

## ( $\tau_i, \tau_j$ )– RGB Closed Sets in Bitopological Spaces

Bushra Jaralla Tawfeeq, Dunya Mohamed Hammed

*Mathematic Department, College of Education ,Al – Mustansirya University*

**Abstract:** In this paper we introduce and study the concept of a new class of closed sets called  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ – regular generalized b- closed sets (briefly  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ – rgb-closed) in bitopological spaces. Further we define and study new neighborhood namely  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ – rgb- neighbourhood (briefly  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ – rgb-nhd) and discuss some of their properties in bitopological spaces. Also, we give some characterizations and applications of it.

### I. Introduction

In 1963, Kelley J. C. [16] was first introduced the concept of bitopological spaces , where X is a nonempty set and  $\tau_i, \tau_j$  are two topologies on X. 1970 , M.K.Signal[28] introduced some more separation axioms theses consider with bitopological spaces. 1977, V.Pop.[26]introduced some properties of bitopological semi separation spaces.

In (1985), Fukutake [7] introduced and the studied the notions of generalized closed (g-closed) sets in bitopological spaces and after that several authors turned their attention towards generalizations of various concepts of topology by considering bitopological spaces. Sundaram, P. and Sheik John[29], El- Tantawy and Abu-Donia [6]introduced the concept of w-closed sets and generalized semi-closed (gs-closed) sets in bitopological spaces respectively.

Sheik John and Sundaram (2004),[27] introduced g\*- closed sets in bitopological spaces in 2004. Jafara,S.,M.Lellis Thivagar and S.Athisaya Ponmani ,(2007)[11] studied some new separation axioms using the  $(1,2)\alpha$ -open sets in bitopological spaces. In 2007,[2] S.S.Benchalli and R.S.Wail introduced new class of closed sets called regular-weakly –closed in bitopological spaces. In (2013),[23],K.Mariappa and S.Seker introduced and the studied the notions of regular generalized b- closed sets in topological spaces.

In §2 we recollect the basic definitions which are used in this paper.

In §3 we find basic properties and characteristics of  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ – rgb closed sets ,also we provide several properties of above concept and to investigate its relationships with certain types of closed sets with some new results and examples.

In §4We provide several properties of characterizations of  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ –rgb-closed sets  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ – rgb-open sets and  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ – rgb –nhd of a point as well as some propositions and examples that are included throughout the section.

### II. Introduction And Preliminaries

If A is a subset of a topological space X with a topology  $\tau$ , with then the closure of A is denoted by  $\tau$  -cl(A) or cl(A), the interior of A is denoted by  $\tau$  -int(A) or int(A),semi-closure (resp. pre-closure) of A is denoted by  $\tau$  - scl(A) or scl(A) (resp.  $\tau$  -pcl(A) or pcl(A)), semi-interior of A is denoted by  $\tau$  – sint(A)or sint(A) and the complement of A is denoted by  $A^c$ .

Before entering into our work we recall the following definitions:

**Definition 2.1.** A subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called:

- 1) an  $\alpha$ -open set[18]if  $A \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(A)))$ .
- 2) a semi-open set [12] if  $A \subseteq \text{cl}(\text{int}(A))$ .
- 3) a pre-open set [13]if  $A \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(A))$ .
- 4) a semi –pre-open set ( $\beta$ -open set)[5] if  $A \subseteq \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(A)))$ .
- 5) a regular open set [9] if  $A = \text{I nt}(\text{cl}(A))$ .
- 6)a b-open set [1]if  $A \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(A)) \cup \text{cl}(\text{int}(A))$ .

The semi closure [4](resp  $\alpha$  -closure [20]) of a subset A of X denoted by  $scl(A)(\alpha cl(A))$  is defined to be the intersection of all semi-closed ( $\alpha$  -closed) sets containing A. The semi interior [4] of A denoted by  $sint(A)$  is defined to be the union of all semi-open sets contained in A. If  $A \subseteq B \subseteq X$  then  $Cl_B(A)$  and  $Int_B(A)$  denote the closure of A relative to B and interior of A relative to B.

**Definition 2.2** Let  $(X, \tau)$  a topological space and A be a subset of X, then A is called

- 1) a generalized closed set [18](abbreviated g-closed) if  $cl(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is open in X.
- 2) a generalized  $\alpha$ -closed set [21]( abbreviated  $g\alpha$ -closed) if  $\alpha cl(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is  $\alpha$ -open in X.
- 3)  $\alpha$ - generalized closed set [21]( abbreviated  $\alpha g$ -closed) if  $\alpha cl(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is open in X.
- 4) a generalized b-closed set [22]( abbreviated  $gb$ -closed) if  $bcl(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is open in X.
- 5) semi- generalized closed set [5]( abbreviated sg-closed ) if  $scl(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is semi-open in X.
- 6) a generalized semi-closed set [5]( abbreviated gs-closed) if  $scl(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is open in X.
- 7) w-closed set [24] if  $cl(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is semi-open in X.
- 8) a weakly generalized closed set [25]( abbreviated wg-closed) if  $cl(int(A)) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is open in X.
- 9) a semi- generalized b- closed set [10]( abbreviated sgb-closed ) if  $bcl(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is semi-open in X.
- 11) a strongly generalized closed set [27] (abbreviated  $g^*$ -closed) if  $cl(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is g-open in X.
- 12) a generalized  $gab$ -closed set [30](abbreviated  $gab$  closed) if  $bcl(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is  $\alpha$  -open in X.
- 13) a regular generalized b-closed set [23](abbreviated rgb- closed) if  $bcl(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is regular -open in X.

The complements of the above mentioned sets are called their respective open sets.

**Definition 2.3.** A subset A of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  is called a

1.  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  -pre- open [12] if  $A \subseteq \tau_i$  -int[ $\tau_j$  -cl (A)]
2.  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  -semi open [20] if  $A \subseteq \tau_j$  - cl[ $\tau_i$  - int (A)]
3.  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  -  $\alpha$ - open [13] if  $A \subseteq \tau_i$  -int[ $\tau_j$  - cl[ $\tau_i$  -int (A)]]
4.  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  -regular open [3] if  $A = \tau_i$  -int[ $\tau_j$  -cl(A)]

**Definition 2.4.** A subset A of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  is called a

1.  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  - g-closed [7] if  $\tau_j$  - cl(A)  $\subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U \in \tau_i$ .
2.  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  - gs-closed [6] if  $\tau_j$  - scl(A)  $\subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U \in \tau_i$ .
3.  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  - weakly generalized closed[6](( $\tau_i, \tau_j$ ) -wg-closed) sets if  $\tau_j$  -cl( $\tau_i$  -int(A))  $\subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is  $\tau_i$  - open in X.
4.  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  w-closed [8] if  $\tau_j$  -cl(A)  $\subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is semi-open in  $\tau_i$ .
5.  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  -  $g^*$ -closed[27] if  $\tau_j$  - cl(A)  $\subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is  $\tau_i$  - g-open set.
6.  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  - $\alpha g$ -closed [17]if  $\tau_j$  -acl(A)  $\subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is  $\tau_i$  - open in X.
7.  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  -  $g\alpha$ -closed [17]if  $\tau_j$  acl(A)  $\subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is  $\tau_i$  -  $\alpha$ -open in X.
8.  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  -  $g^*p$ -closed[30] if  $\tau_j$  -pcl(A)  $\subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is  $\tau_i$  - g -open set .
9.  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  - rg-closed[3] if  $\tau_j$  -cl(A)  $\subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is  $\tau_i$  - regular open set .
10.  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  - rg  $^{**}$ -closed[14] if  $\tau_j$  -cl[ $\tau_i$  -int(A)]  $\subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  - regular open set .
11.  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  - rw-closed[15] if  $\tau_j$  -cl(A)  $\subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is  $\tau_i$  - regular semi -open set.
12.  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  - regular weakly generalized closed[8].(  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  -rwg-closed)if  $\tau_j$  -cl[ $\tau_i$  -int(A)]  $\subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is  $\tau_i$  - regular open set.

### III. $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - RGB Closed Sets In Bitopological Spaces

In this section we introduce  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed sets in bitopological spaces and study some of their properties.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$  be fixed integers. A subset A of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  is said to be  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  - rgb closed(briefly  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed) set if  $\tau_j$  -bcl(A)  $\subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is regular -open in  $(X, \tau_i)$ .

The family of all  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - rgb closed sets in a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  is denoted by  $D^*RGB(\tau_i, \tau_j)$

**Remark 3.2:** By setting  $\tau_1 = \tau_2$  in Definition 3.1,  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed set is a rgc- closed set

**Proposition 3.3:** If A is  $\tau_j$ -closed subset of  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  then A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb - closed set.

**Proof.** Let A be any  $\tau_j$ -closed set and U be any  $\tau_i$  – regular -open set containing A. Since  $\tau_j - bcl(A) \subseteq \tau_j - cl(A) \subseteq U$ , then  $\tau_j - bcl(A) \subseteq U$ . Hence A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - rgb-closed.

The converse of the above proposition need not be true as seen from the following example.

**Example 3.4:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau_i = \{X, \emptyset, \{a,b\}, \{b\}\}$  and  $\tau_j = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}\}$ , the set  $\{b\}$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - rgb-closed but not  $\tau_j$ -closed set.

**Proposition 3.5:** If A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -b-closed subset of  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  then A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb - closed set.

**Proof.** Let A be any  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -b-closed set in  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  such that  $A \subseteq U$ , where U is  $\tau_i$  –regular open set . Since A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -b-closed which implise that  $\tau_j - bcl(A) \subseteq \tau_j - cl(A) \subseteq U$ , then  $\tau_j - bcl(A) \subseteq U$ . Hence A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed.

The converse of the above proposition need not be true in general, as seen from the following example.

**Example 3.6:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau_i = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{a,c\}\}$  and  $\tau_j = \{X, \emptyset, \{a,c\}\}$  .Then the set  $\{a,c\}$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed but not  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -b-closed .

**Proposition 3.7:** If A is  $\tau_j$ -  $\alpha$  -closed (resp.  $\tau_j$  – semi-closed ) subset of  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  then A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - rgb-closed.

**Proof .** Let A be any  $\tau_j$ -  $\alpha$  -closed set in  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$ such that  $A \subseteq U$  ,where U is  $\tau_i$  –regular open set. Since A is  $\tau_j$ -  $\alpha$  -closed set ,then  $\tau_j - bcl(A) \subseteq \tau_j - \alpha cl(A) \subseteq \tau_j - cl(A) \subseteq U$ ,so  $\tau_j - bcl(A) \subseteq U$ . Therefore A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed.

The converse of the above proposition need not be true as seen from the following example.

**Example 3.8:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau_i = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a,b\}, \{a,c\}, \{b,c\}\}$  and  $\tau_j = \{X, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{b, c\}\}$  ,the set  $\{c\}$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed but not,  $\tau_j$ -  $\alpha$  -closed .

**Remark 3.9:**The concept of  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -  $\alpha$  -closed sets and  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - rgb-closed sets are independent of each other as seen from the following examples.

**Example 3.10:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau_i = \{X, \emptyset, \{c\}\}$  and  $\tau_j = \{X, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b,c\}\}$  ,the set  $\{b,c\}$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed but not $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -  $\alpha$  -closed .

**Example 3.11:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau_i = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a,b\}, \{a,c\}, \{b,c\}\}$  and  $\tau_j = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{a,c\}\}$  ,the set  $\{a,b\}$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -  $\alpha$  -closed sets but not $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - rgb-closed set.

**Remark 3.12:**The concept of  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - semi-closed sets and  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - rgb-closed sets are independent of each other as seen from the following examples.

**Example 3.13:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau_i = \{X, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{b,c\}\}$  and  $\tau_j = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}\}$  .Then the set  $\{c\}$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed but not $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semi-closed set.

**Example 3.14:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau_i = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a,b\}, \{a,c\}, \{b,c\}\}$  and  $\tau_j = \{X, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{b,c\}\}$  ,the set  $\{b\}$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - semi -closed sets but not $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - rgb-closed set.

**Remark 3.15:**  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - pre-closed sets and  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - rgb-closed sets are independent of each other as seen from the following two examples.

**Example 3.16:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau_i = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a,b\}\}$  and  $\tau_j = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b,c\}\}$  ,the set  $\{a,b\}$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed but not $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -pre- closed.

**Example 3.17:** Let  $X, \tau_i$  and  $\tau_j$  be as in Example 3.14.The set  $\{b,c\}$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - pre-closed but not  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed.

**Remark 3.18:**  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - semi-pre-closed sets ( $\beta$ -closed sets) and  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed sets are independent of each other as seen from the following two examples.

**Example 3.19:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau_i = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a,b\}, \{a,c\}, \{b,c\}\}$  and  $\tau_j = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{a,b\}\}$  the set  $\{a\}$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\beta$ -closed but not  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed.

**Example 3.20:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau_i = \{X, \emptyset, \{b,c\}\}$  and  $\tau_j = \{X, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b,c\}\}$ , the set  $\{a,c\}$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed but not  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\beta$ -closed.

**Remark 3.21:** The concept of  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rg\*\*-closed sets and  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed sets are independent of each other as seen from the following example.

**Example 3.22:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau_i = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a,b\}, \{a,c\}\}$  and  $\tau_j = \{X, \emptyset, \{a,b\}, \{b\}\}$ . Then the set  $\{a\}$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed but not  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rg\*\*-closed and  $\{b\}$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rg\*\*-closed but not  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed.

**Proposition 3.23:** If  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -g-closed subset of  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  then  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -g-closed set  $U$  be any  $\tau_i$ -regular-open set such that  $A \subseteq U$ . Since  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -g-closed, then  $\tau_j$ -cl(A)  $\subseteq U$ , we have  $\tau_j$ -bcl(A)  $\subseteq \tau_j$ -cl(A)  $\subseteq U$ . Hence  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed.

The converse of the above proposition need not be true as seen from the following example.

**Example 3.24:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau_i = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b,c\}\}$  and  $\tau_j = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a,b\}\}$ , the set  $\{a\}$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed but not  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -g-closed.

**Proposition 3.25:** If  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -g\*-closed subset of  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  then  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed.

**Proof.** Let  $A$  be any  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -g\*-closed set and  $U$  be any  $\tau_i$ -regular-open set containing  $A$ . Since  $A$  is  $\tau_j$ -g\*-closed set and  $\tau_j$ -cl(A)  $\subseteq U$ ,  $\tau_j$ -bcl(A)  $\subseteq \tau_j$ -cl(A)  $\subseteq U$ , so  $\tau_j$ -bcl(A)  $\subseteq U$ . Therefore  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed.

The converse of the above proposition need not be true in general, as seen from the following example.

**Example 3.26:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau_i = \{X, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b,c\}\}$  and  $\tau_j = \{X, \emptyset, \{b,c\}\}$ , the set  $\{c\}$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed but not  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -g\*-closed.

**Proposition 3.27 :** If  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -g\*p-closed subset of  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  then  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -gbr-closed.

**Proof.** Assume  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -g\*p-closed,  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is  $\tau_i$ -regular-open set. Since  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -gp\* closed set, we have  $\tau_j$ -pcl(A)  $\subseteq U$  and  $\tau_j$ -pcl(A)  $\subseteq \tau_j$ -bcl(A)  $\subseteq U$ ,  $\tau_j$ -bcl(A)  $\subseteq U$ . Therefore  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -gbr-closed.

The following example show that the converse of the above proposition is not true :

**Example 3.28:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau_i = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a,b\}\}$  and  $\tau_j = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}\}$ , the set  $\{a,c\}$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed but not  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -gp\*closed.

**Proposition 3.29:** If  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -gb-closed subset of  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  then  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed.

**Proof.** Let  $A$  be any  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -gb-closed set in  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  such that  $A \subseteq U$ , where  $U$  is  $\tau_i$ -regular-open set. Since  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -gb-closed set, which implise that  $\tau_j$ -bcl(A)  $\subseteq U$ . Therefore  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed.

The converse of the above proposition need not be true as seen from the following example.

**Example 3.30** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau_i = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a,b\}, \{a,c\}\}$  and  $\tau_j = \{X, \emptyset, \{a,b\}, \{b\}\}$ , the set  $\{a,b\}$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed but not  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -gb-closed.

**Proposition 3.31:** If  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rw-closed subset of  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  then  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed.

**Proof.** Let  $A$  be any  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rw-closed set in  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  and  $U$  be any  $\tau_i$ -regular open set containing  $A$ . Since  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rw-closed set, then  $\tau_i$ -cl(A)  $\subseteq U$  and  $\tau_i$ -bcl(A)  $\subseteq \tau_i$ -cl(A)  $\subseteq U$ . Hence  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed.

The converse of the above proposition need not be true in general, as seen from the following example.

**Example 3.32:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau_i = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a,b\}\}$  and  $\tau_j = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}\}$ , the set  $\{b\}$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed but not  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rw-closed.

**Proposition 3.33:** If  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\alpha g$ -closed subset of  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  then  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed.

**Proof .** Let  $A$  be any  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\alpha g$ -closed set and  $U$  be any  $\tau_i$ -regular open set containing  $A$ . Since  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\alpha g$ -closed set, then  $\tau_j - bcl(A) \subseteq \tau_j - \alpha cl(A) \subseteq U$ . Therefore  $\tau_j - bcl(A) \subseteq U$ . Hence  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed.

The converse of the above proposition need not be true as seen from the following example.

**Example 3.34:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau_i = \{X, \emptyset, \{b,c\}\}$  and  $\tau_j = \{X, \emptyset, \{b\}\}$ , the set  $\{b,c\}$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed but not  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\alpha g$ -closed.

Similarly, we prove the following Proposition:

**Proposition 3.35:** If  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g\alpha$ -closed subset of  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  then  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed but not conversely.

**Example 3.36:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau_i = \{X, \emptyset, \{a,b\}, \{c\}\}$  and  $\tau_j = \{X, \emptyset, \{a,b\}\}$ , the set  $\{a\}$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed but not  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\alpha g$ -closed.

**Proposition 3.37:** If  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g\alpha b$ -closed subset of  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  then  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed.

**Proof .** Let  $A$  be any  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g\alpha b$ -closed set in  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  such that  $A \subseteq U$ , where  $U$  is  $\tau_i$ -regular open set. Since  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g\alpha b$ -closed set,  $\tau_i - bcl(A) \subseteq U$ . Hence  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed.

The converse of the above proposition need not be true in general, as seen from the following example.

**Example 3.38:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau_i = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{a,c\}\}$  and  $\tau_j = \{X, \emptyset, \{a,b\}\}$ , the set  $\{a,b\}$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed but not  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g\alpha b$ -closed.

**Proposition 3.39:** If  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $gs$ -closed subset of  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  then  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed.

**Proof .** Let  $A$  be any  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $gs$ -closed set and  $U$  be any  $\tau_i$ -regular-open set containing  $A$ . Since  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $gs$ -closed set, then  $\tau_j - scl(A) \subseteq U$ , so  $\tau_j - bcl(A) \subseteq \tau_j - scl(A) \subseteq U$ . Therefore  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed.

The following example show that the converse of the above proposition is not true :

**Example 3.40:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau_i = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a,b\}, \{a,c\}\}$  and  $\tau_j = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a,b\}\}$ , the set  $\{a,b\}$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed but not  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $gs$ -closed.

Similarly, we prove the following Proposition:

**Proposition 3.41:** If  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $sg$ -closed subset of  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  then  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed.

The converse of the above proposition need not be true in general, as seen from the following example.

**Example 3.42:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau_i = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a,b\}, \{a,c\}, \{b,c\}\}$  and  $\tau_j = \{X, \emptyset, \{c\}, \{a,c\}\}$ , the set  $\{a\}$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed sets but not  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $sg$ -closed set.

**Proposition 3.43:** If  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $rg$ -closed subset of  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  then  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed.

**Proof .** Let  $A$  be any  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $rg$ -closed set and  $U$  be any  $\tau_i$ -regular-open set containing  $A$ . Since  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $rg$ -closed set, then  $\tau_j - cl(A) \subseteq U$ , so  $\tau_j - bcl(A) \subseteq \tau_j - cl(A) \subseteq U$ . Therefore  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed.

The converse of the above proposition need not be true as seen from the following example.

**Example 3.44:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau_i = \{X, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{a, c\}\}$  and  $\tau_j = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ , the set  $\{b\}$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed but not  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed.

**Proposition 3.45:** If  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -sgb-closed subset of  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  then  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed.

**Proof .** Let  $A$  be any  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -sgb-closed set in  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  such that  $A \subseteq U$ , where  $U$  is  $\tau_i$ -regular open set. Since  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -sgb-closed set,  $\tau_i - \text{bcl}(A) \subseteq U$ . Hence  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed.

The following example show that the converse of the above proposition is not true :

**Example 3.46:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau_i = \{X, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$  and  $\tau_j = \{X, \emptyset, \{c\}\}$ , the set  $\{a, c\}$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed but not  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -sgb-closed.

**Proposition 3.47:** If  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -w-closed subset of  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  then  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed.

**Proof .** Let  $A$  be any  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -w-closed set and  $U$  be any  $\tau_i$ -regular-open set containing  $A$ . Since  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -w-closed set, then  $\tau_j - \text{cl}(A) \subseteq U$ , so  $\tau_j - \text{bcl}(A) \subseteq \tau_j - \text{cl}(A) \subseteq U$ . Therefore  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed.

The converse of the above proposition need not be true as seen from the following example.

**Example 3.48:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau_i = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}$  and  $\tau_j = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\}$ , the set  $\{a, b\}$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed but not  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -w-closed.

Similarly, we prove the following Proposition

**Proposition 3.49:** If  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -wg-closed subset of  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  then  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed.

The converse of the above proposition need not be true as seen from the following example.

**Example 3.50:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau_i = \{X, \emptyset, \{b\}\}$  and  $\tau_j = \{X, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}\}$ , the set  $\{a\}$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed but not  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -wg-closed.

**Proposition 3.51:** If  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rwg-closed subset of  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  then  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed.

The converse of the above proposition need not be true as seen from the following example.

**Example 3.52:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau_i = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}$  and  $\tau_j = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$  the set  $\{b\}$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed but not  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rwg-closed.

#### IV. Characterizations And Properties Of $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -RGB-Closed Sets, $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -RGB-Open Sets And $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -RGB-Neighborhoods

In this section we introduce some characterizations of  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed sets and  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-open sets, also we define and study new neighborhood namely  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-neighborhood (briefly  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-nhd) and discuss some of their properties.

**Definition 4.1.** A subset  $A$  of bitopological space  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  is called  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-open set if and only if its complement is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed in  $X$ .

The family of all  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-open subsets of  $X$  is denoted by  $D^* \text{RGBO}(\tau_i, \tau_j)$

**Remark 4.2** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed sets in  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$

1) The union  $A \cup B$  is not generally  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed set.

2) The intersection  $A \cap B$  is not generally  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed set as seen from the following examples.

**Example 4.3.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau_i = \{X, \emptyset, \{a, b\}, \{c\}\}$  and  $\tau_j = \{X, \emptyset, \{a, b\}\}$ , the subsets  $\{a\}, \{b\}$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed sets but their union  $\{a\} \cup \{b\} = \{a, b\}$  is not  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed set.

**Example 4.4.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau_i = \{X, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}\}$  and  $\tau_j = \{X, \emptyset, \{b\}\}$ , the subsets  $\{a, c\}, \{b, c\}$  are  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed sets but their intersection  $\{a, c\} \cap \{b, c\} = \{b\}$  is not  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed set.

**Remark 4.5** Let A and B be two  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb - open sets in  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$

1)The union  $A \cup B$  is not generally  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb - open set .

2) The intersection  $A \cap B$  is not generally  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb - open set as seen from the following examples.

**Example 4.6.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau_i = \{X, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b,c\}\}$  and  $\tau_j = \{X, \emptyset, \{b\}\}$ ,the subsets  $\{a\}, \{c\}$ is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb -open sets but their union  $\{a\} \cup \{c\} = \{a,c\}$  is not  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb - open set.

**Example 4.7.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau_i = \{X, \emptyset, \{a,b\}, \{c\}\}$  and  $\tau_j = \{X, \emptyset, \{a,b\}\}$ ,the subsets  $\{a,c\}, \{b,c\}$ is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb -open sets but their intersection  $\{a,c\} \cup \{b,c\} = \{c\}$  is not  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb - open set.

**Proposition 4.8:** If a set G is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed set in  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$ , then  $\tau_j$ -cl(A)contains no non-empty  $\tau_i$ -regular -closed set.

**Proof.** Let G be  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed and F be a  $\tau_i$ - regular -closed set such that  $F \subseteq (\tau_j - \text{bcl}(G))^c$ . Since G is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed, then  $G \in D^* \text{RGB}(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  which implise that  $\tau_j - \text{bcl}(G) \subseteq F^c$ . Then  $F \subseteq \tau_j - \text{bcl}(G) \cap (\tau_j - \text{bcl}(G))^c$ . Therefore F is empty.

The converse of the above theorem need not be true as seen from the following example.

**Example 4.9.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau_i = \{X, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b,c\}\}$ ,  $\tau_j = \{X, \emptyset, \{b\}\}$ .If  $G = \{b\}$  ,then  $\tau_j$ -cl(G)- $G = \{a,c\}$  does not any non-empty  $\tau_i$ - regular -closed set.But G is not a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed set.

**Proposition 4.10:** If A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed set and  $A \subseteq B \subseteq \tau_j - \text{bcl}(A)$ , then B is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed set.

**Proof.** Let  $B \subseteq U$ ,where U is - regular open set.Since  $A \subseteq B$ ,so  $\tau_j - \text{bcl}(A) \subseteq U$ . But  $B \subseteq \tau_j - \text{bcl}(A)$ ,

We have  $\tau_j - \text{bc l}(B) \subseteq \tau_j - (\tau_j - \text{c l}(A))$  then  $\tau_j - \text{bc l}(B) \subseteq U$ .Therefore B is rgb-closed in X.

**Proposition 4.11:** Let  $A \subseteq Y \subseteq X$  and if A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - rgb -closed in X then A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - rgb -closed relative to Y.

**Proof.** Let  $A \subseteq Y \cap G$  where G is  $\tau_i$  – regular open in X. Since A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed .Then  $\tau_j - \text{bcl}(A) \subseteq \text{cl} \subseteq G$ . Then  $Y \cap \tau_j - \text{bcl}(A) \subseteq Y \cap G$ . Thus A is rgb -closed relative to Y.

**Proposition 4.12:** If A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed set,then  $\tau_j - \text{bcl}(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$  for each  $x \in \tau_j - \text{bcl}(A)$

**Proof.** If  $\tau_i - \text{bcl}(\{x\}) \cap A = \emptyset$  for each  $x \in \tau_j - \text{bcl}(A)$ , then  $A \subseteq (\tau_i - \text{bcl}(\{x\}))^c$ . Since A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-closed set, so  $\tau_j - \text{bcl}(A) \subseteq (\tau_i - \text{bcl}(\{x\}))^c$  which implise that  $x \notin \tau_j - \text{bcl}(A)$ .This contradicts to the assumption.

**Definition 4.13.** Let  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  be bitopological space, and let  $g \in X$ .A subset N of X is said to be,  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-neighbourhood (briefly  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-nhd) of a point g if and only if there exists a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb –open set G such that  $g \in G \subseteq N$ .

The set of all  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb –nhd of a point g is denoted by  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb –N(g)

**Proposition 4.14:** Every  $\tau_i$ - nhd of  $g \in X$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb –nhd of  $g \in X$  .

**Proof.** Since N is  $\tau_i$ - nhd of  $g \in X$ ,then there exists  $\tau_i$ - open set G such that  $g \in G \subseteq N$ . Since every  $\tau_i$ - open set is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb –open set, G is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb –open set .By Definition 4.13. N is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb –nhd of x

**Remark 4.15 :**The converse of the above Proposition need not be true as seen from the following example.

**Example 4.16.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau_i = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a,b\}, \{a,c\}\}$ ,  $\tau_j = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b,c\}\}$ .

$D^* \text{RGBO}(\tau_i, \tau_j) = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a,b\}, \{a,c\}, \{b,c\}\}$ ,the set  $\{b,c\}$ is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb –nbhd of c ,since there exists a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb –open set  $G = \{c\}$ such that  $c \in \{c\} \subseteq \{b,c\}$ .However  $\{b,c\}$ is not  $\tau_i$ - nhd of c ,since no  $\tau_i$  –open set G such that  $c \in G \subseteq \{b,c\}$ .

**Remark 4.17.** The  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb –nhd of a point g  $\in X$  need not be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb –open set in X as seen from the following example.

**Example 4.18.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau_i = \{X, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b,c\}\}$ ,  $\tau_j = \{X, \emptyset, \{b\}\}$ .

$D^* \text{RGBO}(\tau_i, \tau_j) = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a,b\}, \{b,c\}\}$ , the set  $\{a,c\}$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-nhd of  $c$ , since there exists a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-open set  $G=\{c\}$  such that  $c \in \{c\} \subseteq \{a,c\}$ . However  $\{a,c\}$  is not  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-open set.

**Proposition 4.19:** If  $N$  a subset of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-open set, then  $N$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-nhd of each of its points.

**Proof.** Let  $N$  be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-open set. By Definition 4.13.  $N$  is an  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-nhd of each of its points.

**Remark 4.20.** The  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-nhd of a point  $g \in X$  need not be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -nhd-of  $x$  in  $X$  as seen from the following example.

**Example 4.21.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau_i = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a,b\}, \{a,c\}\}$ ,  $\tau_j = \{X, \emptyset, \{a,b\}, \{b\}\}$ .

$D^* \text{RGBO}(\tau_i, \tau_j) = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a,b\}, \{b,c\}\}$ , the set  $\{a,c\}$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-nhd of  $a$ , since there exists a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-open set  $G=\{a\}$  such that  $a \in \{a\} \subseteq \{a,c\}$ . Also the set  $\{a,c\}$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-nhd of  $c$ , since there exists a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-open set  $G=\{c\}$  such that  $c \in \{c\} \subseteq \{a,c\}$ . However  $\{a,c\}$  is not  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-open set in  $X$ .

**Proposition 4.22.** Let  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  be bitopological space:

- 1)  $\forall g \in X, (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-N(g)  $\neq \emptyset$
- 2)  $\forall N \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-N(g), then  $g \in N$ .
- 3) If  $N \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-N(g),  $N \subseteq M$ , then  $M \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-N(g).
- 4) If  $N \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-N(g), then there exists  $M \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-N(g) such that  $M \subseteq N$  and exists  $M \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-N(h)  $\forall h \in M$ .

**Proof.1)** Since  $X$  is an  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-open set, it is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-nhd of every  $g \in X$ . Hence there exists at least one  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-nhd  $G$  for every  $g \in X$ . Therefore  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-N(g)  $\neq \emptyset, \forall g \in X$ .

**2) If  $N \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-N(g), then  $N$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-nhd G of g. Thus By Definition 4.13  $g \in N$ .**

**3) If  $N \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-N(g), then there is an  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-open set  $A$  such that  $g \in A \subseteq N$ , since  $N \subseteq M, g \in A \subseteq M$  and  $M$  is an  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-nhd of  $g$ . Hence  $M \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-N(g).** **4) If  $N \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-N(g), then there exists an  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-open set  $M$  such that  $g \in M \subseteq N$ . Since  $M$  is an  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-open set, then it is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-nhd of each of its points. Therefore  $M \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rgb-N(h)  $\forall h \in M$ .**

## References

- [1] Ahmad Al-Omari and Mohd. Salmi Md. Noorani, On Generalized b-closed sets. Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc(2) 32(1) (2009), 19-30
- [2] Benchalli.S.S and Wali.R.S., On R<sub>w</sub>-closed sets in topological spaces ,Bull. Malays. math. Sci. Soc(2) 30(2),(2007), 99-110
- [3] K.chandrasekha rao and K.kannan,regular generalized star closed sets in bitopological spaces ,Thai journal of Math.,vol.4,(2),(2006),341-349
- [4] R. Devi, H. Maki and K. Balachandran, Semi-generalized closed maps and generalized semi-closed maps, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. A. Math. 14 (1993), 41–54.
- [5]. J. Donchev, On generalizing Semi-pre open sets, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. A. Mat16 (1995), 53-48.
- [6]. O.A. El-Tantawy and H.M. Abu-Donia, Generalized Separation Axioms in Bitopological Spaces, The Arabian Jl for Science and Engg. Vol.30,No.1A,117-129 (2005).
- [7] T.Fukutake, On generalized closed sets in bitopological spaces, Bull. Fukuoka Univ. Ed. Part III, 35, 19-28 (1985).
- [8] Futake, T., Sundaram, P., and SheikJohn.M., 2002, w-closed sets, w-open sets and w-continuity in bitopological spaces Bull.Fukuoka Univ.Ed.Vol.51.Part III 1-9.
- [9]. Y. Gnanambal, On generalized pre-regular closed sets in topological spaces, Indian J. PureAppl. Math. 28 (1997), 351-360.
- [10] D. Iyappan & N.Nagaveni, On semi generalized b-closed set, Nat. Sem. On Mat & Comp.Sci, Jan (2010), Proc.6.
- [11] Jafara,S.,M.Lellis Thivagar and S.A.thisaya Ponmani," (1,2)  $\alpha$ -open sets based on bitopological separation axioms ",Soochow Journal of Math.,Volume 33,No.3,p 375-381,2007.
- [12] Jelic.M., A decomposition of pairwise continuity, J.Inst . Math.Comp.Sci.,3,25-29(1990).

- [13] Jelic.M., Feebly p-continuous mappings.V International Meeting on Topology in Italy (Itaian) (Lecce,1990/Otranto,1990).Rend.Circ.Mat.Palermo(2) Suppl.No.24(1990),387-395.
- [14] JK. Kannan,D.Narasimhan,K K.chandrasekhara rao,regular generalized star star closed sets in bitopological spaces ,Wored Academy ,Engineering and Technology,vol.54,(2011),33-35
- [15] M. Karpagadevi, A. Pushpalatha, (I, j) - R<sub>w</sub> Closed Sets in Bitopological Spaces, International Journal of Computer Applications , Volume 47– No.6, June 2012
- [16] J.C.Kelly, Bitopological spaces, Proc.London Math. Soc., 13, 71-89 (1963).
- [17] F.H.khber and H.S.Al-Saadi,On pairwise semi generalized closed sets ,JKAU ,Sci .Vol.21,No.(2009)2,269-295.
- [18] N.Levine, Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces , Amer.Math . Monthly, 17 70(1963), 36-41.
- [19] N. Levine, Generalized closed sets in topology,Rend. Circlo. Mat. Palermo 17 19 (1970), no. 2,89–96.
- [20] Maheswari, S.N., and Prasad, R.,Semi open sets and semi continuous functions in bitopologic al spaces. Math.Notae, 26, (1977/78),29-37.
- [21] H. Maki, R. Devi and K. Balachandran, Associated topologies of generalised  $\alpha$ -closed sets and  $\alpha$ -generalized closed maps, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. A. Math. 15 (1994), 51–63
- [22]. H. Maki, J. Umehara and T. Noiri, Every topological space is pre-T<sub>1/2</sub> , Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. A. Math. 17 (1996), 33–42.
- [23] K.Mariappa and S.Seker,On regular generalized b- closed sets,Int.Journal of math .Analysis ,Vol.7.no.13,(2013),613-624.
- [24] Mashhour A. S., Abd El-Monsef M. E., and El-Deeb S. N., On precontinuous and weak precontinuous mappings, Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt, 53(1982), 47-53.
- [25] N.Nagaveni, Studies on generalized on homeomorphisms in topological Spaces, Ph.D Thesis, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore 1999
- [26] V.Pop, On some properties of bitopological semi separation space .71-77,1977.
- [27] Sheik John, M. and Sundaram, P., g\*-closed sets in bitopological spaces, Indian J. Pure. Appl. Math., 35(1),71-80(2004).
- [28] Signal, M.K. and ,A. r. Some more separation axioms in bitopological spaces ,Ann.soc .Sci . Bruxelles 84 II(1970).203-207.
- [29] Sundaram, P. and Sheik. John.M., ON w-closed sets in topology, Acta ciencia Indica 4(2000), 389-392.
- [30] L.Vinayagamoorthi, N.Nagaveni, On Generalized-ab closed sets,Proceeding ICMD Allahabad , Puspha Publication Vol.1. 2010-11.