

## The proof of Riemann Hypothesis

Jyotirmoy Biswas

7, Sreepur Lane, P.O-Haltu, KOLKATA-700078

---

The condition for which  $(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^Z})^2 + (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n)^Z})^2 = 0$  where  $Z$  is a complex number reveals those points  $Z$  for which the functions  $(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^Z}) + i(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n)^Z})$  and  $(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^Z}) - i(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n)^Z})$  have zeroes. Finally, by direct analysis we can find zeroes of Riemann zeta function.

---

### I. Introduction

#### 1.1 Riemann hypothesis

**Theorem 1 (Riemann hypothesis)** All non-trivial zeroes of Riemann zeta function defined by  $\zeta(Z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^Z}$  where  $Z$  is a complex number, lie on the line  $Z = (\frac{1}{2} + iy)$ .

#### 1.2 1

Since  $(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n)^Z}) = \frac{1}{2^Z} [(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^Z}) + (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n)^Z})]$ , Therefore,

$$(2^Z - 1)(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n)^Z}) = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^Z}) \quad (1)$$

Consequently,  $(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^Z})^2 + (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n)^Z})^2 = 0$

Implies,  $[(2^Z)^2 - (2)(2^Z) + 2](\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n)^Z})^2 = 0$  Implies, either  $(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n)^Z}) = 0$  or

$[(2^Z)^2 - (2)(2^Z) + 2] = 0$  or Both. Let us assume first  $(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n)^Z}) \neq 0$  Then,  $2^Z = 1 \pm i$  Implies,

$2^Z = \sqrt{2}(e)^{\frac{(8k \pm 1)(\pi)(i)}{4}}$ , Where,  $k$ , is any positive integer including zero. Implies,  $Z$  lie on the line  $Z = \frac{1}{2} + [\frac{(8k \pm 1)(\pi)(i)}{4 \ln 2}]$  When, any point  $Z_1$  lies on the line  $Z = \frac{1}{2} + [\frac{(8k + 1)(\pi)(i)}{4 \ln 2}]$  It follows from eq(1.2.1.1),

$$2^{(z_1)} = 1 + i$$

$$(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^{(z_1)}}) - (i)(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n)^{(z_1)}}) = 0 \quad (2)$$

Similarly, If any point  $Z_2$  lies on the line  $Z = \frac{1}{2} + [\frac{(8k - 1)(\pi)(i)}{4 \ln 2}]$  Then, it follows from eq(1.2.1.1),

$$2^{(z_2)} = 1 - i$$

Consequently,

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^{(z_2)}}\right) + i \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n)^{(z_2)}}\right) = 0 \quad (3)$$

But, We want something more. We wish to show that

**1.3 2**

If any point

$$z_1$$

lies on the line

$$Z = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \left[\frac{(8k+1)(\pi)(i)}{4 \ln 2}\right]\right)$$

and another point

$$z_2$$

lies on the line

$$Z = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \left[\frac{(8k-1)(\pi)(i)}{4 \ln 2}\right]\right)$$

Then, modulus of  $\left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^{(z_1)} }\right| = \left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^{(z_2)} }\right| = \sigma$ , where  $\sigma$  tends to zero.

But before showing this, let us show that four common values of all infinitely many valued function

$(e)^{\left[\frac{(i)(\theta)(\ln p)}{\ln 2}\right]}$  are  $(-1)$ ,  $1$  and  $\pm i$  where  $P$  is any odd prime taken arbitrarily.

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(e)^t - 1} + \frac{1}{2} = \\ & \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{(e)^t + 1}{(e)^t - 1} \right) \\ & = \frac{(i)}{2} \cot\left(\frac{1}{2} it\right) \\ & = \frac{1}{t} + (2t) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^2 + 4n^2(\pi)^2} \end{aligned}$$

If  $t = 2(\pi)(k)(i) + \frac{(\ln p)(i)(\theta)}{\ln 2}$

where  $k$  is any positive integer and  $k \in (0, 1, 2, \dots)$ ,

then as  $k$  tends to  $\infty$ , it follows,  $\frac{1}{(e)^{\left[2(\pi)(k)i + \frac{(\ln p)(i)(\theta)}{\ln 2}\right]} - 1} + \frac{1}{2} = 0$  Consequently,

$$(e)^{\left[2(\pi)(k)(i) + \frac{(\ln p)(i)(\theta)}{\ln 2}\right]} = -1 \quad (4)$$

Since,  $(e)^{2(\pi)(k)i} = 1$  So it follows from equation 1.3.2.1 that one of the values of

$$(e)^{\frac{(i)(\theta)(\ln p)}{\ln 2}} = -1 \quad (5)$$

Obviously then, choosing suitable  $\theta$  we can arrive at the values  $\pm i$  and  $1$ . Since the matter plays a key role in what follows, an example will not be out of place here. Let us consider the many valued function

$$\frac{(\ln 3)(\pi)(i)}{4 \ln 2}$$

(e)  $4 \ln 2$  . Taking the value of  $(\ln 3)$  and  $(\ln 2)$  upto 9 decimal and using **De Moivre's Theorem** we find

$$(e) \frac{(\ln 3)(\pi)(i)}{4 \ln 2} = \frac{(1.098612289)(\pi)(i)}{(4)(0.69314718)}$$

$$(e) \frac{(4)(0.69314718)}{(1.584962502)(\pi)(i)}$$

$$(e) \frac{4}{(2 - 0.415037498)(\pi)(i)}$$

$$(e) \frac{4}{(103759374)(\pi)(-i)}$$

$$(i)(e) \frac{1000000000}{(2n + 103759374)(\pi)(-i)}$$

$$(i)(e) \frac{1000000000}{1000000000}$$

Obviously then, when  $n = 448120313$ ,

$$(e) \frac{(\ln 3)(\pi)(i)}{4 \ln 2} = (-i)$$

When  $n = 198120313$ , then

$$(e) \frac{(\ln 3)(\pi)(i)}{4 \ln 2} = 1$$

when  $n = 948120313$ , then

$$(e) \frac{(\ln 3)(\pi)(i)}{4 \ln 2} = i$$

when  $n = 698120313$ , then

$$(e) \frac{(\ln 3)(\pi)(i)}{4 \ln 2} = -1$$

$$\frac{(\ln P)(\pi)(i)}{4 \ln 2}$$

Actually, among infinitely many values, these four values are common to all  $(e) \frac{(\ln P)(\pi)(i)}{4 \ln 2}$ , where  $p$  is any odd prime taken arbitrarily. Now since,

$$\left( \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{p^z}} \right) = \frac{p^z}{p^z - 1}$$

So, the function  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^z}$  may be regarded as the rational function of two functions  $\psi(z)$  and  $\phi(z)$  i.e

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^z} = \frac{\psi(z)}{\phi(z)}$$

where,  $z = x + iy$ ,  $p_n$  denotes the  $n$ th prime and

$$\psi(z) = \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} p^n (e)^{(\ln p n)(y)(i)}$$

and

$$\phi(z) = \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} p^n (e)^{(\ln p n)(y)(i)} - 1$$

So, it is not necessary that the branch of multivalued functions  $\psi(z)$  and  $\phi(z)$  have to be same all the time, In other words the value of  $(e)^{(\ln p n)(y)(i)}$  may be different for  $\psi(z)$  and  $\phi(z)$ , If all

$$(e)^{\frac{(\pi)(i)(\ln p)}{4 \ln 2}} = \pm(i) \tag{6}$$

and if  $p_n$  denotes the  $n$  th prime then

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^{z_1}} \right) &= \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_n^{z_1}}\right)} \\ &= \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{\pm i}{\sqrt{p_n}}\right)} \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^{z_2}} \right) &= \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_n^{z_2}}\right)} \\ &= \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{\pm i}{\sqrt{p_n}}\right)} \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Obviously then,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^{z_1}} \right| &= \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^{z_2}} \right| \\ &= \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}\right)}} \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Since,

$$\prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}\right)} \tag{10}$$

$$\times \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_n}\right)} \tag{11}$$

$$= \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_n^2}\right)} \tag{12}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^2} \tag{13}$$

$$= \frac{(\pi)^2}{8} \tag{14}$$

And

$$\prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_n}\right)} = \frac{(\ln n) - \Upsilon}{2} \tag{15}$$

Where  $\Upsilon$  is EULER'S CONSTANT. Obviously then, from equations (1.3.2.6), (1.3.2.7), (1.3.2.8), (1.3.2.11) and (1.3.2.12) it follows,

$$\frac{\prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}\right)}}}{\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{(\ln n) - \Upsilon}}} = \tag{16}$$

Consequently, when  $n$  tends to  $\infty$  then  $\ln n \rightarrow \infty$  so  $\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{(\ln n) - \Upsilon}} \rightarrow \sigma$ , where  $\sigma$  tends to zero.

Therefore, from equations (1.3.2.6) and (1.3.2.13) it is clear that

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^{z_1}} \right| = \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^{z_2}} \right| = \sigma \tag{17}$$

If all

$$e^{\frac{(\ln p)(\pi)(i)}{4 \ln 2}} = -1 \tag{18}$$

then since,

$$\left( \frac{1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}}{\sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)}} \right)^2 = 1 + \frac{2\sqrt{p}}{p+1} \tag{19}$$

So,

$$\prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{P_n}}} = \sigma >$$

$$\prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{P_n}}}$$

$(\ln P)(\pi)(i)$

When all  $e^{4 \ln 2} = 1$  then dividing equation (1.3.2.12) by inequality (1.3.2.17) it follows

$$\prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{P_n}}} >$$

$$\frac{(\ln n) - \gamma}{2}$$

In otherwords,  $\left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^{z_1}} \right| = \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^{z_2}} \right| \rightarrow \infty$

$(\ln p)(\pi)(i)$

But if in this case, the value of  $(e)^{4 \ln 2}$  for the function  $\psi(z)$  be taken as +1 for the points  $Z_1$  or  $Z_2$  and -1 for the function  $\phi(z)$ , then it can be proved easily that

$\left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^{z_1}} \right| = \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^{z_2}} \right| \rightarrow \sigma$  where  $\sigma$  tends to zero. In otherwords, the function

$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^z}$  is convergent on the real axis. So far we have considered those cases when all  $e^{\frac{(\ln P)(\pi)(i)}{4 \ln 2}}$  is

$\pm i$  or  $(-1)$ , but it may happens that for some finite number of primes (we denote any such prime by  $p_d$ ) the

value of  $e^{\frac{(\ln p_d)(\pi)(i)}{4 \ln 2}}$  is different from the rest. But since  $\prod_{d=d_1}^{d=d_k} \left( \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{(p_d)^{z_1}}} \right)$  or

$\prod_{d=d_1}^{d=d_k} \left( \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{(p_d)^{z_2}}} \right)$  is bounded, so it can be proved easily that

$\left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^{z_1}} \right| = \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^{z_2}} \right| \rightarrow \sigma$  This completes proof of our assertion.

### 1.4 3

It is clear from our above discussion that if  $Z = \frac{1}{t} + i\theta$ , where  $0 < \left(\frac{1}{t}\right) < 1$  then  $\zeta(Z)$  is convergent as because

$$|\zeta(Z)| \leq \left( \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n)^z} \right| + \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^z} \right| \right) \leq (m\sigma)$$

where  $m$  is some positive finite real number. Consequently,  $\zeta(Z)$  is analytic on the right half plane, i.e.  $R(z) > 0$ . We have already told that Since,

$$\left(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{P^Z}}\right) = \left(\frac{P^Z}{P^Z - 1}\right)$$

there is a possibility that in case of odd prime  $P$ , for any of  $\left(\frac{P^Z}{P^Z - 1}\right)$  the value of  $(P^Z)$  of the numerator may be different from that of the denominator, as because  $e^{(\ln P)(i)(\theta)}$  is infinitely many-valued. Let us explore such possibility for the point  $z_1$  or  $z_2$ . Suppose, for any factor of  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^{z_1}}$ , for the  $P^{z_1}$  of the

numerator, the value of  $e^{\frac{(\ln P)(\pi)(i)}{4 \ln 2}} = -1$  but for the  $P^{z_1}$  of the denominator, the value of  $\frac{(\ln P)(\pi)(i)}{4 \ln 2}$

$$e^{\frac{(\ln P)(\pi)(i)}{4 \ln 2}} = (\pm i) \text{ Then, } \frac{\frac{P^{z_1}}{P^{z_1} - 1}}{1 + \frac{\pm i}{\sqrt{P}}} = \frac{\pm i}{1 + \frac{\pm i}{\sqrt{P}}} \tag{21}$$

Consequently,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^{z_1}} = (\pm i) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^{z_1}} \tag{22}$$

Consequently, When  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^{z_1}} = -i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^{z_1}}$ , then for equation (1.2.1.2)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^{z_1}} = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n)^{z_1}} \tag{23}$$

Consequently,

$$\zeta(z_1) = 0 \tag{24}$$

When  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^{z_1}} = +i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^{z_1}}$ , then for equation (1.2.1.2)

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^{z_1}} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n)^{z_1}}\right) = 0 \tag{25}$$

In other words, Dirichlet eta function becomes zero. Arguments are almost similar for  $z_2$ . On the other hand, if none of the value of  $P^{z_1}$  or  $P^{z_2}$  of the numerator is different from that of the denominator, then for  $z_1$ ,  $\zeta(z_1) \neq 0$  but  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^{z_1}} - i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n)^{z_1}} = 0$  and for  $z_2$ ,  $\zeta(z_2) \neq 0$  but  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^{z_2}} + i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n)^{z_2}} = 0$  Thus all non-trivial roots of Riemann zeta function as well as roots

of Dirichlet eta function lie on the line  $Z = \frac{1}{2} + \left[\frac{(8k \pm 1)(\pi)(i)}{4 \ln 2}\right]$  and this completes the proof of Riemann Hypothesis.

**References**

[1] KONRAD KNOPP. *THEORY OF FUNCTIONS*, 5th Edition. NEW YORK. DOVER PUBLICATIONS.  
 [2] JOHN B. CONWAY. *FUNCTIONS OF ONE COMPLEX VARIABLE*, 2nd Edition. NAROSA PUBLISHING HOUSE PVT LTD.  
 [3] J.N. SHARMA. *FUNCTIONS OF A COMPLEX VARIABLE*, 4th Edition. Krishna Prakashan Media(P) Ltd.