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Abstract: The aim of the project is to enhance the performance of the drive shaft’s tripod assembly to reduce failure and to increase the performance of the product. Drive shaft transfer the engine power to the wheels and it allows for steering and suspension movement and reduce vibration for the driver. Loss of drive in the vehicle due to inboard / Tripod assembly damage (Drive shaft inboard joint failure). Reducing the Failure of drive shaft improves performance and warranty life for both existing product and new product. Even though the interconnecting shaft was intentionally made for fail during excessive loading, unfortunately the inboard joint fractures during static testing and on field. The failure due to the inboard joint (Tripod assembly roller damage) is high. Objective is to find the Root cause for tripod assembly Failure, by the Adams simulation case study, It has been observed bottom needle only getting loaded with the maximum load of 27KN and to improve the static load test load has to be uniformly distributed or roller and Needle geometry has to be improved to withstand the load. The aim of the project is to design and develop a tripod assembly withstand Minimum 1600 Nm. design.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A constant velocity joint is a mechanical coupling in which the rotational speed of the output shaft is the same as that of the input shaft whatever the operating angle of the joint. In most applications, the inboard CV Joint is a plunge joint that allows the effective length of the side shaft to change due to suspension travel. In front (or steer) axle applications, the outboard joint must transfer torque effectively through a wide angle (up to 52 degrees). In rear axle applications, joint operating angles are much lower. Constant-velocity joints (CV joints) allow a drive shaft to transmit power through a variable angle, at constant rotational speed, without an appreciable increase in friction or play. They are mainly used in front wheel drive and many modern Rear wheel drive cars with independent rear suspension typically use CV joints at the ends of the rear axle half shafts, and increasingly use them on the prop shafts.

![Drive shaft Assembly](image1)

Drive shaft Assembly redesign project is been carried out to improve the performance & reduce failure of existing Drive shaft valve, the main goal is to improve the performance without increasing the product cost. In this paper we are discussing how tripod Assembly is redesigned.

II. TRIPOD ASSEMBLY

A tripod where the axe of its trunions, contained in clean perpendicular to interconnecting shaft. Makes an angle of 120 degree with the other two trunions. A tulip or inboard joint includes three cylindrical track parallel to the tulip’s axis and equally spaced, angularly and radially around this axis. Three spherical rollers externally assuring the link between the tripod and the tulips, in such a way that each of the tripod trunions axes cuts each of the three tracks axes following the assembly. The current design of Tripod Assembly, showing its parts used in Drive Shaft assembly is shown in the Fig.2.
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The existing tripod assembly has three spherical PEG and 32 rollers assembled with one roller in each Tripod PEG, which comprises a retaining ring made of spring steel to hold the protection roller in tripod body, a Roller will rotate in tulip track diameter when the torque transmitted from engine then the tripod assembly rollers will be subjected to load. During the study of various Tripod assemblies it is observed that elliptical profile tripod body is having better contact angle. (Ovality - 0.1 mm). So it is decided to adopt the oval shape perpendicular to the spline axis. A tripod assembly for existing design as shown in Fig.3.

Requirements of Drive shaft – Tripod assembly is provided in Table 1, the capability of tripod assembly to withstand the static load up to 1500Nm in Drive shaft assembly. The main task is to check the capability of Tripod assembly for static load.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outboard Joint Articulation Angle</td>
<td>25 °</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inboard Joint Articulation Angle</td>
<td>10 °</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint Position from design center line</td>
<td>0.0 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotational Speed - From</td>
<td>2.0 rpm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotational Speed - To</td>
<td>50.0 rpm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load Applying Units: Nm/rev</td>
<td>Nm/rev</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load Applying Rate:</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Static load (No fracture)</td>
<td>Min &gt;= 1500 Nm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1–Requirement for Tripod Assembly

III. EXPERIMENTATION

Experimentation is carried out with existing static torsion load cover for measuring the withstanding capability of standard performance by increasing the ovality from 0.02 bar to 0.1 mm gradually. Experimental setup is shown in Fig.4.
The tripod assembly with standing strength can be improved by two ways, one is improving structurally by making trunion shape and by increasing the roller diameter so that it will not get fracture and another way is to reducing the failure acting on tripod assembly by optimizing the trunion shape so that even for high static load with tripod up to 1700Nm the fracture will not happen, this paper describes how static load acting on tripod assembly failure is reduced by using ADAMS as tool.

IV. ADAMS MODEL DESCRIPTION

The loads that contribute to the rollers in the existing tripod assembly are

- The Static & Dynamic load produced by the tripod trunion
- The Static & dynamic friction load produced by the needles
- The static and dynamic load applied on the ID of Rollers

The loads contribution to Rollers in existing tripod assembly is shown in fig 5.2. This section illustrates the procedure followed for building a mathematical model, discretization of a computational domain, porous modeling, and ADAMS solver set up. ADAMS, a design oriented Pro-E modeling code was selected to investigate and optimize the tripod Assembly. The Load domain was created by considering the cavity inside the geometry by utilizing the CAD modeling package Pro Engineer. Complete cross section of the Tripod Assembly is shown in the Fig.5

Force calculation:-

Torque = Force x Radius (Unit :- Nm)
Force = Torque  (Unit :-N)
Radius

Tripod torque Specification:
Torque requirement – Max 1600Nm
Radius of Bar shaft - 10.95 mm or 0.01095 m
Force = 1600 = 146118.7 N
0.01095

Hence load to be applied on Tripod PEG is 146119 per PEG

Also modeled to understand the peak load applied Needle as shown in Fig.6.
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Figure 6– Tripod Assembly load distribution

V. MAXIMUM SHEAR STRESS EQUATIONS

Maximum Shear stress calculation:

Data:-
Roller ID = RA = Ø 10.945 x 10^-3 M
Needle Diameter = RB = Ø 1 x 10^-3 M
Length of roller = 10 x 10^-3 M
Poisson ratio = 0.3
Young’s modulus = 2.1 x 10^11 Pa
Load per PEG = 48706 N

To find Maximum shear stress:

Contact area dimension = b = \frac{4WR}{\pi E} \times \frac{1}{2}

\frac{1}{R^2} = \frac{1}{R_x} = \frac{1}{R_{ax}} + \frac{1}{R_{bx}} = \frac{1}{10^{-3}} + \frac{1}{10^{-3}} = 1091.36

Contact area dimension = b = \frac{4 \times 46706 \times 9.16 \times 10^{-4}}{3142 \times 10^{-10} \times 2.308 \times 10^{11}} = 1.57 \times 10^{-4}

Maximum contact pressure = P_{max} = 98.82 Mpa

Maximum Shear stress = \tau_{max} = 0.304 \times 98.82 = 30.04 Mpa

Yield stress of roller = 35 Mpa

Maximum Shear stress calculated is < than Yeild stress of roller.

VI. STUDY OF EXISTING DESIGN

The existing Tripod Assembly which has 32 Needles, one roller on one tripod PEG diameter. By varying the PEG diameter ovality from 0.02mm to 0.12mm respectively, where at 0.08 mm is normal operating static load is experimental for which the static load has to withstand. The Static load on Tripod assembly is studied for various ovality,relative comparison of static load is arrived. Same tripod body range is maintained to know the difference in the load distribution.
Failure analysis – Contact Stress analysis:

C3D8R element used

Contact angle for exiting & proposed profile:

Current design: - In Auto CAD, Roller, Needle & Tripod diameter is drawing at 1:1 and Contact created with bottom most needle and checked for Gap in bottom side needles (180°). Only 3 needles was in contact & contact angle is only 24 °.

Proposed design: - In Auto CAD, Roller, Needle & Tripod diameter is drawing at 1:1 with Ovality of 0.08 mm and Contact created with bottom most needle and checked for Gap in bottom side needles (180°). Observed 7 needles were in contact and contact angle is 72 °.
VII. DESIGN OPTIMIZATION

Three design iterations were made by modifying the Tripod PEG diameter in various combinations and by ensuring static load of all design iterations is greater than that of existing design as shown in Fig.9.

Design Iteration 1

In first iteration with the ovality of 0.02 mm existing 0 mm and simulated in Adams for static load distribution on tripod assembly is shown in Fig.10. The average and maximum load distributions are 18.54 KN and 24.64 KN respectively.

Design Iteration 2

In second iteration with the ovality of 0.04 mm existing 0 mm and simulated in Adams for static load distribution on tripod assembly is shown in Fig.10. The average and maximum load distributions are 16.45 KN and 22.60 KN respectively.

Design Iteration 3

In third iteration with the ovality of 0.06 mm existing 0 mm and simulated in Adams for static load distribution on tripod assembly is shown in Fig.10. The average and maximum load distributions are 15.75 KN and 20.56 KN respectively.
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Figure 12–Tripod PEG ovality for iteration-3

VIII. CONCLUSION

The average and maximum load distribution on tripod Assembly for the maximum static load pressure of 1500 Nm is greater than 1658 bar and 1798 Nm all three design iterations because of improving the ovality, this means all the three designs will work for maximum load distribution even though the load is high, anyhow design iteration-3 tripod body modeled with 0.08mm showing lesser load than other iterations, also modifying the existing tripod assembly for iteration-3 is simple, so it is concluded to go with design iteration-3. The prototype sample of the same is made by increasing the ovality from 0.0mm to 0.08mm and tested in same experimental setup.

Thus for high static load is meet in tripod assembly with ovality design tripods by optimizing the same using ADAMS / ANSYS. ADAMS results and experimental results were comparable and ADAMS technique is effectively used to optimize the Ovality and load distribution. This analysis reduced the development cycle time and made to understand the load distribution.
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