(σ, τ) –Reverse Left Centralizer On Prime Rings

Dr. Fawaz Ra'ad Jarullah

Imam Alkadhim University College, Department Of Financial And Banking Sciences, Iraq

Abstract:

In this paper, we introduced the concepts of (σ, τ) - reverse left centralizer, Jordan (σ, τ) - reverse left centralizer, Jordan triple (σ, τ) - reverse left centralizer on rings and we prove that:

Every Jordan (σ, τ) reverse left centralizer of a 2-torsion free prime ring R is a (σ, τ) - reverse left centralizer centralizer of R.

Key Words: prime ring, (σ, τ) - reverse left centralizer, Jordan (σ, τ) - reverse left centralizer *Mathematic Subject Classification*: 16N60, 16W25, 16Y99

Date of Submission: 07-05-2025Date of Acceptance: 17-05-2025

I. Introduction:

The concept of a left (resp. right) centralizer and a Jordan left (resp. right) centralizer of rings, was first introduced in [3] and thus proved that any left (resp. right) Jordan centralizer of a 2- torsion free semi-prime ring is a left (resp. right) centralizer. While, when n = 1 [2] introduced the concept of a reverse left (resp. right) centralizer and a Jordan reverse left (resp. right) centralizer on rings, many results were founded by the researchers.

The definition of prime ring, semiprime ring and 2- torsion free ring were introduced in [1]. In this paper, we define and study the concepts of a (σ,τ) - reverse left centralizer, a Jordan (σ,τ) - reverse left centralizer and we present some properties about these concepts. One important question can be answered in this paper whether there is a relation between a concept of (σ,τ) - reverse left centralizer and Jordan (σ,τ) - reverse left centralizer and Jordan (σ,τ) - reverse left centralizer within certain condition.

II. (σ , τ)- Reverse Left Centralizer On Rings:

In this section we introduced the concept of a (σ, τ) -reverse left centralizer and a Jordan (σ, τ) - reverse left centralizer on rings.

Definition (2.1):

Let t be an additive mapping of a ring R into itself, such that $t_0 = id_R$ and σ , τ be two endomorphisms of R. Then t is called a (σ, τ) - reverse left centralizer if for all x, $y \in R$ t(xy) = t($\sigma(y)$) $\tau(x)$.

Definition (2.2):

Let t be an additive mapping of a ring R into itself, such that $t_o=id_R$ and σ , τ be two endomorphisms of R. Then t is called a Jordan (σ,τ) - reverse left centralizer if for all $x \in R$ $t(x^2) = t(\sigma(x)) \tau(x)$.

Definition (2.3):

Let t be an additive mapping of a ring R into itself, such that $t_o=id_R$ and σ , τ be two endomorphisms of R. Then t is called a Jordan triple (σ, τ) - reverse left centralizer if for all $x, y \in R$ $t(xyx) = t(\sigma(x)) \tau(y) \tau(x)$.

Lemma (2.4):

Let t be a Jordan (σ,τ) - reverse left centralizer of a ring R. Then for all x, y, $z \in R$ (i) t $(xy+yx) = t (\sigma(y)) \tau(x) + t(\sigma(x)) \tau(y)$. (ii) t $(xyz+zyx) = t (\sigma(z)) \tau(y) \tau(x) + t(\sigma(x)) \tau(y) \tau(z)$. (iii) In particular, if R is a 2-torsion free commutative ring. Then t $(xyz) = t (\sigma(z)) \tau(y) \tau(x)$.

Proof:

(i) $t ((x+y)(x+y)) = t (\sigma(x+y)) \tau(x+y)$ $t (\sigma(x)) \tau(x) + t(\sigma(x)) \tau(y) + t (\sigma(y)) \tau(x) + t (\sigma(y)) \tau(y) ... (1)$ On the other hand: $t ((x+y)(x+y)) = t (x^2 + xy + yx + y^2)$ $= t (x^2) + t(y^2) + t (xy + yx)$ $= t (\sigma(x)) \tau(x) + t(\sigma(y)) \tau(y) + t (xy + yx) ... (2)$

Comparing (1) and (2), we get: t $(xy+yx) = t (\sigma(y)) \tau(x) + t(\sigma(x)) \tau(y)$

(ii) Replace x + z for x in Definition (2.3), we get: t ((x+z) y (x+z)) = t (σ (x+z)) τ (y) τ ((x+z)) = t (σ (x)) τ (y) τ (x) + t (σ (x)) τ (y) τ (z) + t (σ (z)) τ (y) τ (x) + t (σ (z)) τ (y) τ (z) ... (1)

On the other hand:

 $\begin{aligned} t & ((x+z) \ y \ (x+z)) = t \ (xyx + xyz + zyx + zyz) \\ &= t \ (xyx) + t \ (zyz) + t \ (xyz + zyx) \\ &= t \ (\sigma(x)) \ \tau(y) \ \tau(x) + t \ (\sigma(z)) \ \tau(y) \ \tau(z) + t \ (xyz + zyx) \dots (2) \end{aligned}$

Comparing (1) and (2), we get: t (xyz+zyx) = t (σ (z)) τ (y) τ (x) + t(σ (x)) τ (y) τ (z).

(iii) By (ii) and since R is a 2-torsion free commutative ring, we get the require result.

Definition (2.5):

Let t be a (σ,τ) - reverse left centralizer of a ring R. Then for all x, $y \in R$, we define G: $R \times R \longrightarrow R$ by : G(x,y) = t(xy) - t $(\sigma(y)) \tau(x)$)

Lemma (2.6):

Let t be a Jordan (σ,τ) - reverse left centralizer of a ring R. Then for all x, $y, z \in R$ (i) G(x,y) = -G(y,x) (ii) G(x+y,z) = G(x,z) + G(y,z) (iii) G(x,y+z) = G(x,y) + G(x,z)

Proof:

(i) By Lemma (2.4)(i), we have t $(xy+yx) = t (\sigma(y)) \tau(x) + t(\sigma(x)) \tau(y)$ t $(xy) - t (\sigma(y)) \tau(x) = - (t(yx) - t(\sigma(x)) \tau(y))$ G(x,y) = - G(y,x)

(ii) $G(x+y, z) = t ((x+y)z) - t (\sigma(z)) \tau(x+y)$ = $t ((xz+yz)) - t (\sigma(z)) \tau(x+y)$ = $t ((xz)) - t (\sigma(z)) \tau(x) + t ((yz)) - t (\sigma(z)) \tau(y)$ = G(x,z) + G(y,z)

(iii) $G(x,y+z) = t (x(y+z) - t (\sigma(y+z)) \tau(x)$ = $t ((xy+xz)) - t (\sigma(y+z)) \tau(x)$ = $t ((xy)) - t (\sigma(y)) \tau(x) + t ((xz)) - t (\sigma(z)) \tau(x)$ = G(x,y) + G(x,z)

Remark (2.7):

Note that a (σ,τ) - reverse left centralizer of a ring R if and only if G(x,y) = 0, for all $x,y \in R$.

Lemma (2.8):

Let t be a Jordan ($\sigma,\tau)$ - reverse left centralizer of a ring R. Then for all x, y, z \in R $G(x,y) \ \tau(z) \ [\tau(x) \ , \tau(y)] = 0$

Proof:

Let w = xyzyx + yxzxy t(w) = t(x(yzy)x + y(xzx)y) $= t(\sigma(x)) \tau(yzy) \tau(x) + t(\sigma(y)) \tau(xzx) \tau(y)$ $= t(\sigma(x)) \tau(y) \tau(z) \tau(y) \tau(x) + t(\sigma(y)) \tau(x) \tau(z) \tau(x) \tau(y)$... (1)

On the other hand t(w) = t((xy) z (yx) + (yx) z (xy)) $= t(yx) \tau(z) \tau(xy) + t(xy) \tau(z) \tau(yx)$

... (2)

Compare (1), (2), we have that $0 = (t(yx) - t(\sigma(x)) \tau(y)) \tau(z) \tau(y) \tau(x) + (t(xy) - t(\sigma(y)) \tau(x)) \tau(z) \tau(x) \tau(y)$ $0 = G(y,x) \tau(z) \tau(y) \tau(x) + G(x,y) \tau(z) \tau(x) \tau(y)$ $0 = -G(x,y) \tau(z) \tau(y) \tau(x) + G(x,y) \tau(z) \tau(x) \tau(y)$ $0 = G(x,y) \tau(z) (\tau(x) \tau(y) - \tau(y) \tau(x))$ $G(x,y) \tau(z) [\tau(x), \tau(y)] = 0$, for all x, y, z $\in \mathbb{R}$

Lemma (2.9):

Let t be a Jordan (σ, τ) - reverse left centralizer of a prime ring R. Then for all x, y, z, u, $v \in R$ $G(x,y) \tau(z) [\tau(u), \tau(v)] = 0$

Proof:

Replacing x + u for x in Lemma (2.8), we have that $G(x+u,y) \tau(z) [\tau(x+u), \tau(y)] = 0$ $G(x,y) \tau(z) [\tau(x), \tau(y)] + G(x,y) \tau(z) [\tau(u), \tau(y)] +$ $G(u,y) \tau(z) [\tau(x), \tau(y)] + G(u,y) \tau(z) [\tau(u), \tau(y)] = 0$

By Lemma (2.8) , we get $G(x,y)\;\tau(z)\;\left[\tau(u)\;,\tau(y)\right]+G(u,y)\;\tau(z)\;\left[\tau(x)\;,\tau(y)\right]=0$

Therefore, we get
$$\begin{split} 0 &= G(x,y) \ \tau(z) \ [\tau(u) \ , \ \tau(y)] \ \tau(z) \ G(x,y) \ \tau(z) \ [\tau(u) \ , \ \tau(y)] \\ 0 &= - \ G(x,y) \ \tau(z) \ [\tau(u) \ , \ \tau(y)] \ \tau(z) \ G(u,y) \ \sigma(\tau(z)) \ [\tau(x) \ , \ \tau(y)] \end{split}$$

Since R is prime ring, we get $G(x,y) \tau(z) [\tau(u), \tau(y)] = 0$, for all x, y, z, $u \in R$... (1)

Now, replacing y + v for y in Lemma (2.8), we have that $G(x,y+v) \tau(z) [\tau(x), \tau(y+v)] = 0$ $G(x,y) \tau(z) [\tau(x), \tau(y)] + G(x,y) \tau(z) [\tau(x), \tau(v)] +$ $G(x,v) \tau(z) [\tau(x), \tau(y)] + G(x,v) \tau(z) [\tau(x), \tau(v)] = 0$

By Lemma (2.8), we get: $G(x,y) \tau(z) [\tau(x), \tau(v)] + G(x,v) \tau(z) [\tau(x), \tau(y)] = 0$

Therefore, we get: $0 = G(x,y) \tau(z) [\tau(x), \tau(v)] \tau(z) G(x,y) \tau(z) [\tau(x), \tau(v)]$ $0 = -G(x,y) \tau(z) [\tau(x), \tau(v)] \tau(z) G(x,v) \tau(z) [\tau(x), \tau(y)]$

Hence, by the primness of R: $G(x,y) \tau(z) [\tau(x), \tau(v)] = 0$, for all $x, y, z, v \in R$... (2) Finally, $G(x,y) \tau(z) [\tau(x+u), \tau(y+v)] = 0$ $G(x,y) \tau(z) [\tau(x), \tau(y)] + G(x,y) \tau(z) [\tau(x), \tau(v)] +$ $G(x,y) \tau(z) [\tau(u), \tau(y)] + G(x,y) \tau(z) [\tau(u), \tau(v)] = 0$ By (1), (2) and Lemma (2.8) , we get $G(x,y) \ \tau(z) \ [\tau(u) \ , \ \tau(v)] = 0, for \ all \ x \ , \ y \ , \ z \ , \ u \ , v \ \in R$

Theorem (2.10):

Every Jordan (σ , τ)- reverse left centralizer of a 2-torsion free prime ring R is a (σ , τ)- reverse left centralizer of R.

Proof:

Let t be a Jordan (σ, τ) - reverse left centralizer of a 2-torsion free prime ring R. Since R is a prime ring. Then by Lemma (2.9), therefore either G(x,y) or $[\tau(u), \tau(v)] = 0$,for all x, y, u, v \in R If $[\tau(u), \tau(v)] \neq 0$, for all u, v \in R. Then G(x,y) = 0,for all x, y \in R. Hence by Remark (2.7), we get t is a (σ, τ) - reverse left centralizer of R. But if $[\tau(u), \tau(v)] = 0$,for all u, v \in R. Then R is a commutative ring By Lemma (2.4) (i), we have that t(xy+yx) = 2 t (xy) $= 2 t (\sigma(y)) \tau(x)$ Since R is a 2-torsion free ring, we get t is a (σ, τ) - reverse left centralizer of R.

Proposition (2.11):

Let t be a Jordan (σ,τ) - reverse left centralizer of a 2-torsion free ring R, such that $\sigma^2 = \sigma$, $\tau(\sigma(x)) = \tau(x)$ and $\tau(\sigma(y)) = \tau(y)$. Then t is a Jordan triple (σ,τ) - reverse left centralizer of R.

Proof:

Replace xy + yx for y in Lemma (2.4) (i), we have that $t (x(xy+yx) + (xy+yx) x) = t (\sigma(xy+yx)) \tau(x) + t (\sigma(x)) \tau(xy+yx)$ $= t (\sigma^2(y)) \tau(\sigma(x)) \tau(x) + t (\sigma^2(x)) \tau(\sigma(y)) \tau(x)$ $+ t (\sigma(x)) \tau(x) \tau(y) + t (\sigma(x)) \tau(y) \tau(x)$ Since $\sigma^2 = \sigma$, $\tau(\sigma(x)) = \tau(x)$ and $\tau(\sigma(y)) = \tau(y)$, we get $= t (\sigma(y)) \tau(x) \tau(x) + t (\sigma(x)) \tau(y) \tau(x)$ $+ t (\sigma(x)) \tau(x) \tau(y) + t (\sigma(x)) \tau(y) \tau(x)$ (1)

On the other hand: t $(x(xy+yx) + (xy+yx) x) = t (x^2y + xyx + xyx + yx^2)$ = t $(\sigma(y)) \tau(x) \tau(x) + t (\sigma(x)) \tau(x) \tau(y) + 2 t (xyx)(2)$

Compare (1), (2), we get : 2 $t(xyx) = 2 t(\sigma(x)) \tau(y) \tau(x)$

Since R is a 2-torsion free ring, we get the require result.

References:

- F. R. Jarullah, "An Orthogonal Left Centralizer And Reverse Left Centralizer Semiprime Rings", Turkish Journal Of Computer And Mathematics Education, 13(2), P.P.625-630, 2022.
- [2] F.R.Jarullah And S.M. Salih, "A Jordan Higher Reverse Left(Resp. Right) Centralizer On Prime Rings", Academic Journal For Engineering And Science, 3(4), P.P.12-18, 2021.
- [3] B. Zalar, "On Centralizers Of Semiprime Ring", Comment. Math. Univ. Carol., 32(4), P.P.609-614, 1991.