Common Fixed Point Theorem For Compatible Mappings In G-Metric Spaces

Bhagwan Deen Saket, Dhirendra Kumar Singh, Rina Joshi

Department Of Mathematical Science, Awadesh Pratap Singh University, Rewa (M.P.), India Department Of Mathematics, Govt. Vivekanand P.G. College, Maihar (M.P.), India Department Of Mathematics, IPS Academy, Institute Of Engineering & Science, Indore (M.P.), India

Abstract:

In this paper, we prove common fixed point theorem for three self mappings using compatible condition in Gmetric spaces.

Key-Words: Common fixed point, compatible mappings, G-metric spaces.

Date of Submission: 22-09-2025 Date of Acceptance: 02-10-2025

Introduction I.

In the metric space, fixed point theory has found its way in more general spaces. In this context Mustafa and Sims [4] generalized the concept of metric space. Based on this generalization Mustafa and Sims [3, 4, 5] and Mustafa et al. [6, 7] obtained some fixed point theorems for different mappings satisfying contractive conditions. They introduced and improved version of the generalized metric structure, which is called as G-metric spaces. In this paper, we have extended the result of Badshah et al. [1] for three self mappings.

II. **Preliminaries**

Definition 2.1: Let X be a non-empty set, $G: X \times X \times X \to R^+$ a function satisfying the followings conditions:

- (i) G(x, y, z) = 0 if x = y = z,
- (ii) 0 < G(x, x, y) for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$,
- (iii) $G(x, x, y) \le G(x, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ with $z \ne y$,
- (iv) $G(x, y, z) = G(x, z, y) = G(y, z, x) = \cdots$ (Symmetry in all three variables),
- (v) $G(x, y, z) \le G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z, a \in X$ (rectangle inequality).

The function G is called a generalized metric or, more specifically, a G-metric on X, and the pair (X, G)is called a G-metric spaces.

Definition 2.2: Let (X,G) be a G-metric space, $\{x_n\}$ a sequence of points in X. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is G-convergent to x if $\lim_{n,m\to\infty} G(x,x_n,x_m) = 0$; that is for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exist N such that $G(x,x_n,x_m) < \epsilon$ for all $m,n \ge N$.

We say that x the limit of the sequence and write $x_n \to x$ or $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x$.

Proposition 2.3: Let (X, G) be a G-metric space. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) $\{x_n\}$ is G convergent to x,
- (ii) $G(x_n, x_n, x) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$,
- (iii) $G(x_n, x, x) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$,
- (iv) $G(x_m, x_n, x) \to 0$ as $m, n \to \infty$.

Definition 2.4: Let (X, G) be G-metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is called G-Cauchy if, for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exist an N such that $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) < \epsilon$ for all $n, m, l \ge N$.

Proposition 2.5: In a G-metric space (X, G) the following are equivalent:

- (i) The sequence $\{x_n\}$ is G-Cauchy,
- (ii) for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exist an N such that $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) < \epsilon$ for all $n, m, l \ge N$.

Proposition 2.6: Let (X, G) be a G-metric space. Then the function G(x, y, z) is jointly continuous in all three of its variable.

Definition 2.7: A G- metric space (X.G) is called a symmetric G-metric space if G(x, y, y) = G(y, x, x) for all x, y in X.

Proposition 2.8: Every G-metric space (X, G) defines a metric space (X, d_c)

(i) $d_c(x, y) = G(x, y, y) + G(y, x, x)$ for all x, y in X.

If (X, G) is a symmetric G-metric space, then

(ii) $d_c(x, y) = 2G(x, y, y)$ for all x, y in X.

However, if (X, G) is not symmetric, then it follows from the G-metric properties that

(iii) $\frac{3}{2}G(x, y, y) \le d_c(x, y) \le 3G(x, y, y)$ for all x, y in X.

Proposition 2.9: A G-metric space (X, G) is G-complete if and only if (X, d_C) is a complete metric space.

Proposition 2.10: Let (X, G) be a G-metric space. Then, for any x, y, z, a in X it follows that:

- (i) if G(x, y, z) = 0, then x = y = z,
- (ii) $G(x, y, z) \le G(x, x, y) + G(x, x, z)$,
- (iii) $G(x, y, y) \leq 2G(y, x, x)$,
- (iv) $G(x,y,z) \leq 2G(x,a,z) + G(a,y,z)$,
- (v) $G(x, y, z) \le \frac{2}{3} \{ G(x, a, a) + G(y, a, a) + G(z, a, a) \}$

In 1976, Jungck [2] gave the notion of commutativity to obtain common fixed point theorems. Afterwards, in 2012, Manro et al. [3] introduced the concept of compatible maps in G-metric space.

Definition 2.11: Let f and g are mappings from G-metric space (X, G) into itself. The maps f and g are said to be compatible map if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}G(fgx_n,gfx_n,gfx_n)=0 \text{ or } \lim_{n\to\infty}G(gfx_n,fgx_n,fgx_n)=0 \text{ whenever } \{x_n\} \text{ is sequence in } X \text{ such that } \lim_{n\to\infty}fx_n=\lim_{n\to\infty}gx_n=t \text{ for some } t\in X.$

III. Main Result

Let (X, G) be a complete metric spaces and let f, g and t be three self mappings defined on G-metric spaces satisfying:

- (i) $f(x) \cup g(x) \subseteq t(x), \forall x \in X$,
- (ii) one of f or t is continuous,
- (iii) either (f, t) or (g, t) is compatible,
- (iv) $G(fx, fy, fz) \le aG(tx, gy, gy) + bG(tx, fx, tx) + cG(tx, gz, gy) + dG(fx, gy, ty)$ (3.1) where a + b + c + d < 1,

Then f, g and t will have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: Let x_0 be any arbitrary point on X and we can choose a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

$$y_n = fx_n = gx_{n+1}$$
 and $y_{n+1} = tx_{n+2}$, $n = 0,1,2...$

From (3.1), we have

$$G(fx_n, gx_{n+1}, tx_{n+2}) \leq aG(tx_n, gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) + bG(tx_n, fx_n, tx_n) + cG(tx_n, gx_{n+2}, gx_{n+1}) + dG(fx_n, gx_{n+1}, tx_{n+1})$$

$$G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) \le aG(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_n) + bG(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n-1}) + cG(y_{n-1}, y_{n+1}, y_n) + dG(y_n, y_n, y_n)$$

$$\le (a+2b)G(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_n) + cG(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1})$$
(3.2)

By rectangular inequality of G-metric space

$$G(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}) \le G(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_n) + G(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1})$$

 $\leq G(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_n) + 2G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1})$ (by prop. 2.10) From inequality (3.2), we have

 $G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) \le (a+2b)G(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_n) + c[G(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_n) + 2G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1})]$ $\le \left(\frac{a+2b+c}{1-2c}\right)G(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_n)$ $\le \delta G(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_n)$

where
$$\delta = \frac{a+2b+c}{1-2c} < 1$$

Continuing in the same way, we have

$$G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) \le \delta^n G(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_n)$$

So that for any $m > n, m, n \in N$

$$\begin{split} G(y_n, y_m, y_m) &\leq G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) + G(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, y_{n+2}) + \dots + G(y_{m-1}, y_m, y_m) \\ &\leq (\delta^n + \delta^{n+1} + \dots + \delta^{m-1}) G(y_0, y_1, y_1) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\delta^n}{1 - \delta}\right) G(y_0, y_1, y_1) \end{split}$$

Letting as $n, m \to \infty$, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}G(y_n,y_m,y_m)=0$$

Thus $\{y_n\}$ is a G-Cauchy sequence in X and since (X, G) is complete G-metric space, therefore there exist a point $u \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} fx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} gx_{n+1} = \lim_{n\to\infty} tx_{n+2} = u$$

Since the mapping f or t is continuous, one can assume that f is continuous, therefore

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} f f x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} f g x_{n+1} = f u$$

Further, f and t are compatible, therefore

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} G(ftx_n, tfx_n, tfx_n) = 0$$

implies that $\lim_{n \to \infty} ftx_n = fu$.

Similarly as g and t are compatible therefore

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} G(gtx_n, tgx_n, tgx_n) = 0$$

implies that $\lim_{n\to\infty} gtx_n = gu$.

Consider

 $G(ffx_n,fx_n,fx_n)$

$$\leq aG(tfx_n, gx_n, gx_n) + bG(tfx_n, ffx_n, tfx_n) + cG(tfx_n, gx_n, gx_n) + dG(ffx_n, gx_n, tx_n)$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we get

$$G(fu, u, u) \le aG(fu, gu, gu) + bG(fu, fu, fu) + cG(fu, gu, gu) + dG(fu, gu, gu)$$

$$\le (a + c + d)G(fu, gu, gu)$$

implies that

 $G(fu, u, u) \le 0$ so that fu = u.

Again consider,

$$G(fgx_n, fu, gu) \le aG(tgx_n, gu, gu) + bG(fgx_n, fgx_n, tgx_n) + cG(tgx_n, gu, gu) + dG(fgx_n, gx_n, tx_n)$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we get

$$G(gu, u, u) \le aG(gu, gu, gu) + bG(gu, fu, tu) + cG(gu, gu, gu) + dG(fu, gu, tu)$$

 $\le (a + d)G(fu, gu, tu)$

Implies that $G(qu, u, u) \leq 0$ so that qu = u.

Similarly one can find $G(tu, u, u) \le 0$ so that tu = u.

Hence fu = gu = tu = u. Therefore u is a common fixed point of f, g and t.

Uniqueness

Suppose that $v(\neq u)$ be another common fixed point of f, g and t. Then, G(u, v, v) > 0

Consider

$$G(u, v, v) = G(fu, fv, fv)$$

$$\leq aG(tu, gv, gv) + bG(tu, fu, tu) + cG(tu, gv, gv) + dG(fu, gv, tv)$$

$$\leq aG(u, v, v) + bG(u, u, u) + cG(u, v, v) + dG(u, v, v)$$

$$\leq (a + c + d)G(u, v, v)$$

< G(u,v,v).

which is a contradiction, so that u = v.

Hence u is a unique common fixed point of f, g and t.

Example 3.1: Let $X = \{0,1,2\}$ with the G-metric on $G: X \times X \times X \to R$ defined by $G(x,y,z) = \max\{|x-y|, |y-z|, |z-x|\}$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. Define $f, g, t: X \to X$ by fx = tx = 1, $gx = \frac{1+x}{2}$, for all $x \in X$. Since f is continuous and $f(x) \cup g(x) \subseteq t(x)$. Also $G(fx, fy, fz) \le aG(x, y, z)$ holds for all $x, y, x \in X$. Hence 1 is the unique common fixed point of f, g, t.

IV. Conclusion

In this paper we have proved common fixed point theorem for three self mappings in complete G-metric space using compatible condition.

www.iosrjournals.org

References

- [1]. Badshah V.H., Gupta Nirmala, Pariya Akhilesh, Sindersiya Antima, "Common Fixed Point Theorem In G-Metric Spaces", International Journal Of Mathematics Trends And Technology, Vol. 47 (2017), Pp 101-104.
- Jungck G., "Commuting Mappings And Fixed Point", Amer. Math. Monthly 83 (1976), Pp 261-263.

 Manaro S., Kumar S. And Bhatiya S.S., "Weakly Compatible Maps Of Type (A) In G-Metric Spaces", Demonstr. Mathe 45(4) [2]. [3]. (2012), Pp 901-908.
- [4]. Mustafa Z. And Sims B., "Some Remarks Concerning D-Metric Spaces", Proc. Int. Conf. On Fixed Point Theory, Appl. Valencia (Spain), July (2004), Pp 189-198.
- Mustafa Z. And Sims B., "A New Approach To Generalized Metric Spaces", Journal Of Nonlinear And Convex Analysis Vol. 7 (2), [5]. (2006), Pp289-297.
- Mustafa Z. And Sims B., "Fixed Point Theorems For Contractive Mapping In Complete G-Metric Spaces", Fixed Point Theory Appl. [6]. 2009, Article ID 917175, 10 Pages.
- Mustafa Z., Obiedat H. And Awawdeh F., "Some Fixed Point Theorem For Mapping On Complete G-Metric Spaces", Fixed Point [7]. Theory Appl. 2008, Article ID 189870, 12 Pages.