

## Inventory Model: Deteriorating Items with Time-Dependent Deterioration Rate for Quadratic Demand Rate With Unit Production Cost and Shortage

Jasvinder Kaur<sup>1</sup>, A.P.Singh<sup>2</sup>, Rajendra Sharma<sup>3</sup>

---

**Abstract :** In this paper, an order level inventory unit production system for deteriorating items with a quadratic demand is developed. It is assumed that shortages in inventory are permitted and are completely backlogged. The finite production rate is proportional to the time dependent demand rate and deterioration rate is time proportional. The unit production cost is taken to be inversely related to the demand rate. Sensitivity of the decision variable to changes in the parameter values is examined and numerical example is presented to illustrate the model is developed.

**Keywords -** Deterioration; Inventory model; Quadratic demand rate; Shortage;

---

### I. INTRODUCTION

In general, deterioration is defined as the damage, spoilage, dryness, vaporization, etc., that result in decrease of usefulness of the original one. Goods deteriorate and their value reduces with time. Electronic products may become obsolete as technology changes. Fashion tends to depreciate the value of clothing over time. Batteries die out as they age. The effect of time is even more critical for perishable goods such as foodstuffs and cigarettes.

Whitin (1957) considered fashion goods deteriorating at the end of a prescribed shortage period. Ghare and Schrader (1963) develop a model for an exponentially decaying inventory. Various types of order-level inventory models for items deteriorating at a constant rate were discussed by Shah and Jaiswal (1977), Dave and Patel (1981), Roychowdhury and Chaudhuri (1983), Dave (1986) and Bahari-kashani (1989). Inventory models with a time-dependent rate of deterioration were studied by Covert and Philip (1973), Philip (1974), Mishra (1975) and Deb and Chaudhuri (1986).

Numerous inventory models for deteriorating items with various features of the inventory systems have been discussed; it is not possible to list them all. Interested readers may consult the review articles by Nahmias (1982) and Raafat (1991). In the classical inventory models, the demand rate is assumed to be a constant. In reality, demand for physical goods may be time dependent, stock dependent and price dependent. The first analytic model for linearly time-dependent demand was developed by Donaldson (1977). Most of these papers take the replenishment rate to be infinite.

In this present paper, we discuss an economic order quantity (EOQ) model taking into account the following factors

1. The production rate is finite and proportional to the time dependent quadratic demand rate
2. The unit cost of production depends on the demand rate.
3. The deterioration rate is time proportional.

### II. PROPOSED ASSUMPTIONS & NOTATIONS

#### 1. Assumptions

A deterministic order-level model with a finite rate of replenishment is developed with the following assumptions and notations.

- 1.1  $c_1$  is the constant holding cost per item per unit of time.
- 1.2 The shortage cost  $c_2$  is infinite.
- 1.3  $c_3$  is the constant deterioration cost per unit per unit of time.
- 1.4  $R = f(t) = a + bt + ct^2$  is the quadratic demand rate at any time  $t \geq 0$ ,  $a \geq 0$ ,  $b > 0$ ,  $c > 0$ .
- 1.5  $K = \beta f(t)$  is the production rate where  $\beta (> 1)$  is a constant.
- 1.6 The lead time is zero.
- 1.7 A variable fraction  $\theta(t) = \alpha t$ , ( $0 < \alpha \ll 1$ ,  $t \geq 0$ ) of the on-hand inventory deteriorates per unit of time.
- 1.8  $C$  is the total average cost for a production cycle.

1.9 The unit production cost  $v$  is inversely related to the demand rate as  $v = \alpha_1 R^{-\gamma}$ , where  $\alpha_1 > 0, \gamma > 0$  and  $\gamma \neq 2$ .  $\alpha_1$  is obviously positive since  $v$  and  $R$  are both non-negative; also higher demands result in lower unit costs of production. This implies that  $v$  and  $R$  are inversely related and, hence,  $\gamma$  must be positive.

Now, 
$$\frac{dv}{dR} = -\alpha_1 \gamma R^{-(\gamma+1)} < 0,$$

$$\frac{d^2v}{dR^2} = \alpha_1 \gamma (\gamma + 1) R^{-(\gamma+2)} > 0.$$

Thus marginal unit cost of production is an increasing function of  $R$ . These results imply that, as the demand rate increases, the unit cost of production decreases at an increasing rate. For this reason, the manufacturer is encouraged to produce more as the demand for the item increases. The necessity of the restriction  $\gamma \neq 2$  arises from the nature of the solution of problem. The amount of stock is zero at time  $t = 0$ . Production starts at time  $t = 0$  and stops at time  $t_1$  when the stock attains a level  $S$ . During  $[t_1, t_2]$ , the inventory level gradually decreases mainly to meet demands and partly because of deterioration. By this process, the stock reaches zero level at time  $t_2$ . The cycle then repeats itself after the scheduling period  $t_2$ . The intensity of deterioration is very low during the early stage of inventory because  $t$  is small. However, the intensity increases with time, but  $\theta(t)$  remains bounded for  $t \gg 1$  since  $0 < \alpha \ll 1$ .

In this case, we intend to develop an order-level model for deteriorating items with a finite rate of replenishment with the assumptions described and also with the additional assumption that shortages in inventory are permitted and are completely backlogged.  $c_2$  is the constant shortage per unit per unit of time. Here the amount of stock is zero at time  $t = 0$ . Production starts at time  $t = 0$  and continues up to  $t = t_1$  when the stock reaches a level  $S$ . Inventory accumulated in  $[0, t_1]$  after meeting the quadratic demands is used in  $[t_1, t_2]$ . The stock reaches the zero level at time  $t_2$ . Now shortages start to develop and accumulate to the level  $P$  at  $t = t_3$ . Production starts at time  $t_3$ . The running demands as well as the backlog for  $[t_2, t_3]$  are satisfied in  $[t_3, t_4]$ . The inventory again falls to the zero level at time  $t_4$ . The cycle then repeats itself after a time  $t_4$ . Our problem is to determine the optimum values of  $t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4$  and  $C$  with the assumptions stated above.

### III. INDENTATIONS AND EQUATIONS

#### MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION:

Let  $Q(t)$  represent the instantaneous inventory level at any time  $t$  ( $0 \leq t \leq t_4$ ). The differential equations governing the instantaneous states of  $Q(t)$  in the interval  $[0, t_4]$  are given by

$$\frac{dQ(t)}{dt} + \theta(t)Q(t) = K - f(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq t_1, \tag{1}$$

with the conditions  $Q(0) = 0$  and  $Q(t_1) = S$ ;

$$\frac{dQ(t)}{dt} + \theta(t)Q(t) = -f(t), \quad t_1 \leq t \leq t_2. \tag{2}$$

with the conditions  $Q(t_1) = S$  and  $Q(t_2) = 0$ .

$$\frac{dQ(t)}{dt} = -f(t), \quad t_2 \leq t \leq t_3, \tag{3}$$

with the conditions  $Q(t_2) = 0$  and  $Q(t_3) = -P$ ;

$$\frac{dQ(t)}{dt} = K - f(t), \quad t_3 \leq t \leq t_4. \quad (4)$$

with the conditions  $Q(t_3) = -P$  and  $Q(t_4) = 0$ .

Using  $\theta(t) = \alpha t$  and  $f(t) = a + bt + ct^2$ , equation (1)-(4) become respectively

$$\frac{dQ(t)}{dt} + \alpha t Q(t) = (\beta - 1)(a + bt + ct^2), \quad 0 \leq t \leq t_1, \quad (5)$$

with the conditions  $Q(0) = 0$  and  $Q(t_1) = S$ ;

$$\frac{dQ(t)}{dt} + \alpha t Q(t) = -(a + bt + ct^2), \quad t_1 \leq t \leq t_2, \quad (6)$$

with the conditions  $Q(t_1) = S$  and  $Q(t_2) = 0$ ;

$$\frac{dQ(t)}{dt} = -(a + bt + ct^2), \quad t_2 \leq t \leq t_3, \quad (7)$$

with the conditions  $Q(t_2) = 0$  and  $Q(t_3) = -P$ ;

$$\frac{dQ(t)}{dt} = (\beta - 1)(a + bt + ct^2), \quad t_3 \leq t \leq t_4. \quad (8)$$

with the conditions  $Q(t_3) = -P$  and  $Q(t_4) = 0$ .

The solutions of (5)-(8) are respectively.

$$Q(t) = (\beta - 1) \left( at - \frac{1}{3} a \alpha t^3 + \frac{1}{2} b t^2 - \frac{1}{8} b \alpha t^4 + \frac{1}{3} c t^3 - \frac{1}{15} c \alpha t^5 \right), \quad 0 \leq t \leq t_1, \quad (9)$$

$$Q(t) = \begin{cases} S \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} \alpha t_1^2 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha t^2 \right) + a \left( t_1 - t + \frac{1}{6} \alpha t_1^3 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha t^2 t_1 + \frac{1}{3} \alpha t^3 \right) \\ \quad + b \left( \frac{1}{2} t_1^2 - \frac{1}{2} t^2 + \frac{1}{8} \alpha t_1^4 - \frac{1}{4} \alpha t^2 t_1^2 + \frac{1}{8} \alpha t^4 \right) \\ \quad + c \left( \frac{1}{3} t_1^3 - \frac{1}{3} t^3 + \frac{1}{10} \alpha t_1^5 - \frac{1}{6} \alpha t^2 t_1^3 + \frac{1}{15} \alpha t^5 \right), \quad t_1 \leq t \leq t_2. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

$$Q(t) = a(t_2 - t) + \frac{1}{2} b(t_2^2 - t^2) + \frac{1}{3} c(t_2^3 - t^3), \quad t_2 \leq t \leq t_3, \quad (11)$$

$$Q(t) = (\beta - 1) \left[ a(t - t_4) + \frac{1}{2} b(t^2 - t_4^2) + \frac{1}{3} c(t^3 - t_4^3) \right], \quad t_3 \leq t \leq t_4. \quad (12)$$

Since  $Q(t_2) = 0$ , then from (10),

$$S = a \left( t_2 - t_1 + \frac{1}{3} \alpha t_1^3 + \frac{1}{6} \alpha t_2^3 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha t_1^2 t_2 \right) \\ + b \left( \frac{1}{2} t_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} t_1^2 + \frac{1}{8} \alpha t_1^4 + \frac{1}{8} \alpha t_2^4 - \frac{1}{4} \alpha t_1^2 t_2^2 \right) \\ + c \left( \frac{1}{3} t_2^3 - \frac{1}{3} t_1^3 + \frac{1}{15} \alpha t_1^5 + \frac{1}{10} \alpha t_2^5 - \frac{1}{6} \alpha t_1^2 t_2^3 \right).$$

for a first-order approximation of  $\alpha$ .

The total inventory in the cycle  $[0, t_2]$  is

$$\int_0^{t_1} Q(t) dt + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} Q(t) dt = \frac{1}{12} a [6\beta t_1^2 - \alpha\beta t_1^4 + 6t_2^2 - 12t_1 t_2 + 2\alpha t_1^3 t_2 + \alpha t_2^4 - 2\alpha t_1 t_2^3] \\ + \frac{1}{120} b [20\beta t_1^3 - 3\alpha\beta t_1^5 + 40t_2^3 - 60t_1 t_2^2 + 10\alpha t_1^3 t_2^2 + 8\alpha t_2^5 - 15\alpha t_1 t_2^4] \\ + \frac{1}{180} c [15\beta t_1^4 - 2\alpha\beta t_1^6 + 45t_2^4 - 60t_1 t_2^3 + 10\alpha t_1^3 t_2^3 + 10\alpha t_2^6 - 18\alpha t_1 t_2^5]$$

for a first-order approximation of  $\alpha$ .

The total number of deteriorated items in  $[0, t_2]$  is given by

Production in  $[0, t_1]$ -demand in

$$[0, t_2] = \beta \int_0^{t_1} (a + bt + ct^2) dt - \int_0^{t_2} (a + bt + ct^2) dt \\ = a(\beta t_1 - t_2) + \frac{1}{2} b(\beta t_1^2 - t_2^2) + \frac{1}{3} c(\beta t_1^3 - t_2^3) \quad (13)$$

Since the production in  $[u, u + du]$  is  $K du$ , the cost of production in  $[u, u + du]$  is

$$Kv du = \frac{\alpha_1 \beta f(t)}{R^\gamma} du = \frac{\alpha_1 \beta}{(a + bu + cu^2)^{\gamma-1}} du$$

Hence the production cost in  $[0, t_1]$  is

$$\int_0^{t_1} \frac{\alpha_1 \beta}{(a + bu + cu^2)^{\gamma-1}} du = \frac{\alpha_1 \beta}{6a^\gamma} [6at_1 + (1 - \gamma)(3bt_1^2 + 2ct_1^3)], \quad \gamma \neq 2. \quad (14)$$

The total number of deteriorated items in  $[0, t_4]$  is the same as given in (13) since there will be no deteriorated items during the period  $[t_2, t_4]$ .

The total shortage in  $[t_2, t_4]$  is given by

$$\int_{t_2}^{t_4} [-Q(t)] dt = \int_{t_2}^{t_3} [-Q(t)] dt + \int_{t_3}^{t_4} [-Q(t)] dt \\ = \frac{1}{2} a [t_2^2 + \beta t_3^2 + (\beta - 1)t_4^2 - 2t_2 t_3 - 2(\beta - 1)t_3 t_4] \\ + \frac{1}{6} b [2t_2^3 + \beta t_3^3 + 2(\beta - 1)t_4^3 - 3t_2^2 t_3 - 3(\beta - 1)t_3 t_4^2] \\ + \frac{1}{12} c [3t_2^4 + \beta t_3^4 + 3(\beta - 1)t_4^4 - 4t_2^3 t_3 - 4(\beta - 1)t_3 t_4^3]$$

The production cost in  $[t_3, t_4]$  is

$$\int_{t_3}^{t_4} K v \, du = \alpha_1 \beta \int_{t_3}^{t_4} (a + bu + cu^2)^{1-\gamma} \, du$$

$$= \frac{\alpha_1 \beta}{6a^\gamma} \left[ 6a(t_4 - t_3) + (1-\gamma)(3b(t_4^2 - t_3^2) + 2c(t_4^3 - t_3^3)) \right], \quad \gamma \neq 2.$$

Therefore, the production cost in  $[0, t_4]$  is

$$\frac{\alpha_1 \beta}{6a^\gamma} \left[ 6at_1 + (1-\gamma)(3bt_1^2 + 2ct_1^3) \right] + \frac{\alpha_1 \beta}{6a^\gamma} \left[ 6a(t_4 - t_3) + (1-\gamma)(3b(t_4^2 - t_3^2) + 2c(t_4^3 - t_3^3)) \right]$$

$$= \frac{\alpha_1 \beta}{6a^\gamma} \left[ 6a(t_1 + t_4 - t_3) + 3b(1-\gamma)(t_1^2 + t_4^2 - t_3^2) + 2c(1-\gamma)(t_1^3 + t_4^3 - t_3^3) \right], \quad \gamma \neq 2.$$

The total average cost of the system in  $[0, t_4]$  is

$$C = \frac{1}{t_4} \left[ \frac{1}{12} ac_1 \left[ 6\beta t_1^2 - \alpha \beta t_1^4 + 6t_2^2 - 12t_1 t_2 + 2\alpha t_1^3 t_2 + \alpha t_2^4 - 2\alpha t_1 t_2^3 \right] \right.$$

$$+ \frac{1}{120} bc_1 \left[ 20\beta t_1^3 - 3\alpha \beta t_1^5 + 40t_2^3 - 60t_1 t_2^2 + 10\alpha t_1^3 t_2^2 + 8\alpha t_2^5 - 15\alpha t_1 t_2^4 \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{180} cc_1 \left[ 15\beta t_1^4 - 2\alpha \beta t_1^6 + 45t_2^4 - 60t_1 t_2^3 + 10\alpha t_1^3 t_2^3 + 10\alpha t_2^6 - 18\alpha t_1 t_2^5 \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} ac_2 \left[ t_2^2 + \beta t_3^2 + (\beta - 1)t_4^2 - 2t_2 t_3 - 2(\beta - 1)t_3 t_4 \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{6} bc_2 \left[ 2t_2^3 + \beta t_3^3 + 2(\beta - 1)t_4^3 - 3t_2^2 t_3 - 3(\beta - 1)t_3 t_4^2 \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{12} cc_2 \left[ 3t_2^4 + \beta t_3^4 + 3(\beta - 1)t_4^4 - 4t_2^3 t_3 - 4(\beta - 1)t_3 t_4^3 \right]$$

$$+ ac_3 (\beta t_1 - t_2) + \frac{1}{2} bc_3 (\beta t_1^2 - t_2^2) + \frac{1}{3} cc_3 (\beta t_1^3 - t_2^3)$$

$$+ \frac{\alpha_1 \beta}{6a^\gamma} \left[ 6a(t_1 + t_4 - t_3) + 3b(1-\gamma)(t_1^2 + t_4^2 - t_3^2) + 2c(1-\gamma)(t_1^3 + t_4^3 - t_3^3) \right] \quad (15)$$

The optimum values of  $t_1, t_2, t_3$  and  $t_4$  which minimize the cost function  $C$  are the solutions of the equations

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t_1} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial C}{\partial t_2} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial C}{\partial t_3} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial C}{\partial t_4} = 0 \quad (16)$$

provided that these values of  $t_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) satisfy the conditions  $D_i > 0$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ), where  $D_i$  is the Hessian determinant of order  $i$  given by

$$D_i = \begin{vmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} & \cdots & c_{1i} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} & \cdots & c_{2i} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ c_{i1} & c_{i2} & \cdots & c_{ii} \end{vmatrix},$$

$$c_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial t_i \partial t_j} \quad (i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4).$$

The expanded forms of the (16) are

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{12} ac_1 [12\beta t_1 - 4\alpha\beta t_1^3 - 12t_2 + 6\alpha t_1^2 t_2 - 2\alpha t_2^3] \\ & + \frac{1}{120} bc_1 [60\beta t_1^2 - 15\alpha\beta t_1^4 - 60t_2^2 + 30\alpha t_1^2 t_2^2 - 15\alpha t_2^4] \\ & + \frac{1}{180} cc_1 [60\beta t_1^3 - 12\alpha\beta t_1^5 - 60t_2^3 + 30\alpha t_1^2 t_2^3 - 18\alpha t_2^5] \\ & + ac_3 \beta + bc_3 \beta t_1 + cc_3 \beta t_1^2 + \frac{\alpha_1 \beta}{a^\gamma} [a + (1-\gamma)bt_1 + (1-\gamma)ct_1^2] = 0, \gamma \neq 2, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{12} ac_1 [12t_2 - 12t_1 + 2\alpha t_1^3 + 4\alpha t_2^3 - 6\alpha t_1 t_2^2] \\ & + \frac{1}{120} bc_1 [120t_2^2 - 120t_1 t_2 + 20\alpha t_1^3 t_2 + 40\alpha t_2^4 - 60\alpha t_1 t_2^3] \\ & + \frac{1}{180} cc_1 [180t_2^3 - 180t_1 t_2^2 + 30\alpha t_1^3 t_2^2 + 60\alpha t_2^5 - 90\alpha t_1 t_2^4] \\ & + ac_2 (t_2 - t_3) + bc_2 (t_2^2 - t_2 t_3) + cc_2 (t_2^3 - t_2^2 t_3) - ac_3 - bc_3 t_2 - cc_3 t_2^2 = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & ac_2 [\beta t_3 - t_2 - (\beta - 1)t_4] + \frac{1}{2} bc_2 [\beta t_3^2 - t_2^2 - (\beta - 1)t_4^2] \\ & + \frac{1}{3} cc_2 [\beta t_3^3 - t_2^3 - (\beta - 1)t_4^3] - \frac{\alpha_1 \beta}{a^\gamma} [a + (1-\gamma)bt_3 + (1-\gamma)ct_3^2] = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & ac_2 [(\beta - 1)t_4 - (\beta - 1)t_3] + bc_2 [(\beta - 1)t_4^2 - (\beta - 1)t_3 t_4] + cc_2 [(\beta - 1)t_4^3 - (\beta - 1)t_3 t_4^2] \\ & + \frac{\alpha_1 \beta}{a^\gamma} [a + (1-\gamma)bt_4 + (1-\gamma)ct_4^2] - C = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Example - Let  $a = 0.4$ ,  $b = 0.23$ ,  $c = 0.05$ ,  $c_1 = 40$ ,  $c_2 = 120$ ,  $c_3 = 150$ ,  $\alpha = 0.02$ ,  $\beta = 8$ ,  $\alpha_1 = 50$  and  $\gamma = 1.5$  in appropriate units. By applying Mathematica 4.1, we obtain the optimum solutions for  $t_1$ ,  $t_2$ ,  $t_3$  and  $t_4$  of equations (17)-(20) as  $t_1^* = 12.79$ ,  $t_2^* = 18.62$ ,  $t_3^* = 23.51$  and  $t_4^* = 25.32$ . Substituting  $t_1^*$ ,  $t_2^*$ ,  $t_3^*$  and  $t_4^*$  in equation (15), we obtain the optimum average cost as  $C^* = 34,026.70$ .

**Table 1**

Changing Parameter	(%) change	$t_1^*$	$t_2^*$	$t_3^*$	$t_4^*$	$C^*$
$\alpha$	+50	12.63	18.70	22.17	23.15	35,207.20
	+25	12.86	18.58	22.77	24.13	34,385.20
	-25	12.95	18.49	25.14	27.19	33,384.13
	-50	13.54	18.35	26.31	31.52	31,148.60
$\beta$	+50	13.36	19.37	25.56	27.45	41,639.60
	+25	13.21	19.02	24.62	26.41	39,528.40
	-25	12.65	17.79	22.62	24.17	34,030.20
	-50	12.13	17.26	21.54	22.35	31,481.40
$\alpha_1$	+50	12.54	17.66	24.14	26.51	44,629.60
	+25	12.74	18.07	23.83	25.71	39,241.70
	-25	13.16	19.52	23.25	25.15	28,378.10
	-50	13.67	21.09	22.82	24.62	22,312.50
$\gamma$	+50	14.35	18.61	22.90	24.20	40,232.10
	+25	13.50	18.32	23.29	24.77	38,935.20
	-25	12.52	18.15	24.15	25.23	29,346.10
	-50	11.37	17.86	24.96	26.45	23,806.40
$c_1$	+50	12.79	18.62	23.65	25.32	52,026.50
	+25	12.79	18.62	23.65	25.32	46,040.70
	-25	12.79	18.62	23.65	25.32	27,020.30
	-50	12.79	18.62	23.65	25.32	19,025.30
$c_2$	+50	12.79	18.62	23.65	25.32	34,024.30
	+25	12.79	18.62	23.65	25.32	34,026.70
	-25	12.79	18.62	23.65	25.32	34,104.60
	-50	12.79	18.62	23.65	25.32	34,629.10
$c_3$	+50	10.56	14.75	18.67	19.29	30,215.00
	+25	11.27	16.24	20.87	23.24	33,502.00
	-25	15.31	21.91	25.48	28.37	44,681.90
	-50	19.76	27.14	32.89	37.38	55,714.80

#### IV. CONCLUSION

An order level inventory model for deteriorating items with time-dependent deterioration rate, quadratic demand rate and unit production cost have been present here. The quadratic demand rate is assumed to be time-dependent and the unit production cost is inversely related to the quadratic demand rate and we assumed  $a, b, c$  is constant.

This paper is solved by allowing shortages in inventory. Solving highly nonlinear algebraic equations using fourth-order, the average system cost is minimized satisfying the optimization criterion.

#### VI. Sensitivity Analysis

Sensitivity analysis is performed by changing (increasing or decreasing) the parameters by 25 % and 50 % and taking one parameter at a time, keeping the remaining parameters at their original values. From table, the following points are noted

On the basis of the results of table, the following observation can be made.

1. Decrease in the value of the parameter  $\alpha$ , then  $t_1^*, t_3^*, t_4^*$  is increased and  $t_2^*, C^*$  is decreased.
2. Decrease in the value of the parameter  $\beta$  then  $t_1^*, t_2^*, t_3^*, t_4^*$  and  $C^*$  is decreased.
3. Decrease in the values of either of the parameters  $c_1, c_2$  then  $t_1^*, t_2^*, t_3^*, t_4^*$  are unchanged but  $C^*$  value is decreased or increased.
4. Decrease in the value of the parameter  $\gamma$  then  $t_1^*, t_2^*, C^*$  is decreased and  $t_3^*, t_4^*$  is increased.
5. Decrease in the values of either of the parameter  $\alpha_1$  then  $t_1^*, t_2^*$  is increased and  $t_3^*, t_4^*, C^*$  is decreased.
6. Decrease in the value of parameter  $c_3$  then  $t_1^*, t_2^*, t_3^*, t_4^*$  and  $C^*$  is increased.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Bahari-Kashani H. (1989). Replenishment schedule for deteriorating items with time proportional demand. *Journal of the Operational Research Society* **40**, 75-81.
- [2] Covert R. P. and Philip G.C. (1973). An EOQ model for items with waybills distribution deterioration. *AIIE Transactions* **5**, 323-326.
- [3] Dave U. (1986). An order level inventory model for deteriorating items with variable instantaneous demand and discrete opportunities for replenishment. *Opsearch* **23**, 244-249.
- [4] Dave U. and Patel L.K. (1981).  $(T, S_i)$  Policy inventory model for deteriorating items with time proportional demand. *Journal of the Operational Research Society* **32**, 137-142.
- [5] Deb M. and Chaudhuri K.S. (1986). An EOQ model for items with finite rate of production and variable rate of deterioration. *Opsearch* **23**, 175-181.
- [6] Donaldson W.A. (1977). Inventory replenishment policy for a linear trend in demand –an analytical solution. *Operational Research Quarterly* **28**, 663-670.
- [7] Ghare P.M. and Schrader G.F. (1963). An inventory model for exponentially deteriorating items. *Journal of Industrial Engineering* **14**, 238-243.
- [8] Mishra R.B. (1975). Optimum production lot-size model for a system with deteriorating inventory. *International Journal of Production Research Society* **13**, 495-505.
- [9] Nahmias S. (1982). Perishable inventory theory-a review. *Operational Research* **30**, 680-708.
- [10] Philip G.C. (1974). A generalized EOQ model for items with Weibull distribution deterioration. *AIIE Transactions* **6**, 159-162.
- [11] Raafat F. (1991). Survey of literature on continuously deteriorating inventory model. *Journal of the Operational Research Society* **42**, 27-37.
- [12] Roychowdhury M. and Chaudhuri K.S. (1983). An order level inventory model for deteriorating items with finite rate of replenishment. *Opsearch* **20**, 99-106.
- [13] Shah Y. K. and Jaiswal M.C. (1977). An order level inventory model for a system with constant rate of deterioration. *Opsearch* **14**, 174-184.
- [14] Whitin T.M. (1957). Theory of Inventory Management. *Princeton University Press*, Princeton, NJ.