Design Optimization of Shell an Tube Heat Exchanger for Tube Failure Prevention

S.O. Rathi¹, S.H. Gawande²

¹ (Department of Mechanical Engineering, P.G.M. College of Engineering, S. P. Pune University, Pune, India) ² (Department of Mechanical Engineering, M.E.S.College of Engineering, S. P. Pune University, Pune, India)

Abstract: In this paper a simplified approach to optimize the design of Shell Tube Heat Exchanger [STHE] by using Finite Element Analysis [FEA]. The FEA of STHE helps in achieving optimization in design by prevention of tube failure caused due to FEA. The main reason for design of STHE is to optimize the heat exchanger for safer design. Firstly, take the detailed design from Alfa-Laval (India), Ltd., Pune-10. then make the model in 3-D software and convert this model into ANSYS for analysis and after these calculate the stress strain value by using numerical method. These shows that software and numerical stress, strain values are same therefore design is safe, also find frequencies at different modes.

Keywords- Heat Exchanger, FEA, Stress, TEMA, HTRI

I. INTRODUCTION

Engineering materials are evolving faster and the choice is wider than ever before [1]. Many industries have transformed significantly over the past few decades. This is mainly because of the advancement in materials, processes, and computational capabilities. Advanced high – tech industries adopted new materials and processes; replacement of mild steel with high strength steel, lighter non – ferrous alloys, such as aluminium and magnesium alloys, plastics, and composites. Many industries developing high strength, low weight materials for wide applications such as composite materials [2]. Heat exchangers are commonly used to transfer heat from steam, water, or gases, to gases, or liquids. Some of the criteria for selecting materials used for heat exchangers are corrosion resistance, strength, heat Conduction, and cost. Corrosion resistance is frequently a difficult criterion to meet. Damage to heat exchangers is frequently difficult to avoid. The tubes in a heat exchanger transfer heat from the fluid on the inside of the tube to fluid on the shell side (or vice versa). Some heat exchanger designs use fins to provide greater thermal conductivity. To meet corrosion requirements, tubing must be resistant to general corrosion, pitting, stress-corrosion cracking (SCC), selective leaching or dealloying, and oxygen cell attack in service.

II. PROBLEM STATEMENT

To design and develop a shell and Tube heat exchanger to meet the functional requirements as per Table1, Table 2 and Table 3 to satisfy company requirement as per ASME code & TEMA standards. The scope was limited to analyze the stress variation at Shell Tube Heat Exchanger. The objective of this work is to find stresses on Heat Exchanger by numerical and software basis and modal analysis is done. Also done modal analysis for finding out natural frequency of Heat Exchanger.

Design Data							
Design Code: ASME Sec. Viii Div. 1 Ed. 2007 Ed 2009/ Tema Cl R, 9 th Edition. Type Bem							
Shell Side Tube Side							
Design Pressure Bar	5.2	5.2					
Design Temperature C ^o	150	150					
Operating Pressure bar	0.965	0.409					
Operating Temperature C ^o	89.78/73.99	72.63/72.63					
Hydro Test Pressure bar	7.8	7.8					
Hydro Test Temperature C ^o	Ambient	Ambient					

TABLE 1:	Design	Data	ASME	section	8	div.1
	Design	Duiu	TIONIL	section	o	ui v . i

5th National Conference RDME 2016, 10-11th March 2016. M.E.S. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, PUNE. 411001

M.D.M.T. C ^o	-29	-29
Mean Metal Temperature C ^o	81.89	72.644
Corrosion Allowance mm	0	0
Radiography	Nil	Nil(Rt4)
Joint Efficiency	0.7	0.7
Content Fluids	Water/Ethanol/Co ₂	Thin Stillage
Specific Gravity	0.83	0.97
Heat Transfer Area Sq.m	781.4	-
Basic Wind Speed M/Sec	42	-
Seismic Criteria	Ubc-1997	-
Insulation mm	75 Mm Fiberglass	-
Empty Weight Kg	21000	-
Hydro Test Weight Kg	42500	-
Bundle Weight Kg	94000	-
Inspection Authority	Alil/Tuv	-
Equipment Quantity	1	-
P & Id No.	8455001-4100)-25-R4102

 TABLE 2: Nozzle Schedule

Nozzle Schedule								
N. 1	c •	G •		Flange				R/F Pad
Nozzle	Service	Size	Sch./Thk	Std	Rating	Туре	Facing	Odxthk
А	Shell Side Vapour Inlet	Dn400	10 Thk	ASME B-16.5	150#	So	Rf	660x8 Thk.
В	Shell Side Liquid Outlet	Dn200	Sck. 40	ASME B-16.5	150#	So	Rf	350x8 Thk.
C1,C2	Manway With Blind & Davit	Dn600	10(8)Thk	ASME B-16.5	150#	So	Rf	1100x8 Thk.
Е	Tube Side Inlet	Dn300	10 Thk	ASME B-16.5	150#	So	Rf	500x8 Thk
F	Vapour Outlet	Dn600	10 Thk	ASME B-16.5	150#	So	Rf	1100x8 Thk
H1,H2,H3	View Port	Dn200	-	ASME B-16.5	150#	Pad	-	-
J1,J2	Press. Indicator	Dn50	Sch.160	ASME B-16.5	150#	So	Rf	-
Р	Psv	Dn50	Sch.160	ASME B-16.5	150#	So	Rf	-
Q1	Non Condensible Vents	Dn50	Sch.160	ASME B-16.5	150#	So	Rf	-
Q2	Spare With Blind	Dn50	Sch.160	ASME B-16.5	150#	So	Rf	-
R1,R2	Skirt Vent	Dn50	Sch.160	-	-	-	-	-

TABLE 3: Material Specification

MATERIAL SPECIFICATION							
1	Shell/Dish	SA 240 TYPE 304					
2	Shell / Tube Side Internals	SA 240 TYPE 304					
3	Tubes	SA 249 TYPE 304					
4	Nozzle Neck	SA 240 Gr.304/ SA 312 TP 304					
5	Flanges	SA 180 F-304					
6	Gasket	2 mm thk. PTFE					
7	Lug Support	SA-36					
8	Skirt / Support	SS-304 + SA - 36					
9	Sealing Strip	SA-240-Gr.304					
10	Stub End	SA-403-Gr304					
11	Tube Sheet	SA-965-F-304					
12	Glass	Boro Silicate					
13	Stiffener	SA-240-Gr.304					

III. DESIGN AND ANALYSIS RESULTS

A. Analysis of Heat Exchanger







Fig.2 Meshing of Heat Exchanger

8		Multiple Systems - Mechanical [ANSYS Multiphysics]	- 0 2
File Edit View U	inita Toola Help 🛛 🥥 ன	🖇 Solve 🖛 27 Show Errors 🍅 📸 💩 📣 💽 🗰 🐨 🐨 Werksheet 🔩	
St 12 12 100 -	- To - 150 150 170 mm 1		
ET' Shares Vestigers	Divineframe P. Show Mer	4. M Random Colors CA American Reducerson	
Edge Coloring	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1		
E coje Coloning	~~~~~~~~		
Result 43 pluto sca		all mo mo intervente unteres All Bodies -	
		P-Static Structural Mission	
Filter: Name	*		NSYS
	orce P	Type: Total Deformation	B15.0
	orce_D	Unit: m	
- Q. F	orce_C1	Time 1	
m	orce_*	00-06-2015 14:57	
10	reported Load (A6)		
10 10	obution (D6)	NUMBER OF THE OWNER	
	Soution Information		
	Control Construction in the		
	The Normal Stress		
- Et Hodal	1(CS)	0.0100	*
	re-Stress (None)		+
- 10 A	vialysis Settings	0.0001776	-
n	oed Support	D COMPER	× ×
	tolution (C6)		
		0.000 15.000 30.000 (m)	
Details of "Total I	Deformation"	P 7,500 22,500	
H Scope			
Scoping Method	Geometry Selection	Cocometry Avine history Mebort history	
Geometry	AllBodies	Graph P Tabular Data	4
Definition		Animation 🕨 🗮 III III 🔍 10 frames 💿 2 Sec student 💿 📅 😰 Inc. 1	~
Type	Total Deformation		
69	1046		
Display rime	Lait		
Calculate time Histo	ory tes		
Identifier			
supprected	hea		
HESONS	0.00	0 h	
C rearranged	5. TB		
		In No Messages No Selection Metric (m, kg, N, s, V, A) Degrees rad/s C	elsius //
			08-06-2015

Fig.3 Total deformation of Heat Exchanger

•		Multiple Systems - Mechanical (ANSYS Multiphysics)	- 7 ×
File Edit View Units	s Tools Help	🔰 Solve 📼 77 Show Errors 🏥 📾 🚳 📣 🔿 🐨 🐨 🖤 Worksheet 🚛	
P & X	🏞 চর চর চর 🖿 🖌 谷		
ST Show Vertices 400	Wireframe 9% Show Mirsh	A Mandom Colors Annotation Preferences	
Edge Coloring -	h - h - h - h - h -	- Je H Thicken Amotations	
Result 43 (Auto Scale)		2 MD NO COProbe Display All Bodies *	
Outline			
Filter Name	P B C S C S C S C Solution 2nformation Equivalent Circles Total Deformation S S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C	Description Description Type: Equivalence (bores) Type: Equivalence (bores) Type: Equivalence (bores) Type: Equivalence (bores) Type: Equivalence (bores) Type: Equivalence (bores) 2.354:eff Muer 2.054:eff Muer 1.501:e0 1.501:e0	ANSYS
Betails of "Equivale	treas (Norw) pais Settings d Support Ation (C6)	2 2 5 5 Max ² 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 - ×
- Scope			
Scoping Method	Geometry Selection	Ceometry / Print Preview / Report Preview /	
Geometry	All Bodies	Graph Tabular Data	
Definition			
Type	Equivalent (ron-Mises) Stress	Thereaded a more thanks a second a second as a	
By	Time		
Display Time	Last		
Calculate Time History	Yes		
Identifier			
suppressed	Peo .		
Progration Point Kesu	discourse of		
Company Operant	Contraction of the second seco	1911	~
		D No Messages No Selection Metric (m, kg, N, s, V, A) Degrees rate	t/s Celsius
- CO /	A 😨 📼	📧 📣 🙋 😰 🔨 Tata bahargad sa 🕼 🏠 Malajak Systema ar	ENG 14:55 08-06-2015

Fig. 4 Equivalent stress plot of Heat Exchanger

•			Multiple System	s - Mechanical [ANSYS Multiphysics]		- a ×
File Edit View Units	Tools Help	4 3 3 5	olve 🖛 7/ShowErrors 🏄 💕 🖄 📣 🔿	- Worksheet		
198 Ar 131 MALT	To - (15) (15) (15) (16) ((Ph - 1)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ala sul⊟+		
Contraction 100	Protection of the second state	-	Standard Colors Colors			
in anon venues es						
Edge Coloring •	K- /- /- /-	/- #	Thicken Annotations			
Result 43 (Auto Scale)	- 🐵 - 🚍 - 📢		380 50 Probe Display All Bodies	*		
Filter Name	-	123	Bi Static Structural Normal Street	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ANSYS
Die Energ	P		Type: Normal Strets(Y Asis)			
C. Foro			Unit: Pa	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
- C. Poro	_C1		Coordinate System	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
- C. Ford			Time: 1			
180- Jan Darger	rted Load (A6)		08-06-2015 14:55	4.2602++007		
CO-VER Sola	tion (BG)		- 2.3634-P.M		×	
	Equivalent Stress		1.0615+0			
	Total Deformation		1.4597e0	3.2204++007		
	Normal Stress		1.0570e0			
B Hodal (C	5)		6.5597e7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		No.
Vice Pre-1	Stress (None)		2,5406e7			+
Anal	rsis Settings		-1.4791+7			•
Process of the second	1 Support		-5.4967x7			× ×
	and (cor)	~	-9.5154e7			
Details of Thiospell	Parcers"		-1.3534e8 Min	0.000	20.000 (m)	
Details of Normal :	stress	-		2.000	15.000	
Complete Mathemat	Company Colorities	~	Geometry (Print Preview) Report Preview/			
Geometry	All Borders		Canab		B Tabular Data	
Definition			Graph		+ Tabular Data	
Type	Normal Stress		Animation 🕨 🔳 🛄 🛄 💡 10 Frames	 2 Sec (Auto) 4 all 0 	R	^
Orientation	YAR					
Dy	Time					
Display Time	Last					
Coordinate System	Coordinate System					
Calculate Time History	Yes					
Identifier			0.		1.	
Suppressed	No	~				v
			0 No Mes	ages No Selection	Me	tric (m. kg. N. s. V. A) Degrees rad/s Celsius
						10.56
				Exchanger Multiple Systems		ENG 00.06-2015

Fig.5 Normal Stress plot of Heat Exchanger

B. Numerical Design of Heat Exchanger

- 1. Thickness of HE Shell = 8 mm.
- 2. Thickness of Semielliptical Head=8 mm.
- 3. Inside Corner Radius = 126 mm.
- 4. Straight Flange Length = 50 mm.
- 5. Storage Capacity of $HE = 2.293 \text{ mm}^{3}$
- 6. Ro = Outer radius of shell = 683 mm Ri = Inner radius of shell = 675 mm P = 0.52 MPa

Results are verified for stress due to pressure as follows:

Hoop stress in shell away from discontinuity

$$\sigma_{\phi} = \frac{P * R_i^2}{\left(R_o^2 - R_i^2\right)} \left(1 + \frac{R_o^2}{R_i^2}\right)$$
$$\sigma_{\phi} = \frac{0.52 * 675^2}{(683^2 - 675^2)} \left(1 + \frac{683^2}{675^2}\right)$$

$$\sigma_{\phi} = 44.136531 \text{ N/mm}^2$$

As per IS code and ASME code, the allowable stress is based on ultimate tensile strength and as per DIN code, the allowable stress is based on the yield strength.

Allowable stress,
$$\sigma_{all} = \frac{S_{ut}}{3.0} = \frac{505}{3.0} = 168.33 \text{MPa}$$

Or $\sigma_{all} = \frac{S_{yt}}{1.5} = \frac{215}{1.5} = 143.33 \text{MPa}$

For further reference take the minimum value of Allowable Stress.

From above result the Normal Hoop's Stress using analytical method is 42.68N/mm² and numerical solution is 44.13 N/mm². and Allowable stress is 143.33/mm². Therefore, both the stresses are within the limit of Allowable stress.

C. Modal Analysis of Heat Exchanger



Fig.7 Total deformation 2



Fig.8 Total deformation 3



Fig.9 Total deformation 4



Fig.10 Total deformation 5





Fig.12 Total deformation 8

C: Modal		ANSYS
Type: Total Deformation		
Frequency 32,523 Hz		
Unit: m	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
6/12/2015 2:46 PM		
- 0.00077698 Max		
0.00069065		
0.00060432		
0.00051799		
0.00043166		
0.00034532		
0.00025899		
0.00017266		
8.6331e-5		
0 Min		
		†
	Martin	•
		X X
	0.000 5.00 10.000 (~)	
	2.500 7.500	
Constant (Brint Branin) Brant Branin		

Fig.12 Total deformation 9



Fig.13 Total deformation 10



Fig.14 Total deformation 11

T.1.1.	4	N. I.I.	1	
I able:	4	Modal	anarysis	results

Sr. No.	Mode	Frequency(Hz)
1	1	2.3696
2	2	2.3696
3	3	2.417
4	4	13.112
5	5	13.201
6	6	24.389
7	7	24.476
8	8	30.753
9	9	32.523
10	10	34.815
11	11	35.848

5th National Conference RDME 2016, 10-11th March 2016. M.E.S. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, PUNE. 411001

IV. CONCLUSION

As per customer requirement we have analyzed and design Heat Exchanger under structural condition for normal stress and compare with numerical hoops stress, both stresses are within the limit of allowable stress Also find Frequency using Modal Analysis.

REFERENCES

- H. Hamakawa, K. Muraoka, E. Nishid A, T. Fukano, Vortex shedding from tube bankswith closely mounted serrated Fin, Vol.(6)1 pp 69-80,(2011)
- [2] C.W.M. Vander Geld, J.M.W.M. Schoonen, Design improvement of shell and tube heat exchanger based on practical experience and numerical analysis". Chapter Design and Operation of Heat Exchangers Volume 18 of the series EUROTHERM Seminars pp 74-87
- [3] S. Wang, J. Wen, Y. Li, An experimental investigation of heat transfer Enhancement for a shell and tube heat exchanger, Applied Thermal Engineering Vol. 29 no.11-12.pp 2433-2438,(2009)
- [4] V.K. Patel, R.V. Rao, Design optimization of shell and tube heat exchanger using particle swarm optimization technique ,Applied Thermal Engineering, Vol.30, no.11-12 pp.1417-1425, (2010)
- [5] Andre L.H. Costa, Eduardo M. Queiroz, Design optimization of shell and tube heat exchangers, Applied Thermal Engineering, Vol.28, no.14-15, pp.1798-1805, (2008)
- [6] R. Hosseini, A.Hosseini Ghaffar, M.Soltani, Experimental determination of shell side heat transfer coefficient and drop for an oil cooler STHE with three different bundles, Applied Thermal Engineering, Vol.27, no.5-6, pp1001-1008,2007.
- [7] I Conte, X.F. Peng, Numerical and experimental investigations of heat transfer performance of rectangular coil heat exchanger, Applied Thermal Engineering, Vol.29, no.8-9, pp.1799-1808,2009.
- [8] V.Hejazi, M.A.Akhavan Behabadi, A. Afshari, Experimental investigation of twisted tape inserts performance on condensation heat transfer Enhanancement and pressure drop", International Communications in heat and mass transfer, Vol37no.9pp.1376-1387,2010.
- [9] Qi-Wu Dong and Min-Shan Liu, "Anti vibration Design of Tubular Heat Exchangers", Journal of Pressurized Equipment and Systems 2 (2004) 21-24.
- [10] Y. A. Khulief, S. A. Al-Kaabi, S. A. Said, M. Anis, "Prediction of Flow-Induced Vibrations in tubular Heat Exchangers—Part I: Numerical modeling", Journal of Pressure Vessel Technology copyright © 2009 by ASME FEBRUARY 2009, Vol. 131 / 011301-1.
- [11] K. C. Leong, K. C. Toh, Y.C. Leong, "Shell and Tube Heat Exchanger Design Software for educational Applications", International Journal Engng Ed. Vol. 14, No. 3, p. 217-224, 1998.
- [12] S.H. Gawande, A.A.Keste, Design Optimization of Shell and Tube Heat Exchanger by Vibration Analysis Scientific Research, Modern Mechanical Engineering, 1(1, 6-11, 2011.