

Generalized Relative AG Divergence of Type S and Information Inequalities

M.A.K Baig¹, Arif Habib¹, Mohd Afzal Bhat²
^{1,2}Department Of Statistics University Of Kashmir Srinagar-190006 (India).

Abstract: Shannon inequalities are well known in information theory. In this paper, we have proposed some generalized inequalities in terms of relative AG divergence of type s and χ^2 divergence. The result obtained in particular lead us to some known divergence measure.

Key words: Csiszar's f -divergence, Relative Arithmetic Geometric divergence, χ^2 divergence, AG divergence of type s , Triangular discrimination, Information inequalities.

I. Introduction

Let

$\Delta_n = \left\{ P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n), p_i > 0, \sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1 \right\}, n \geq 2$, be the set of complete finite discrete

probability distributions. There are many information and divergence measures given in the literature on information theory and statistics. Some of these are symmetric with respect to probability distributions, while others are not. Throughout this paper it is understood that the probability distributions $P, Q \in \Delta_n$.

Some divergence measures are as follows.

χ^2 -Divergence (Pearson [6])

$$(1.1) \quad \chi^2(P // Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(p_i - q_i)^2}{q_i} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p_i^2}{q_i} - 1$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad \chi^2(Q // P) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(q_i - p_i)^2}{p_i} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{q_i^2}{p_i} - 1$$

AMS subject classification: 94 A17, 94 A24, 26 D15

Relative Jensen-Shannon divergence (Sibson [8], Sgarro [7])

$$(1.3) \quad F(P // Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i l_n \left(\frac{2p_i}{p_i + q_i} \right)$$

Relative Arithmetic Geometric Divergence (Taneja [9])

$$(1.4) \quad G(P // Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{p_i + q_i}{2} \right) l_n \left(\frac{p_i + q_i}{2p_i} \right)$$

Relative J-divergence (Dragomir et al [5])

$$(1.5) \quad D(P // Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i - q_i) l_n \left(\frac{p_i + q_i}{2q_i} \right)$$

and

$$(1.6) \quad D(Q // P) = \sum_{i=1}^n (q_i - p_i) l_n \left(\frac{p_i + q_i}{2p_i} \right)$$

II. Relative JS and AG divergence of type s .

Let us consider the relative JS and AG divergence of type s .

$$(2.1) \quad \Omega_s(P // Q) = \begin{cases} FG_s(P // Q) = [s(s-1)]^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \left(\frac{p_i + q_i}{2p_i} \right)^s - 1 \right], & s \neq 0, 1 \\ F(P // Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i l_n \left(\frac{2p_i}{p_i + q_i} \right), & s = 0 \\ G(P // Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{p_i + q_i}{2} \right) l_n \left(\frac{p_i + q_i}{2p_i} \right), & s = 1 \end{cases}$$

We have the following particular cases of $\Omega_s(P // Q)$.

- (i) $\Omega_{-1}(P // Q) = \frac{1}{4} \Delta(P // Q)$
- (ii) $\Omega_0(P // Q) = F(P // Q)$
- (iii) $\Omega_1(P // Q) = G(P // Q)$
- (iv) $\Omega_2(P // Q) = \frac{1}{8} \chi^2(Q // P)$.

The expression $\Delta(P // Q)$ appearing in part (i) is the well known triangular discrimination, and is given by

$$(2.2) \quad \Delta(P // Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(p_i - q_i)^2}{p_i + q_i}.$$

III. Csiszar’s f- divergence and information inequalities.

In this section we present Csiszar’s f- divergence and bounds on it in terms of measure (2.1). Given a convex function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$. The f-divergence measure introduced by Csiszar [1] is given by

$$(3.1) \quad C_f(p, q) = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i f \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i} \right)$$

where $p, q \in \mathfrak{R}_+^n$.

The following two theorems are due to Csiszar and Korner [2].

Theorem 3.1: (joint convexity). Let $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ be convex, then the $C_f(p, q)$ is jointly convex in p and q, where $p, q \in \mathfrak{R}_+^n$.

Theorem 3.2: (Jensen’s inequality). Let $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ be a convex function. Then for any $p, q \in \mathfrak{R}_+^n$,

with $P_n = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i > 0, Q_n = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i > 0$, we have the inequality

$$C_f(p, q) \geq Q_n f \left(\frac{P_n}{Q_n} \right).$$

The equality sign holds iff

$$\frac{p_1}{q_1} = \frac{p_2}{q_2} = \dots = \frac{p_n}{q_n}.$$

In particular, for all $P, Q \in \Delta_n$, we have

$$C_f(P // Q) \geq f(1)$$

With equality iff $P = Q$.

In view of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, we state the following results.

Result 3.1: For all $P, Q \in \Delta_n$, we note that

- (i) $\Omega_s(P // Q) \geq 0$ for any $s \in \mathfrak{R}$, with equality iff $P = Q$.
- (ii) $\Omega_s(P // Q)$ A convex function of the pair of distributions $(P, Q) \in \Delta_n \times \Delta_n$ and for any $s \in \mathfrak{R}$.

Proof: Take

$$(3.2) \quad \phi_s(u) = \begin{cases} [s(s-1)]^{-1} \left[u \left(\frac{u+1}{2u} \right)^s - u - s \left(\frac{1-u}{2} \right) \right], & s \neq 0, 1 \\ \frac{1-u}{2} - u l_n \left(\frac{u+1}{2u} \right), & s = 0 \\ \frac{u-1}{2} + \left(\frac{u+1}{2} \right) l_n \left(\frac{u+1}{2u} \right), & s = 1 \end{cases}$$

for all $u > 0$ in (3.1), we get

$$C_f(P // Q) = \Omega_s(P // Q) = \begin{cases} FG_s(P // Q), & s \neq 0, 1 \\ F(P // Q), & s = 0 \\ G(P // Q), & s = 1 \end{cases}$$

Moreover,

$$(3.3) \quad \phi'_s(u) = \begin{cases} (s-1)^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{s} \left[\left(\frac{u+1}{2u} \right)^s - 1 \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{1}{u} \left(\frac{u+1}{2u} \right)^{s-1} \right] \right\}, & s \neq 0, 1 \\ \frac{1-u}{2(1+u)} - l_n \left(\frac{u+1}{2u} \right), & s = 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - u^{-1} + l_n \left(\frac{u+1}{2u} \right) \right], & s = 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad \phi''_s(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4u^3} \left(\frac{u+1}{2u} \right)^{s-2}, & s \neq 0, 1 \\ \frac{1}{u(u+1)^2}, & s = 0 \\ \frac{1}{2u^2(u+1)}, & s = 1 \end{cases}$$

Thus we have $\phi''_s(u) > 0$ for all $u > 0$, and hence, $\phi_s(u)$ is a convex for all $u > 0$. Also, we have $\phi_s(1) = 0$. In view of theorem 3.1 and 3.2 we have the proof of parts (i) and (ii), respectively.

The following theorem is due to Dragomir [3, 4].

Theorem 3.3: Let $f : \mathfrak{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ be a differentiable convex function. Then for all $p, q \in \mathfrak{R}_+^n$, we have the inequalities

$$(3.5) \quad f'(1) (P_n - Q_n) \leq C_f(p, q) - Q_n f(1) \leq C_{f'} \left(\frac{p^2}{q}, p \right) - C_{f'}(p, q)$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad 0 \leq C_f(p, q) - Q_n f \left(\frac{P_n}{Q_n} \right) \leq C_{f'} \left(\frac{p^2}{q}, p \right) - \frac{P_n}{Q_n} C_{f'}(p, q)$$

where $f' : \mathfrak{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ is the derivative of f .

If f is strictly convex then the equalities in (3.5) and (3.6) hold iff $p = q$. We can also write

$$(3.7) \quad \rho_f(p, q) = C_{f'} \left(\frac{p^2}{q}, p \right) - C_{f'}(p, q) = \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i - q_i) f' \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i} \right).$$

From the information theoretic point of view we shall use the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1: Let $f : \mathfrak{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ be differentiable convex. If $P, Q \in \Delta_n$, then we can state

$$(3.8) \quad 0 \leq C_f(P // Q) - f(1) \leq C_{f'}\left(\frac{P^2}{Q} // P\right) - C_{f'}(P // Q)$$

with equalities if $P = Q$.

In view of proposition 3.1, we have the following result.

Result 3.2: Let $P, Q \in \Delta_n$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$(3.9) \quad 0 \leq \Omega_s(P // Q) \leq \eta_s(P // Q)$$

where

$$(3.10) \quad \eta_s(P // Q) = C_{\phi'_s}\left(\frac{P^2}{Q} // P\right) - C_{\phi'_s}(P // Q)$$

$$\eta_s(P // Q) = \begin{cases} [s(s-1)]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{p_i - q_i}{p_i + q_i}\right) \left(\frac{p_i + q_i}{2p_i}\right)^s [p_i + (1-s)q_i], & s \neq 0, 1 \\ D(Q // P) - \frac{1}{2} \Delta(P // Q), & s = 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} [\chi^2(P // Q) - D(Q // P)], & s = 1 \end{cases}$$

The proof is an immediate consequence of the proposition (3.1) by substituting $f(\cdot)$ by $\phi_s(\cdot)$, where $\phi_s(\cdot)$ is given by (3.2).

The measure (3.10) admits the following particular cases.

$$(i) \quad \eta_0(P // Q) = D(Q // P) - \frac{1}{2} \Delta(P // Q)$$

$$(ii) \quad \eta_1(P // Q) = \frac{1}{2} [\chi^2(P // Q) - D(Q // P)]$$

$$(iii) \quad \eta_2(P // Q) = \frac{1}{8} \left[\chi^2(Q // P) + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{p_i - q_i}{p_i}\right)^2 q_i \right]$$

We state the following corollaries as particular cases of result 3.2.

Corollary 3.1. We have

$$(3.11) \quad 0 \leq F(P // Q) \leq D(Q // P) - \frac{1}{2} \Delta(P // Q)$$

$$(3.12) \quad 0 \leq G(P // Q) \leq \frac{1}{2} [\chi^2(P // Q) - D(Q // P)]$$

$$(3.13) \quad 0 \leq \frac{1}{8} \chi^2(Q // P) \leq \eta_2(P // Q).$$

Proof: (3.11) follows by taking $s = 0$, (3.12) follows by taking $s = 1$, (3.13) follows by taking $s = 2$ in (3.9).

Theorem 3.4: Let $f : I \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a mapping which is normalized, i.e., $f(1) = 0$ and satisfy the assumptions:

- (i) f is twice differentiable on (r, R) , where $0 \leq r \leq 1 \leq R < \infty$;
- (ii) there exists the real constants m, M such that $m < M$ and

$$(3.14) \quad m \leq 4x^3 \left(\frac{x+1}{2x}\right)^{2-s} f''(x) \leq M; \quad \forall x \in (r, R), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}$$

If $P, Q \in \Delta_n$ are discrete probability distributions satisfying the assumption

$0 < r \leq \frac{P_i}{q_i} \leq R < \infty$, then we have the inequalities

$$(3.15) \quad m \Omega_s(P // Q) \leq C_f(P // Q) \leq M \Omega_s(P // Q)$$

and

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} m(\eta_s(P // Q) - \Omega_s(P // Q)) \\ \leq \rho_f(P // Q) - C_f(P // Q) \\ \leq M(\eta_s(P // Q) - \Omega_s(P // Q)) \end{aligned}$$

where $\Omega_s(P // Q)$, $\rho_f(P // Q)$ and $\eta_s(P // Q)$ are as given by (2.1), (3.7) and (3.10) respectively.

Proof: Let us consider the functions $F_{m,s}(\cdot)$ and $F_{M,s}(\cdot)$ given by

$$(3.17) \quad F_{m,s}(u) = f(u) - m\phi_s(u)$$

and

$$(3.18) \quad F_{M,s}(u) = M\phi_s(u) - f(u)$$

respectively, where m, M are as given by (3.14) and the function $\phi_s(\cdot)$ is as given by (3.2).

Since $f(u)$ and $\phi_s(u)$ are normalized, then $F_{m,s}(\cdot)$ and $F_{M,s}(\cdot)$ are also normalized, i.e., $F_{m,s}(1) = 0$ and $F_{M,s}(1) = 0$. Moreover, the functions $f(u)$ and $\phi_s(u)$ are twice differentiable.

Then in view of (3.4), we have

$$F_{m,s}''(u) = f''(u) - m\phi_s''(u)$$

$$F_{m,s}''(u) = f''(u) - m \frac{1}{4u^3} \left(\frac{u+1}{2u} \right)^{s-2}$$

$$F_{m,s}''(u) = \frac{1}{4u^3} \left(\frac{u+1}{2u} \right)^{s-2} \left(4u^3 \left(\frac{u+1}{2u} \right)^{2-s} f''(u) - m \right) \geq 0$$

and

$$F_{M,s}''(u) = M\phi_s''(u) - f''(u)$$

$$F_{M,s}''(u) = M \frac{1}{4u^3} \left(\frac{u+1}{2u} \right)^{s-2} - f''(u)$$

$$F_{M,s}''(u) = \frac{1}{4u^3} \left(\frac{u+1}{2u} \right)^{s-2} \left(M - 4u^3 \left(\frac{u+1}{2u} \right)^{2-s} f''(u) \right) \geq 0$$

for all $u \in (r, R)$ and $s \in R$. Then the functions $F_{m,s}(\cdot)$ and $F_{M,s}(\cdot)$ are convex on (r, R) .

According to proposition 3.1, we have

$$(3.19) \quad C_{F_{m,s}}(P // Q) = C_f(P // Q) - m \Omega_s(P // Q) \geq 0$$

and

$$(3.20) \quad C_{F_{M,s}}(P // Q) = M \Omega_s(P // Q) - C_f(P // Q) \geq 0$$

Combining (3.19) and (3.20), we have

$$m \Omega_s(P // Q) \leq C_f(P // Q) \leq M \Omega_s(P // Q)$$

We shall now prove the validity of inequalities (3.16). We have seen above that real mappings $F_{m,s}(\cdot)$ and $F_{M,s}(\cdot)$ defined over R_+ given by (3.17) and (3.18), respectively are normalized, twice differentiable and convex related to (r, R) .

Applying the r.h.s of the inequalities (3.8), we have

$$(3.21) \quad C_{F_{m,s}}(P // Q) \leq C_{F'_{m,s}}\left(\frac{P^2}{Q} // P\right) - C_{F'_{m,s}}(P // Q)$$

and

$$(3.22) \quad C_{F_{M,s}}(P // Q) \leq C_{F'_{M,s}}\left(\frac{P^2}{Q} // P\right) - C_{F'_{M,s}}(P // Q)$$

respectively.

Moreover,

$$(3.23) \quad C_{F_{m,s}}(P // Q) = C_f(P // Q) - m\Omega_s(P // Q)$$

and

$$(3.24) \quad C_{F_{M,s}}(P // Q) = M\Omega_s(P // Q) - C_f(P // Q)$$

In view of (3.21) and (3.23), we have

$$C_f(P // Q) - m\Omega_s(P // Q) \leq C_{f'_{-m\phi'_s}}\left(\frac{P^2}{Q} // P\right) - C_{f'_{-m\phi'_s}}(P // Q)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} C_f(P // Q) - m\Omega_s(P // Q) \\ \leq C_{f'}\left(\frac{P^2}{Q} // P\right) - mC_{\phi'_s}\left(\frac{P^2}{Q} // P\right) - C_{f'}(P // Q) + mC_{\phi'_s}(P // Q) \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} m\left[C_{\phi'_s}\left(\frac{P^2}{Q} // P\right) - C_{\phi'_s}(P // Q) - \Omega_s(P // Q)\right] \\ \leq C_{f'}\left(\frac{P^2}{Q} // P\right) - C_{f'}(P // Q) - C_f(P // Q) \end{aligned}$$

This gives,

$$m[\eta_s(P // Q) - \Omega_s(P // Q)] \leq \rho_f(P // Q) - C_f(P // Q)$$

Thus, we have l.h.s of inequalities (3.16).

Again in view of (3.22) and (3.24), we have

$$M\Omega_s(P // Q) - C_f(P // Q) \leq C_{m\phi'_s - f'}\left(\frac{P^2}{Q} // P\right) - C_{m\phi'_s - f'}(P // Q)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} M\Omega_s(P // Q) - C_f(P // Q) \\ \leq MC_{\phi'_s}\left(\frac{P^2}{Q} // P\right) - C_{f'}\left(\frac{P^2}{Q} // P\right) - MC_{\phi'_s}(P // Q) + C_{f'}(P // Q) \end{aligned}$$

This gives,

$$\begin{aligned} C_{f'}\left(\frac{P^2}{Q} // P\right) - C_{f'}(P // Q) - C_f(P // Q) \\ \leq M\left[C_{\phi'_s}\left(\frac{P^2}{Q} // P\right) - C_{\phi'_s}(P // Q) - \Omega_s(P // Q)\right] \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\rho_f(P // Q) - C_f(P // Q) \leq M[\eta_s(P // Q) - \Omega_s(P // Q)]$$

Thus we have r.h.s of the inequalities (3.16).

IV. Information inequalities

In this section, we present particular cases of theorem 3.4.

4.1 Information bounds in terms of relative Arithmetic Geometric divergence.

In theorem (3.4) substituting $s = 1$, we have the following proposition

Proposition 4.1: Let $f : I \subset \mathfrak{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ be a mapping which is normalized, i.e., $f(1) = 0$ and satisfies the assumption:

- (i) f is twice differentiable on (r, R) , where $0 \leq r \leq 1 \leq R < \infty$;
- (ii) there exists the real constants m, M such that $m < M$ and

$$m \leq 4x^3 \left(\frac{x+1}{2x} \right) f''(x) \leq M, \forall x \in (r, R), s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

If $P, Q \in \Delta_n$ are discrete probability distributions satisfying the assumption $0 < r \leq \frac{p_i}{q_i} \leq R < \infty$, then we have the inequalities

$$(4.2) \quad mG(P // Q) \leq C_f(P // Q) \leq MG(P // Q)$$

and

$$(4.3) \quad m \left(\frac{1}{2} \chi^2(P // Q) - \frac{1}{2} D(Q // P) - G(P // Q) \right) \leq \rho_f(P // Q) - C_f(P // Q) \leq M \left(\frac{1}{2} \chi^2(P // Q) - \frac{1}{2} D(Q // P) - G(P // Q) \right)$$

where $G(P // Q), \chi^2(P // Q), D(Q // P)$ and $\rho_f(P // Q)$ are as given by (1.4), (1.1), (1.6), and (3.7) respectively.

Result 4.1: Let $P, Q \in \Delta_n$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Let there exists r, R such that $r < R$ and $0 < r \leq \frac{p_i}{q_i} \leq R < \infty$;

$\forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, then the proposition 4.1 yields

$$(4.4) \quad \left(\frac{r+1}{2r} \right)^{s-1} G(P // Q) \leq \Omega_s(P // Q) \leq \left(\frac{R+1}{2R} \right)^{s-1} G(P // Q), s \leq 1$$

$$(4.5) \quad \left(\frac{R+1}{2R} \right)^{s-1} G(P // Q) \leq \Omega_s(P // Q) \leq \left(\frac{r+1}{2r} \right)^{s-1} G(P // Q); s \geq 1$$

$$(4.6) \quad \left(\frac{r+1}{2r} \right)^{s-1} \left[\frac{1}{2} \chi^2(P // Q) - \frac{1}{2} D(Q // P) - G(P // Q) \right] \leq \eta_s(P // Q) - \Omega_s(P // Q) \leq \left(\frac{R+1}{2R} \right)^{s-1} \left[\frac{1}{2} \chi^2(P // Q) - \frac{1}{2} D(Q // P) - G(P // Q) \right]; s \leq 1$$

$$(4.7) \quad \left(\frac{R+1}{2R} \right)^{s-1} \left[\frac{1}{2} \chi^2(P // Q) - \frac{1}{2} D(Q // P) - G(P // Q) \right] \leq \eta_s(P // Q) - \Omega_s(P // Q) \leq \left(\frac{r+1}{2r} \right)^{s-1} \left[\frac{1}{2} \chi^2(P // Q) - \frac{1}{2} D(Q // P) - G(P // Q) \right]; s \geq 1$$

Proof: Let us consider $f(u) = \phi_s(u)$, where $\phi_s(u)$ is given by (3.2).

According to expression (3.4), we have

$$\phi_s''(u) = \frac{1}{4u^3} \left(\frac{u+1}{2u} \right)^{s-2}$$

Let us define the function $g : [r, R] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$g(u) = 4u^3 \left(\frac{u+1}{2u} \right) \phi_s''(u)$$

$$g(u) = \left(\frac{u+1}{2u}\right)^{s-1}$$

Then

$$(4.8) \quad \sup_{u \in [r, R]} g(u) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{R+1}{2R}\right)^{s-1} & ; s \leq 1 \\ \left(\frac{r+1}{2r}\right)^{s-1} & ; s \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$(4.9) \quad \inf_{u \in [r, R]} g(u) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{r+1}{2r}\right)^{s-1} & ; s \leq 1 \\ \left(\frac{R+1}{2R}\right)^{s-1} & ; s \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

where r and R are defined above.

Thus in view of (4.7), (4.8) and (4.1), we have inequalities (4.3) and (4.4). Again, in view of (4.7), (4.8) and (4.2), we have inequalities (4.5) and (4.6).

In view of result 4.2, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.1: under the conditions of result 4.1, we have

$$(4.9) \quad \left(\frac{2r}{r+1}\right)^2 G(P // Q) \leq \frac{1}{4} \Delta(P // Q) \leq \left(\frac{2R}{R+1}\right)^2 G(P // Q).$$

$$(4.10) \quad \left(\frac{2r}{r+1}\right) G(P // Q) \leq F(P // Q) \leq \left(\frac{2R}{R+1}\right) G(P // Q)$$

$$(4.11) \quad \left(\frac{R+1}{2R}\right) G(P // Q) \leq \frac{1}{8} \chi^2(Q // P) \leq \left(\frac{r+1}{2r}\right) G(P // Q)$$

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{2r}{r+1}\right) \left[\frac{1}{2} \chi^2(P // Q) - \frac{1}{2} D(Q // P) - G(P // Q) \right] \\ & \leq D(Q // P) - \frac{1}{2} \Delta(P // Q) - F(P // Q) \\ & \leq \left(\frac{2R}{R+1}\right) \left[\frac{1}{2} \chi^2(P // Q) - \frac{1}{2} D(Q // P) - G(P // Q) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{R+1}{2R}\right) \left[\frac{1}{2} \chi^2(P // Q) - \frac{1}{2} D(Q // P) - G(P // Q) \right] \\ & \leq \eta_2(P // Q) - \frac{1}{8} \chi^2(Q // P) \\ & \leq \left(\frac{r+1}{2r}\right) \left[\frac{1}{2} \chi^2(P // Q) - \frac{1}{2} D(Q // P) - G(P // Q) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Proof: (4.9) follows by taking s = -1, (4.10) follows by taking s = 0, in (4.3), (4.11) follows by taking s = 2 in (4.4). (4.12) follows by taking s = 0 in (4.5). (4.13) follows by taking s = 2 in (4.6). While for s = 1 we have equality sign.

4.2 Information bounds in terms of χ^2 divergence.

In theorem (3.4) substituting s = 2, we have the following proposition

Proposition 4.2: Let $f : I \subset \mathfrak{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ be a mapping which is normalized, i.e., $f(1) = 0$ and satisfies the assumptions

- (i) f is twice differentiable on (r, R) , where $0 \leq r \leq 1 \leq R < \infty$;
- (ii) there exists the real constants m, M such that $m < M$ and

$$m \leq 4x^3 f''(x) \leq M, \quad \forall x \in (r, R),$$

If $P, Q \in \Delta_n$ are discrete probability distributions satisfying the assumption

$$0 < r \leq \frac{P_i}{q_i} \leq R < \infty, \text{ then we have the inequalities}$$

$$(4.14) \quad \frac{m}{8} \chi^2(Q // P) \leq C_f(P // Q) \leq \frac{M}{8} \chi^2(Q // P)$$

and

$$(4.15) \quad m \left(\eta_2(P // Q) - \frac{1}{8} \chi^2(Q // P) \right) \leq \rho_f(P // Q) - C_f(P // Q) \leq M \left(\eta_2(P // Q) - \frac{1}{8} \chi^2(Q // P) \right)$$

where $\chi^2(P // Q)$ and $\rho_f(P // Q)$ are as given by (1.2), (3.7) respectively.

$$\text{Also } \eta_2(P // Q) = \frac{1}{8} \left[\chi^2(Q // P) + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{P_i - q_i}{P_i} \right)^2 q_i \right].$$

Result 4.2: Let $P, Q \in \Delta_n$ and $s \in \mathfrak{R}$. Let there exist r, R such that $r < R$ and

$$0 < r \leq \frac{P_i}{q_i} \leq R < \infty; \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, \text{ then the proposition 4.2 yields}$$

$$(4.16) \quad \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{r+1}{2r} \right)^{s-2} \chi^2(Q // P) \leq \Omega_s(P // Q) \leq \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{R+1}{2R} \right)^{s-2} \chi^2(Q // P) \quad ; s \leq 2$$

$$(4.17) \quad \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{R+1}{2R} \right)^{s-2} \chi^2(Q // P) \leq \Omega_s(P // Q) \leq \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{r+1}{2r} \right)^{s-2} \chi^2(Q // P) \quad ; s \geq 2$$

(4.18)

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{r+1}{2r} \right)^{s-2} \left[\eta_2(P // Q) - \frac{1}{8} \chi^2(Q // P) \right] \\ \leq \eta_s(P // Q) - \Omega_s(P // Q) \\ \leq \left(\frac{R+1}{2R} \right)^{s-2} \left[\eta_2(P // Q) - \frac{1}{8} \chi^2(Q // P) \right] \quad ; s \leq 2 \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \left(\frac{R+1}{2R} \right)^{s-2} \left[\eta_2(P // Q) - \frac{1}{8} \chi^2(Q // P) \right] \\ \leq \eta_s(P // Q) - \Omega_s(P // Q) \\ \leq \left(\frac{r+1}{2r} \right)^{s-2} \left[\eta_2(P // Q) - \frac{1}{8} \chi^2(Q // P) \right] \quad ; s \geq 2 \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Let us consider $f(u) = \phi_s(u)$, where $\phi_s(u)$ is given by (3.2).

According to expression (3.4), we have

$$\phi_s''(u) = \frac{1}{4u^3} \left(\frac{u+1}{2u} \right)^{s-2}.$$

Let us define the function $g : [r, R] \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ such that

$$g(u) = 4u^3 \phi_s''(u)$$

$$g(u) = \left(\frac{u+1}{2u} \right)^{s-2}$$

Then

$$(4.20) \quad \sup_{u \in [r, R]} g(u) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \left(\frac{R+1}{2R} \right)^{s-2} & ; s \leq 2 \\ \left(\frac{r+1}{2r} \right)^{s-2} & ; s \geq 2 \end{array} \right\}$$

and

$$(4.21) \quad \inf_{u \in [r, R]} g(u) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \left(\frac{r+1}{2r} \right)^{s-2} & ; s \leq 2 \\ \left(\frac{R+1}{2R} \right)^{s-2} & ; s \geq 2 \end{array} \right\}$$

where r and R, are defined above.

Thus in view of (4.20), (4.21) and (4.14), we have inequalities (4.16) and (4.17).

Again in view of (4.20), (4.21) and (4.15), we have inequalities (4.18) and (4.19).

In view of result 4.2, we obtain the following corollaries.

Corollary 4.2: under the conditions of result 4.2, we have

$$(4.22) \quad \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{2r}{r+1} \right)^3 \chi^2(Q // P) \leq \frac{1}{4} \Delta(P // Q) \leq \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{2R}{R+1} \right)^3 \chi^2(Q // P)$$

$$(4.23) \quad \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{2r}{r+1} \right)^2 \chi^2(Q // P) \leq F(P // Q) \leq \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{2R}{R+1} \right)^2 \chi^2(Q // P)$$

$$(4.24) \quad \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{2r}{r+1} \right) \chi^2(Q // P) \leq G(P // Q) \leq \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{2R}{R+1} \right) \chi^2(Q // P)$$

$$(4.25) \quad \left(\frac{2r}{r+1} \right)^2 \left[\eta_2(P // Q) - \frac{1}{8} \chi^2(Q // P) \right] \\ \leq D(Q // P) - \frac{1}{2} \Delta(P // Q) - F(P // Q) \\ \leq \left(\frac{2R}{R+1} \right)^2 \left[\eta_2(P // Q) - \frac{1}{8} \chi^2(Q // P) \right]$$

$$(4.26) \quad \left(\frac{2r}{r+1} \right) \left[\eta_2(P // Q) - \frac{1}{8} \chi^2(Q // P) \right] \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\chi^2(P // Q) - D(Q // P) \right] - G(P // Q) \\ \leq \left(\frac{2R}{R+1} \right) \left[\eta_2(P // Q) - \frac{1}{8} \chi^2(Q // P) \right]$$

Proof: (4.22) follows by taking $s=-1$, (4.23) follows by taking $s= 0$, (4.24) follows by taking $s= 1$ in (4.16). (4.25) follows by taking $s= 1$ and (4.26) follows by taking $s= 2$ in (4.18). While for $s= 2$, we have equality sign.

References

- [1] I.Csiszar, Information type measures of differences of probability distribution and indirect observations, *Studia Math. Hungarica* 2(1967) 299-318.
- [2] I.Csiszar, J.korner, *Information theory: Coding theorems for discrete memoryless systems*, Academic press, New York, 1981.
- [3] S.S Dragomir, Some inequalities for the Csiszar f -divergence in information theory. Available from <<http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/monographs/Csiszar.htm>>
- [4] S.S Dragomir, A Converse inequality for the Csiszar ϕ -divergence, *Inequality for Csiszar f divergence in information theory*. Available from <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/monographs/Csiszar.htm>
- [5] S.S Dragomir, v. Gluscevic and C.E Pearce, Approximations for the Csiszar f -divergence via Mid points inequalities, In *inequality theory and applications-Volume 1*, Y.J Cho, J.K Kim and S.S Dragomir (Eds), Nova science publishers, Inc. Huntington, New york, 2001, pp 139-154.
- [6] K.Pearson, On the criterion that a given system of deviations from the probable in the case of correlated system of variables is such that it can be reasonable supposed to have arisen from random sampling, *Phil. Mag.*, 50(1900), 157-172.
- [7] A.Sgarro, Information divergence and the dissimilarity of probability distribution, *Estratto da calcolo*, vol. XVII (3) (1981), 293-302.
- [8] R. Sibson, Information radius, *Z. wahrs.und verw Geb.*, (14) (1969), 149-160.
- [9] I.J Taneja, *Relative divergence measures and information inequalities-To appear: inequality theory and applications*, volume 4, Y.J Cho, J. K Kim and S. S Dragomir(Eds), Nova Science publishers, Inc. Huntington, New york, 2004.