4925</td>
<td>Aluminum Alloy</td>
<td>21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>11050</td>
<td>1283</td>
<td>304.3</td>
<td>5 Pad</td>
<td>LOP</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>6176</td>
<td>Aluminum Alloy</td>
<td>6.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From row 6 and 7 from table, a direct comparison of amplification factors between two rotors with same bearing span and rotor weight can be made. Effect of Honeycomb inter-stage / center-wall seals at hot restart during pressurized condition do not render much help to minimize 1X vibration till 1st critical speed.

VI. Conclusion

Based on above solutions, OEM may have a combination of solutions unified into a standard solution for various machine train configurations.

The design phase should consider the requirement of modal sensitivity analysis of such rotors which can be prone to thermal transient vibrations during hot start-up of compressors.

Finite Element analysis conducted by OEM can be very helpful to determine the loss of interference of shrink fit inter-stage shaft sleeves every time a bowed rotor passes through its first resonance speed. This can give an indication if the design allows a bowed rotor to pass through 1st critical speed. 
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