Modal Analysis of Nanoparticles Reinforced Natural Rubber
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Abstract: The voids in microstructural network of rubber favors the reinforcement of micro and nanosized fillers with a wide range of physical and mechanical properties. This phenomenon of nanofiller reinforcement opens a new horizon for researchers with a tremendous scope to design and investigate nanocomposite materials especially for energy storage, shock absorbing and vibration damping applications. In this study the possibilities of designing a new nanocomposite vibration damper is investigated through the mode of reinforcement of alumina-zirconia (Al₂O₃-ZrO₂) hybrid nanocomposite particles in natural rubber (NR). TEM images of nanoparticles indicate clearly the particle size and reinforcement of ZrO₂ in Al₂O₃ matrix and SEM images of nanocomposite NR shows the surface morphology and entanglement of elastomeric chains. Finite element method is applied to validate the results and it was recorded that the free vibration amplitude decay time is reduced by 25% alongwith excellent 260% enhancement in storage modulus with 15% filler concentration. A sensitivity analysis is carried out for the improvement in storage modulus of nanocomposite rubber as a function of nanofiller concentration.
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I. Introduction

Rubber, technically elastomer, is a unique material which is both elastic and viscous [1] and consists of innumerable microsized spring-mass dashpot systems. The spring justifies the elasticity which obeys Hook’s law and increases with increase in stress whereas the dashpot exhibits viscosity which follows the Newton’s law of viscosity and increases with the strain rate. Few of these elastomers strain crystallize and the others require reinforcement to obtain the adequate static and dynamic characteristics. Natural Rubber (NR) and graphene nanocomposites increase the electrical conductivity and cause an important enhancement on the mechanical behavior of the NR [2]. Styrene–butadiene rubber/clay nanocomposite can enhance the modulus eight times at 300% strain and tensile strength seven times compared with cured pure rubber [3]. Active nanoparticles can form physical or chemical bonding with rubber matrix and enhance exceptionally the mechanical properties of the nanocomposites. The chemical bonding may develop a solid solution like strengthening mechanism by creating a solute-solvent relationship between the reinforced nanoparticles and rubber base [4, 5]. Natural rubbers combine high tensile strength, flexibility, and tear strength with outstanding resistance to fatigue [6, 7]. Alumina (Al₂O₃) is a potential candidate as the dispersed phase in the natural rubber matrix [8, 9]. Factors such as size distribution, shape, volume fraction, permittivity, and conductivity of the particles and the host solvent, have been well known to affect the electrical and rheological properties of the polysoprene based blends or composites [10-17]. For the products made of filled rubber compounds that operate under dynamic loads, such as the tire and air-spring [18] we still do not have a complete understanding of its mechanical behavior.

In this study, five samples of natural rubber reinforced with different concentration of Alumina (Al₂O₃)-Zirconia (ZrO₂) hybrid nanocomposite particles are examined and compared for some dynamic mechanical properties by using analytical method and finite element method. Nanocomposite sample is modeled as simply supported beam subjected to initial excitation and eigenvalues, eigenvectors and lateral displacement relationship are derived. A sensitivity analysis [19] for storage modulus as a function of nanofiller concentration is carried out, which provides an insight to establish a relationship of dynamic property variation in nanocomposites.

II. Experimentation And Sample Preparation

Alumina-zirconia (Al₂O₃-ZrO₂) nanocomposite particles were synthesized through sol-gel method with a minor modification in the protocol as reported by Sarkar et al. [20]. Instead of wet milling acetone washing was carried out to deagglomerate the nanoparticles after calcination. TEM images of nanoparticles and SEM images of nanocomposite NR are illustrated in the Figs. 1 and 2 respectively. The natural rubber (polysoprene) as per the specifications of Rubber Research Institute of India (RRII), Kottayam (India) (ISNR-3L) with highest purity (dust content < 0.04%) was obtained. ISNR-3L was a dried rubber in a light color sheet with specific
gravity 0.93, storage modulus 1.56 MPa and Mooney viscosity 63 MU. The compounding ingredients mentioned in the Table 1 are mixed in two roll mill to form nanocomposite rubber samples in the form of square cross-sectional strips of dimension (L:W:D=150:150:2) mm with Al₂O₃-ZrO₂ nanocomposite particle concentrations varying from 5-15% (5, 8, 10, 12, 15%).

Table 1. Compounding ingredients of Natural Rubber-Nanofiller nanocomposite

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No.</th>
<th>Ingredients of Nanocomposite Natural Rubber</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Quantity (PHR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Natural Rubber (NR)</td>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sulpher</td>
<td>Cross-linking and curing agent</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>MBT</td>
<td>Accelerator</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Zinc Oxide (ZnO)</td>
<td>Curing agent, accelerator, Anticoagulant</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Stearic acid</td>
<td>Curing agent, accelerator, Anticoagulant</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Al₂O₃-ZrO₂ Nanocomposite</td>
<td>Nanofillers</td>
<td>0-15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MBT- Mercapto Benzothiazole

The initial properties of nanocomposite rubber such as density and storage modulus as a function of nanofiller concentration were evaluated using Halpin-Tsai approach [21] and recorded in the Table 2.
Table 2. Mechanical properties of Nanoparticles reinforced Natural Rubber (NR)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No.</th>
<th>Properties and notations used</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Nanoparticles reinforced Natural Rubber (NR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Density ($\rho$)</td>
<td>Kg/m$^3$</td>
<td>930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Elastic Modulus (E) (500% Elongation)</td>
<td>MPa</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This model assumes an isotropic particulate reinforcement of the matrix. The following relations were used to evaluate the property:

$$ P = \rho_m \left(1 + \varepsilon_{p} \varphi_{f}\right) $$

where:

$$ \varepsilon_{p} = \frac{P_{p} - P_{m}}{P_{f} + P_{m}} $$

$$ \varphi = [2 + (40 * \varphi_{f})] $$

In the above Eqs. (1-3), $P$ stands for a property such as density, modulus of elasticity etc. and suffixes m and f corresponds to matrix and filler respectively. The term $\varepsilon_{p}$ is a factor corresponding to a property which depends on another factor $\varphi$ also varying with volume fraction ($\varphi_{f}$) of the filler.

III. Modeling

The nanocomposite sample was modeled as Euler-Bernoulli beam as shown in Fig. 3 for analytical calculations and the equation of motion is obtained as below; [22]

$$ EI \frac{d^4 y}{dx^4} + \rho A \frac{d^2 y}{dt^2} = 0 $$

Besides the assumptions of homogeneity and uniformity of nanoparticles size and distribution the above equation of motion, Eq. (4) was derived considering the following assumptions:

i) No axial force is acting on the beam.

ii) Warping, shear deformation and Poisson effect are negligible

iii) Bending and twisting moments at any arbitrary position along the beam are proportional [23].

Considering nanocomposite (visco-elastic) beam subjected to initial excitation, which is a case of beam under damped-free vibration, the modified equation of motion from Eq. (4) can be obtained as:

$$ EI \frac{d^4 y}{dx^4} + \rho A \frac{d^2 y}{dt^2} + c \frac{dy}{dt} = 0 $$

The solution of the Eq. (5) can be obtained as;

$$ y(x, t) = AcoshFx + BsinhFx + CcosFx + DsinFx $$

![Fig. 3. Model of nanocomposite as simply supported beam](image-url)

Applying the boundary conditions of simply supported beam to Eq. (6);

At $x = 0$; $y(0, t) = 0$; $\frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} \bigg|_{x=0} = 0$
At \( x = L \); \( y (L, t) = 0 \)

\[
\text{Constants } A, B \text{ and } C \text{ become zero and the form of solution can be expressed as;}
\]

\[
y (x, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n \sin \left( \frac{\pi n x}{L} \right) \]

(7)

The relation between the eigenvalues and undamped natural frequencies is given by;

\[
\omega_n = \beta^2 \sqrt{\frac{EI}{\rho A}}
\]

(8)

where, the eigenfunction \( \beta = \pi x / L \); the corresponding natural frequencies can be obtained by Eq. (8), \( (n = 1 \text{ to } \infty) \) and the respective mode shapes can be determined by using Eq. (7). The Eq. (5) can be expressed as a set of ordinary differential equations in the modal coordinates;

\[
y''_i + 2\omega_i \zeta \dot{y}_i + \omega_i^2 y_i = 0
\]

(9)

where,

\[
\zeta_i = \frac{c}{2\rho A \omega_i}
\]

(10)

The damping ratio \( \zeta \) is a function of viscous damping constant \( c \) and frequency \( \omega \). The solution of the above Eq. (9) can be extracted as;

\[
y(x, t) = \left[ e^{-\zeta \omega t} \left( \cos \omega_i t + \frac{\zeta_i \sin \omega_i t}{\sqrt{1 - \zeta_i^2}} \right) \right]
\]

(11)

where

\[
\omega_n = \omega_i \sqrt{1 - \zeta_i^2}
\]

(12)

The above response Eq. (11) was simulated in MATLAB and the output for initial excitation corresponding to the fundamental mode of vibration was plotted.

IV. Analysis By Finite Element Method

The free body diagram of finite elements with nodal points for a simply supported beam is illustrated in the Fig. 4. Assume the discretization of uniform beam with 10 finite elements to represent the bending vibration in terms of two displacements at each nodal point of the element as shown in the enlarged view of a single element in Fig. 4.
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Fig. 4. Model of discretized simply supported nanocomposite beam; Two displacements at each nodal point is shown in the enlarged view of an element

The characteristic equation for eigenvalue problem in bending vibration of a beam by FEM is given by Eq. (13) [22];

\[
K a = \lambda M a
\]

(13)

where \( K \) and \( M \) are global stiffness and mass matrices respectively, \( a \) is system nodal vector and \( \lambda \) is eigenvalue and expressed as;

\[
\lambda = \omega^2
\]

(14)

The element stiffness matrices for a constant value of \( E I \) can be obtained as;


\[
K_j = \frac{EI_j n^3}{L^3} = \begin{bmatrix}
12 & 6 & -12 & 6 \\
6 & 4 & -6 & 2 \\
-12 & -6 & 12 & -6 \\
6 & 2 & -6 & 4 \\
\end{bmatrix}, \quad j=1, 2, \ldots, n
\] (15)


The element mass matrices for a constant value of \(m\), can be obtained as;

\[
M_j = \frac{m_j L}{420n} = \begin{bmatrix}
156 & 22 & 54 & -13 \\
22 & 4 & 13 & -3 \\
54 & 13 & 156 & -22 \\
-13 & -3 & -22 & 4 \\
\end{bmatrix}, \quad j=1, 2, \ldots, n
\] (16)

Applying the boundary conditions in the above mentioned Eqs. (15 and 16);

\[
Y_0 = Y_{10} = 0
\] (17)

Striking out the first row and column from \(K_j\) and \(M_j\) and the third row and column from \(K_{10}\) and \(M_{10}\) the modified element stiffness matrices for each element is as under;

\[
K_1 = \frac{E_110^3}{L^3} = \begin{bmatrix}
4 & -6 & 2 \\
-6 & 12 & -6 \\
-6 & 4 & -6 \\
\end{bmatrix}, \quad K_{2-9} = \frac{E_110^3}{L^3} = \begin{bmatrix}
12 & 6 & -12 & 6 \\
-12 & -6 & 12 & -6 \\
6 & 2 & -6 & 4 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
K_{10} = \frac{E_110^3}{L^3} = \begin{bmatrix}
12 & 6 & 6 \\
6 & 4 & 2 \\
6 & 2 & 4 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The corresponding element mass matrices can be obtained as;

\[
M_1 = \frac{m_1 L}{4200} = \begin{bmatrix}
4 & 13 & -3 \\
13 & 156 & -22 \\
-3 & -22 & 4 \\
\end{bmatrix}, \quad M_{2-9} = \frac{m_1 L}{4200} = \begin{bmatrix}
156 & 22 & 54 & -13 \\
22 & 4 & 13 & -3 \\
54 & 13 & 156 & -22 \\
-13 & -3 & -22 & 4 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
M_{10} = \frac{m_1 L}{4200} = \begin{bmatrix}
156 & 22 & -13 \\
22 & 4 & -3 \\
-13 & -3 & 4 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\] (18)

\[V. \quad \text{Results And Discussion}\]

Putting the global matrices in the Eq. (13) to solve the eigenvalue problem as a function of concentration of nanoparticles, the approximate eigenvalues and associated eigenvectors for first twenty modes of each sample can be obtained. The behavior of nanocomposite under static straining conditions as well as free vibration considering simply supported nanocomposite beam subjected to initial excitation was studied since it follows a harmonic motion. The storage modulus and density of rubber nanocomposite were found to be a function of volume fraction of filler nanoparticles; hence a much calculation was required to solve the Eq. (13) corresponding to each volume concentration of nanofillers. The mathematical model was simulated in MATLAB to extract the eigenvalues and hence the respective natural frequencies for each sample and the result were tabulated and compared with their counterpart by analytical method in Table 3. In calculating the natural frequencies theoretical values of density and modulus as mentioned in the above Table 2 is used.

\[\text{Table 3. \quad Natural Frequencies as a Function of Nanoparticles Concentration}\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No.</th>
<th>Vol. Fraction of Nanoparticles (vF-%)</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Natural Frequencies (Hz) for First Five Modes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mode1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Analytical</td>
<td>4.2625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>FEM</td>
<td>4.2624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Analytical</td>
<td>4.5742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>FEM</td>
<td>4.5741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Analytical</td>
<td>4.8724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>FEM</td>
<td>4.8723</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Natural frequencies by analytical method, Eq. (8) and that by FEM, Eq. (13) for first twenty modes were plotted in the Fig. 5. The same variation between analytical results and FEM results of natural frequencies is observed for all samples; hence the characteristic for one sample is illustrated. It was clearly indicated that for first five modes the result was almost same by both the techniques and for higher modes a considerable deviation was recorded. As concentration of filler ingredients increases the natural frequency of fundamental mode and higher modes also increases. The normalized eigenvectors corresponding to first five modes were plotted in the Fig. 6.

![Fig. 5. Comparison of natural frequencies by analytical method and FEM](image1)

![Fig. 6. Normalized mode shapes corresponding to first five modes](image2)

The response to initial excitation of nanocomposite system with different volume fractions of alumina-zirconia nanoparticles given by the Eq. (11) were plotted overlapped in the Fig. 7 corresponding to the frequency of fundamental mode of each sample. Similarly the performance can be analysed corresponding to the vibration amplitudes of higher modes. The amplitude decay after 10 and 11 seconds can be observed in the zoomed views illustrated in the frames 1 and 2 respectively of Fig. 7. The immediacy of the response can be noted from the phase-lag reduction with increasing nanofiller concentration. The response after 20 seconds was zoomed in the frame 3 of Fig. 7 which indicates the amplitude of 15% concentrated sample almost dies down to zero, whereas the virgin natural rubber still indicates oscillation. The overall amplitude decay time for fundamental mode of virgin sample was more than 20 seconds and that for 15% concentrated sample was about 15.5 seconds, which is approximately 25% less.
Fig. 7. Response to initial excitation; Superimposed amplitude for all samples corresponding to the frequency of fundamental mode Amplitude decay after 10 sec, 11 sec and 20 sec is illustrated in the frames 1, 2 and 3 respectively.

VI. Micromechanics

Nanoparticles can accommodate in the voids at favorable geometric orientations of the molecular structure of rubber. The ability of the rubber molecular chains to form nano or microscale-diameter spheres around individual nanoparticles or small cluster of nanoparticles favors the formation of a strong bond with the rubber matrix [24]. Size of reinforced nanoparticles may vary from 1 to 100 nm in diameter and/or length.

The time to decay the amplitude at the midpoint of the nanocomposite specimen decreases with increase in the concentration of filler nanoparticles which is caused by strong filler–polymer interactions due to nanoparticles–matrix tethering, uniform nanoscale dispersion, and larger surface area of nanoparticles exposed to polymer chains [25]. When an elastomer is reinforced with nano size fillers the entanglement chains causes the stress concentration at microscopic orientations of nanocomposite structure due to clustering of nanoparticles, so the storage modulus rises [26] increasing the overall stiffness of the material. The strong intramolecular attractive forces via hydrogen bonding between rubber matrix and the hydroxyl groups present at the surface of Al₂O₃-ZrO₂ are also responsible for the stress-stiffening effect. This phenomenon is also validated by the viscoelastic effect under the increasing rate of strain.

VII. Filler Concentration Sensitivity

The storage modulus of nanocomposite NR is found to be a function of nanofiller concentration (Fig. 8). The three main medium of energy absorption in the nanocomposite were predicted to be rubber matrix, matrix-filler interaction and the interaction between filler nanoparticles themselves. The loss of energy due to stick-slip motion between filler-matrix interaction and between nanoparticles themselves was the major cause of the improvement in storage modulus. The inherent stiffness of the material has a great effect on amplitude decay and the vibrational energy consumption of the system for the same level of external damping provided.

Fig. 8. Sensitivity to nanofiller concentration for storage modulus: The concentration of nanofiller increases the energy consumption micromechanisms, which causes excellent improvement in storage modulus.
VIII. Conclusion

To explore the influence of different concentrations of $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$-$\text{ZrO}_2$ nanoparticles reinforcement on the static and dynamic behavior of the natural rubber and to establish a reasonable ground for designing nanocomposite vibration dampers, the dependence of response on the initial excitation was studied. The results describe the improvement in the viscoelastic properties of natural rubber nanocomposite as a function of its nanostrueter. The vibration amplitude decay time is found to be reduced by 25% due to enhancement in overall stiffness and storage modulus is improved by 260% approximately. The polysisoprene- $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$-$\text{ZrO}_2$ hybrid nanocomposite samples were successfully prepared by two roll mill process. The structure property relationship in nanocomposites can provide a useful means to determine the extent to which nanofillers has been exfoliated and dispersed in polymer matrix.
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