

K-derivation and symmetric bi-k-derivation on Gamma Banach Algebras

¹P. Rajkhowa, ²Md. Shahidul Islam Khan
 Department of Mathematics, Gauhati University, Guwahati-781014

Abstract: In this paper, we define and study k -derivations and symmetric bi- k -derivations on a Γ -Banach algebra. We also define and study $h\otimes k$ -derivation d on the projective tensor product $V \otimes_p V'$ for the h - and k -derivations d_1 and d_2 on Γ -Banach Algebras $V(F)$ and $V'(F')$ respectively.

AMS subject classification Code: 17D20 (γ, δ)

Key words: k -derivation, symmetric bi- k -derivation, centroid.

I. Introduction:

N. Nobusawa [7] introduced the notion of a Γ -ring, more general than a ring. W. E. Barnes [11] weakened slightly the condition in definition of Γ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa. W. E. Barnes [11], J. Luh [4] and S. Kyuno [10] studied the structure of Γ -rings and obtained various generalizations analogous to corresponding parts in ring theory. Bhattacharya and Maity [2] introduced the notion of a Γ -Banach algebra. In recent times, many far reaching results of general algebras have been extended to Γ -algebras by many outstanding research workers. In this paper, we study k -derivation on Γ -Banach algebras V and $k\otimes h$ - derivation on $\Gamma\otimes\Gamma$ -Banach algebra $V \otimes_p V'$. We define symmetric bi- k -derivation on Γ -Banach algebras in which $k: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ is an additive map such that $k^n = k$, where n is a positive integers. Some important results relating to this concepts are proved. For example we show that (a) Let $V(F)$ and $V'(F')$ be two Γ -Banach algebra and Γ' -Banach algebra respectively with $e\delta x = x\delta e = x(\forall x \in V)$, $e \in V, \delta \in \Gamma$ and $e'\delta' y = y\delta' e' = y(\forall y \in V)$, $e' \in V', \delta' \in \Gamma'$. If d_1 and d_2 are k - and h -inner derivation on $V(F)$ and $V'(F')$ respectively implemented by (a, δ) and (b, δ') respectively then d is a $k\otimes h$ - inner deviation on $V \otimes_p V'$ implemented by $(a \otimes e' + e \otimes b, \delta \otimes \delta')$, (b) Let V be a 2-torsion free prime Γ -Banach algebra, $D_1(\dots)$, $D_2(\dots)$ and $D_3(\dots)$ and $D_4(\dots)$ the symmetric bi- k -derivations on V and d_1, d_2, d_3 and d_4 traces of $D_1(\dots), D_2(\dots), D_3(\dots)$ and $D_4(\dots)$ respectively. If $d_1(x)\gamma d_2(y) = d_3(x)\gamma d_4(y)$, for all $x, y \in V$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $d_1 \neq 0 \neq d_4$, then there exists $\lambda \in C_\Gamma$ such that $d_2(x) = \lambda \alpha d_1(x)$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$, where C_Γ is the extended centroid of V , (c) Let V be a 2-torsion free prime Gamma Banach algebra and U be a non zero ideal of V . Suppose there exist symmetric bi- k -derivations $D_1: V \times V \rightarrow V$ and $D_2: V \times V \rightarrow V$ such that $D_1(d_2(x), x) = 0$ holds for all $x \in U$ where d_2 denotes the trace of D_2 . In this case $D_1 = 0$ or $D_2 = 0$, (d) Let V be a 2- and 3-torsion free prime Γ -Banach algebra. Let U be a non zero ideal of V and $D_1: V \times V \rightarrow V$ and $D_2: V \times V \rightarrow V$ be symmetric bi- k - derivations. Suppose further that there exists a symmetric bi-additive mapping $B: V \times V \rightarrow V$ such that $d_1(d_2(x)) = f(x)$ holds, for all $x \in U$, where D_1 and D_2 are the traces of D_1 and D_2 respectively and f is the trace of B . Then either $D_1 = 0$ and $D_2 = 0$.

II. Preliminaries

Let V and Γ be two additive abelian groups. If for all $x, y, z \in V; \gamma, \mu \in \Gamma$, the following conditions are satisfied, (a) $x\gamma y \in V$, (b) $(x + \gamma)\gamma z = x\gamma z + y\gamma z$, $x(\gamma + \mu)y = x\gamma y + x\mu y$,

$X\gamma(y+z) = X\gamma y + X\gamma z$ (c) $x\gamma(y\mu z) = (x\gamma y)\mu z$ then V is called a Γ -ring in the sense of Barnes[11]. If these conditions are strengthened to, (a') $x\gamma y \in V, \gamma\mu \in \Gamma$, (b') is same as (b),

$$(c) \quad (x+\gamma)\gamma z = x\gamma z + y\gamma z, \quad x(\gamma+\mu)y = x\gamma y + x\mu y, \quad X\gamma(y+z) = X\gamma y + X\gamma z \quad (c)$$

$x\gamma(y\mu z) = x(\gamma\mu)z = (x\gamma y)\mu z$ (d) $x\gamma y = 0, \forall x, y \in V$ implies $\gamma = 0$, Then V is called a Γ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa.

A Γ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa V is called a Γ -Banach algebra over a field F if it satisfies the following postulates:

- (a) $a(x\gamma y) = (ax)\gamma y = x\gamma(ay), a \in \Gamma; x, y \in M; \gamma \in \Gamma$.
- (b) M is a Banach space over F with respect to a norm which satisfies $\|x\gamma y\| \leq \|x\| \|\gamma\| \|y\|, x, y \in V; \gamma \in \Gamma$

A subset I of a Γ -Banach algebra V is said to be a right (left) ideal of V if

- (a) I is a subspace of V (in the vector space sense).

$$(b) \quad x\gamma y \in I (y\mu x \in I) \text{ for all } x \in I, \gamma \in \Gamma, y \in V$$

i.e. $I\Gamma V \subseteq I (V\Gamma I \subseteq I)$

A right Γ -ideal which is a left Γ -ideal as well as is called a two sided Γ -ideal or simply a Γ -ideal.

The notation $I \triangleleft V$ will mean I is an ideal of V .

A Γ -Banach algebra V is called 2-torsion free if $2x = 0$ implies $x = 0$, for all $x \in V$.

A Γ -ideal I of a Γ -Banach algebra V is said to be prime Γ -ideal if for any two Γ -ideals A and B , $A\Gamma B \subseteq I \Rightarrow A \subseteq I$ or $B \subseteq I$.

A Γ -Banach algebra V is said to have a left (right) strong unity if there exists some $d \in V, \delta \in \Gamma$ such that $d\delta x = x(x\delta d = x), \forall x \in V$.

The Projective tensor norm $\|\cdot\|_\gamma$ on $X \otimes Y$ is defined as $\|u\| = \inf \{ \sum_i \|x_i\| \|y_i\| : u = \sum_i x_i \otimes y_i; x_i \in X, y_i \in Y \}$, where the infimum is taken over all (finite) representations of u . The completion of $(X \otimes Y, \|\cdot\|_\gamma)$ is called the projective tensor product of X and Y and is denoted by $X \otimes_\gamma Y$.

Let V and V' be Γ -Banach algebras over the fields F_1 and F_2 respectively isomorphic to which are a field F . The projective tensor product $V \otimes_p V'$ (with the projective tensor norm), is a $\Gamma \otimes \Gamma$ -Banach algebra over F , where multiplication is defined by the formula:

$$(x \otimes y)(\alpha \otimes \beta)(x' \otimes y') = (x\alpha x') \otimes (y\beta y'), \text{ where } x, y \in V; x', y' \in V'; \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma.$$

An additive operator d on the Γ -Banach algebra V over a field F into itself is called a k -derivation if $d(x\gamma y) = d(x)\gamma y + xk(\gamma)y + x\gamma d(y)$, for all $x, y \in V; \gamma \in \Gamma$, where $k: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ is also a additive map. If $d(x\gamma x) = d(x)\gamma x + xk(\gamma)x + x\gamma d(x)$ holds for all $x \in V$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, then d is called a Jordan k -derivation on V .

Let a and γ be nonzero elements of V and Γ respectively. The $d: V \rightarrow V$ defined by $d(x) = [a, x]_\gamma$ and $k: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ defined by $k(\beta) = [\gamma, \beta]_a$ are two additive maps and d is a k -derivation on V . Then we call d is an inner k -derivation on V .

Let V be a Γ -Banach algebra. A mapping $D(\cdot, \cdot): V \times V \rightarrow V$ is said to be symmetric bi-additive if it is additive in both arguments and $D(x, y) = D(y, x)$, for all $x, y \in V$. By the trace of $D(\cdot, \cdot)$, we mean a map $d: V \rightarrow V$ defined by $d(x) = D(x, x), \forall x \in V$. A symmetric bi-additive map is called a symmetric bi- k -derivation if (a) $D(x\gamma y, z) = D(x, z)k(\gamma)y + xk(\gamma)D(y, z)$ (b) $D(x, y\gamma z) = D(x, y)k(\gamma)z + yk(\gamma)D(x, z)$, for all $x, y, z \in V; \gamma \in \Gamma$ and $k: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ is a additive map. Since a map $D(\cdot, \cdot)$ is symmetric bi-additive, the trace of $D(\cdot, \cdot)$ satisfies the relation $d(x+y) = d(x) + d(y) + 2D(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in V$ and is an even function.

Let V be a prime Γ -Banach algebra such that $V\Gamma V \neq V$. Denote $\mathfrak{k} = \{ (I, f): I (\neq 0) \text{ is an ideal of } V \text{ and } f: I \rightarrow V \text{ is a } \Gamma\text{-Banach algebra homomorphism} \}$

Define a relation \sim on \mathfrak{L} by $(I, f) \sim (J, g) \Leftrightarrow \exists K(\neq 0) \subset I \cap J$ such that $f=g$ on K . Since V is a prime Γ -Banach algebra, it is possible to find such a K and so “ \sim ” is an equivalence relation on \mathfrak{L} . This gives a chance for us to get a partition of \mathfrak{L} . We then denote the equivalence class by $Cl(I, f) = \hat{f} = \{g : J \rightarrow V \mid (I, f) \sim (J, g)\}$ and denote by Q , the set of all equivalence Classes. Now we define an addition “+” and as follows

$\hat{f} + \hat{g} = Cl(I, f) + Cl(J, g) = Cl(I \cap J, f + g)$. It can be easily shown that the addition “+” is well defined and $(Q, +)$ is an abelian group.

Since $V\Gamma V \neq V$ and since V is a prime Γ - Banach algebra $V\Gamma V(\neq 0)$ is an ideal of V . We can take the homomorphism $1_{V\Gamma} : V\Gamma V \rightarrow V$ as a **unit** Γ - Banach algebra homomorphism. Note that $V\beta V \neq 0$, for all $0 \neq \beta \in \Gamma$. So that $1_{V\beta} : V\beta V \rightarrow V$ is a nonzero Γ - Banach algebra homomorphism. Define

$\xi = \{(V\beta V, 1_{V\beta}) \mid 0 \neq \beta \in \Gamma\}$ and define a relation “ \approx ” on ξ by $(V\beta V, 1_{V\beta}) \approx (V\gamma V, 1_{V\gamma}) \Leftrightarrow \exists W = V\alpha V(\neq 0) \subset V\beta V \cap V\gamma V$ such that $1_{V\beta} = 1_{V\gamma}$ on W . we can easily check that “ \approx ” is an equivalence relation

on ξ . Denote by $Cl(V\beta V, 1_{V\beta}) = \hat{\beta} = \{(V\gamma V, 1_{V\gamma}) : (V\beta V, 1_{V\beta}) \approx (V\gamma V, 1_{V\gamma})\}$ and $\hat{\Gamma} = \{\hat{\beta} \mid 0 \neq \beta \in \Gamma\}$. Define an addition “+” on $\hat{\Gamma}$ as follows:

$\hat{\beta} + \hat{\delta} = cl(V\beta V, 1_{V\beta}) + cl(V\delta V, 1_{V\delta})$
 $= cl(V\beta V \cap V\delta V, 1_{V\beta} + 1_{V\delta})$, for every $\beta(\neq 0), \delta(\neq 0) \in \Gamma$. Then is an abelian group. Now we define a

mapping $(-, -, -) : Q \times \hat{\Gamma} \times Q \rightarrow Q, (\hat{f}, \hat{\beta}, \hat{g}) \mapsto \hat{f}\hat{\beta}\hat{g}$, as follows:

$$\hat{f}\hat{\beta}\hat{g} = cl(I, f)cl(V\beta V, 1_{V\beta})cl(J, g)$$

$$= cl(\Pi\Gamma V\beta V\Gamma J, f1_{V\beta}g)$$

Where $\Pi\Gamma V\beta V\Gamma J = \left\{ \sum_i u_i \alpha_i m_i \beta n_i \beta_i v_i : u_i \in I, v_i \in J; m_i, n_i \in V; \alpha_i \beta_i \in \Gamma \right\}$ is an ideal of V and

$f1_{V\beta}g : \Pi\Gamma V\beta V\Gamma J \rightarrow V$ is a Γ - Banach algebra homomorphism which is define as $f1_{V\beta}g(\sum_i u_i \alpha_i m_i \beta n_i \beta_i v_i) = \sum_i f(u_i) \alpha_i 1_{V\beta}(m_i \beta n_i) \beta_i g(v_i)$ is a Γ - Banach algebra homomorphism. Then for

$\hat{f}, \hat{g}, \hat{h} \in Q; \hat{\beta}, \hat{\gamma} \in \hat{\Gamma}$, we have

$$(\hat{f} + \hat{g})\hat{\beta}\hat{h} = \hat{f}\hat{\beta}\hat{h} + \hat{g}\hat{\beta}\hat{h}, \hat{f}(\hat{\beta} + \hat{\gamma})\hat{g} = \hat{f}\hat{\beta}\hat{g} + \hat{f}\hat{\gamma}\hat{g}, \hat{f}\hat{\beta}(\hat{g} + \hat{h}) = \hat{f}\hat{\beta}\hat{g} + \hat{f}\hat{\beta}\hat{h}$$

$$(\hat{f}\hat{\beta}\hat{g})\hat{\gamma}\hat{h} = \hat{f}(\hat{\beta}\hat{\gamma}\hat{h})\hat{g} = \hat{f}\hat{\beta}(\hat{\gamma}\hat{h})\hat{g}, \hat{f}\hat{\beta}\hat{g} = \hat{0}, \forall \hat{f}, \hat{g}, \in Q \text{ implies } \hat{\beta} = \hat{0}.$$

Hence Q is a Γ -ring. Now we define scalar multiplication as $a\hat{f} = acl(U, \hat{f}) = cl(U, af)$,

$$a \in F; \hat{f} \in Q. \text{ Then for } \hat{f}, \hat{g} \in Q; a, b \in F$$

$$a(\hat{f} + \hat{g}) = a\hat{f} + a\hat{g}, (a+b)\hat{f} = a\hat{f} + b\hat{f}, (ab)\hat{f} = a(b\hat{f}), 1.\hat{f} = \hat{f}$$

Hence $Q(F)$ is a vector space. Now for $\hat{f}, \hat{g} \in Q; \hat{\beta} \in \hat{\Gamma}; a \in F$ we can show that

$$a(\hat{f}\hat{\beta}\hat{g}) = (a\hat{f})\hat{\beta}\hat{g} = \hat{f}\hat{\beta}(a\hat{g})$$

Next define a norm on Q by $\|\hat{f}\| = \|(U, f)\| = \sup\{\|f(x)\| : x \in U, \|x\| \leq 1\}$

Then we find that $(\|\cdot\|, Q)$ is a norm linear space. If $\{\hat{f}_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in Q , then for given $\epsilon < 0, \exists$

$$\text{positive integer } n_0 \text{ such that } m, n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow \|\hat{f}_n - \hat{f}_m\| < \epsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow \sup\{\|\hat{f}_n(x) - \hat{f}_m(x)\| : x \in U_n \cap U_m \text{ and } \|x\| \leq 1\} < \epsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow \|f_n(x) - f_m(x)\| < \epsilon, x \in U_n \cap U_m \text{ and } \|x\| \leq 1$$

$\Rightarrow \{f_n(x)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in M.

$\Rightarrow \exists (U_0, f_0) \in \mathfrak{K}$ such that $f_n(x) \rightarrow f_0(x)$, because the norm in Q is uniformly

continuous. So we can prove easily that $\hat{f}_n \rightarrow \hat{f}_0 \in Q$. Therefore Q is a Banach Algebra over F.

Moreover, for $\hat{f}, \hat{g} \in Q; \hat{\beta} \in \hat{\Gamma}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{f}\|\|\hat{\beta}\|\|\hat{g}\| &= \|cl(I, f)\|\|cl(V\beta V, 1_{V\beta})\|\|cl(J, g)\| \\ &= \|cl(\Gamma V\beta V\Gamma J, f1_{V\beta} g)\| \\ &= \sup\{\|f(u)\| : u \in I, \|u\| \leq 1\} \cdot \sup\{\|1_{V\beta}(x\beta y)\| : x\beta y \in V\beta V, \|x\beta y\| \leq 1\} \cdot \sup\{\|v\| : v \in J, \|v\| \leq 1\} \\ &= \sup\{\|f(u)\|\|1_{V\beta}(x\beta y)\|\|g(v)\| : u \in I, x\beta y \in V\beta V, v \in J; \|u\| \leq 1, \|x\beta y\| \leq 1, \|v\| \leq 1\} \\ &\geq \sup\{\|f(u)\|\|\gamma\|\|1_{V\beta}(x\beta y)\|\|\gamma'\|\|g(v)\| : u \in I, x\beta y \in V\beta V, v \in J; \|u\| \leq 1, \|x\beta y\| \leq 1, \|v\| \leq 1, \|\gamma\| \leq 1, \|\gamma'\| \leq 1\} \\ &= \sup\{\|f(u)\gamma 1_{V\beta}(x\beta y)\gamma' g(v)\| : u \in I, x\beta y \in V\beta V, v \in J; \|u\| \leq 1, \|x\beta y\| \leq 1, \|v\| \leq 1, \|\gamma\| \leq 1, \|\gamma'\| \leq 1\} \\ &= \sup\{\|f1_{V\beta} g(u\gamma x\beta y\gamma'v)\| : u \in I, x\beta y \in V\beta V, v \in J; \|u\gamma x\beta y\gamma'v\| \leq 1\} \\ &= \|cl(\Gamma V\beta V\Gamma J, f1_{V\beta} g)\| \\ &= \|cl(I, f)cl(V\beta V, 1_{V\beta})cl(J, g)\| \\ &= \|\hat{f}\hat{\beta}\hat{g}\| \end{aligned}$$

Thus Q is a $\hat{\Gamma}$ -Banach algebra over F. Noticing that the mapping $\eta(\beta) = \hat{\beta}$ for every $0 \neq \beta \in \Gamma$ is an isomorphism. Therefore $\hat{\Gamma}$ -Banach algebra Q is a Γ -Banach algebra.

The set $C_\Gamma = \{g \in Q \mid g\gamma f = f\gamma g, \forall f \in Q \text{ and } \gamma \in \Gamma\}$, is called the extended centroid of Γ -Banach algebra V over F. If $a\gamma x\beta b = b\gamma x\beta a$, for all $x \in V$ and $\beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$, where $a(\neq 0)$, $b \in V$ are fixed, then there exists $\lambda \in C_\Gamma$ such that $b = \lambda a$ for $a \in \Gamma$.

III. The main results:

Theorem3.1. If d_1 and d_2 be bounded k- and h-derivations on Γ -Banach algebras $V(F_1)$ and $V'(F_2)$ respectively then there exists a bounded h \otimes k-derivation d on the projective tensor product $V \otimes_p V'$ defined by the relation $d(u) = \sum_i [d_1(x_i) \otimes y_i + x_i \otimes d_2(y_i)]$, where $u = \sum_i x_i \otimes y_i \in V \otimes_p V$.

Proof: Since $d : V(F_1) \otimes_p V'(F_2) \rightarrow V(F_1) \otimes_p V'(F_2)$ is define as $d(u) = \sum_i [d_1(x_i) \otimes y_i + x_i \otimes d_2(y_i)]$, where $u = \sum_i x_i \otimes y_i \in V(F_1) \otimes_p V'(F_2)$. Clearly d is well defined. For any arbitrary element $u = \sum_i x_i \otimes y_i \in V(F_1) \otimes_p V'(F_2)$ and $\epsilon > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_p + \epsilon &\geq \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\| \|y_i\|, \text{ from the definition of projective norm. Now} \\ \|d(u)\|_p &= \left\| \sum_i [d_1(x_i) \otimes y_i + x_i \otimes d_2(y_i)] \right\|_p. \text{ Thus} \\ &\leq \sum_i [\|d_1(x_i) \otimes y_i\| + \|x_i \otimes d_2(y_i)\|] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_i \left[\|d_1(x_i)\| \|y_i\| + \|x_i\| \|d_2(y_i)\| \right] \\
 &\leq (\|d_1\| + \|d_2\|) \sum_i \|x_i\| \|y_i\| \\
 &\leq k(\|u\|_p + \epsilon), \text{ where } k = \|d_1\| + \|d_2\|
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\|d(u)\|_p \leq k(\|u\|_p + \epsilon)$. Since the left hand side is independent of ϵ and ϵ was arbitrary, it follows that $\|d(u)\|_p \leq k\|u\|_p$, for every $u \in V(F_1) \otimes_p V'(F_2)$. Consequently D is bounded.

To show that d is a $k \otimes h$ -derivation, we suppose that $u = x \otimes y$ and $v = x' \otimes y'$ are two elements of $V(F_1) \otimes_p V'(F_2)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 &d((x \otimes y)(\alpha \otimes \beta)(x' \otimes y')), \text{ where } \alpha \otimes \beta \in \Gamma \otimes_p \Gamma' \\
 &= d(x\alpha x' \otimes y\beta y') \\
 &= d_1(x\alpha x') \otimes y\beta y' + x\alpha x' \otimes d_2(y\beta y') \\
 &= [d_1(x)\alpha x' + xk(\alpha)x' + xad_1(x')] \otimes y\beta y' + x\alpha x' \otimes [d_2(y)\beta y' + yh(\beta)y' + y\beta d_2(y')] \\
 &= d(x \otimes y)(\alpha \otimes \beta)(x' \otimes y') + (x \otimes y)(k \otimes h)(\alpha \otimes \beta)(x' \otimes y') + (x \otimes y)(\alpha \otimes \beta)d(x' \otimes y'), \\
 &\text{where } (k \otimes h)(\alpha \otimes \beta) = k(\alpha) \otimes \beta + \alpha \otimes h(\beta)
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $u = \sum_i x_i \otimes y_i$ and $v = \sum_j x'_j \otimes y'_j$ be two element of $V(F_1) \otimes_p V'(F_2)$ then summing

over i and j we can prove easily that

$$d(u(\alpha \otimes \beta)v) = d(u)(\alpha \otimes \beta)v + u[(k \otimes h)(\alpha \otimes \beta)]v + u(\alpha \otimes \beta)d(v)$$

So, d is a $k \otimes h$ -derivation.

Theorem 3.2. Let $V(F)$ and $V'(F')$ be two Γ -Banach algebra and Γ' -Banach algebra respectively with $e\delta x = x\delta e = x, \forall x \in V, e \in V, \delta \in \Gamma$ and $e'\delta'y = y\delta'e' = y, \forall y \in V', e' \in V', \delta' \in \Gamma'$. If d_1 and d_2 are k-and h-inner derivation on $V(F)$ and $V'(F')$ respectively implemented by (a, δ) and (b, δ') respectively then d is a $k \otimes h$ - inner deviation on $V \otimes_p V'$ implemented by $(a \otimes e' + e \otimes b, \delta \otimes \delta')$.

Proof: Let d_1 and d_2 be k- and h-inner derivations on $V(F)$ and $V'(F')$ respectively implemented by (a, δ) and (b, δ') i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_1(x) &= [a, x]_\delta, \text{ where } k(\alpha) = [\delta, \alpha]_a \\
 d_2(y) &= [b, y]_{\delta'}, \text{ where } h(\beta) = [\delta', \beta]_b
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Now } d(u) &= d\left(\sum_i x_i \otimes y_i\right) \\
 &= \sum_i [d_1(x_i) \otimes y_i + x_i \otimes d_2(y_i)] \\
 &= \sum_i \left[[a, x_i]_\delta \otimes y_i + x_i \otimes [b, y_i]_{\delta'} \right] \\
 &= \sum_i \left[(a\delta x_i - x_i \delta a) \otimes y_i + x_i \otimes (b\delta' y_i - y_i \delta' b) \right] \\
 &= \sum_i \left[a\delta x_i \otimes y_i - x_i \delta a \otimes y_i + x_i \otimes b\delta' y_i - x_i \otimes y_i \delta' b \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_i \left[a\delta x_i \otimes e' \delta' y_i - x_i \delta a \otimes y_i \delta' e' + e \delta x_i \otimes b \delta' y_i - x_i \delta e \otimes y_i \delta' b \right] \\
 &= \sum_i \left[(a \otimes e') (\delta \otimes \delta') (x_i \otimes y_i) - (x_i \otimes y_i) (\delta \otimes \delta') (a \otimes e') + (e \otimes b) (\delta \otimes \delta') \right. \\
 &\quad \left. (x_i \otimes y_i) - (x_i \otimes y_i) (\delta \otimes \delta') (e \otimes b) \right] \\
 &= \sum_i \left[(a \otimes e' + e \otimes b) (\delta \otimes \delta') (x_i \otimes y_i) - (x_i \otimes y_i) (\delta \otimes \delta') (a \otimes e' + e \otimes b) \right] \\
 &= \sum_i \left[a \otimes e' + e \otimes b, x_i \otimes y_i \right]_{\delta \otimes \delta'} \\
 &= \sum_i \left[a \otimes e' + e \otimes b, u \right]_{\delta \otimes \delta'}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, we define $k \otimes h: \Gamma \otimes \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma \otimes \Gamma$ by

$$(k \otimes h)(\alpha \otimes \beta) = \left[\delta \otimes \delta', \alpha \otimes \beta \right]_{a \otimes e' + e \otimes b}$$

It can be easily prove that

$$\begin{aligned}
 d((x \otimes y)(\alpha \otimes \beta)(x' \otimes y')) &= d(x \otimes y)(\alpha \otimes \beta)(x' \otimes y') + (x \otimes y)(k \otimes h)(\alpha \otimes \beta) \\
 &\quad (x' \otimes y') + (x \otimes y)(\alpha \otimes \beta)d(x' \otimes y')
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore d is a $k \otimes h$ -inner derivation on $V \otimes_p V'$ implemented by $(a \otimes e' + e \otimes b, \delta \otimes \delta')$

Theorem 3.3. If d_1 and d_2 are k - and h -Jordan derivations, then d is an $h \otimes k$ -Jordan derivation.

Proof: Obvious.

Remarks:

- (i) The converse of the above three theorems are also true.
- (ii) If $u = a \otimes e' \in V(F) \otimes_p V'(F')$, then from the definition of d in theorem 3.2, we get $d(u) = d_1(a) \otimes e'$, because $d_2(e') = 0$
- (iii) If $u' = e \otimes b \in V(F) \otimes_p V'(F')$, then from the definition of d in theorem 3.2, we get $d(u) = e \otimes d_2(b)$, because $d_1(e) = 0$

Theorem 3.4. If d_1, d_2 and d are k -, h - and $k \otimes h$ -derivations respectively related as in theorem 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3, then

$$\|d\| \leq \|d_1\| + \|d_2\| \leq 2\|d\|$$

Proof: we already proof in theorem 3.1 is that

$$\|d\| \leq (\|d_1\| + \|d_2\|)(1 + \epsilon)$$

Since ϵ was arbitrary, it follows that

$$\|d\| \leq \|d_1\| + \|d_2\| \tag{1}$$

Next let $x \in V$ such that $\|x\| = 1$. Then

$$\left\| \frac{x}{k} \otimes e' \right\| = \left\| \frac{x}{k} \right\| \|e'\| = 1 \text{ where } \|e'\| = k \neq 0$$

$$\text{Now } \|d\| = \sup_u \left\{ \|d(u)\|_p : \|u\|_p = 1 \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\geq \left\| d\left(\frac{x}{k} \otimes e'\right) \right\|_p \\
 &= \left\| d_1\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \otimes e' \right\|_p, \text{ since } d_2(e') = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \|d_1(x)\|$$

Thus $\|d\| \geq \|d_1(x)\|$, for every $x \in V(F)$ with $\|x\| = 1$ and which implies $\|d\| \geq \|d_1\|$

Similarly, we can prove that $\|d\| \geq \|d_2\|$

$$\therefore \|d_1\| + \|d_2\| \leq 2\|d\| \tag{2}$$

The inequalities (1) and (2) together implies that

$$\|d\| \leq \|d_1\| + \|d_2\| \leq 2\|d\|.$$

Example1. Let V be the set of all 2×2 matrices of the type $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -\bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix}$ where a, b are complex numbers and

\bar{a}, \bar{b} are their conjugates respectively and Γ be the set of all 2×2 matrices of the type $\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix}$, where x is a

real number. Then V be a Γ -Banach algebra over $F=R$ with respect to usual matrix addition and multiplication and the norm is defined by

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -\bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \right\| = \max\{|a|, |b|\} \text{ and } \left\| \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} \right\| = |x|.$$

Let V' be the set of all 2×2 matrix of the type $\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ u & v \end{pmatrix}$, where x, y, u, v are real numbers and $\Gamma' = \Gamma$ and

norm is defined as the norm is defined for V and Γ . Then $V'(F')$ is a Γ' -Banach algebra, where $F' = R$.

Let d_1 and d_2 are k - and h -derivations implemented by (A, δ) and (B, δ') respectively, where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 3i & 2i \\ 2i & -3i \end{pmatrix} \in V, B = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 3 \\ 2 & 1i \end{pmatrix} \in V'$$

$$\delta = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma, \delta' = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma'$$

Since $B_1 = \left\{ e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}, e_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, e_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ is a basis for $V(F)$.

Now $d_1(e_1) = [A, e_1]_\delta$

$$\begin{aligned} &= A\delta e_1 - e_1\delta A \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 3i & 2i \\ 2i & -3i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 3i & 2i \\ 2i & -3i \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $d_1(e_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -4 \\ 4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, d_1(e_3) = \begin{pmatrix} -4i & 6i \\ 6i & 4i \end{pmatrix}, d_1(e_4) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 6 \\ -6 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$

Hence the matrix representation of d_1 with respect to B_1 is

$$[d_1]_{B_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -4i & 0 \\ 0 & -4 & 6i & 6 \\ 0 & 4 & 6i & -6 \\ 0 & 0 & 4i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore $\|d_1\| = 6$

Also $B_2 = \left\{ e'_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, e'_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, e'_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, e'_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ is a basis for V' . Similarly,

with respect to this basis, we find

$$[d_2]_{B_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -6 & 4 & 0 \\ -4 & 8 & 0 & 4 \\ 6 & 0 & -8 & -6 \\ 0 & 6 & -4 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \|d_2\| = 8.$$

Next we wish to find the matrix representation of $k \otimes h$ -derivation d . Clearly $B_3 = \{e_i \otimes e_j : i = 1, 2, 3, 4; j = 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ is a basis for $V(F) \otimes_p V'(F')$.

$$\begin{aligned} d(e_1 \otimes e'_1) &= d_1(e_1) \otimes e'_1 + e_1 \otimes d_2(e'_1) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -6 \\ 4 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -6 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -6 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -6 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -6 \\ 0 & -4 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} d(e_4 \otimes e'_2) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -4i & 0 & 6+8i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4i \\ -4i & 0 & -6+8i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, d(e_4 \otimes e'_3) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 6i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 6-8i & 0 & -6i \\ 6i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -6-8i & 0 & -6i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, d(e_4 \otimes e'_4) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 6i \\ 0 & -4i & 0 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 6i & 0 \\ -4i & 0 & -6 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ d(e_1 \otimes e'_2) &= \begin{pmatrix} -4 & 0 & 8 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & -4 & 0 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, d(e_1 \otimes e'_3) = \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -8 & 0 & -6 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -8 & 0 & -6 \end{pmatrix}, d(e_1 \otimes e'_4) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 6 & 0 \\ -4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 \\ 0 & -4 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ d(e_2 \otimes e'_1) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -4 & -6i & 0 \\ 4i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 & 6i \\ 0 & -4i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, d(e_2 \otimes e'_2) = \begin{pmatrix} -4i & 0 & 8i & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & 4i & 0 \\ 0 & 4i & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -4i \end{pmatrix}, d(e_2 \otimes e'_3) = \begin{pmatrix} 6i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -8i & -4 & -6i & 0 \\ 0 & -6i & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 8i & 0 & 6i \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$d(e_2 \otimes e_4') = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 6i & 0 \\ -4i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -6i \\ 0 & 4i & 4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, d(e_3 \otimes e_1') = \begin{pmatrix} -4i & 6i & 0 & 6 \\ 0 & -4 & 0 & 0 \\ 6i & 4i & -6 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 4 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, d(e_3 \otimes e_2') = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 4 & -4i & 6i-8 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -4 \\ -4 & 0 & 8+6i & 4i \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$d(e_3 \otimes e_3') = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -6 & 0 & 0 \\ -4i & 8+6i & 0 & -6 \\ 6 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -8+6i & 4i & -6 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, d(e_3 \otimes e_4') = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -6 \\ 0 & 4 & -4i & 6i \\ 0 & 0 & 6 & 0 \\ -4 & 0 & 6i & 4i \end{pmatrix}, d(e_4 \otimes e_1') = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 6 & 0 & -6i \\ 0 & 4i & 0 & 0 \\ -6 & 0 & -6i & 0 \\ 4i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The matrix representation of d with respect to the basis B_3 is

$$[d]_{B_3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -4 & 6 & 0 & 0 & -4i & 6i & 0 & -4i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & -8 & -4 & -4i & 0 & -8i & -4i & 0 & 0 & -4i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6i & -4 & 6 & 0 & -6 & -4i & 6i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & -8+6i & -4 & 4i & 0 & -6-8i & -4i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6i & 4 & -6 & 0 & 6 & -4i & 6i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -4 & 0 & -4 & 0 & 8+6i & 4 & 4i & 0 & 6-8i & -4i \\ 0 & -4 & 6 & 0 & 0 & 4i & -6i & 0 & 4i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -4 & 0 & -8 & -4 & -4i & 0 & 8i & 4i & 0 & 0 & 4i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -6 & 8 & 0 & 6 & -6i & 8i & 0 & 6i & 0 & -4i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & -6 & 0 & 0 & 4i & -6i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -4i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & -6 & 8+6i & 0 & 6 & -6i & -6+8i & 0 & 6i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & -6 & 6i & 0 & 4i & -6i & -6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -4 & 0 & 0 & 6 & -8+6i & 0 & -6 & -6i & 6+8i & 0 & 6i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -4 & -6 & 6i & 0 & 4i & -6i & 6 \\ -6 & 4 & 0 & 6 & 6i & -8i & 0 & -6i & 0 & 4i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 & -6 & 0 & 0 & -4i & 6i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{16 \times 16}$$

Therefore $\|d\| = 10$. Thus the strict inequality $\|d\| < \|d_1\| + \|d_2\| < 2\|d\|$ holds.

Lemma 3.5 Let V be a 2-torsion free prime Γ -Banach algebra, $D(\dots)$ the symmetric bi-k-derivation of V and d the trace of $D(\dots)$. If $\gamma d(x) = 0$ for all $x \in V$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, where a is a fixed element of V , then $a=0$ or $D=0$.

Lemma 3.6 Let V be a 2-torsion free prime Γ -Banach algebra, $D_1(\dots)$ and $D_2(\dots)$ the symmetric bi-k-derivations on V and d_1 and d_2 the traces of $D_1(\dots)$ and $D_2(\dots)$ respectively. If $d_1(x)\gamma d_2(y) = d_2(x)\gamma d_1(y)$ for all $x, y \in V$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $d_1 \neq 0$, then there exists $\lambda \in C_\Gamma$ such that $d_2(x) = \lambda \alpha d_1(x)$ for $\alpha \in \Gamma$, where C_Γ is the extended centroid of V .

Theorem 3.7. Let V be a 2-torsion free prime Γ -Banach algebra, $D_1(\dots)$, $D_2(\dots)$ and $D_3(\dots)$ and $D_4(\dots)$ the symmetric bi-k-derivations on V and d_1, d_2, d_3 and d_4 traces of $D_1(\dots)$, $D_2(\dots)$, $D_3(\dots)$ and $D_4(\dots)$ respectively. If

$$d_1(x)\gamma d_2(y) = d_3(x)\gamma d_4(y) \tag{3}$$

for all $x, y \in V$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $d_1 \neq 0 \neq d_4$, then there exists $\lambda \in C_\Gamma$ such that $d_2(x) = \lambda \alpha d_1(x)$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$, where C_Γ is the extended centroid of V .

Proof: Let $z \in V$. Replacing y by $y+z$ in (3), we get

$$d_1(x)\gamma D_2(y, z) = d_3(x)\gamma D_4(y, z) \tag{4}$$

If we replace z by $z\delta y$ in (4), then

$$d_1(x)\gamma z k(\delta) d_2(y) = d_3(x)\gamma z k(\delta) d_4(y) \tag{5}$$

If $w \in V$ then

$$d_1(x)\gamma z k(\alpha) d_2(w) = d_3(x)\gamma z k(\alpha) d_4(w) \tag{6}$$

Substituting $zk(\alpha) d_4(w)$ for z in (5), we have

$$d_1(x)\gamma z k(\alpha) d_4(w)k(\delta) d_2(y) = d_3(x)\gamma z k(\alpha) d_4(w)k(\delta) d_4(y) \tag{7}$$

From (4) and (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_1(x)\gamma z k(\alpha) d_4(w)k(\delta) d_2(y) &= d_1(x)\gamma z k(\alpha) d_2(w)k(\delta) d_4(y) \\ \Rightarrow d_1(x)\gamma zk(\alpha)(d_4(w)k(\delta) d_2(y) - d_2(w)k(\delta) d_4(y)) &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Since $d_1 \neq 0$ and V is a prime Γ -Banach algebra. So equation (8) implies that

$$d_4(w)k(\delta) d_2(y) = d_2(w)k(\delta) d_4(y)$$

It follows from $d_4 \neq 0$ and lemma 3.5 that $d_2(y) = \lambda \alpha d_4(y)$ for some $\lambda \in C_\Gamma$. Hence by (4), we have $d_1(x)\gamma z$

$$k(\delta)\lambda \alpha d_4(y) = d_3(x)\gamma z k(\delta) d_4(y)$$

Since $\lambda \in C_\Gamma$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} d_1(x)\gamma k(\delta) d_4(y)\alpha \lambda &= d_3(x)\gamma z k(\delta) d_4(y) \\ \Rightarrow \lambda \alpha d_1(x)\gamma k(\delta) d_4(y) &= d_3(x)\gamma z k(\delta) d_4(y) \\ \Rightarrow [\lambda \alpha d_1(x) - d_3(x)]\gamma z k(\delta) d_4(y) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

It follows from $d_4 \neq 0$ that $d_3(x) = \lambda \alpha d_1(x)$.

Lemma 3.8. Let V be a 2-torsion free prime Gamma Banach algebra and let U be a nonzero ideal of V . Let $a, b \in V$ be fixed elements. If $a\gamma x\beta b + b\gamma x\beta a = 0$ is fulfilled for all $x \in U, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ then either $a = 0$ or $b = 0$.

Theorem 3.9. Let V be a 2-torsion free prime Gamma Banach algebra and U be a non zero ideal of V . Suppose there exist symmetric bi-k-derivations $D_1 : V \times V \rightarrow V$ and $D_2 : V \times V \rightarrow V$ such that

$$D_1(d_2(x), x) = 0 \tag{9}$$

holds for all $x \in U$ where d_2 denotes the trace of D_2 . In this case $D_1 = 0$ or $D_2 = 0$.

Proof: let $y \in U$. Replacing x by $x+y$ in (9) we get

$$D_1(d_2(x), y) + 2D_1(D_2(x, y), x) + D_1(d_2(y), x) + 2D_1(D_2(x, y), y) = 0 \tag{10}$$

substituting x by $-x$ in (10) we get,

$$D_1(d_2(x), y) + 2D_1(D_2(x, y), x) = D_1(d_2(y), x) + 2D_1(D_2(x, y), y) \tag{11}$$

Comparing (10) and (11) we have

$$D_1(d_2(x), y) + 2D_1(D_2(x, y), x) = 0 \tag{12}$$

Let us replace in (12) y by $x\alpha y, \alpha \in \Gamma$ and use (10) and (12) we get,

$$d_2(x)k(\alpha)D_1(y, x) + d_1(x)k(\alpha)D_2(x, y) = 0 \tag{13}$$

Let us write in (13) $y\beta x$ instead of y we have

$$d_2(x)k(\alpha)v k(\beta)d_1(x) + d_1(x)k(\alpha)v k(\beta)d_2(x) = 0 \tag{14}$$

Let $d_1 \neq 0, d_2 \neq 0$. Then there exist elements $x_1, x_2 \in U$ such that $d_1(x_1) \neq 0$ and $d_2(x_2) \neq 0$.

From (14) and lemma 3.8 it follows that $d_1(x_2) = d_2(x_1) = 0$. Since $d_1(x_2) = 0$ the relation (13) reduces to $d_2(x_2)k(\alpha)D_1(y, x_2) = 0$. Using this relation and lemma 3.5, we obtain that $D_1(y, x_2) = 0$ holds for all $y \in U$ since $d_2(x_2) \neq 0$. In particular, we have $D_1(x_1, x_2) = 0$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} d_1(x_1 + x_2) &= d_1(x_1) + d_1(x_2) + 2D_1(x_1, x_2) \\ &= d_1(x_1) \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we obtain $d_2(y) \neq 0$. But $d_1(y)$ and $d_2(y)$ cannot be both different from zero according to (14) and lemma 3.8. Therefore we have either $d_1 = 0$ or $d_2 = 0$.

Corollary3.10. Let V be a 2-torsion free semi-prime gamma banach algebra and U be non zero ideal of V . Suppose there exists a symmetric bi-k-derivation $D:V \times V \rightarrow V$ such that $D(d(x),x)=0$ holds for all $x \in U$, where d denotes the trace of D . In this case we have $d=0$.

Theorem3.11. Let V be a 2- and 3-torsion free prime Γ -Banach algebra. Let U be a non zero ideal of V and $D_1 : V \times V \rightarrow V$ and $D_2 : V \times V \rightarrow V$ be symmetric bi-k- derivations. Suppose further that there exists a symmetric bi-additive mapping $B:V \times V \rightarrow V$ such that $d_1(d_2(x))=f(x)$ holds, for all $x \in U$, where d_1 and d_2 are the traces of D_1 and D_2 respectively and f is the trace of B . Then either $D_1=0$ and $D_2=0$.

Proof: Putting $x + y$ in place of x in $d_1(d_2(x))=f(x)$, we get

$$2d_1(D_2(x, y)) + D_1((d_2(x), d_2(y))) + 2D_1(d_2(x), D_2(x, y)) + 2D_1(d_2(y), D_2(x, y)) = B(x, y) \tag{15}$$

Let us replace in (15) x by $-x$. We have

$$2d_1(D_2(x, y)) + D_1((d_2(x), d_2(y))) - 2D_1(d_2(x), D_2(x, y)) - 2D_1(d_2(y), D_2(x, y)) = -B(x, y) \tag{16}$$

Adding (15) and (16) we get,

$$2d_1(D_2(x, y)) + D_1((d_2(x), d_2(y))) = 0$$

Then equation (15) reduces

$$2D_1(d_2(x), D_2(x, y)) + 2D_1(d_2(y), D_2(x, y)) = B(x, y) \tag{17}$$

Let us write in (17) $2x$ instead of x . we get

$$8D_1(d_2(x), D_2(x, y)) + 2D_1(d_2(y), D_2(x, y)) = B(x, y) \tag{18}$$

Now substitute (17) from (18) we get

$$6D_1(d_2(x), D_2(x, y)) = 0 \Rightarrow D_1(d_2(x), D_2(x, y)) = 0 \tag{19}$$

Since V is a 2- and 3-torsion free Gamma Banach algebra. It follows that both terms on the left side of relation (17) are zero, which means that $B=0$. Then

$$d_1(d_2(x)) = 0, \quad x \in U.$$

Substituting $y = \alpha x, \alpha \in \Gamma$ for y in (19) we get

$$D_1(d_2(x), y)k(\alpha)d_2(x) + D_2(x, y)k(\alpha)D_1(d_2(x), x) = 0 \tag{20}$$

Let us write $y = \beta x, \beta \in \Gamma$ instead of y we have

$$D_1(d_2(x), x)k(\beta)yk(\alpha)d_2(x) + d_2(x)k(\beta)yk(\alpha)D_1(d_2(x), x) = 0$$

From the relation above one can conclude that

$$D_1(d_2(x), x) = 0 \text{ or } d_2(x) = 0$$

If $D_1(d_2(x), x) \neq 0$ for some $x \in U$, then $d_2(x) = 0$

Contrary to the assumption $D_1(d_2(x), x) \neq 0$

There $D_1(d_2(x), x) = 0$, for all $x \in U$, the proof of the theorem is complete since all the requirements of theorem 3.10 are fulfilled.

Corollary3.12 Let V be a semi-prime gamma ring which is 2-and 3-torsion free. Let U be a nonzero ideal of V and $d(U) \subset U$. Let $D:V \times V \rightarrow V$ be a symmetric bi-k-derivation and $B:V \times V \rightarrow V$ be a symmetric bi-additive mapping. Suppose that $d(d(x))=f(x)$ holds for all $x \in U$, where d is the trace of D and f is the trace of B . In this case we have $D=0$.

Example2. Let $V = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : x \in R \right\}$ and $\Gamma = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} n & 0 \\ 0 & n \end{pmatrix} : x \in R \right\}$

Then $V(F=R)$ is a Γ -Banach algebra.

$D:V \times V \rightarrow V$ define as $D(A,B)=AB$, for all $A,B \in V$ and $k:\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ define as $k(\alpha) = \frac{1}{n}\alpha$, where

$\alpha = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} n & 0 \\ 0 & n \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma \right\}$. Then it is easy to show that D is a symmetric bi-k-derivation.

References:

- [1] C.Selvaraj and S.Petchimuthu, On strongly Prime Gamma Rings, International J. of Algebra, 2(2008), 933-943.
- [2] D. K. Bhattacharya and A. K. Maity, Semilinear tensor product of Gamma Banach Algebras, Ganita,140(1989), 75-80.
- [3] H.Kandamar, The k-derivation of a Gamma-Ring, Jurk.J. Math, 24 (2000), 221-231.
- [4] J. Luh, On the theory of simple Gamma rings,Michigan Math. 16(1969), 65-75.
- [5] M. Ali Ozturk and y-Imaz Ceven, On (σ,τ) -Gamma-Derivations in Gamma-Near-Rings, Advances in Algebra, 1(2008),1-10
- [6] M. Ali Ozturk and Young Bae Jun, On the Centroid of the prime Gamma rings, Comm. Korean Math. Soc., 15 (2000), 469-479.
- [7] N. Nobusawa, on a generalization of the ring theory, Osaka J. Math. 1(1964), 81-89.
- [8] N.B.Okelo, M.O. Okongo and S.A. Nyokiti, On projective Tensor Norm and Norm-Attainable α - derivation, Int. J.Contemp. Math. Sciences, 5 (2010), 1969-1975.
- [9] S.Chakraborty and A.Ch. paul, On Jordan k-derivation of 2-torsion free prime Γ_N -Rings, Journal of Mathematics, 40 (2008), 97-101
- [10] S.Kyuno, On prime Gamma Rings, Pacific J. of Mathematics, 75(1978), 185-190.
- [11] W. E. Barnes, On the rings of Nobusawa, Pacific J. Math. 18(1966), 411-422.
- [12] Y.Ceven and M.Ali Qzturk, On Jordan Generalized Derivations in gamma rings, Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and statistic, 33(2004), 11-14.