Identity using Ramanujan Sum

Uma Dixit¹, V. Kiran²

¹(Department of mathematics, University post graduate college secunderabad, Osmania University, India) ²(Department of mathematics, university college of science, Osmania University, India)

Abstract:

In Number theory, Ramanujan's sum, usually denoted $c_a(n)$, is a function of two positive integer variables q and n defined by the formula. where (a, q) = 1 means that a only takes on values coprime to q. Also a real or complex valued function defined on the set of all positive integers is called an arithmetic function and an arithmetic function is said to be completely multiplicative function if f is not identically zero and f(mn) = f(m)f(n) for all m,n, we know the reduced residue system modulo N is the set of all integers m with gcd (m,N) = 1 and $0 \le m \le N$.

In this paper we will use reduced residue system modulo r^k and prove few results.

Key Word: *Ramanujan sum, Arithmetic function, Multiplicative function, reduced residue system modulo integer*

Date of Submission: 10-02-2022 Date of Acceptance: 28-04-2022

I. Introduction

A real or complex valued function defined on the set of all positive integers is called an arithmetic function and an arithmetic function is said to be completely multiplicative function if f is not identically zero and f(mn) = f(m)f(n) for all m, n. The Euler Totient function $\varphi(n)$ is defined to be the number of positive integers not exceeding n which are relatively prime to n.

(1.1) $\emptyset(n) = \sum_{\substack{d/n \\ (d,n)=1}} 1$

If a and b are integers, not both zero, and k is any integer greater than 1, then $(a,b)_k$ denotes the largest common divisor of a and b which is also a k^{th} power. This will be referred to as k^{th} power greatest common divisor of a and b.

(1.2) If $(a,b)_k = 1$, then a is said to be *relatively* K - Prime to b.

Ecford Cohen [3] introduced a function $\phi_k(n)$ which denotes the number of non negative integers less than N^k which are relatively K-Prime to N^k.

(1.3)
$$\sum_{d/n} \phi_k(N/d) = N^k$$

(1.4) The Mobius function μ (n) is defined by

$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ (-1)^k & \text{if } n = p_1 p_2 \dots p_k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where p_i's are distinct primes.

By the mobius inversion formula, we get that

(1.5) $\emptyset_k(N) = \sum_{d/N} d^k \mu(N/d)$

Any system of $\phi(n)$ integers, where $\phi(n)$ is the totient function, representing all the residue classes relatively prime to n is called a reduced residue system.

II. Preliminaries

We shall define $C_k(n, r)$ is Ramanujan's sum by

(2.1)
$$C_k(n,r) = \sum_{(x,r^k)_k=1} e(nx,r^k) (e(a,b) = e^{\frac{2\pi i a}{b}}, b > 0)$$

Where summation is taken over a K-reduced residue system (mod r^k)

That is, over all $x \pmod{r^k}$ Such that $(x, r^k)_k = 1$ The function $C_k(n, r)$ has the following property

(2.2)
$$C_k(n,r) = \sum_{d|(n,r)} d^k \mu\left(\frac{r}{d}\right)$$
, Where $\mu(d)$ denotes the Mobius function.

A single valued function f(n,r) having values in the field of complex numbers is said to belong to the class E_k if for all n and $r, f(n,r) = f((n,r^k)_k,r)$

In particular, $f \in E_1 \Leftrightarrow f(n,r) = f((n,r),r)$ for all n,r

Let g(r) be an arithmetical function, then define,

(2.3)
$$G_s(r) = \sum_{d|r} d^s g\left(\frac{r}{d}\right)$$

(2.4)
$$T_k^s(n,r) = \sum_{d^k \mid (n,r^k)_k} d^s g\left(\frac{r}{d}\right)$$

(2.5)
$$G_s^*(n,r) = m^s G_s\left(\frac{r}{m}\right)$$
 where $m^k = \frac{r^k}{(n,r^k)_k}$

(2.6)
$$G_{ks}^*(n,r) = r^* \sum_{d|r} \tau_k^{k(s+1)}(e^k,r) C_k(n,d)$$

In Particular, substituting s = 0, $g(r) = \mu(r)$ in (2.3) and (2.5)

(2.7)

$$G_{\circ}(r) = \sum_{d|r} d^{\circ} \mu\left(\frac{r}{d}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{d|r} \mu\left(\frac{r}{d}\right)$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & if \ r = 1 \\ 0 & if \ r > 1 \end{cases} \text{ and}$$

(2.8)

$$G_{\circ}^{*}(n,r) = G_{\circ}\left(\frac{r}{m}\right)$$
$$= \begin{cases} 1 & if \ r = m \\ 0 & if \ r \neq m \end{cases}$$
$$= \begin{cases} 1 & if \ (n,r^{k})_{k} = 1 \\ 0 & if \ (n,r^{k})_{k} \neq 1 \end{cases}$$

...

Substituting $s = k, n = e^k$ and $g(r) = \mu(r)$ in (2.4)

$$\tau_k^k(e^k, r) = \sum_{\substack{d^k \mid (e^k, r^k)_k \\ = \sum_{\substack{d \mid (e, r)}} d^k \mu\left(\frac{r}{d}\right)}$$
$$= \frac{\Phi_k(r)\mu(m)}{\Phi_k(m)} \text{ where } m = \frac{r}{(n, r)}$$

Therefore,

(2.9)
$$\tau_k^k(e^k, r) = \frac{\Phi_k(r)\mu(\frac{r}{e})}{\Phi_k(\frac{r}{e})} \ if \ e|r$$

We shall now prove few Lemmas required for our main result.

2.1 Lemma: If
$$d_1 | r, d_2 | r, (x, d_1^k)_k = 1, (y, d_2)_k^k = 1$$
 $d_1^k \ge x > 0, d_2^k \ge y > 0$. Then

$$\sum_{\substack{n \equiv a+b \pmod{r^k}}} e(ax, d_1^k) e(by, d_2^k) = \begin{cases} r^k e(nx, d^k) & \text{if } x = y, d = d_1 = d_2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof: If $d_1 | r, d_2 | r$ then $r = d_1 e_1 = d_2 e_2$ Since $n \equiv a + b \pmod{r^k}$, We have $b \equiv (n - a) \pmod{r^k}$ Consider

$$\sum_{n \equiv a+b \pmod{r^k}} e(ax, d_1^k) e(by, d_2^k) = \sum_{a=1}^{r^k} e(ax, d_1^k) e\left((n-a)y, d_2^k\right)$$
$$= \sum_{a=1}^{r^k} e(ax, d_1^k) e(ny - ay, d_2^k)$$
$$= \sum_{a=1}^{r^k} e^{\frac{2\pi axi}{d_1^k}} e^{\frac{2\pi (ny-ay)i}{d_2^k}}$$
$$= e^{\frac{2\pi nyi}{d_2^k}} \sum_{a=1}^{r^k} e^{\frac{2\pi axi}{d_1^k}} e^{\frac{-2\pi ayi}{d_2^k}}$$
$$= e^{\frac{2\pi nyi}{d_2^k}} \sum_{a=1}^{r^k} e^{\frac{2\pi axe_1^{k_i}i}{r^k}} e^{\frac{-2\pi aye_2^{k_i}}{r^k}}$$
$$= e(ny, d_2^k) \sum_{a=1}^{r^k} e(a(xe_1^k - ye_2^k), r^k)$$

The hypothesis of the lemma and the definitions of e_1 and e_2 show that the following statements are equivalent. (2.1.2) $xe_1^k \equiv ye_2^k \pmod{r^k} \Leftrightarrow xe_1^k = ye_2^k$

Now, define

(2.1.1)

$$\sum_{a=1}^{r^{k}} e^{\frac{2n\pi ai}{b^{s}}} = \begin{cases} b^{s} & \text{if } b^{s}|n\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then (2.1.1) becomes

$$\sum_{n \equiv a+b \pmod{r^{k}}} e(ax, d_{1}^{k}) e(by, d_{2}^{k}) = \begin{cases} r^{k} e(ny, d_{2}^{k}) & \text{if } r^{k} | xe_{1}^{k} - ye_{2}^{k} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
$$= \begin{cases} r^{k} e(ny, d_{2}^{k}) & \text{if } xe_{1}^{k} \equiv ye_{2}^{k} (mod \ r^{k}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
$$= \begin{cases} r^{k} e(nx, d^{k}) & \text{if } d = d_{1} = d_{2}, x = y \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \text{ by (2.1.2)}$$

Hence Lemma follows.

An immediate useful consequences of the above is

2.2 Lemma: If d|r, c|r then

$$\sum_{\substack{n \equiv a+b \pmod{r^k}}} C_k(a,d) \ C_k(b,e) = \begin{cases} r^k C_k(n,d) & \text{if } d = e \\ 0 & \text{if } d \neq e \end{cases}$$

Proof: Suppose $d \ge x \ge 0$, $e \ge y \ge 0$. Then

$$\sum_{\substack{n \equiv a + b \pmod{r^k}}} C_k(a, d) C_k(b, e) = \sum_{\substack{(X, d^k)_k = 1 \\ (y, e^k)_k = 1}} \sum_{\substack{n \equiv a + b \pmod{r^k}}} e(ax, d^k) e(by, e^k)$$

DOI: 10.9790/5728-1802042833

$$=\begin{cases} \sum_{(x,d^k)_k=1} r^k e(nx,d^k) & \text{if } x = y, d = e\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \text{ by lemma 2.1}$$
$$=\begin{cases} r^k C_k(n,d) & \text{if } d = e\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proving the lemma.

2.3 Lemma:

$$\sum_{n\equiv a+b(mod\;r^k)}f(a,r)\,g(b,r)=r^k\sum_{d\mid r}\alpha(d,r)\,\beta(d,r)\,\mathcal{C}_k(n,d)$$

Proof: Consider

$$\sum_{n\equiv a+b(mod\ r^k)} f(a,r) g(b,r) = \sum_{n\equiv a+b(mod\ r^k)} \left(\sum_{d|r} \alpha(d,r) c_k(a,d) \right) \left(\sum_{\delta|r} \beta(\delta,r) c_k(b,\delta) \right)$$
$$= \sum_{n\equiv a+b(mod\ r^k)} \sum_{d|r} \alpha(d,r) \beta(\delta,r) c_k(a,b) c_k(b,\delta)$$
$$= \sum_{d|r} \alpha(d,r) \beta(\delta,r) \sum_{n\equiv a+b(mod\ r^k)} c_k(a,d) c_k(b,\delta)$$
$$= \sum_{d|r} \alpha(d,r) \beta(d,r) r^k c_k(n,d) by lemma 2.2$$
$$= r^k \sum_{d|r} \alpha(d,r) \beta(d,r) c_k(n,d)$$

Thus proving lemma 2.3.

III. Main Result

3.1 Theorem: If $C_k(n, r)$ is Ramanujan's sum then

$$\sum_{(b,r^k)_k=1} c_k(n-b,r) = \mu(r) \ c_k(n,r)$$

Proof: Let us consider

$$\sum_{n \equiv a+b \pmod{r^k}} f(a,r) \ G_{ks}^*(b,r) = r^k \sum_{d \mid r} \alpha(d,r) \ \tau_k^{k(s+1)}(e^k,r) \ c_k(n,d) \ by \ lemma \ 2.3 \ and (2.6)$$

$$= \sum_{d|r} \alpha(d,r) \, \tau_k^{k(s+1)}(e^k,r) \, c_k(n,d)$$

In Particular

$$\sum_{\substack{n \equiv a+b \pmod{r^k}}} f(a,r) \ G_{\circ}^*(b,r) = \sum_{d|r} \alpha(d,r) \ \tau_k^k(e^k,r) \ c_k(n,d)$$
$$\sum_{\substack{n \equiv a+b \pmod{r^k}\\(b,r^k)_k = 1}} f(a,r) = \sum_{d|r} \frac{\alpha(d,r) \ \Phi_k(r) \ \mu(d) \ C_k(n,d)}{\Phi_k(d)} \ by \ (2.8) \ and \ (2.9)$$

$$\sum_{(b,r^k)_k=1} f(n-b,r) = \Phi_k(r) \sum_{d|r} \frac{\alpha(d,r) \,\mu(d) \, C_k(n,d)}{\phi_k(d)}$$

Taking $f(n,r) = C_k(n,r)$, We have
 $\alpha(d,r) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } d=r\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Then

$$\sum_{(b,r^k)_k=1} C_k(n-b,r) = \frac{\Phi_k(r)\,\mu(r)\,C_k(n,r)}{\Phi_k(r)}$$
$$= \mu(r)C_k(n,r)$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{(b,r^k)_k=1} C_k(n-b,r) = \mu(r) C_k(n,r)$$

3.2 Theorem:

$$\sum_{(b,r^k)_k=1} C_k(n-b,r) = \Phi_k(r) \sum_{\substack{d \mid r \\ (n,d^k)_k=1}} \frac{d^k \mu\left(\frac{r}{d}\right)}{\Phi_k(d)}$$

Proof: Consider

$$\sum_{(b,r^k)_k=1} C_k(n-b,r) = \sum_{(b,r^k)_k=1} \sum_{\substack{d \mid n-b,r^k \\ n \equiv b \pmod{d^k}}} d^k \mu\left(\frac{r}{d}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{(b,r^k)_k=1} \sum_{\substack{d \mid r \\ n \equiv b \pmod{d^k}}} d^k \mu\left(\frac{r}{d}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{d \mid r} d^k \mu\left(\frac{r}{d}\right) \sum_{\substack{n \equiv b \pmod{d^k} \\ (b,r^k)_k=1}} 1$$

If $(n, d^k)_k = 1$ where d is a divisor of r then there are exactly $\frac{\Phi_k(r)}{\Phi_k(d)}$ K-reduced residue $b \pmod{r^k}$ congruent to $n(mod r^k)$.

Therefore,

$$\sum_{(b,r^k)_k=1} C_k(n-b,r) = \sum_{\substack{d \mid r\\(n,d^k)_k=1}} d^k \mu\left(\frac{r}{d}\right) \frac{\Phi_k(r)}{\Phi_k(d)}$$
$$= \Phi_k(r) \sum_{\substack{d \mid r\\(n,d^k)_k=1}} \frac{d^k \mu\left(\frac{r}{d}\right)}{\Phi_k(d)}$$

Proving the theorem.

References

- [1].
- Apostel, T.M, Introduction to Analytic Number Theory, Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 1976. Brown, T. C. and L. C. Hsu, J. Wang, and P. J.-S. Shiue, "On a certain kind of generalized number theoretical Mobius function", [2]. The Mathematical Scientist 25 (2000), no. 2, 72-77.
- Eckford Cohen, An Extension of Ramanujan's Sum II Additive properties, Duke maths J., Vol.22. 1955, pp 543-550. Eckford Cohen, Arithmetical Inversion Formulas, Canadian J. Math., 12 (1960), pp 399-409. [3].
- [4].

- [5]. Eckford Cohen, An Extension of Ramanujan's Sum, Vol.16, (1949), pp 85-90.
- Haukkanen P, Classical arithmetical identities involving a generalization of Ramanujan's sum, Annales Academiae Scientiarum [6]. Fennicae. Series A I. Mathematica Dissertationes (1988), no. 68, 69 pages
- Ramanathan K. G. and M. V. Subbarao Some Generalizations of Ramanujan's Sum, Canadian Journal of Mathematics, Volume [7]. 32, Issue 5, 01 October 1980, pp. 1250 - 1260

[9].

Uma Dixit. "Identity using Ramanujan Sum." IOSR Journal of Mathematics (IOSR-JM), 18(2), (2022): pp. 28-33.

^{[8].} Toth, L ' Remarks on generalized Ramanujan sums and even functions, Acta Mathematica. Academiae Paedagogicae Ny iregyhaziensis (New Series) ´ 20 (2004), no. 2, 233–238. Umadixit, Identity using strongly multiplicative functions, MuktShabd journal, Volume IX Issue V, may/2020, pp 1350-1354.